

Dear Sir,

I don't think you should be including patent disputes in your cyber crime definition. The global science community is mostly open about the developing scientific achievements. So why not the global tech development community? Threats of massive legal disputes

You should recognise the status of open hardware licence. And accept "product hacking" can be legal & lawful. This would enable more start-up companies, and speed up global innovation. It should be included in your standards, practices & guidelines. And be included in university & training courses.

for more info-

http://p2pfoundation.net/Product_Hacking

I also think you should allow a more relaxed approach to "DIY product mashing". For example microsoft is OK with the kinect controller being used with robotics. Websites which publish these innovations, & potential future commercial products, should not be criminalised, but encouraged.

I do appreciate some military innovations should remain confidential/secret, I question whether the patent office should publish everything, as this could be a security risk.
www.google.com/patents

Your real work should be cleaning up the nightmare malware riddled freeware market, that has damaged microsoft windows. It needs a massive improvement in cybersecurity.