

UPDATE ON THE EXAMINATION AND COMPARISON OF EXISTING HALON ALTERNATIVES AND NEW SUSTAINABLE CLEAN AGENT TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPRESSING CONTINUOUSLY ENERGIZED FIRES

Gordon Bengtson Jon Flamm, Richard Niemann
Modular Protection® Corporation

INTRODUCTION

This report is a continuation of “*Examination and Comparison of Existing Halon Alternatives and New Sustainable Clean Agent Technology in Suppressing Continuously Energized Fires*” presented at HOTWC 2001. This report includes testing of conductive and modified conductive heating tests using C₆ F-ketone. Initially, C₆ F-ketone preliminary tests were performed at a concentration of 3.5% [V/V] with extinguishments. During the modified conductive heating test (electrical arc) there was re-ignition. Requirements for higher concentrations are necessary to the cup burner. This report includes testing at minimum design concentration plus 20%, 40%, and 60% for extinguishing Class “C” energized fires.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this report, was to update the previous examination using C₆ F-ketone on Class “C” energized fires: to extinguish and prevent re-ignition. Evaluation of modified test to address a fire hazard where the ignition source is constant and the cause of the event. Verifying extinguishment occurs at 3.9%, 4.5%, and 5.1% as well as, that re-ignition would not occur using these design concentrations.

RESULTS

The test results indicated that:

Conductive Heating Tests

- Extinguishing and preventing reignition/reflash was achieved using 20% below minimum design concentration.

Modified Conductive Heating Tests

- Higher agent concentrations were needed to prevent reignition/reflash with both cables.
- Higher agent concentrations were needed to extinguishing and prevent reignition/reflash using fire resistant cable (KS-5482L28FR).

Table 1: Test Protocol

Test Protocol	Fuel	Agent	Tests Conducted
Conductive Heating Test	10.25 in. long, 6 in. of insulation, KS-20921L2 and KS-5482L28FR	C ₆ F-ketone	5
Modified Conductive Heating Test	10.25 in. long, 6 in. of insulation, KS-20921L2 and KS-5482L28FR	C ₆ F-ketone	22

Table 2: Summary of C₆ F-ketone Selected Conductive Heating Test Results using KS-20921L2 Cable Type in a Vertical Orientation

Test	Ignition Source	Autoignition Achieved [Yes/No]	Ignition [s]	Discharge Pressure [kPa]	Design Concentration [%]	Discharge Time [s]	Time of Initial Ext. [s]	Reignition [Yes/No]
1	Pilot	No	595	655	2.6	655	661	No

Table 3: Summary of C₆ F-ketone Selected Modified Conductive Heating Test Results using KS-20921L2 Cable Type in a Vertical Orientation

Test	Ignition Source	Autoignition Achieved [Yes/No]	Ignition [s]	Discharge Pressure [kPa]	Design Concentration [%]	Discharge Time [s]	Time of Initial Ext. [s]	Reignition [Yes/No]
2	Electric Arc	Yes	510	655	3.9	615	623	No
3	Electric Arc	Yes	610	655	4.5	670	676	No
4	Electric Arc	Yes	481	655	5.1	549	579	No

Table 4: Summary of C₆ F-ketone Selected Modified Conductive Heating Test Results using KS-5482L28FR Cable Type in a Vertical Orientation

Test	Ignition Source	Autoignition Achieved [Yes/No]	Ignition [s]	Discharge Pressure [kPa]	Design Concentration [%]	Discharge Time [s]	Time of Initial Ext. [s]	Reignition [Yes/No]
5	Electric Arc	Yes	650	655	3.9	725	DNE	Yes
6	Electric Arc	Yes	855	655	4.5	935	951	No
7	Electric Arc	Yes	555	655	5.1	631	660	No

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

As previously noted the conducted test does not adequately address the extinguishing characteristics for a Class “C” energized fire scenario where the ignition is the cause of the flame. However the modified conductive test provides autoignition and the means to design C₆ F-ketone total flooding system to prevent reignition/ reflash in an actual cable fire event. The C₆ F-ketone extinguished and prevented reignition/ reflash at a much lower concentration than HFC-227ea.

REFERENCES

1. Smith, D., Niemann, R., Bengtson, G., “Examination and Comparison of Existing Halon Alternatives and New Sustainable Agent Technology in Suppressing Continuously Energized Fires,” *Proceedings*, Halon Options Technical Working Conference, Albuquerque, NM, pp.288-298, 2001.
2. Niemann, R., Bayless, H., and Craft, C., “Evaluation of Selected NFPA 2001 Agents for Suppressing Class “C” Energized Fires.” *Proceedings*, Halon Options Technical Working Conference, Albuquerque, NM, pp. 399-412, 1996.
3. NFPA 2001, Standard on Clean Agent Fire Extinguishing Systems, National Fire Protection Association, 2nd Edition, Quincy, MA 2000.
4. Driscoll, M., Rivers, P., 3M, “Clean Extinguishing Agents and Continuously Energized Circuits: Recent Findings,” *Proceedings*, Halon Options Technical Working Conference, Albuquerque, NM, pp. 129-140, 1997.