Federal Government's Role in Tech Transfer Innovation

J. Chris Ford, Ph.D.

Technical Advisor to the Director
Office of Economic Impact & Diversity
US Department of Energy
Chris.Ford@hq.doe.gov

NIST STEM Innovation and National Priorities & HBCUs
November 4, 2014





Agenda

- Relevant Tech Transfer Policy
- Dawn of a New Economic Era
- Culture and Innovation Ecosystems
- Market Intelligence and Gap Funding
- DOE-DOC Lab-to-Market Initiative

Office of Economic

Impact and Diversity



Relevant Tech Transfer Policy

- Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 -- Purpose: "stimulating improved utilization of federally funded technology developments ... by state and local governments and the private sector."
- **Federal Technology Transfer Act 1986** -- Technology transfer is a responsibility for all federal lab scientists and technology transfer activities are to be considered in employee performance evaluations.
- Executive Order 12591, 1987 -- All agencies are required to "assist in the transfer of technology to the marketplace"
- Energy Policy Act 2005 "The Secretary shall establish an Energy Technology Commercialization Fund, using 0.9 percent of the amount made available to the Department for applied energy research, development, demonstration, and commercial application for each fiscal year, to be used to provide matching funds with private partners to promote promising energy technologies for commercial purposes." (Section 1001)
- **Presidential Memorandum 2011** -- Accelerating Technology Transfer and Commercialization of Federal Research in Support of High-Growth Businesses.
 - Each executive department and agency that conducts R&D commits to improve the results from its technology transfer and commercialization activities. The aim is to increase the successful outcomes of these activities significantly over the next 5 years, while simultaneously achieving excellence in our basic and mission focused research activities.
 - <u>Establish Goals and Measure Progress</u>. Establishing performance goals, metrics, and evaluation methods, as well as implementing and tracking progress relative to those goals, is critical to improving the returns from Federal R&D investments.
 - Streamline the Federal Government's Technology Transfer and Commercialization Process. Streamlining licensing
 procedures, improving public availability of federally owned inventions from across the Federal Government, and
 improving the executive branch's Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer
 (SBIT) programs based on best practices will accelerate technology transfer from Federal laboratories and other facilities
 and spur entrepreneurship.
 - <u>Facilitate Commercialization through Local and Regional Partnerships</u>. Agencies must take steps to enhance successful technology innovation networks by fostering increased Federal laboratory engagement with external partners, including universities, industry consortia, economic development entities, and State and local governments.





Dawn of a New Economic Era

Ideas, Intellectual Property and Information are creating








\$19B

Culture and Innovation Ecosystems

Culture is the engine that drives an innovation ecosystem.

A Redwood forest is highly sophisticated ecosystem. Each tree is a part of a community that shares resources which causes the entire ecosystem achieve unparalleled heights that could not be achieved alone.





Innovation Ecosystem

Rainforest model of Innovation Ecosystem Development

1. Seed 2. Cultivate 3. Nourish

convene, educate, assess, map build, grow, coach


active management

Public Education Roundtable Roadman Asset Man Human Capital Formation Policy Leadership Fund Management Market Links Training Measurement

<u>Seed</u> a *culture* of innovation at Federal agencies and labs, minority businesses, minority serving institutions, large businesses, investment community, and stakeholder groups and agencies.

Cultivate communities that catalyze regional economic development.

Nourish regional economic development with capital, technology commercialization, market verticals, and entrepreneurship training.







Economic Impact of Tech Transfer


	TechLink Study of DoD	IBRC Study of Navy
Time Period	2000-2009	2005-2009
Types of Tech Transfer Agreements Considered	44% R&D contracts36% licenses20% CRADAs	•25% licenses •75% CRADAs
Economic Impact per Tech Transfer Agreement	•\$2.24MM •13 jobs created or retained	•\$5.4MM •26 jobs created or retained
Average Job Compensation (for jobs attributable to direct sales)	\$73,279	\$79,300

Swearingen and Slaper, Economic Impact of DoD Technology Transfer, June 2012





Lab-to-Market Initiative: DOE-DOC




Mission: To catalyze regional innovation ecosystems that stimulate job creation and business growth opportunities for minority business enterprises (MBEs) by leveraging the federal resources of the Department of Energy and its National Labs and the Department of

Commerce





DOE-DOC: Ecosystem and Indicators



- Ideas: How well is intellectual property getting to market?
- People: MBE participation? MSI Participation?
- Community: Collaborative environment?
- Capital: Continuum inclusive of gap funding?





Market and Capital Intelligence



Capital Segmentation & University Gap Funding


GAP FUNDING OVERVIEW

www.gapfunding.org

The Role of Gap Funding in the new capital continuum

With fleeting sources of early-stage capital in the commercialization pathway, a "valley of death" or "gap" emerges that threatens the development of university technologies and start-ups. Universities utilize gap funding as a solution to this barrier to innovation.


COMMERCIALIZATION PATHWAY








Federal Gap Funding: Adv. Manufacturing Hubs








Advanced Manufacturing Hubs: Bridging the Gap








Advanced Manufacturing Hubs: Bridging the Gap







Advanced Manufacturing Hubs: Bridging the Gap







Ecosystem Needs/Opportunities

- Funds for Technology Maturation: To effectively leverage the ideas, talent, and resources at DOE's National Labs, gap funding is needed in the ecosystem.
- Ecosystem Staff: Thriving innovation ecosystems need diverse experts in technology transfer and commercialization, intellectual property portfolio management, marketing, integrators, manufacturing, and other related personnel.
- Talent Development: Training and developing the requisite entrepreneurial talent in technology transfer, commercialization, and STEM entrepreneurship is a vital need.
- Leveraging of Information Technology: Better information technology tools that can democratize access to innovation, information, and individuals.




Summary

- U.S. Government role is to foster innovation ecosystem to catalyze economic growth.
- Federal policy and convening authority is critical to seeding communities of innovation ecosystems.
- HBCUs/MSIs & MBEs can play vital roles in the innovation ecosystem.
- A public private partnership model that uses both market "pull" and market "push" tactics to tech transfer.



Thank You



J. Chris Ford, Ph.D.

Technical Advisor to the Director
Office of Economic Impact & Diversity
US Department of Energy

Chris.Ford@hq.doe.gov

Websites:

- Mbda.gov; NIST.gov/mep
- TechTransfer.Energy.gov
- Diversity.Energy.gov








Back Up Slides





DOE Labs





MBDA Centers







Technology Incubation Leveraging a DOE National Lab Small Commercialization **Business** Market penetration and commercial Market success Discovery **Innovation** Beachhead TR3-TR6 Quick Market Prototype **Ecosystem** Selection **DOE National** Lab provides TR7-TR8 **Technical Assistance** Market Prototype Redesign **Testing** DOE **National** Lab Office of Economic U.S. DEPARTMENT OF **ENERGY.GOV** Impact and Diversity

Technology Readiness Level

Phase	Technology Readiness Level	Description
Prototype Development	TRL 1	Basic Research is translated to Applied Research
	TRL 2	Invention begins - Once basic principles are observed, practical applications can be invented. Applications are speculative and there may be no proof or detailed analysis to support the assumptions. Examples are limited to analytic studies.
	TRL 3	Active R&D is initiated - Active research and development is initiated. This includes analytical studies and laboratory studies to physically validate analytical predictions of separate elements of the technology. Examples include components that are not yet integrated or representative.
	TRL 4	Basic technological components are integrated - Basic technological components are integrated to establish that the pieces will work together.
Prototype Testing	TRL 5	Fidelity of breadboard technology improves significantly - The basic technological components are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so it can be tested in a simulated environment. Examples include "high fidelity" laboratory integration of components.
	TRL 6	Model/prototype is tested in relevant environment - Representative model or prototype system, which is well beyond that of TRL 5, is tested in a relevant environment. Represents a major step up in a technology's demonstrated readiness. Examples include testing a prototype in a high-fidelity laboratory environment or in simulated operational environment.
Product Development	TRL 7	Prototype near or at planned operational system - Represents a major step up from TRL 6, requiring demonstration of an actual system prototype in an operational environment.
	TRL 8	Technology is proven to work - Actual technology completed and qualified through test and demonstration.
	TRL 9	Actual application of technology is in its final form - Technology proven through successful operations.






Create a Rainforest Canvas-Genome Sequencing of Your Ecosystem

The Rainforest Canvas









Ecosystem Indicators

Ecosystem Indicator	Guiding Questions	Metrics
Policy	Are the State, Local, and Lab policies supportive of technology transfer and commercialization, economic development, and entrepreneurship?	Number of policies that incentivizes tech transfer/ commercialization, economic development, and entrepreneurship
Ideas	How well is Intellectual Property (IP) (DOE or others) being translated to the entrepreneurs, universities, and investors? What's the economic impact of the IP?	DOE IP Utilization, MSI IP Utilization, Jobs Created, Revenue Generated (MBE and non MBE)
People	Does the ecosystem have the needed competent players such as Innovators, Integrators, Entrepreneurs, Leaders, and Role Models? Is the ecosystem developing the requisite talent to spur economic growth? How are minorities, MBEs, and MSIs being utilized?	Minority Business Utilization and created, Minority Serving Institution Utilization, Number of Entrepreneurs trained (Minority, non Minority)
Community	Has the region developed a collaborative environment with local universities, businesses, city and state officials, and investors?	The number of events and public private partnerships with academic, public, and private leaders focused on innovation, entrepreneurship, or economic development
Capital	Are gap funds and technology maturation dollars available and active for ecosystem? Is there continuum of capital available for entrepreneurs? In what capacity? How much capital is utilized by MBE and MSI participation?	Amount of technology maturation funds invested by source for DOE Lab IP and non DOE IP. Leverage of federal dollars (additional matching private funds). Capital utilized by MBEs and MSIs





Ecosystem Needs

- Funds for Technology Maturation: In conferring tech transfer officials at DOE Head Quarters,
 National Labs, and members of DOE's Tech Transfer Working Group, one of the key issues is funds
 for technology maturation or proof of concept. Also, noted from the Interagency Working Group
 on Tech Transfer, Proof of concept funds are a part of a gap funding continuum needed to
 successfully nurture the commercialization of technology. Currently, the DOE has not appropriated
 funds for technology maturation. Such funds are left to the private companies managing and
 operating the lab(i.e. M&O contractors) to set aside their own funds. To effectively leverage the
 ideas, talent, and resources at DOE's National Labs, gap funding is needed in the ecosystem.
- Ecosystem Staff: Due to decreased funding for technology transfer, Tech transfer offices at DOE
 labs are typically underfunded and understaffed. Tech transfer is left to discretionary funds of the
 M&O contractor and is not a line budget item. Thriving innovation ecosystems need diverse experts
 in technology transfer and commercialization, intellectual property portfolio management,
 marketing, and other related personnel.
- Talent Development: Training and developing the requisite entrepreneurial talent in technology transfer, commercialization, and entrepreneur is a vital need. Across many sectors, especially clean energy, there is a dearth of entrepreneurial talent to commercialize technology. Oftentimes good IP and business ideas are not commercialized due to a lack of available entrepreneurial talent.
- Leveraging of Information Technology: Better information technology tools that can democratize
 access to innovation, information, and individuals. The velocity of information is a critical element
 to catalyzing innovation ecosystems. DOE has funded the creation of the Innovation portal.



