

## Key Recommendation 9.0: Environmental Monitoring

[Key recommendation text is still being developed.]

**Supplemental Recommendation 9.1:** The federal government should establish or encourage IoT environmental data repositories in support of open, available data. Promoting the open availability of data would promote research, improve transparency, and encourage proactive improvement by industry participants. As described in other recommendations throughout this report, improved interoperability and competitiveness will help benefit all IoT adopters, and an open model for shared and consistent data will help take strides toward those objectives.

**Supplemental Recommendation 9.2:** The federal government should facilitate and support the research, development and deployment of low cost Air Quality sensors. (Could we expand to additional types of monitoring?)

The Board observed that there is a need to shift from expensive (i.e., highly sensitive regulatory grade) sensors that limit deployment by organizations and municipalities. While such sensors are vital for particular monitoring purposes, large scale deployment of these types of monitoring equipment would be expensive and difficult.

Encouraging development and implementation of local, scalable air quality monitoring would support a variety of use cases, including:

- Increasing public awareness of air quality conditions;
- Informing environment and public policy, including through real time testing and demonstration of policy impacts;
- Environmental justice work;
- Supplementing regulatory grade sensing with IoT commercial sensors;
- Public health research;
- Construction site emissions monitoring; and,
- Rapid or emergency air quality monitoring for particular circumstances.

Currently, regulatory monitoring is often limited to a few pollutants; the government can encourage expanded coverage of other emerging chemicals of concern (including greenhouse gasses) in monitoring and sensing systems.

Agencies should encourage automated and consistent measurement and can facilitate research in low-cost sensing technologies for criteria pollutants, such as optical particle scanning for particulate matter and MOx elements for gases and detection of other emerging chemicals of concern.

The government should facilitate the expansion of wireless connectivity to support remote monitoring and sensing in areas not serviced by traditional connectivity. This recommendation supports (and is supported by) those described in Recommendation 4.

## Previous Draft material for 9.0: Environmental Monitoring

### Recommendation 1: The federal government should facilitate and support the development and adoption of low cost AQ monitoring sensing systems..

#### Description:

IoT sensing allows for the effortless collection of data from multiple devices and technical innovation in IoT has emerged in research communities worldwide, which together provide new opportunities for low-cost, high resolution, environmental monitoring. However, wider implementation of such devices in the United States will require the approval and encouragement of the federal government.

#### Justification:

- Regulatory grade sensors are expensive, limiting the number that can be deployed. Their purpose is specific to looking at broad air quality of an area and compare against EPA levels to protect health and welfare (epidemiological reasons). This limits the scaling of AQ monitors
- Gap in local (community) scalable air quality monitoring to support a variety of use cases, including
  - Increasing public awareness of AQ
  - Informing environment and public policy; real time testing of policy impacts
  - Environmental justice work
  - Supplementing regulatory grade sensing with com sensors
  - Public health research
  - Construction site emissions monitoring
  - Rapid or emergency AQ monitoring

#### Implementation Considerations:

- Facilitate research in low-cost sensing technologies for criterial pollutants, such optical particle scanning for particulate matter and MOx elements for gases, as well as detection of emerging pollutants of concern.
- Facility the use of space at federal infrastructure (e.g., post office buildings) and federal assets (e.g., post office delivery vehicles) for locating academic and private sector air quality monitors.
- Facilitate and support research and a program in correlating regulatory grade data with low cost AQ data
  - Push state/city to
- Facilitate the expansion of wireless connectivity to support remote monitoring and sensing in areas not serviced by traditional connectivity (TV white space, satellite, etc.)

#### Potential implementation barriers:

- Different federal agencies (e.g., EPA, BLM, US Forestry Service) have adopted IoT monitors and different ways and can have different protocols for interpreting the same raw data. Consistent standards for interpreting IoT monitoring data will be needed
- Federal policies take time to be implemented at a state and local level. Funding must accompany IT device related policy statements.

**Possible participating agencies:**

- The EPA; DoC, FCC, NIST.

**Recommendation 2: The federal government should consider establishing data repositories for privately collected data**

**Description:**

The growth in IoT devices portends a rapid deployment of devices. These devices have the potential to provide a strong public good, however without transparency privacy and data ownership issues may arise. Additionally, the use of different technologies and methodologies across different platforms may result in conflicting measurements, fostering misinterpretation and reducing public confidence in the monitoring process.

**Justification:**

- Federal data repositories provide transparency and the opportunity to community research to conduct analysis on the data far beyond the capabilities of a single federal agency

**Implementation Considerations:**

- Consider DOE EIA sharing of power plant data as a possible implementation template

**Potential implementation barriers:**

- Data should be directly from devices to minimize any differences in post processing

**Possible participating agencies:**

- The EPA, DOE, DoC, NIST

**Recommendation 3: The federal government should consider establishing stockpile reserves of IoT monitoring equipment for quick short-terms deployment during emergency and catastrophic event scenarios**

**Description:**

IoT devices are being developed for mid to long term monitoring of various environmental conditions, but the low barriers to cost and deployment create new opportunities to use IoT monitors for assessing environmental conditions after emergency events, such as after fires, floods, industrial accidents.

**Justification:**

- IoT device deployment can help quickly assess safety concerns through quick deployment with relatively minimal time and effort

**Implementation Considerations:**

- Consider sharing stockpile across agencies
- IoT devices should be updatable during storage for quick deployment

**Potential implementation barriers:**

- Purchase, storage, and use represent new agency costs

**Possible participating agencies:**

- The EPA, DHS, FEMA

**Recommendation 3: Implement a Nationwide IoT-based Water Monitoring Infrastructure**

**Description:**

- Develop a comprehensive, nationwide water monitoring infrastructure that leverages IoT technology for real-time, accurate, and cost-effective water quality and quantity data collection. This infrastructure should support data-driven decision-making, address the challenges of water scarcity, contamination, and climate change, and integrate with existing NOAA water models for enhanced forecasting and management capabilities.

**Justification:**

- Current water monitoring systems are often fragmented, inefficient, and insufficient to address the growing challenges of water management.
- IoT technology enables real-time, remote, and continuous data collection, allowing for proactive responses to water-related issues.
- Integration with NOAA water models can enhance forecasting and management capabilities, leading to more effective water resource planning and allocation.
- Efficient water management is crucial for consumption, agriculture, and industry, ultimately contributing to environmental and economic sustainability.

**Implementation Considerations:**

- Develop a standardized, nationwide framework for water monitoring, including protocols for data collection, transmission, storage, and analysis.
- Encourage the adoption of open data standards and APIs to ensure interoperability among different IoT devices, platforms, and NOAA water models.
- Allocate resources for research and development of advanced IoT sensors, data analytics tools, and communication networks that can seamlessly integrate with NOAA's existing water modeling systems.
- Support pilot projects that demonstrate the potential of IoT in water monitoring and management, as well as the successful integration with NOAA water models, and scale up successful models through federal and state programs, grants, and incentives.

**Potential Implementation Barriers:**

- Diverse geographical, environmental, and regulatory factors may present challenges in the implementation of a nationwide water monitoring infrastructure.
- Ensuring data privacy and security in IoT-based systems may require significant investments in cybersecurity measures.
- Achieving widespread adoption and integration of IoT-based water monitoring systems with NOAA water models may be met with resistance from stakeholders who are accustomed to traditional monitoring methods.

**Possible Participating Agencies:**

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), United States Geological Survey (USGS), Department of Agriculture (USDA), Department of Energy (DOE), and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

**Recommendation 4: Utilize IoT Technologies to Estimate and Mitigate Carbon Emissions in Farms**

**Description:**

**Promote the adoption of IoT-based solutions in the agricultural sector to accurately estimate and manage carbon emissions in farms. By leveraging IoT technologies in conjunction with other methods, farmers can monitor greenhouse gas emissions, implement effective mitigation strategies, and contribute to national and global efforts to reduce carbon emissions.**

**Justification:**

- Agriculture is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, including carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide, which contribute to climate change.
- Accurate monitoring and estimation of carbon emissions in farms can help identify emission hotspots and develop targeted mitigation strategies.

- IoT technologies enable real-time, remote, and continuous data collection, allowing farmers to manage emissions more effectively and sustainably.
- Combining IoT-based monitoring with other estimation methods can improve the accuracy and reliability of emissions data.

#### **Implementation Considerations:**

- Develop a standardized framework for the integration of IoT technologies in agricultural carbon emissions monitoring, including protocols for data collection, transmission, storage, and analysis.
- Encourage research and development of advanced IoT sensors and data analytics tools specifically designed for estimating greenhouse gas emissions in farms.
- Support pilot projects that demonstrate the potential of IoT in estimating and mitigating carbon emissions in the agricultural sector, and scale up successful models through federal and state programs, grants, and incentives.
- Provide training and technical assistance to farmers and other stakeholders in the implementation and maintenance of IoT-based carbon emissions monitoring systems.
- Facilitate collaboration and data sharing among farmers, researchers, and policymakers to promote informed decision-making and the development of best practices for emissions reduction.

#### **Potential Implementation Barriers:**

- The diverse nature of agricultural practices and environmental conditions may present challenges in the development and implementation of standardized IoT-based solutions for carbon emissions monitoring.
- Achieving widespread adoption of IoT technologies in the agricultural sector may be met with resistance from stakeholders who are accustomed to traditional monitoring methods.
- Ensuring data privacy and security in IoT-based systems may require significant investments in cybersecurity measures.

#### **Possible Participating Agencies:**

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Department of Energy (DOE), and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).