

OSAC 2022-S-0030 Standard for Methodology in Bloodstain Pattern Analysis

*Bloodstain Pattern Analysis
Physics / Pattern Interpretation Scientific Area Committee
Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science*



Draft OSAC Proposed Standard

OSAC 2022-S-0030 Standard for Methodology in Bloodstain Pattern Analysis

Prepared by
Bloodstain Pattern Analysis
Version: 1.0 - OSAC Open Comment
March 2022

Disclaimer:

This OSAC Proposed Standard was written by the Bloodstain Pattern Analysis Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science following a process that includes an [open comment period](#). This Proposed Standard will be submitted to a standards developing organization and is subject to change.

There may be references in an OSAC Proposed Standard to other publications under development by OSAC. The information in the Proposed Standard, and underlying concepts and methodologies, may be used by the forensic-science community before the completion of such companion publications.

Any identification of commercial equipment, instruments, or materials in the Proposed Standard is not a recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Government and does not imply that the equipment, instruments, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

To be placed on the OSAC Registry, certain types of standards first must be reviewed by a Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP). The STRP process is vital to OSAC's mission of generating and recognizing scientifically sound standards for producing and interpreting forensic science results. The STRP shall provide critical and knowledgeable reviews of draft standards or of proposed revisions of standards previously published by standards developing organizations (SDOs) to ensure that the published methods that practitioners employ are scientifically valid, and the resulting claims are trustworthy.

The STRP panel will consist of an independent and diverse panel, including subject matter experts, human factors scientists, quality assurance personnel, and legal experts, which will be tasked with evaluating the proposed standard based on a comprehensive list of science-based criteria.



For more information about this important process, please visit our website
at: <https://www.nist.gov/topics/organization-scientific-area-committees-forensic-science/scientific-technical-review-panels>.

DRAFT

1 **1. Introduction**

2 In this document, the following verbal forms are used: “*shall*” indicates a requirement, “*should*”
3 indicates a recommendation; “*may*” indicates permission; and “*can*” indicates a possibility or
4 capability.

5 **2. Scope**

6 2.1 This document covers the process of examining bloodstains and bloodstain patterns
7 to determine the significance of their presence or absence, what potential forces or
8 mechanisms could result in those bloodstains or bloodstain patterns, and the significance
9 of those determinations in regard to the scope or reason for the examination.

10 2.2 This document will address when to classify but not how to classify.

11 2.3 This document will not address documentation, preservation, or collection at the
12 scene or on items of evidence.

13 **3. Normative References**

14 There are no normative reference documents. Annex A, Bibliography, contains informative
15 references.

16 **4. Terms and Definitions**

17 For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

18 4.1 Analyst: An individual trained and competent to carry out the step described

19 4.2 Assumptions: Something supposed as true, without adequate supporting evidence or
20 certain knowledge.

21 4.3 Cognitive Bias: A set of influences that may affect the reliability and validity of
22 one’s observations and conclusions.

23 4.4 Event Segment: Time snapshots depicted by moments of activity.

24 4.5 Examination: The act or process of observing, searching, detecting, recording,
25 prioritizing, collecting, analyzing, measuring, comparing, and/or interpreting.

26 4.6 Interpretation: Explanations for the observations, data, and calculations.

27 4.7 Mechanism: The action or event process resulting in a bloodstain or bloodstain
28 pattern.

29 4.8 Observed Data: Any demonstrable information observed within a bloodstain or
30 bloodstain pattern that an examiner relies upon to reach a decision, interpretation, or
31 opinion.

32 4.9 Opinion: View, judgement, or belief that takes into consideration other information
33 in addition to observations, data, calculations, and interpretations.

34 4.10 Post-incident Artifact: Any alteration to a bloodstain or bloodstain pattern resulting
35 from the actions of first responders, medical personnel, or an individual occurring after
36 the creation of that bloodstain or bloodstain pattern.

37 4.11 Task-irrelevant information: Information that is not necessary for drawing
38 conclusions about the propositions in question, or if it assists only in drawing conclusions
39 from something other than the physical evidence designated for testing or assists only in
40 drawing conclusions by some means other than an appropriate analytic method.

41 4.12 Task-relevant information: Information that is necessary for drawing conclusions
42 about the propositions in questions, from the physical evidence that has been designated
43 for examination, or through the correct application of an accepted analytic method by a
44 competent analyst.

45 4.13 Utility: The usefulness of a bloodstain or bloodstain pattern for a further step in the
46 analysis.

47 **5. Requirements**

48 Prior to the start of analysis, the purpose and scope of the investigation and questions to be
49 answered should be defined, as well as the information available to be utilized. List all these
50 source materials. If a customer has made a request for analysis, this shall be included in the case
51 documentation.

52 Bloodstain pattern analysts will be exposed to various sources and types of information
53 throughout their analysis which may be task-relevant or task-irrelevant.¹ When considering how
54 this information can influence their decision-making, they must consider and document the
55 potential for cognitive bias. Where possible, this methodology follows linear sequential
56 unmasking – expanded.² The analyst shall access information in the following order prescribed
57 by the methodology. If information is accessed out of order, it shall be documented.

58 If the request is to evaluate a written statement, the bloodstain pattern analysis shall be
59 completed prior to reading the statement. Once complete, the opinions rendered can be compared
60 to support or refute the statements made.

¹ National Commission on Forensic Science, *Ensuring the forensic analysis is based upon task-relevant information*. It is available at <https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/page/file/641676/download>

² Dror, Itiel E, and Jeff Kukucka. "Linear Sequential Unmasking-Expanded (LSU-E): A general approach for improving decision making as well as minimizing noise and bias." *Forensic science international. Synergy* vol. 3 100161. 13 Aug. 2021. It is available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FSISYN.2021.100161>.

61 A request for analysis may be undefined, where much is unknown. In these cases, the analyst
62 shall render opinions based upon the quality and quantity of the information available for
63 analysis.

64 Some inquiries may not be answerable through bloodstain pattern analysis.

65 5.1 Observation and Data Collection:

66 The analyst shall utilize imaging (e.g., photographs, 3D-laser scanning) and notes to
67 document observations of bloodstained items, areas, and voids to characterize stain and
68 pattern size, shape, distribution, appearance, and location. Sketches or diagrams may also
69 be utilized to aid documentation. It is understood that imaging may be provided to the
70 analyst or produced by the analyst.

71 5.1.1 Locate all areas where blood is present.

72 5.1.2 Locate all areas where blood is notably absent.

73 5.1.3 Characterize stain and pattern sizes.

74 5.1.3.1 If measuring individual stains, measure in millimeters.

75 5.1.3.2 For spatter stains, sizes of stains refer to the widths.

76 5.1.3.3 For non-spatter stains, sizes refer to overall dimensions.

77 5.1.3.4. Measurements for the overall dimensions of the stains grouped
78 into patterns may also be documented.

79 5.1.4 Characterize stain and pattern shapes.

80 5.1.4.1 Circular

81 5.1.4.2 Elliptical

82 5.1.4.3 Irregular

83 5.1.4.4 Linear or curvilinear

84 5.1.4.5 Edge characteristics, to include observable characteristics such as
85 clearly defined sharp edges, spines, feathering, etc.

86 5.1.5 Characterize stain distribution

87 5.1.5.1 Stain arrangement: radiating, linear, curvilinear

88 5.1.5.2 Size distribution within a pattern

89 5.1.5.3 Angle of impact distribution (directionality)

- 90 5.1.5.4 If stains within a pattern converge to an area
- 91 5.1.5.5 2D or 3D deposition - e.g., located on the top surface only or
- 92 penetrating the target substrate
- 93 5.1.6 Characterize stain and pattern appearance in terms of:
- 94 5.1.6.1 Coloration, dilution, thickness
- 95 5.1.6.2 Degree of wet, dry, flaking
- 96 5.1.6.3 Clotted
- 97 5.1.6.4. Altered
- 98 5.1.6.5 Flow, gravity effects
- 99 5.1.6.6 Impression patterns: Friction ridge detail, footwear
- 100 5.1.6.7 Vacuoles and mucous strands
- 101 5.1.6.8 Repeating pattern of the same characteristics
- 102 5.1.7 Characterize the surface the stains are present on.
- 103 5.1.7.1 Fixed versus moveable objects
- 104 5.1.7.2 Porous, non-porous
- 105 • Construction and materials of fabrics and textiles
- 106 5.1.7.3 Smooth, irregular, textured, treated, flexible, etc.
- 107 5.1.7.4 Curved, flat, angled
- 108 5.1.8 Document other observable material e.g., tissue, hair, bullet holes
- 109 5.2 Designate areas of blood into groupings of stains/patterns.
- 110 5.2.1. Organize patterns by stain size, shape, distribution, appearance, and
- 111 location.
- 112 5.2.2 Not all bloodstains can be organized into patterns because their observable
- 113 characteristics do not provide enough information to proceed.
- 114 5.2.3 Determine the utility of bloodstains or bloodstain patterns. A utility
- 115 determination can be decided based on a multitude of reasons.
- 116 5.2.3.1 The observed characteristics of the bloodstains and bloodstain
- 117 patterns may have ambiguous information that can be attributed to

118 multiple pattern types and the determination is made that a meaningful or
119 helpful interpretation is not possible based on the scope of the request.

120 5.2.3.2 The lack of quantity of stains present may not allow the analyst to
121 definitively exclude any mechanism or make any meaningful
122 interpretation.

123 5.2.3.3 The bloodstains or bloodstain patterns may exhibit
124 characteristics of alteration to an extent that the original deposition cannot
125 be reliably interpreted.

126 5.2.3.4 The bloodstains or bloodstain patterns may be deposited on a
127 surface that would result in unreliable characteristics leading to unreliable
128 interpretations due to the interaction that the blood and target surface
129 would have.

130 5.2.3.5 The bloodstains or bloodstain patterns appear to be overlapping in
131 such a way that it cannot be reliably determined which stains are
132 associated and which stains are not associated.

133 5.2.4 The analyst should be able to justify why a stain/pattern has not been
134 classified. The value and potential significance of these stains/patterns will be
135 assessed based upon the scope and purpose of the investigation.

136 5.3 Classification of Patterns from Observable Characteristics

137 Classification of bloodstains and bloodstain patterns is the process that utilizes
138 observable characteristics to include those mechanisms that cannot be excluded,
139 resulting in an interpretation. At this step/stage the analyst shall only use observable
140 stain(s) and pattern characteristics to make their initial interpretation(s).

141 5.3.1 The analyst should consider potential mechanisms or means of
142 deposition that can be excluded. The analyst shall then note all pattern types that
143 will be given further consideration during the refinement process. Pattern types
144 can be assigned based on mechanism or force and can be as definitive as using
145 the ASB BPA terminology or generally describing a mechanism or force (e.g.
146 Passive, gravity, airborne, or transfer)

147 5.3.2 When applicable, the analyst should determine which collected samples
148 will be submitted for additional forensic analysis (e.g., amylase testing). Forensic
149 analysis may assist in refinement of the pattern classification and the logical
150 exclusion of mechanisms.

151 5.3.3 Where applicable, the analyst may utilize methods to apply to pattern areas
152 to aid in determining flight path characteristics, area of origin, area of
153 convergence, etc.

154 5.4 Refinement of Interpretations applying Task-Relevant Contextual Information

155 Refinement is the logical exclusion of pattern types included in the interpretations above
156 with the addition of forensic biology/DNA, and medical/pathology reports. This
157 refinement is only relevant when assessing whether to exclude impact, expiration,
158 forward and backspatter, or projected patterns. Examples of refinement which can be
159 made based on review of this task-relevant contextual information:

- 160 ● If there is no pathological evidence of a gunshot injury, backspatter and
161 forward spatter may be excluded as possible pattern types.
- 162 ● There is no evidence of blood in the airway from medical reports and/or
163 alpha-amylase testing is negative, expiration may be excluded as a
164 possible pattern type.

165 5.4.1 The analyst shall only consider information relevant to source and/or
166 blood-letting injuries necessary for pattern interpretation refinement. The analyst
167 shall document and apply this information to eliminate pattern types not
168 supported by blood-letting injuries.

169 5.4.2 Considering the results of the forensic analysis, the analyst should refine
170 the previously documented list of potential mechanisms or means of deposition
171 and further exclude any pattern types that are no longer logical given the results.

172 5.4.3 If the reliability of any piece of this contextual information is questioned,
173 the analyst shall return to the interpretations prior to refinement.

174 5.4.4 An analyst shall not include a pattern type if it is not supported by the
175 observed data regardless of contextual information.

176 5.5 Event Reconstruction

177 Event reconstruction in bloodstain pattern analysis is the process of incorporating and
178 assimilating all observed data and interpretations to begin to determine the possible scene
179 events that created the pattern(s)/stain(s). All outcomes at this step are termed to be
180 *interpretations*. The interpretations may be generic (e.g. an impact occurred in this
181 location or a transfer pattern is located on the floor) or specific to the case (e.g. a gunshot
182 wound was created in this location or a bloody footwear impression is located on the
183 floor). Reconstruction of events are first addressed in finite periods of time or event
184 segments³ where specific patterns may be associated with specific events. It is also
185 possible for these event segments to be sequenced relative to one another as part of the
186 event reconstruction. It is understood that not every event segment can be determined
187 and therefore, it is not expected that every stain or pattern can be or needs to be
188 interpreted.
189

³ Gardner, R., 2018. *Practical crime scene processing and investigation*. Third edition. CRC Press.

190 Each interpretation involves the generation of a hypothesis and testing the hypothesis by
191 considering other possibilities and either eliminating them or refining the original
192 hypothesis to include them.

193 The analyst may apply case-specific, task-relevant information to inform their bloodstain
194 pattern interpretations. The analyst shall document when the contextual information was
195 accessed.

196 Examples of contextual information include

- 197 ● First responder actions
- 198 ● Investigative reports
- 199 ● Other relevant forensic reports (e.g., footwear comparison, patent latent
200 print comparison)

201 5.5.1 Where applicable and possible, the analyst shall make interpretations in
202 regard to the following with the understanding that not every stain or pattern
203 needs to be interpreted as stated above:

204 5.5.1.1 Interrelationship(s) of stains(s) and pattern(s) based on their
205 locations. (e.g., satellite patterns adjacent to projected patterns or impact
206 patterns on adjacent walls in a corner of a room). This interrelationship
207 can be based on the physical proximity of two or more patterns,
208 recognized repeating patterns throughout the scene, or potential
209 correlating mechanisms of patterns.

210 5.5.1.2 Directionality of stains and patterns based upon their shapes and
211 characteristics (e.g., within swipe and wipe patterns)

212 5.5.1.3 Potential sources of blood that contributed to stains or patterns
213 such as wounds, pools, and objects containing blood which may be
214 responsible for stains and patterns. Where possible, correlate potential
215 blood sources to stains and patterns with potential location of sources
216 during the mechanistic event (e.g., incorporating area of origin
217 calculations to wound locations). Where applicable, source associations
218 shall incorporate results from available DNA reports to further aid in
219 determining sources.

220 5.5.1.4 Sequencing the order of deposition of stains and patterns

221 5.5.1.5 Voids and how they may have been created (e.g., limiting angles)

222 5.5.1.6 Repositioned objects/movement using voids or flow patterns

223 5.5.1.7 Identifying patterns that could potentially be pre- or post-incident
224 artifacts (e.g., Insect stains, stains that appear to be very old, or medical
225 personnel attempts to aid the victim)

226 5.5.1.8 Potential influence of environmental factors on blood deposition
227 and patterns (e.g., temperature, humidity, or altered stains)

228 5.5.1.9 Indications of time since deposition (e.g., perimeter stains,
229 coloration, or serum separation)

230 5.5.2 Concurrent with 5.5.1, the analyst shall determine and document all
231 assumptions made and limitations that may have influenced interpretation.

232 Examples:

- 233 ● Only one source of blood at the crime scene.
- 234 ● Only Person X has injuries consistent with a projected blood source.
- 235 ● Analysis was performed completely from photographic documentation
236 without attending the crime scene.
- 237 ● The deposition of all bloodstains occurred contemporaneous to the
238 bloodletting event(s) in question.
- 239 ● Possible scene alteration is present from medical intervention.
- 240 ● Clean-up activities occurred.
- 241 ● Analysis was performed from photographs only and was limited to the
242 documentation provided.

243 5.6. Final Opinion (Case Conclusion in Report writing doc)

244 Assigning significance to interpretations

245 5.6.1 Upon completing and reporting all interpretations, the analyst, where
246 applicable, should render a final opinion regarding the initial request or
247 investigative questions. This opinion shall not use any unsubstantiated data (see
248 Report Writing doc).

249 5.6.2 In cases where the request for bloodstain pattern analysis includes a specific
250 question (e.g., homicide or suicide) or statement analysis (e.g., the suspect
251 provides a statement on what happened, and bloodstain pattern analysis is used to
252 support or refute such statements) the analyst must evaluate all proposed
253 possibilities and document and report support for and support against each one.
254 In some cases, the analyst may determine and propose that another possibility has
255 more support based on the evidence and interpretations. In such cases, the
256 analysis must document and report the basis of the proposed possibility.

257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265

266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281

Annex A
(informative)

Bibliography

- 1] Dror, Itiel E, and Jeff Kukucka. “Linear Sequential Unmasking-Expanded (LSU-E): A general approach for improving decision making as well as minimizing noise and bias.” *Forensic science international. Synergy* vol. 3 100161. 13 Aug. 2021. It is available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FSISYN.2021.100161>.
- 2] Gardner, R., 2018. *Practical crime scene processing and investigation*. Third edition. CRC Press.
- 3] National Commission on Forensic Science, *Ensuring the forensic analysis is based upon task-relevant information*. It is available at <https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/page/file/641676/download>

DRAFT