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FACA and the Advisory Board

• The MEP Advisory Board is authorized under Section 3003(d) of the America COMPETES Act (Pub. L. 110–69), as amended by the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act, Public Law 114–329 sec. 501 (2017), and codified at 15 U.S.C. 278k(m), in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C., App.

• The MEP Advisory Board is authorized under Section 3003(d) of the America COMPETES Act (Pub. L. 110–69), as amended by the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act, Public Law 114–329 sec. 501 (2017), and codified at 15 U.S.C. 278k(m), in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C., App.
Welcome from Board Chair

- Matthew Newman
- MEP Advisory Board, Chair
MAB Meeting Details

• **Tuesday, Sept. 22, 2020**
• Board Meeting Opening/Logistics
• Welcome and Introductions
• Opening Remarks
• Welcome from NIST Leadership
• Board and Audience Introductions
• NIST MEP Senior Management Update
• Presentation: National and State Economic Challenges and Opportunities, Data Trends
• Board Feedback and Discussion
• Discussion: Exploring Future Themes – NIST MEP Competitive Award Program’s Notice of Funding Opportunity
• Board Feedback and Discussion
• Working Group Update: Supply Chain Development
• Board Feedback and Discussion
• Wrap Up/Public Comments
Welcome and Introductions

- Dr. Walt Copan; NIST, Director
- Mojdeh Bahar; NIST, Associate Director
- Carroll Thomas; NIST MEP, Director
- NIST and NIST MEP Leadership, MEP Advisory Board
NIST MEP Senior Management Update

• MEP Program Budget Outlook / Spend Plan
• MEP National Network Update
• NIST MEP Operational Update
• MEP National Network Strategic Plan Update
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appropriation Status</strong></td>
<td><strong>Appropriation Status</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Base funding: $146 million  
  • $6 million increase over FY 2019.  
  • No cost share requirement.  
  **CARES Act**  
  • MEP received $50 million to provide funding to all MEP Centers to assist manufacturers. | House mark is $153 million.  
  **Awaiting Senate mark** and subsequent Congressional Conference Committee report.  
  Or a **Continuing Resolution** so as not to have a lapse in appropriations. |
## NIST MEP FY 2020 Projected Spend Plan

### Available Funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>$ Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Year Appropriation</td>
<td>146.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryover from FY 2019</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding from Other Agencies*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARES Act Supplemental Funding</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Available Funding</strong></td>
<td><strong>$201.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Planned Expenditures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>$ Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center Renewals</td>
<td>111.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Supplemental Funding (CARES Act)</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Competitions</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST MEP Labor</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST and Program Overhead</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Planned Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$201.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated FY 2020 Efficiency Rate = 8.27%, actual FY 2019 Efficiency Rate = 10.74%.

* Funding from DoD for Contracts and Project Support
Legislative Update

• The $50 million appropriated in the CARES Act for MEP Centers was sent out in record time. While another stimulus bill is being talked about, no agreements have been reached as yet.

• The National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY 2021 currently is in conference and could pass with two important amendments impacting the MEP National Network (MEPNN):
  1. Allows the DoD to provide funds to MEP Centers for cybersecurity assistance of SMMs.
  2. Would establish a database housed at NIST MEP:
     • Connect MEP Centers to minimize vulnerability to supply chain disruptions;
     • Enhance the ability of U.S. manufacturers to communicate and coordinate;
     • And ensure that the U.S. responds quickly and effectively during national disasters, including retooling for such items as PPE.
CARES Act Update

• All 51 awards were made by June 30.
• 85 days from the posting of the RFA!
• MEP Centers executing awards to provide critical assistance to manufacturers.
• First quarterly reports will be received at the end of October.
Competitive Award Funding Update

- **Advanced Manufacturing Technology Services** – Deploys advanced manufacturing technology services, emphasizing technology-based needs of small U.S. manufacturers.

- In June we reported 4 projects had been awarded.

- In early July, a 5th award was made:
  - NJ MEP - I 4.0 technical assistance to supply chains for biopharmaceuticals and biofabrication, targeting the supply chains associated with focus areas of the NIIMBL and BioFab Mfg USA Institutes.

**(Rolling) Competitive Award Program (CAP): Awards Issued to Date**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TN</th>
<th>Establish a MEPNN Advanced Technology Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>MEP Capabilities Expansion-MedMMAP Program Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>America Works: Coordinating MEPNN Workforce Development Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Manufacturing Skills for Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Digital Maturity for SMMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Industry Integration within a Baldrige Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>CMMC Training Course Development and Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>I 4.0 Regional Development and Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Enhancement of the MEPNN Cybersecurity Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Enhancement of the MEPNN Cybersecurity Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Enhancement of the MEPNN Cybersecurity Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Manufacturing Readiness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEP National Network Center Leadership Team (CLT)

• MEP National Network
  • Buckley Brinkman (WI)
  • Tom Bugnitz (CO)
  • Mike Coast (MI)
  • Bonnie Del Conte (CT)
  • Bill Donohue (VA)
  • Ethan Karp (OH)
  • Kathie Mahoney (MA)
  • Chuck Spangler (SC)
  • Jim Watson (CA)
  • Carrie Hines (ASMC/FORME)
  • Mark Schmit (NIST MEP)
  • Carroll Thomas (NIST MEP)
Regional Communications Nodes

Background
Need to create more efficient and effective ways of connecting Centers around the country to build relationships, provide support, exchange ideas and information, catalyze learning and improve communications. Better connections form the basis for a faster and more flexible National Network.

Objectives
• Establish one-to-one connections between Center Directors and a Center Director on the National Network CLT;
• Create Regional National Network Nodes (see map) to facilitate regular group conversations and create learning opportunities;
• Build closer and deeper relationships between and among Centers.
MEP National Network Center Leadership Team (CLT)

• Continuing to convene virtually to operationalize communication and collaboration “norms.”

• Working on Network structure and governance.

• Regional communication nodes created and currently being implemented.

• During the past few months Centers looking inward out of necessity...simultaneously... the urgency of times exacerbated the imperative to communicate more among the Network.
MEP National Network Center Leadership Team (CLT)

- Information about CLT priorities, business issues and strategy is quickly disseminated throughout the National Network.

- As each CLT member works with their Regional National Network Node, they bring information back to the CLT, keeping everyone in touch with all of the Centers and abreast of what is happening across the Network.

- The structure improves access directly to CLT members and ensures that everyone in the National Network has a voice.

- All regions have met and several have met multiple times.
NIST MEP: National Network Support

MEPNN Food Industry Services / Food Safety

  - 4,087 Center projects.
  - 2,649 food manufacturing clients addressing many technical assistance areas, including food safety.
- MEPNN Working Group facilitated by NIST MEP.
  - 51 MEP Centers participate.
- MEPNN staff w/food safety credentials.
  - Approximately two dozen Preventive Controls Qualified Individuals.
  - More than one dozen Lead Instructors.
- Strong ongoing MEPNN partnership with FDA relating to food safety.
NIST MEP: National Network Support

MEP-Assisted Technology and Technical Resource (MATTR)

• Connecting MEPNN, MEP Center clients w/NIST lab expertise, resources.
  • 70 MATTR requests submitted by 22 Centers; 6 NIST labs/offices engaged in these requests.
  • 23 offers of assistance to MEPNN made by 5 NIST labs in 2020.
  • NIST lab staff providing webcasts to MEPNN addressing various technical topics.
• 15 MEP Centers participating in MEPNN MATTR Working Group.
• NIST MEP facilitating CRADAs between MEP Center clients and NIST labs; also compensating NIST labs, as warranted by MATTR opportunities.
NIST MEP: National Network Support

Advanced Manufacturing Industry 4.0 for End-to-End Cyber-Physical Connectivity

Activities Across the Network

• Adv. Mfg. Tech Services: funding awards made in May and July for multi-Center projects led by Centers in CA, IN, MI, NJ, TX to accelerate MEP Center Industry 4.0 (I 4.0) service delivery; additional projects among recent CAP awards.

• CAP project led by OMEP, involving multiple Centers, sharing useful foundational I 4.0 info across MEPNN; also working closely w/CLT; concluding in Dec. 2020.

• MEPNN I 4.0 Working Group sharing best practices and highlighting Center work; facilitated by NIST MEP; dozens of Centers participating; also introducing emerging topics to Centers.

• MEP Centers utilizing user/demonstration facilities and continuing to collaborate with Manufacturing USA Institutes.
NIST MEP: National Network Support
Cybersecurity Practice Developing Across the Network

- MEPNN Cyber Working Group sharing best practices among all 51 Centers.
- Cyber for Def. Mfg Special Award from NIST MEP to MMTC (MI MEP Center) providing awareness, assistance for >1,000 defense contractors; participation from >30 MEP Centers, 2 NIST labs; health crisis tasking delays ongoing.
- NIST MEP issuing program guidance to MEP Centers relating to DoD CMMC.

~228
Awareness/training events

~1,000
Projects conducted since 2015

>3,400
SMMs served

~95,000
NIST Handbook 162 downloads since 2017 publication

~185,000
NISTIR 7621 downloads since 2016 publication
NIST MEP: National Network Support Center Reviews: 2020

Annual Reviews
• All 51 Centers.

Panel Reviews
• Third and eighth-year.
• Currently processing three eighth-year reviews.

Secretarial Reviews
• One time at the fifth-year mark.
• Currently processing reviews of eight Centers.
NIST MEP and MEP Centers: National Network Collaboration

NIST MEP and the MEPNN are hosting roundtable-style conversations with manufacturing executives from across the country.

• This series began on July 30 with six panelists and over 70 observers. Ten sessions have been scheduled.

• Discussions focus on the current state of manufacturing during these unprecedented economic times, predictions for the future state of U.S. manufacturing and recommendations for the MEPNN.

• The program will continue through early October.

• Results will be aggregated and reported.
Strategic Plan Update

• Empower Manufacturers
• Champion Manufacturers
• Leverage Partnerships
• Transform the Network
18 Month Measures of Success

9/2019-3/2021 Progress to Date

1. **Consensus Within Integrated National Network**
   - Reach Network consensus on definition of Project and CME (client manufacturing establishment) interaction.

2. **Center and Program Office Operational Excellence**
   - Operationally improve reporting via measurement of on-time and accurate reporting.

3. **Increased Visibility**
   - Amplify and measure Network brand awareness by at least 10%.

4. **Increase Projects and New Clients**
   - Increase reported projects by 10% and reported new clients by 5%.
18 Month Measures of Success Progress to Date

Measure 1: Consensus Within Integrated National Network

Define:
- Client/project.
- Client manufacturing establishment interaction.
- Working Group of Center Directors established.

*Center Working Group is identified and is on hold. Will reconvene in the fall of 2020.*

Measure 2: Center and Program Office Operational Excellence

Four Elements Measured:
- Progress plan.
- Progress data.
- Success story.
- Survey confirmation.

Baseline (Q2 2019):
- 40 Centers reported on time with the first three elements.
- 24 Centers reported on time across all four elements.

Progress to Date (Q2 2020):
- 45 centers reported on time with first three elements.
- 23 Centers reported on time across all four elements.
18 Month Measures of Success

Measure 3: Increased Visibility by Amplifying Network Brand Awareness by at Least 10%.

*Progress to date for April 1, 2020 – June 30, 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Measure</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Progress To Date*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backlinks</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand Mentions</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing Innovation Blog Subscribers</td>
<td>27,412</td>
<td>24,920</td>
<td>32,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media Followers</td>
<td>17,864</td>
<td>16,240</td>
<td>16,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#MEPNationalNetwork Hashtag Occurrences</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18 Month Measures of Success
Progress to Date

Measure 4: Increase Projects and New Clients

- Combined clients Q1 and Q2.
  - In July MEP Centers surveyed a combination of clients from the postponed Q1 survey with those up for survey in Q2.
  - Outstanding response given we doubled the number of clients surveyed which increased Center workload.

- Survey changes through 2021.
  - Survey response rate will be removed from the ten metrics used to measure Center performance until late 2021.
  - NIST MEP will give more weight to the two measures of market penetration in evaluating Center performance.
  - This is done to accommodate the expected increase in clients served from the CARES Act funding.

FY20 Surveys & Responses

> 5,200 clients surveyed (combined Q1 and Q2)
77% final survey response rate for the MEPNN
NIST MEP Operational Update
NIST Campus Locations Status

• All NIST staff including NIST MEP staff were on mandatory telework from mid-March until early July, and now on maximum telework.

• NIST phased re-opening emphasizes employee safety and focuses on laboratory staff having access to labs.

• NIST staff remain on maximum telework; 90% of all staff for an extended period returning in later phases.

• NIST MEP Move Back In Committee has met twice and 301 office construction has resumed.
NIST MEP Org Chart FY20
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National and State Economic Challenges and Opportunities, Data Trends

Dan Berglund
SSTI
Executive Director
Overview

• Uncertain economic future
• Uncertain state budget future
• Past as guide to the future
• Higher education’s particular risks
• Opportunity for MEP centers
Uncertain economic future

• V, W or K recovery?
• Unemployment by the end of 2021
  • 6.5%, per June 2020 Federal Reserve forecast
  • 5.5%, per September 2020 Federal Reserve forecast
Uncertain economic future

• What if a vaccine isn’t effective? Or distributed rapidly?
• Difficult to get clear measurements
  • Questions about unemployment measures
  • How many businesses going out of business
Systemic racism and the economy

“Systemic racism is a yoke that drags on the American economy. A commitment to an inclusive society also means a commitment to an inclusive economy.”

Raphael Bostic, president of the Atlanta Fed

Comments echoed by presidents of Dallas, San Francisco and Richmond Fed
State & local gov’t importance

“State [and] local governments amount to something like 13 percent of the labor force — they’re one of the largest employers. So it can really weigh on the economy. It can be a drag. In fact, it was after the global financial crisis and during the Great Recession for a number of years. It’s pretty well documented now: It was a drag on growth.”

Jerome Powell, chair, Federal Reserve
State budgets

• Budget shortfalls of $555B for FY20-22, Center on Budget Policy and Priorities

• Decreased tax revenue
  • Income and sales tax
  • Oil/natural gas royalties or taxes
  • Fuel taxes as result of less traffic

• Increase in Medicaid enrollment
  • More than 10% increase in KY, MN and NV
  • Represented 19.7% of state general fund spending in FY2019

• Depletion of rainy day funds
Difficulties in talking about state budgets

• Year to year comparisons out the window as tax day moved from April 15 to July 15
• Extra $600/week federal unemployment helped keep spending and sales tax from cratering
• Press coverage reports, “Revenues better than expected,” but revenue expectations were developed in April/May at bottom of trough
• Some states holding off doing updated revenue estimates
• Some states (e.g., CA, IL) built budgets assuming Congress would provide funds to states
### Budget holes by state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>FY2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>$946M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>$62M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>$11B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>$1.4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>$2.1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>$3.4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>$2.4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>$2.3B over FY20-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>2% growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>$5B; $1B more if tax measure doesn’t pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>$3-4B over biennium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>$1.6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>$1.1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>10% cuts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>$520M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>$2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>$1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>$2.4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>$113M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>$1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>$50M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>$1.2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>$500M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>$5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>$415M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>$14B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$2.1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>$1.3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>$1.2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>$5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>$800M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>$40M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>$1.5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>$670M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>$850M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>$2.7B over two years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>$55M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>$4.5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>$59M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>$2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>$1B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Higher education

• Typically a first target of state budget cuts
• Refunds from spring semester for housing costs
• Medical systems hit with revenue loss due to cancelled surgical procedures
• Extra expenses in preparing for in-person instruction
• Unclear enrollment picture as students take gap years or enroll in cheaper alternatives
Opportunities for MEP

• Pandemic exposed U.S. dependence on foreign manufacturing in starkest manner possible
• Pandemic provided opportunities for new dialogue between MEP centers and policymakers on PPE supply chain
• Both Biden and Trump campaigns have talked about importance of U.S. manufacturing
• Shift in public attitude toward manufacturing
Focus Group word association for mfg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Focus Group</th>
<th>2020 Focus Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China or overseas</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs or stability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3 (lay-offs, waning, pollution)</td>
<td>3 (obsolete, job outsourcing, monopolies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring it home</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjectives</td>
<td>4 (changing, hard work, hands on, blue collar)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contact Information

For more information, contact:

Dan Berglund
614.901.1690
berglund@ssti.org

To sign up for SSTI Weekly Digest go to:

https://ssti.org
Exploring Future Themes: NIST MEP Competitive Award Program’s Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)

Michael Wilson
NIST MEP
Strategic Competitions Group
Competition Manager
Background

• Established under statutory authority (15 U.S.C §278k-1).

• Provides MEP Centers additional resources to add capabilities to the MEP Program.

• Established in consultation with the MEP Director, the MEP Advisory Board, other Federal agencies and SMMs.

• Published Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) April 17, 2017.

• Themes for the NOFO derived from SMMs’ input.
  - New manufacturing technologies/Industry 4.0.
  - Supply chain management technologies/practices.
  - Workforce intermediary and business services.

• 29 awards made to date (FY17 through FY20).
FY 2021 CAP NOFO

• Goal – To publish a new CAP NOFO no later than the end of the first quarter of FY 2021.

• New themes.

• Input from each of you!
FY 2021 CAP NOFO Theme – Things to Consider

Things to Consider

1. Relevance of previous themes.
2. Activities supported through previous awards.
3. Challenges to manufacturers.
4. Technologies and/or business models.
FY 2021 CAP NOFO Themes – Board Input to Date

• Supply Chain Resiliency Beta Test Program
• A.I. Application to Continuous Process/Chemical Process Industry
• Onshoring/Supply Chain Efforts
• Workforce Development (capturing knowledge from people exiting the workforce; reskilling of displaced/unemployed workers)
MEP Advisory Board Working Group Updates
Supply Chain Development Working Group

- Committee Members
  - Board Leadership
    - Don Bockoven
  - Board Members
    - LaDon Byars, Mary Isbister, Matt Newman, Chris Weiser
  - NIST MEP Support
    - Rob Ivester, Dave Stieren, Mark Schmit

- Deliverable
  - Guidance and perspectives on the MEPNN support and development of manufacturing supply chains with an emphasis on defense suppliers regarding Defense Industrial Base gaps; and expertise on who should be brought into the discussion to provide insight on defense supplier gaps.
Discussion Topics for the Board

• The MEP National Network supports DoD Supply Chains in many areas, highlighted by:

• Cybersecurity awareness and assistance.

• Working in defense manufacturing supply chain technology transfer/transition and supply chain development.

• These efforts primarily focus on the MEP Strategic Plan pillar: Empowering Manufacturers across the Nation.

• NIST MEP seeks ongoing Advisory Board perspectives on these MEP National Network focus areas, approaches, challenges – and their alignment with MEP Strategic Plan.
MEP National Network Cybersecurity

MEP National Network cybersecurity assistance for small manufacturers continues to develop strong nationwide capabilities:

• Continues to be spurred by strong DoD partnerships.

• Continued MEP role with Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) requirements for defense sector.

• Emerging MEP Center role with DoD Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC) Program.

• Continued emphasis on risk management for all manufacturing industries served by MEP Centers.
MEP National Network Cybersecurity Progress Summary

Defense Contractor Cybersecurity implementation still low – but improving.

51 MEP Centers in Cybersecurity WG.

48/51 MEP Centers with Cyber Practice – all have access to cyber assistance for clients.

The MEP National Network has made significant progress ... and continues to move forward addressing important needs.
2021 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)

• Specifies DoD budget, expenditures, policies for FY 2021.
• House and Senate bills NDAA have passed; final 2021 NDAA in conference.
• Excerpt from Senate Bill:

  • “1642. ASSISTANCE FOR SMALL MANUFACTURERS IN THE DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CHAIN ON MATTERS RELATING TO CYBERSECURITY.

    a) IN GENERAL. - Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, may award financial assistance to a [n MEP] Center for the purpose of providing cybersecurity services to small manufacturers.

    c) USE OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE. - Financial assistance under this section -

      1) shall be used by a Center to provide small manufacturers with cybersecurity services relating to

       a) compliance with the [DFARS] cybersecurity requirements...; and

       b) achieving compliance with the Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification framework of the Department of Defense; ...
DoD Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC)

CMMC overview

- Future DoD acquisitions will include required certification levels for contractors; full implementation planned 2026.

- CMMC does not negate DFARS.
  - Centers continuing to provide awareness, assistance to small defense contractors, including via NIST MEP – OSD IAA, OEA partnerships.

NIST MEP providing guidance to MEP Center approach to serving CMMC needs of clients

- Centers serve as trusted advisors to clients to assist with CMMC without providing formal audit or certification functions – as with DFARS.
  - Ongoing relationship with DoD, CMMC Accreditation Body for policy alignment.
  - Ongoing NIST Lab interactions for alignment with NIST cybersecurity approaches, policies.
Additional MEP - DoD Tech Transition / Transfer, Supply Chain Development

**NavalX /Tech Bridges**
- Established in Feb 2019 as innovation acceleration systems for DoD.
- MEP Centers operating in Tech Bridge states are working with Tech Bridges to engage small U.S. manufacturers.
- NIST MEP, Office of Naval Research national relationship.

**NIST MEP – NAVSEA IAA**
- Funding MEP Centers to identify, insert leading-edge mfg. tech. into Navy shipbuilding.
- Initial efforts led by GENEDGE (VA MEP Center), working on Ford Class aircraft carrier production at Newport News Shipbuilding; discussions underway to expand.

**Defense Mfg. Communities Support Program**
- Runs through Office of Economic Adjustment; supports long-term community investments to strengthen natl. security innovation, expand defense industrial ecosystem capabilities.
- 6 consortia designated by OSD in 2020 – all 6 involve active MEP Center participation: AL, CA, CT, OH, PA, UT.
Discussion

• NIST MEP seeks ongoing Advisory Board perspectives on these MEP National Network focus areas, approaches, challenges – and their alignment with MEP Strategic Plan.
MEPNN Strategic Plan Working Group 2023-2028

• Committee Members
  • Board Leadership - Kathay Rennels
  • Board Members - Don Bockoven, Kevin Heller, Mary Isbister, Willie May, Matt Newman, Chris Weiser, Jim Wright
  • Ex-Officio Support
    Bernadine Hawes (Immediate Past-Chair)
  • NIST MEP Support
    Rob Ivester, Wiza Lequin, Cheryl Gendron

• Deliverable
  • To provide long-term, program direction, guidance and perspectives for the MEP National Network Strategic Plan for 2023-2028. The WG will consider feedback from Centers, stakeholders, partners, management and staff as the plan is developed.
MEP National Network 2017-2022 Strategic Goals

**EMPOWER MANUFACTURERS**
**Objective** - Assist U.S. manufacturers in embracing productivity-enhancing innovative manufacturing technologies, navigate advanced technology solutions and recruit and retain a skilled and diverse workforce.

**CHAMPION MANUFACTURING**
**Objective** - Actively promote the importance of a strong manufacturing base as key to a robust U.S. economy and for the protection of national security interests; create awareness of innovations in manufacturing; create workforce development partnerships to build a stronger and diverse workforce pipeline; and maximize market awareness of the MEP National Network.

**LEVERAGE PARTNERSHIPS**
**Objective** - Leverage national, regional, state and local partnerships to gain substantial increase in market penetration; identify mission-complementary advocates to help MEP become a recognized manufacturing resource brand; build an expanded service delivery model to support manufacturing technology advances.

**TRANSFORM THE NETWORK**
**Objective** - Maximize National Network knowledge and experience to operate as an integrated national network; increase efficiency and effectiveness by employing a Learning Organization platform; and create a resilient and adaptive MEP National Network to support a resilient and adaptive U.S. manufacturing base.
Where We Are Going
Strategic Plan Mapped To National Network
Discussion Topics for the Board

• Where are we?
  • Metrics used to measure progress drive behavior throughout the MEPNN.

• Where we are going.
  • The 2017-2022 Strategic Plan serves to guide our current direction, and the 2023-2028 Strategic Plan will guide us moving forward.

• The four pillars.
  • Empower Manufacturers, Champion Manufacturing, Leverage Partnerships and Transform the Network – provide the structure for the current plan.

• The plan guides primary and supporting roles and specific actions within the MEP office, within the Centers, and with key stakeholders, including the MEP Advisory Board and local Center Boards.

• For each of these, where are our opportunities to improve?
Executive Committee Working Group

• Committee Members
  • Board Leadership
    Mary Isbister
  • Board Members
    Mitch Magee, Pat Moulton, Matt Newman, George Spottswood
  • NIST MEP Support
    Carroll Thomas, Cheryl Gendron, Phill Wadsworth, Wiza Lequin

• Deliverable
  • Provide guidance on future MEP Advisory Board leadership and membership recruitment, provide insights into cultivating strong Board governance as well as explore ways to expand the MEP Advisory Board’s role in regard to the local MEP Center Boards.
Discussion Topics for the Board

NIST MEP seeks ongoing Advisory Board perspectives on these MEPNN focus areas, approaches, challenges.

• Center Board Outreach Program
• Succession Planning for Membership.
• NIST MEP staff is here to support you, our National Advisory Board; are there things we can do to better support you?
Future Meeting Schedule

• Planning is Underway

• *Details Coming Soon*

• **Early March**
  • Virtual or Gaithersburg, Maryland/Washington, D.C.

• **Middle of June**
  • Virtual or Tulsa, Oklahoma

• **Late August/Early September**
  • Virtual or Phoenix, Arizona
  • *In conjunction with the MEP National Network Update Meeting and FORME Best Practice Conference*
Thank You

For the Full Presentation, Please contact Cheryl Gendron at Cheryl.Gendron@nist.gov

VISIT OUR BLOG
https://www.nist.gov/blogs/manufacturing-innovation-blog

Get the latest NISTMEP news at:
www.nist.gov/mep