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Overview

◉ As IT credentialing has increased over 30 years, what are some trends?
  • Credential Engine an emerging repository (ANSI-ANAB, Lumina, & collaborators)
  • Accreditation (voluntary) strengthens certification programs
  • Certification used by vendors, organizations, and government (DoD, IC, etc.)

◉ Grounds for concern
  ○ Confusion over standardization & types of credentials (certificates vs certifications)
  ○ Issues around credential meaning, content, quality, validity, comparability, and relationships between credentials covering same space

◉ Initiatives / innovations to address concerns
  • NICE framework – revised with addition of competencies – is MAJOR
  • Accreditation (voluntary) as a key avenue for evaluating credential quality

◉ Solar Winds / Colonial Pipeline show why skilled cybersecurity workforce is vital**
Our Learning Goals:

- Understand credentials and accreditation (quality)
- Which credential (certificate / certification) is appropriate for CTE / K12 cybersecurity pathways leading to PS education / Careers
- Overview creation of valid / reliable assessments used for certification (NOTE: more is needed for accreditation)
- Identify elements of ISO 17024 (2012) and NCCA (2016) standard and the processes managed by accreditation bodies
- Discuss stakeholder collaborations on CTE / K12 emerging workforce
What is credentialing?

Credentialing is the process to award some verifiable indicator that a student or person has demonstrated qualification / competency in a content area (IT Career Field, Cybersecurity Pathway)
Credentials take a variety of forms. They include:

- academic degrees at multiple levels (CS; familiar to faculty)
- state licenses (mandatory for practice)
- training certificates and assessment-based certificates (ABC)
- continuing education units (CEU)
- board certifications (prominent in health sciences)
- internal (vendor-, factory-) credentials (prominent in IT/ Cyber)
- digital badges (discrete learning, & certification / recertification)

Our focus is certifications in Cybersecurity
Credentialing can “signal” info relevant to work performance:
  • Knowledge & Skills, Competencies (NICE Framework)

Provides data during transition points (HS – PS – Labor Market)

Adelman’s (2000) “parallel PS universe” emphasized IT
  • HS to PS to labor market (career-spanning)

Enables lifelong learning, supports “stacking” of credentials

Remember: credentials are NOT “created equal” and there can be significant differences in quality, meaning, and perception
Certificate vs Certification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certifications</th>
<th>Certificate Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>Focus and attention to the intended learning outcomes (ILOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Verifying education/ training &amp; experience obtained elsewhere</td>
<td>• Educating/training individuals to achieve intended learning objectives (ILOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assessing current KSA</td>
<td>• Assessing attainment of ILOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintenance: learning / competence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Elements</td>
<td>Core Elements and focus on learning outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Eligibility requirements (prerequisites)</td>
<td>• Learning event(s) MAJOR FOCUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assessment + maintenance of competence</td>
<td>• ILO attainment assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributes</td>
<td>Attributes and focus on the designated qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Can be revoked</td>
<td>• Cannot be revoked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Designation &amp; associated acronym</td>
<td>• No designation/ acronym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content Domain</td>
<td>Content Domain and focus on the curriculum and procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Based on formal job/practice analysis (occupation across settings) with verification</td>
<td>• Based on curriculum, derived from procedures that may be formal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber-Ed Strategies</td>
<td>Cyber-Ed Strategies and focus on the desired credentials and prerequisites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Orient to desirable creds &amp; prereqs</td>
<td>• Design certificates around NICE &amp; consider accreditation standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Determine preparation strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Credentialing Examinations

- Chain of content evidence from job task analysis to blueprint to item development to maintenance of the item bank (supporting > 1 “forms” of exam)
  - Scores are criterion-referenced to NOT norm-referenced

- By examination score, “passing” persons showed their knowledge / skill by meeting a practitioner-recommended cutoff (typical range: 65-80%), while “failing” did not
  - Passing & failing levels ordered in their knowledge-skill (“warrants”)

- Certification criteria: apply, meet prereqs., take / pass exam(s), accept ethics code

- Purposes: valid/ reliable assessment of “Required Competence” (blueprint)
  - Industry knowledge (IT Basics, Cyber – Penetration Tests, Incident Handling);
  - Key occupation-related skills, abilities, and relevant competencies

- Format: Knowledge (multiple choice), practical (performance), combination (multiple hurdle or composite)
Exams are purpose-built to evaluate occupational competency (fairly, validly)

- Initial framework / scheme requires scope/ purpose and content domain
- Nutshell: Job-Task Analysis consists of a panel, followed by a verification survey (“survey says”) and panel review of results
  - Panel develops task statements (grouped) to reflect WHAT is done
  - Panel develops knowledge / skill (KS) to reflect HOW tasks done
  - NICE framework with components rated (via survey) would qualify
  - (ISC)2 defines and revises Common Body of Knowledge using JTA
  - Surveys: background info, task & K-S ratings, global (Qualtrics or other)
  - Response rates, missing data, & repeated statements used to evaluate
- Analyses yield weights (# items for duties/ tasks or K-S), often crossed with cognitive rigor (recall, application, analysis / evaluation is a common system)
Credentialing Examinations

- Blueprint represents the transfer of work domain to exam development, guides item writing by establishing composition of item (question) bank to support exam forms
- Aids communication with stakeholders (employers, educators, learners) – how?
  - Employers could: compare their job analyses (NOT job descriptions) to the body of knowledge / skill in the certification, evaluate value proposition
  - (Local, State, & National) educators could: ensure that scope & sequence of educational-technical content standards align to certification BoK
  - Learners could: review candidate handbooks at certifier website to see if they qualify, how to prepare
- What could certifying bodies do to support these three stakeholder populations? Let us count the ways!!
Credentialing Examinations

- Item Writing (IW) remote or in-person (pandemic balanced), test security crucial
  - Knowledge-cognitive skill measured by MC questions
    - Rigor can be enhanced using scenarios, figures, and other “auxiliaries”
  - Practical “items” created from task(s) with skill as the psychological construct but REQUIRE a scoring guide for assessors to evaluate performance
  - Combos must balance coverage of blueprint/content outline (duplication)

- Item review occurs after item development:
  - Edits / graphics, Subject Matter Expert ratings, psychometric analysis of ratings

- ALWAYS write more items than needed to support multiple forms (equated):
  - Ratings and field test data yield stats for form selection (match blueprints)
  - Keep items in a secure database with programming (queries, meta-data tagged to item – difficulty (p), item differentiation, “exposure”, “option” functioning, etc.)
Passing point (cutoff, cut score) is very important because it is the “standard” against which exam performance is judged – judgments informed by data.
- Focus usually on minimal / borderline competence (rather than top-down)
- Balance correct decisions & decision errors (False Positive & False Negative)

Many, many methods exist (Cizek, 2012 provides a recent review)
- Angoff variations are probably most common, defensible
- Bookmark methods are increasingly used
- Extended Angoff, analytic judgment, body of work, & dominant profile

Recruit a panel (N>=10), train panelists on minimal competence and allow for practice / sharing, make TWO rounds of ratings with discussion between (sometimes additional information is provided during Round 2, analyze judgments, submit recommendations to higher authority, create technical documentation
Resources for credentialing examination development: several key sources
- Lane, Raymond, & Haladyna (2015). *Handbook of test development (2nd ed.)*

As stated earlier, certification body websites provide information for candidates, employers, educators, etc.
- (ISC)2 is at [https://www.isc2.org/](https://www.isc2.org/)

Psychometric or delivery vendors: ACS Ventures, Alpine, Pearson VUE, Prometric, PSI, & Yardstick (YAS)
Please submit any questions through the webinar functions
Growing Trend: Accreditation

Accreditation of Certification Programs (NOTE: ISO 17011 covers accreditation bodies)

- Educators (higher education) familiar with program or institution accreditation (*mandatory*)
- For certification progs, *voluntary* (accreditation bodies review applications, check for conformity)
  - Pros:
    - Standards and guidelines for program definition (road map): certifications or certificates
    - Quality assurance for certification or certificate credentials: if program chooses to apply
    - Federal level interested in accreditation for creds across government (DoD, IC)
  - Cons:
    - Alignment with K12 Cybersecurity Education-Training (key role for NICE & framework!!)
    - Difficult for HS students to meet prerequisites to sit for the examination (pre-HS diploma)

Accreditation requires:
- Policies & procedures, appropriate persons to conduct work, & documentation (vital)
- Application process with documentation and auditing / review (see below for steps)
- If accreditation granted, “surveillance” of certification bodies requires annual reports to accreditor
## Key Accreditors for Certifications and Certificates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accrediting Body</th>
<th>Certificate Programs</th>
<th>Certification Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A at this time</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAC (since 1996)</td>
<td>N/A at this time</td>
<td>ISO/IEC 17024:2012 Conformity assessment – General requirements for bodies operating certification of persons – 10 clauses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ISO 17024 Accreditation

What are the key elements: Depends on “role”

◉ 10 clauses: certification scheme & process
  ○ Scheme = framework fit around “certification process” from application to suspension
  ○ Process = apply, test, decision to award

◉ Management system an important requirement

◉ Reference: Judd’s 17024 Compliance Handbook templates (served as an ANAB auditor)
ISO 17024 Accreditation

1. Scope
2. Normative references
3. Terms and definitions
4. General requirements (important, but outside our purview)
5. Structural requirements (important, but outside our purview)
6. Resource requirements (important, but outside our purview)
7. Records and information requirements (important, but outside our purview)
8. Certification schemes (focus today)
9. Certification process requirements (focus today)
10. Management systems requirements (important, but outside our purview)
Annex A - Principles
ISO 17024 Accreditation

Scheme is the framework; Process is the steps for candidates

- Scheme includes 6 sub-clauses
  - 8.1 shall be a scheme (shall=must for ISO)
  - 8.2 elements: Scope to Code of Ethics
  - 8.3 process reqs: Initial Certification to Scope Change
  - 8.4 documentation required (membership, discussions, deliberations, votes & decisions of SC)
  - 8.5 reviewed-validated on an on-going, systematic basis
  - 8.6 scheme ownership requirements
Scheme is the framework; Process is the steps for candidates

- Elements of a scheme / framework (8.2):
  - Scope of certification (Name/designation, level, population, etc.)
  - Job-Task Description: JTA with verification (very important)
  - Required competence (Exam Blueprint+ Knowledge-Skill)
  - Abilities (Physical, Psychomotor, Cognitive)
  - Prerequisites (education, experience, etc.)
  - Code of Conduct / Ethics
ISO 17024 Accreditation

Scheme is the framework; Process is the steps for candidates

- Process requirements of a scheme / framework (8.3)
  - Criteria for INITIAL certification & recertification
  - Assessment Mechanism for INITIAL certification & recertification (plus a blueprint)
  - If applicable, SURVEILLANCE methods / criteria
  - Criteria for SUSPENDING or WITHDRAWING certification
  - If applicable, criteria for CHANGING SCOPE/LEVEL
Scheme is the framework; Process is the steps for candidates

- Other requirements of a scheme / framework (8.4 to 8.6)
  - 8.4 **documentation** required (membership, discussions, deliberations, votes & decisions of committee)
  - 8.5 **reviewed-validated** on an on-going, systematic basis
  - 8.6 **scheme ownership** requirements (types of owners)
Clause 9: Process covers steps for candidates

- 9.1 Application process
- 9.2 Assessment process
- 9.3 Examination process
- 9.4 Decision on certification
- 9.5 Suspending, withdrawing, or reducing the scope of certification
- 9.6 Recertification process
- 9.7 Use of certificates, logos and marks
- 9.8 Appeals against decisions on certification
- 9.9 Complaints
How to earn accreditation:

Stages of accreditation application process

**Step 1**
Become familiar with ANAB Personnel Certification Policies and Procedures by visiting the Personnel Certification Documents and Resources section of this website. Purchase and study the standard ISO/IEC 17024.

**Step 2**
Attend a Personnel Certification Accreditation Workshop to understand the requirements of the standard and to identify documents that are required to meet the standard.

*Note:* Participation in a workshop and purchasing ISO/IEC 17024 are not mandatory requirements for ANAB accreditation.

**Step 3**
Recommended: Request ANAB to conduct an Informational Visit (pre-assessment). This is normally performed by one assessor within one day and helps organization determine its compliance with the requirements through a "live test" before a more expensive full initial assessment is conducted. Information visit is billed at $1,250 per assessor per day plus expenses.

*Note:* An information visit is not a mandatory requirement for ANAB accreditation.

**Step 4**
Fill out preliminary application form PCAC-FR-503.

**Step 5**
Upon receipt of the eligibility letter, pay the application fee and complete and submit PCAC-FR-504 in three copies with the supporting documents to ANAB. Please refer to all the guidance documents available online. PCAC-FR-504 will be sent to you upon preliminary eligibility approval.

[https://anab.ansi.org/credentialing/personnel-certification/how-to-apply](https://anab.ansi.org/credentialing/personnel-certification/how-to-apply)
Step 6
Submit additional documents that are requested by the assessment team.

Step 7
Prepare and participate in ANAB onsite assessment.

Step 8
Provide necessary documents to close any nonconformity identified during the assessment process.

Step 9
Upon successful closure of all nonconformities, the certification body will be awarded accreditation under ISO/IEC/17024.

Step 10
Ensure compliance with ANSI standards!

If you have further questions, please contact Eveyln Nash at 202-331-3638 or enash@anab.org.

[Website Link]
https://anab.ansi.org/credentialing/personnel-certification/how-to-apply
NCCA Accreditation

Institute for Credentialing Excellence (formerly NOCA, since 1977)

- Autonomous: National Commission on Certifying Agencies is accrediting body
  - Commissioners represent administration/operations and psychometrics
- Published 2016 standards for accreditation (under revision, expected 2021-22)
- Certifying bodies submit applications (3 windows / year), internal reviews conducted (adequate or inquiry), then applications are reviewed / voted upon by Commissioners
  - If conformity established, accreditation is awarded
  - If non-conformity exists for one or more standards, time-limited process to correct
  - Accreditation, like certification, granted for a specific “term” (5 years is common for accreditation, while 3-5 years is common for certifications)
- Conducted Remote Live Proctoring pilot, also allowed exemptions during 2020-21
Institute for Credentialing Excellence (formerly NOCA, since 1977)

- 24 NCCA Standards (2016) are grouped into 5 categories
  - (1-5) Purpose, Governance, & Resources
  - (6-12) Responsibilities to Stakeholders
  - (13-21) Examinations (SME Panels, JTA, Test Specifications, Item Writing, Standard Setting, Test Administration, Scoring-Reporting, Reliability, Examination Equating)
  - (22-23) Maintaining Certification
  - (24) Maintaining Accreditation
NCCA Accreditation

- NCCA standards comparable to the ISO standard, but provide specificity (essential elements & commentary)
- ICE is an organization bringing together stakeholders (certification bodies, vendors, etc.); ANAB is accreditor
- ICE offers Credentialing Specialist training (certificate) and is creating a Credentialing Professional Certification
# Examples: Accredited Cyber Certs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Certifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>CertNexus</td>
<td>Certified Internet of Things Practitioner (CIoTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CyberSec First Responder (CFR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cisco Systems</td>
<td>CCNA (Cisco Certified Network Associate) CyberOps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCNA (Cisco Certified Network Associate) Route and Switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCNP (Cisco Certified Network Professional) Route and Switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cisco Certified Network Associate Security (CCNA Security)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cisco Certified Network Professional Security (CCNP Security)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CompTIA Certifications, LLC</td>
<td>CompTIA A+ ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA Advanced Security Practitioner (CASP+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA Cloud+ Certification Exam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA Cybersecurity Analyst (CySA+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA Linux+ ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA Network+ ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA PenTest+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CompTIA Security+ ce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the certification space of Cyber, few are widely accepted as "Industry Standard"

1. Certified Ethical Hacker (CEH)
2. CompTIA Security+
3. Certified Information System Security Professional (CISSP)**
4. Certified Information Security Manager (CISM)
5. Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA)
6. NIST Cybersecurity Framework (NCSF)
7. Certified Cloud Security Professional (CCSP)
8. Computer Hacking Forensic Investigator (CHFI)
9. Cisco Certified Network Associate (CCNA)
Please submit any questions through the webinar functions
Best exams driven by certification bodies who manage teams of experienced practitioners, psychometricians, IT, and other specialists

My prototype reflective / experienced practitioner: Tom O’Neill, Butler Career & Technology Schools (Butler Tech) in SW Ohio (networks, cyber among his courses)
- Tom an instructor since 2001, provides PS level information in HS classes at Ross High School (satellite location of Butler Tech)
- Item writer for state testing system (we met “back in the day”)
- Struck by rigor (Tom’s items) and reactions (peer instructors)
- Provides real world experience to his students (PS+RW)
- Coaches award-winning student teams in competitions

NOTE: Tom was warned in early May about his “prototypicality”!!
People Behind Scenes

- Best exams driven by certification bodies – teams of seasoned-novice practitioners, IT, psychometricians, and many other specialists
- Prototype reflective / experienced psychometrician: Liberty Munson, PhD
- Liberty’s doctorate from University of Illinois (I-O Psychology, Psychometrics)
  - Began with Microsoft after graduate school
  - Current position: Director of MS Certification programs (new areas: Azure, Power Platform)
  - Contributor to field (ATP, ICE, WorkCred, …………), mentor,
Accreditation bodies work in teams as well
- Prototype reflective / experienced accreditation
director: Linda Anguish is the director for I.C.E.
- Linda’s background featured work for a certification
body (accredited, naturally)
- In her current role, Linda works with other I.C.E.
staff and consultants, the NCCA Commissioners,
and certification bodies before, during, and after
their submission – she is a hub for many
- Involved in revising the NCCA Standards (ongoing)
Credentials are widespread, stakeholders are numerous, and value propositions may vary

We focus on relationships between:

--Employers
--Education (CTE, K12)
--Certification bodies
Stakeholders in Credentialing

Employers- Employers often look at degrees / certifications as indicators of competence, and may use them in hiring, promotion, & placement decisions. Certification includes healthcare, personal fitness, & information technology

Education Community- produces potential certification holders, Career-Technical & K-12 programs with pathways to PS education and Cyber careers

Certification Bodies- Major hardware / software manufacturers (MS, Cisco), certification bodies such as (ISC)2; CompTIA (vendor-neutral)

Trade Associations- Organizations representing membership, including Institute for Credentialing Excellence (I.C.E.) and Association of Test Publishers (ATP; Divisions: Certification, Licensure & Workforce Credentialing, etc.)

National Standards Organizations- American National Standards Institute (ANSI) is the U.S. member of ISO and has authority to designate Standards Developing Organizations (SDO); ANSI National Accreditation Board (ANAB) handles the accreditation activities for both certifications (focus) and certificate programs (very brief coverage)
Stakeholder Collaborations

- Credentialing interest (signaling independent of degrees, “parallel universe”)
  - Career start without post-secondary degree (through self-study, training)
    - parallel universe
  - How can K12 (HS) education enter the dining hall (be “at the table”)?

- How can stakeholders work together better?
  - Value for each stakeholder group collaborating?
    - Certification bodies increase awareness in HS populations (market)
    - PS as a “bridge” between HS and career, not necessarily
    - Certification bodies do not want to compromise exams, but could evaluate prerequisites (education, experience) to minimize barriers
Stakeholder Collaborations

- Government is another key stakeholder
  - NICE is a prime example

- Bipartisan bills introduced in House and Senate to allow 529 College Savings Plan funds to pay certification and recertification costs
  - Freedom to Invest in Tomorrow’s Workforce Act

- State governments are approaching credentials by paying for costs if the candidate earns the certification
Conclusions

◉ Credentialing (independent of degrees), focus is on persons
  ○ Career start without PS degree (self-study, training) – parallel universe

◉ No vetted value proposition for certification or accreditation for EMPLOYERS
  ○ Do cred OR accredited cred help bottom line? Predict job performance?
  ○ Value of certification & salary surveys suggest positive relationship
  ○ Accredited credentials are assumed better by most stakeholders

◉ Accreditation expense (expensive although this varies by accreditor)
  ○ NCCA ~$2655; does not use auditors (can prep ISO 17024 WorkCred, with NICE input, built a framework for certs within Bachelors-level degrees, but articulating to HS remains problematic

◉ For HS: Screen certs for accreditation prior to recommending
Questions?
DISCUSSION
Contact Information

James Austin, PhD
614-292-9897
Austin.38@osu.edu
Assessment Program Lead

Bridget McHugh, PhD
614-514-5865
McHugh.159@osu.edu
Psychometric Team Lead
Thank You for Joining Us!

Upcoming Webinar:
“Digital Citizenship: Safety and Security for an Online World”

When: Wednesday, June 16, 2021, 2:00-3:00PM ET

Register:
https://nist-nice.adobeconnect.com/webinarjune2021/event/registration.html

nist.gov/nice/webinars