NIST Building 101 Historic Structure Report and Condition Assessment

Final Submission March 15, 2020



Prepared by:

John Milner Associates Preservation 3200 Lee Highway Arlington, VA 22207 www.mtfa.net/preservation JMAP Project No.: 18035



a division of MTFA Architecture

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Front N	Aatter :
---------	-----------------

FIOIR Matter.	
Introduction	i
Publication Data	
Room Index	v
Section A: Building Summary	
Building Summary	A1
Statement of Significance	A3
Section B: Construction History	
Construction History Narrative	B1
Construction History Timeline	В9
Original Materials & Sources	B11
Exterior Materials	B11
Interior Materials	B17
Selected Original Drawings & Photographs	B35
Section C:	
Historic Building Zone Plans/ Inventory of Significant Features & Materials	
Historic Building Zone Classifications	C1
Preservation Zone Plans	
Methodology	
Room Index	
Inventory of Significant Features & Materials	C13
Section D:	
Preservation Challenges & Recommendations	D1
Materials Conditions and Treatment	D16
Preservation Priority Matrix	D40
Section E:	
Previous Studies	
	21
Section F:	
Preservation Outline Specifications	F1
Section G:	
Glossary	G1
j	
Section H: Condition Drawings	H1
Section I: Cost Estimate	I1
Section J: Investigations	
I Marble Panels Investigation	I I_1
II Mortar Analysis	,
III Structural Probe Investigation	

Section K: Appendix	
Index for Digital Files	K1
Records Organized by Topic	
Records Organized by Repository and Topic	
/Current Photographs	

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Historic Structure Report is to examine the architectural history of this significant Federal building, inventory character defining features, and establish Preservation Zones to inform future maintenance and renovations. This report incorporates the information and research specific to Building 101 on the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) campus in Gaithersburg, Maryland. This report addresses all exterior and interior spaces and features of Building 101.

Section A: Building Summary presents some basic facts about the building and presents arguments for its architectural and historical significance. These statements help explain why this building is important and worthy of preservation.

Section B: Construction History discusses the building as it was originally built and outlines the major alterations and additions that have taken place since it was constructed. This section includes selected examples of the original drawings and historic photographs as well as a listing of all the building materials used on the exterior and interior of the building and, when available, the source of that material. This section helps explain how the building came to look the way it does today.

Section C: Inventory of Significant Features identifies, documents, and clarifies preservation priorities of the historically and architecturally significant extant interior features and spaces of the building. The significant areas and spaces are prioritized by three preservation zone classifications: 1) Restoration Zone; 2) Rehabilitation Zone 1; and 3) Renovation Zone. This report reviewed the significant architectural features of the interior spaces that are included in the two highest priority zone classifications for the public spaces on the first floor and the office spaces on the 6th, 7th, 8th, and 11th floors. Renovation zones were not investigated. Those elements within Restoration and Rehabilitation zones are further detailed by inventory lists which itemize the significant features within the area or space and the related material, finish, and approximate date of those listed features. Photographs document significant features within the inventory.

Section D: Preservation Challenges and Recommendations illustrates recurring existing conditions that may adversely affect the building's historic fabric, appearance, or structural integrity. Based upon the findings, a prioritized list of preservation repair items is included in this section of the guide.

Section E: Previous Studies includes summaries of selected architectural, structural, material, and engineering studies that have been completed for the building. This section includes relevant information such as scope and findings.

Section F: Outline Specifications contains placeholders for outline specification that address preservation concerns, including material and assemblies throughout the building.

Section G: Glossary contains definitions of terms used in this guide. These words are italicized in the Front Matter.

Section H: Condition Drawings This sections illustrates the scope and location of the conditions found on the building. The drawings are used as the basis of the cost estimate.

Section I: Cost Estimate This section provides a cost estimate to correct the deficiencies found during the condition assessment and provides a summary of all assumptions made developing the estimate.

Section J: Investigation contains detailed reports of the marble panel investigation performed by the team's structural engineer, McMullen Associates and mortar analysis by JMA Preservation.

Section K: Appendix This section also includes an index of the digital research files, original drawings, historic photographs, and current photographs which can be found on compact disks included with this submission.

The Room Index is located at the beginning of Section C and is duplicated here for the user's convenience.

The Historic Structure Report is intended to be used as a reference document for the NIST Office of Facilities and Property Management and its staff as well as for outside contractors completing work on Building 101.

PUBLICATION DATA

Location

Building 101 is located on the Gaithersburg Campus of the National Institute of Standards & Technology at 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20878.

Ownership

Building 101 is owned by the U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology. (NIST)

National Register of Historic Places Status

The National Park Service determined on June 22, 2016 that the entire 579-acre NIST campus is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places as a historic district under National Register Criteria A and C for its historic and architectural importance for the period of significance in the early 1960s.

Research

The project team conducted limited archival research to better inform the survey team. A list of repositories where holdings have been consulted follows.

NIST Research Library

Vertical File on Gaithersburg Campus Material Boards and Renderings for Interior Design Oral History Written History Documents

NIST Records Management

Photographs from the time of construction.

NIST Digital Archives

Textural records. Gaithersburg Campus Collection includes photographs, architectural renderings, technical publications, journal articles, and history publications.

NIST Facilities Drawings Database and Library

Relevant files include architectural drawings and specifications for the original construction and major maintenance

Historic Structure Report User Contacts:

Phillip Neuberg, FAIA Architect and Federal Preservation Officer Office of Facilities and Property Management National Institute of Standards & Technology 301-975-6940 Phillip.neuberg@nist.gov

Susan Cantilli, AIA Team Lead, Facilities Planning Capital Asset Management & Facilities Planning Group Office of Facilities and Property Management National Institute of Standards & Technology 301-975-8833 <u>Susan.Cantilli@nist.gov</u>

Keith Martin Librarian Research Library National Institute of Standards & Technology 301-975-2789 Keith.martin@nist.gov

Project Participants:

MTFA Architects, Inc.

Amanda Edwards, PA-AIC, LEED Green Assoc. Sr. Architectural Conservator Senior Associate

Meredith Wilson Architectural Conservator

McMullen & Associates, Inc.

Denis McMullen, PE Principal Structural Engineer

David Chung, EIT Structural Engineer

ROOM INDEX				
				Page Number
Room Number	Room Name	Room Type	Zone Type	Inventory
Exterior				
East Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C13
North Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C19
West Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C27
South Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C29
Inner Court		Courtyard	Restoration	C37
East Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C41
North Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C43
West Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C47
South Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C49
Entrance Vestibules				
	East and South Entrance Vestibules	Vestibule	Restoration	C51
Corridors				
CO-A-01	Hall of Standards	Corridor	Restoration	C57
CO-A-02 to CO-A-10	Corridor	Corridor	Rehabilitation	C129
CO-A-11	Corridor	Corridor	Rehabilitation	C135
СО-В-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C63
CO-C-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C71
CO-D-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C63
СО-Е-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C71
Connecting Stairs				
ST-3-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
ST-4-01 to ST-4-11	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
ST-5-01 to ST-5-11	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
ST-11-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
ST-12-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
ST-16-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
ST-19-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139
Elevator Lobbies				
EL-1-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77
EL-2-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77
EL-3-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77
EL-4-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77
EL-1-01 to EL-4-01	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C83
EL-1-02 to EL-1-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79
EL-2-02 to EL-2-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79
EL-3-02 to EL-3-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79
EL-4-02 to EL-4-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79
First Floor				
LO-B-01/A100	East Lobby	Lobby	Restoration	C83
LO-A-01	North Lobby	Vestibule	Restoration	C53
B100	Exhibit Hall	Hall	Restoration	C63
B101	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B102	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B106	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B107	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B110	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B111	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B113	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
<u>B116</u>	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B118	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143
B121	Green Auditorium	Auditorium	Restoration	C87

ROOM INDEX				
				Page Number
Room Number	Room Name	Room Type	Zone Type	Inventory
C101	Cafeteria	Cafeteria	Restoration	C93
C106	Dining Room	Conference	Rehabilitation	C147
C108	Dining Room	Conference	Rehabilitation	C147
C110	Dining Room	Conference	Rehabilitation	C147
LO-D-01	Red Auditorium Lobby	Lobby	Restoration	C99
D107	Red Auditorium	Auditorium	Restoration	C103
E107	Museum	Hall	Restoration	C109
E109	Library Vestibule	Vestibule	Restoration	C109
E114	Library Stacks	Stacks	Restoration	C115
E115	Exhibit Hall	Hall	Restoration	C109
Eleventh Floor				
A1131	Executive Director's Office	Office	Restoration	C123
A1134	Director's Office Reception	Office	Restoration	C123
A1137	Director's Office Conference Room	Conference	Restoration	C123
A1138	Director's Office	Office	Restoration	C123

SECTION A: BUILDING SUMMARY



BUILDING SUMMARY

Building Name:	National Institute of Standards and Technology Building 101		
Building Address:	100 Bureau Drive Gaithersburg, MD 20878		
Bordering Streets:	North: North Drive South: South Drive East: East Drive West: West Drive		
Construction Type:	Reinforced Concrete St	ructural Frame	
Building Function:	Building 101 is the central Administration Building for the National Institute of Standards and Technology campus in Gaithersburg, Maryland. The eleven-story central tower houses general office space and laboratories. Low-lying wings house the cafeteria, research library, classrooms, lecture halls, and two large auditoria.		
Building Size			
	Sub-Basement	1,962	SF
	Basement	90,234	SF
	First Floor	118,843	SF
	Mezzanine A-Wing	10,231	SF
	Second FLoor	10,307	SF
	Second Floor C-Wing	1,742	SF
	Second Floor D-Wing	1,196	SF
	Second Floor E-Wing	13,007	SF
	Third Floor	10,317	SF
	Fourth Floor	10,317	SF
	Fifth Floor	10,317	SF
	Sixth Floor	10,317	SF
	Seventh Floor	10,317	SF
	Eighth Floor	10,317	SF
	Ninth Floor	10,317	SF
	Tenth Floor	10,317	SF
	Eleventh Floor	10,317	SF
	Twelfth Floor (PH)	2,676	SF
	Thirteenth Floor (PH)	2,734	SF

Façade Dimensions:

North Drive: Primary (Wings A)	331 feet -0 inches
North Drive: Secondary (Wing E)	122 feet – 6 inches
North Drive: Secondary (Wing D)	132 feet – 6 inches
South Drive: Primary (Wing C)	193 feet – 6 inches
South Drive: Secondary (Wing D)	132 feet – 6 inches
South Drive: Secondary (Wing B)	162 feet – 8 inches
South Drive: Secondary (Wing E)	122 feet – 6 inches
East Drive: Primary (Wings A and B)	295 feet – 6 inches
East Drive: Secondary (Wing D)	112 feet – 0 inches
West Drive: Primary (Wing C)	185 feet - 10 inches
West Drive: Secondary (Wing E)	148 feet - 3-1/2 inches

Height 145 feet

11

Stories:

Floor to Floor Heights

12 feet - 3 inches
14 feet - 0 inches
17 feet - 0 inches
13 feet - 0 inches
10 feet - 2 inches
$10 \ feet - 2 \ inches$
10 feet - 2 inches
13 feet - 0 inches
$10 \; feet-4 \; inches$
$10 \ feet - 4 \ inches$

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

Building 101 serves as the Administration Building on the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) campus in Gaithersburg, Maryland. The NIST campus fits into a broad trend of large scientific institutions, both public and private, moving their headquarters from crowded urban sites to spacious suburban campuses. Building 101 is significant as a part of this larger campus but also individually as it reflects changing expectations and design innovations for office building architecture during the mid-twentieth century. It is also significant as an excellent example of the modernist International Style. The National Bureau of Standards.

In 1901, the United States Congress enacted legislation founding the National Bureau of Standards (NBS). The Bureau would not adopt the name National Institute of Standards and Technology until 1988.¹ The NBS was conceived as a response to the United States' scientific and industrial communities, who recognized a need for more reliable standards of measurement. In 1892, nine years before the creation of NBS, William Mason, a member of the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute complained in a letter to Science magazine that there were as many as eight "authoritative" values for the US Gallon.² The federal government recognized that standardized units of measurement were a necessary part of the scientific method. It therefore tasked NBS creating and proliferating a system of standard, empirical measurements for the benefit of the nation's growing technological and industrial sectors. The Bureau soon produced measurement standards for length, volume, and weight.

During both World Wars, the Bureau's influence grew as it developed new products and techniques to assist with the war effort. The Bureau emerged from WWII as the Federal government's premier research agency in the fields of physics, mathematics, chemistry, and engineering. In the post-war years, Secretary of Commerce Herbert Hoover redefined the Bureau's core mission: to assist recovery of the domestic economy, the Bureau would establish standards of production and quality for commercial goods and materials. By 1950, the Bureau employed 3100 staff across 15 departments and had an annual budget of \$20 million.

Relocation to Gaithersburg

In 1903, the NBS moved into its first headquarters - a newly-completed single structure at the corner of Connecticut Avenue and Van Ness Street in Washington, DC. NBS would occupy this site for the next six decades. By as early as 1934, the Bureau's directors recognized a need for new facilities as it was quickly outgrowing its facilities. That year the NBS submitted plans for a new Administration Building to the Works Progress Administration as part of a request for funds. The funds were never made available and the plans were scrapped.

The Bureau's explosive growth during WWII exacerbated problems of overcrowding and building obsolescence. By the end of the war, the various departments were housed in ninety-three separate buildings on a 69-acre tract of land. The employees of one particular department were spread across seventeen separate buildings. The sprawling headquarters made communication and collaboration slow and difficult. To make matters worse, individual buildings frequently lacked adequate equipment for the Bureau's research requirements, and efforts to modernize were largely unsuccessful. Between 1949 and 1950, Congress allocated \$2 million for an update of the mechanically electrical, and heating systems. However, the plans for the update did not take into account ever-increasing demands on the air

¹ Kristen Peeler, "National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)," Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties Form (Crownsville, MD: Maryland Historical Trust, June 2015).

² Rexmond C. Cochrane, *Measures for Progress: A History of the National Bureau of Standards* (Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1974), 33-34.

conditioning equipment to control temperature and humidity in laboratories with instruments sensitive to overheating. The electrical upgrade was inadequate from the very start.

Finally, in 1955, NIST made the decision to relocate the headquarters to the suburbs of Washington, DC rather than attempt to redevelop the existing site. Multiple factors encouraged relocation over rehabilitation. Perhaps most pressing was the threat of nuclear attack during the Cold War years. The Federal government determined that it would be necessary to locate the new headquarters at least twenty miles from the White House to protect the Institute from nuclear attack. The existing headquarters were less than five miles away. Regardless of defense concerns, it is likely that the Institute's own preferences and needs would have dictated moving to a less urban site. Electrical interference and mechanical vibrations from neighboring buildings had the potential to impair the Institute's delicate instruments.³ Relocation to a large tract of land in the suburbs would provide a necessary buffer against neighboring structures and allow NIST to expand more easily in the future.

Designing the New Campus

Once the NBS made the decision to relocate in 1955, Director Allen Astin delegated the planning process for the new campus to his Associate Director, Robert Walleigh. Walleigh, an engineer by training, had been an NBS employee since 1943.⁴ Between 1955 and 1956, Walleigh oversaw the selection of a new site in Gaithersburg, Maryland chosen for its flat topography and convenient distance from Washington, DC. According to Walleigh, it was paramount that existing employees had easy access to the new site. If not, they could easily find work elsewhere. On July 6, 1956, the Federal government filed a Declaration of Taking to acquire 579 acres along what is now Interstate 270.⁵ The NBS recommended that the GSA hire Voorhees, Walker, Smith, Smith & Haines Architects of New York (VWSSH), who had extensive experience designing large campuses for leaders in science and technology.⁶ The GSA considered several applicants, ultimately hiring VWSSH shortly after acquiring the new site in Gaithersburg.⁷

In 1955, the GSA had estimated a budget of \$40 million for construction of a single 1-million square-foot, six-story structure for the new NBS headquarters.⁸ The GSA continued to champion this single-block type arrangement as the most economical, even as the NBS clearly preferred a multi-building campus. On August 14, 1958, the GSA facilitated a Relocation Planning Meeting with the NBS, the Public Buildings Service (PBS), and VWSSH. From the meeting minutes, it is apparent that the NBS favored a campus with multiple buildings, while the Bureau of the Budget (now the Office of Management and Budget) preferred a single large structure as a more economical solution. The NBS reported that while it was "not wedded to any type of building or grouping," it had discussed designs similar to the quadrangle of buildings

³ Walleigh, 49-50.

⁴ James F. Schooley, *Responding to National Needs: The NBS Becomes the NIST 1969-1993* (Gaithersburg: US – Department of Commerce, 2000), 40.

⁵ Walleigh, 50.

⁶ The firm VWSSH was known as Voorhees, Walker, Smith, & Smith when hired in 1956. By 1958, the firm had become Voorhees, Walker, Smith, Smith, & Haines. The firm has gone through several name changes since then, becoming Haines, Lundberg, and Walker in 1968. Today the firm is known as HLW Architects. HLW Architects, "Highlights of 100 Years."

⁷ Ibid., 50-51.

⁸ Elio Passaglia, A Unique Institution: The National Bureau of Standards 1950-1969, (Gaithersburg: US Department of Commerce, 1999), 477-478.

constructed to house the Atomic Energy Commission in 1955 and "a hexagon or snowflake style with separate spines each similar to NBS Boulder Laboratories," which had been completed in 1954.⁹

While unsure of the exact layout of the buildings, the NBS was certain about the atmosphere they wished to create. In a 1956 letter to the Mayor of Gaithersburg, R. S. Walleigh, Associate Director for Administration, wrote:

The Bureau (NIST) wishes to develop on its new site a university campus-type atmosphere similar to the one which has been achieved on the present site (in Washington, DC). It has been found that such surroundings are an asset in attracting and retaining scientists and in producing the environment which stimulates scientific productivity.¹⁰

It is significant that Walleigh referred specifically to the importance of "attracting and retaining scientists." World War II had led to an explosion in scientific research and innovation to support the war effort. This boom in the technology and manufacturing industries continued into the 1950s and 1960s. With so many opportunities for employment, scientists and engineers could afford to be picky, and both private and public research institutions devoted significant resources to state-of-the-art corporate campuses that would both attract new employees and retain the old.¹¹ The NBS was no exception.

On December 11, 1956, VWSSH toured the recently completed NBS campus in Boulder, Colorado. The following day they met with NBS representatives to discuss their expectations for the new facility. In anticipation of the meeting, NBS employee C. A. Dieman prepared a memo recommending that VWSSH be given "considerable latitude in establishing a style of architecture... consistent with (the Bureau's) position as one of the outstanding Government research laboratories." Dieman also stressed that while the economy of the design was important, it should not be taken so far as to discourage top-notch scientists and engineers from accepting employment with the NBS. Astin and Golovin relayed Dieman's concerns in the December 12 meeting, adding that multiple buildings would be preferable to the monolithic structure that the GSA proposed.¹²

The Corporate Campus

The Bureau's move to the suburbs followed a pattern already established among large private corporations. Beginning in the 1940s, giants of American technology and industry constructed large corporate research campuses in the suburbs of large urban centers. The movement began as a way to address practical concerns of overcrowding in city centers and the need to attract qualified employees in the post-war economic boom. By the 1950s, the movement had morphed from a largely practical management decision to a clever marketing tool and tangible representation of a new corporate identity. The new NBS campus led by VWSSH, and especially the centrally-located Building 101, adopted lessons learned from the movement's progression to address practical needs while presenting a new modern image for the NBS.

VWSSH: Bell Telephone Laboratories (1942) and General Foods (1954)

The predecessors of VWSSH were pioneers of the suburban corporate campus. Beginning in the 1930s, Voorhees, Gmelin, and Walker, worked with Olmsted Brothers of Brookline, MA, to design Bell Telephone

⁹ NBS Management Planning Division, "Architectural Style," 5.

¹⁰ NBS Management Planning Division, 1.

¹¹ Mozingo 2011?

¹² National Bureau of Standards Management Planning Division, "Architectural Style," *Summary of Files on Gaithersburg*, (May 1958), 4-5.

Laboratories, the first suburban corporate campus in the United States. The completed campus was a collection of low-lying buildings that housed laboratories, administrative spaces, and various amenities. The interiors employed a revolutionary modular design to ensure Bell could easily adapt to changing technology and programmatic needs. The architects organized each building around a standard six-foot-wide laboratory module with moveable metal partitions. According to a 1944 article in *Life* magazine, laboratory space could be reconfigured in as little as forty-eight hours (Mozingo 59).

While the interior design addressed programmatic needs, the exterior facades and landscaping were designed to court public opinion. As the first of its kind, the Bell campus met with distrust from its suburban neighbors. Care was taken to blend the buildings into their suburban surroundings. The campus was set back from the road within a landscaped park, and no building exceeded three stories in height. The exterior facades were rendered in the traditional Colonial Revival style, which contemporary critics frequently faulted as incongruous with the technologically advanced interior. Despite this criticism, VWSSH - then Voorhees, Walker, Foley, and Smith - repeated its use of the Colonial Revival style about a decade later in their design of the General Foods campus in White Plains New York. In this instance, VWSSH chose the Colonial Revival style because it was in keeping with the overall architectural character of surrounding neighborhoods. As with the Bell Telephone Laboratories, local reception for the new General Foods campus location was lukewarm at best. The architect therefore made a conscious decision to employ the traditional architectural style to placate local resentment.

Corporations also had to contend with the possibility that employees might resign rather than relocate, since the post-war technological boom meant scientists and engineers could have their pick of jobs. At the Bell campus, VWSSH included amenities such as a restaurant, lounge, softball fields, and ample parking. At General Foods, workspaces featured one window for every two employees. At both projects, VWSSH strove to recreate the feeling of a college campus in order to entice scientists and engineers away from universities. To this end, both campuses featured a collection of buildings around a landscaped quadrangle, in imitation of the academic quadrangle common on American university campuses.

By the completion of the General Foods campus in 1954, VWSSH had firmly established the fundamentals of the suburban corporate campus. These included three-story height limits, large setbacks, ample parking, and landscaped green spaces. Future developments would introduce a new dimension to the suburban campus: corporate branding.

Corporate Campus as Symbol: General Motors (1956)

Eero Saarinen's design for the General Motors Technical Center in Warren, Michigan, ushered in a new phase of the suburban corporate campus. While the Bell Telephone Laboratories and General Foods campus sought to blend into the neighborhood, the modernist General Motors Technical Center was conceived as a highly visible piece of corporate branding. General Motors gave architect Eero Saarinen considerable latitude in "developing forward looking architectural design." The final product was unapologetically modern and clad in steel-and-glass curtain wall and vibrant glazed brick. The complex retained the campus-like atmosphere of the earlier developments, but Saarinen was not designing solely for the GM employee. His campus was outward-looking, intended to present a carefully curated corporate image to the public at large. *Life* magazine called it "Architecture of the Future," while *Architectural Forum* dubbed it the "Industrial Versailles." Saarinen intended the overall message to be one of modernity and progress, and he was successful. At the Center's 1956 dedication, President Dwight D. Eisenhower called it "a place for leadership furthering new attacks on the technological frontier." This corporate campus was not fading into the background. It was brash and cutting edge, using architecture to communicate corporate ideals.

VWSSH at NBS

In their design of Bell Labs, Vorhees et al had helped establish the "fundamentals of the corporate campus," which were nearly universal elements of the architect-designed corporate campuses beginning in the 1940s. The following characteristics were widely considered necessary to the design of a thoroughly modern research campus that would attract talented research scientists: centrally located green space, flexible laboratory organization, easy automobile access and ample parking, underground utilities, controlled access, three-story buildings, and generous landscape setbacks.¹³ With its focus on green space and automobile access, this model lent itself much better to multi-building campuses on large tracts of land than a single large, monolithic structure. When VWSSH presented their design to NBS and GSA on June 1, 1960, the model depicted a multi-building campus with wing-like laboratory buildings connected via enclosed concourses to a central administrative building.¹⁴ The central high-rise structure was designed as the social, cultural, and administrative hub of the campus. The entire composition was expertly rendered in the modernist International Style, rather than the traditional Colonial Revival designs of VWSSH' past campuses. The International Style developed as a celebration of the industrial age and its architectural expression of materials, asymmetry, and sleek style communicated the modern era. The result of this careful design process was a streamlined, modern building that branded the Bureau's dedication to furthering American progress in industry and technology.

Building 101 Design

As the centerpiece of the 579-acre campus, Building 101 was designed to welcome visitors, attract qualified employees, encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, and communicate the Bureau's core mission and ideals, all while satisfying practical administrative needs and budgetary requirements. VWSSH' revolutionary modular office design allowed them to produce an interior that was economical and easily adapted to changing programmatic needs. To create the inviting, campus-like atmosphere Walleigh and Birnbaum envisioned, VWSSH strategically interspersed ceremonial spaces and necessary amenities among generous circulation corridors and informal meeting areas. They used the International Style to meet the design criteria of GSA and NBS for increased office space and economy of scale.

Flexible Design

Due to the failure of their first headquarters to grow with the Institute, NIST required that the new campus and especially the central Administration Building be readily adaptable to changing programmatic needs. VWSSH had already designed the sort of flexible interior spaces NIST required at the Bell Telephone Laboratories in the 1940s. They implemented the same use of moveable flush metal partitions to create flexible temporary office space in Building 101 to great success. Offices and conferences on floors two through ten of the Office Tower are demarcated exclusively with moveable metal partitions. This allows entire floors to be reconfigured quickly and at little cost to the Institute. Moveable partitions are also used in the first-floor office spaces in Wing A of Building 101. These moveable metal partitions were designed to allow NIST to quickly and efficiently adapt interior spaces to new uses as the Institute grew and evolved, thereby extending the usable lifespan of Building 101 indefinitely. The architects design choice has proven to be not only successful but also long-lived. More than fifty years after first occupying Building 101, NIST is still using the original metal partition walls and facilities management staff prefer the modular system to more permanent stud or masonry divisions.

¹³ Mozingo?

¹⁴ Walleigh, 51-52.

Collaborative Space

From the very beginning, the NBS repeatedly stated that their main objective was to recreate the atmosphere of a university campus at the new headquarters. On one hand, NBS faculty believed that such an atmosphere would encourage the creative discussion and collaboration between members of the various departments. In a 1957 memo of the Laboratory Planning Committee, Dr. Henry Birnbaum, Assistant to the Director, wrote that the NBS required a space "where learning and study are encouraged for their own sake." Specifically, he suggested the creation of "attractive open spaces around the buildings… which may be used for the leisurely exchange of information."¹⁵ Existing corporate campuses had created university-like campuses for similar reasons; as former General Motors President Harlow Curtice noted "such surroundings stimulate creative thinking and are conducive to good work." An equally significant motivation was the need to woo qualified research scientists away from positions at universities. For NBS, in competition with both universities and large private corporations, there was an even greater need to create a campus-like atmosphere that would attract qualified scientists and engineers.

In Building 101, VWSSH accomplished the collaborative, academic atmosphere through clever space planning. The first floor is laid out in an asymmetrical yet balanced quadrangle around a central courtyard. The quadrangle is divided into five wings designated by letters A through E. Wings A through C are arranged counterclockwise around the inner court, with visitors entering the main east entrance located in Wing A. Wing A includes the main lobby as well as the corridor and offices north of the inner court. Wing B includes the corridor, lecture rooms, and classrooms east of the inner court as well as the Green Auditorium. The cafeteria dining rooms and kitchens are found in Wing C, which lies to the west of the inner court. Wings D and E project from the southeast and northwest corners of the quadrangle, respectively. Wing D houses the Red Auditorium, while Wing E is the main research library. This regular layout allows even first-time visitors to move about the public spaces with relative ease.

By locating necessary amenities such as the research library, cafeteria, bank, and barber shop in the centrally-located Building 101, VWS ensured that the various departments could not isolate themselves in their separate buildings. Likewise, VWSSH used careful space planning to ensure that once inside Building 101, employees of different departments could not help but run into one another. As staff and visitors move around the corridors, they are almost always within view of the Inner Court, a paved outdoor space in the center of the building complex. Aluminum-and-glass curtainwall surrounds the court on all four sides, providing sightlines from three major corridors and the cafeteria dining room to the outdoor space. The Inner Court, with its attractive plantings and reflecting pool, invites building occupants outside in fine weather. Its central location encourages a feeling of connectivity among the separate wings of Building 101. It is perhaps in the Inner Court that the architects most skillfully realized their core design objective: to create a space that engenders personal connections and collaborations between employees and visitors alike.

The design of the corridors further encourages impromptu meetings between NBS employees. Wide corridors are flooded with natural light from the large plate glass windows along the exterior elevations and the interior Inner Court. Alcoves with informal seating areas are placed along the corridors to encourage impromptu meetings and discussions between colleagues. The more permanent, formal spaces are scattered around the first floor rather than centralized in one area. This forces staff and visitors to circulate around the entire first floor, further encouraging chance meetings and scholarly exchanges.

¹⁵ Ibid., 2.

International Style

By 1960, the Federal government was facing an extreme shortage of office space. Many existing office buildings fell well behind acceptable standards for government office space. To address this space shortage, the General Services Administration (GSA) undertook more than 700 building projects between 1960 and 1976. Considering this explosion in construction activity, it was necessary to design buildings that were both economical and efficient to construct. The International Style was not cutting-edge architecture by the 1960s when NBS was built in Gaithersburg. Architecture was moving toward Brutalism and the emphatic expression of form in raw concrete. However, Brutalism had not quite infiltrated the design criteria of the Federal government at the time that Building 101 was constructed. It wasn't until 1962, the year the NBS campus was completed, that President John F. Kennedy's Ad Hoc Committee of Federal Office Space published a report that included guiding principles for Federal architecture. These principles encouraged structures that "reflect the dignity, enterprise, vigor, and stability of the American National Government" and "embody the finest architectural thought."¹⁶ Brutalism, with its stable and economical concrete expression met all these principles and was favored by the government by the end of the 1960s after the construction of Marcel Breuer's Robert C. Weaver Building for the Department of Housing and Urban Development in 1968 in Washington.¹⁷ In the early 1960s, the International Style was favored by Federal planners because it was often more economical than more highly ornamental traditional styles. For NBS, the International Style fit the government's increasing preferences for cheaper, modern architecture by satisfying both aesthetic and economical concerns given its rejection of classical ornamentation and its preference for mass-produced pre-fabricated materials.¹⁸

Building 101 is an excellent example of the International Style, which developed in Europe in the 1920s as one of the "Machine Age" styles of the Modern Movement. The style became especially popular in American commercial and institutional architecture in the 1930s and through the 1960s. In celebration of the "Machine Age," pioneers of the International Style favored mass-produced man-made materials – such as concrete, steel, and glass.¹⁹ The International Style also championed function over form. Design was therefore strictly, and even starkly, minimalist. Structural concrete walls and steel members were proudly on display, instead of incased within more decorative materials. In general, the style eschewed all unnecessary ornamentation, its most obvious break with earlier modernist styles, such as Art Deco and the Stripped Classical style. Instead the International Style relied on rectilinear volumes of varying sizes to create visual interest. There was a particular emphasis on the horizontal dimension and balanced asymmetry. Rectilinear forms with flat roofs, low-lying cantilevers, and large expanses of banded windows were highly characteristic of the style.²⁰

Building 101 is laid out with the restrained asymmetry that is a defining characteristic of the modernist International Style. The low-lying wings are arranged in a balanced yet asymmetrical quadrangle with Wings D and E projecting from the southeast and northwest corners respectively. This layout feels regular and logical, fitting for an institution devoted to scientific research, without resorting to the formal rules of classical symmetry. Generous, light-filled corridors create the open plan floors popular in the International

¹⁶ "Why are there so Many Brutalist Federal Buildings in Washington" https://www.ncpc.gov/news/item/52/

¹⁷ "Robert C. Weaver Federal Building, Washington, D.C." <u>https://www.gsa.gov/historic-buildings/robert-c-weaver-federal-building-washington-dc</u>

¹⁸ General Services Administration, *Growth, Efficiency, and Modernism: GSA Buildings of the 1950s, 60s, and 70s,* (Washington, DC: General Services Administration, 2001), 30-31.

¹⁹ Virginia Savage McAlester, A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America's Domestic Architecture, (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 2013), 10-12.

²⁰ John C. Poppeliers and S. Allen Chambers, Jr., *What Style Is It?: A Guide to American Architecture* (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2003), 127-131.

Style while also providing easy circulation for visitors and employees alike. The balanced asymmetry is carried up to the high-rise Office Tower, which lies slightly north of the building's center and features irregularly spaced bays of windows.

VWSSH eschewed any unnecessary ornament in their design, using materials rather than intricate detailing to create visual interest. They mixed a variety of high-quality manmade and natural materials, including terrazzo, glazed brick, stainless steel, limestone, and multiple varieties of marble, granite, and wood paneling. Though the overall lines of the composition are simple and even stark, the interplay of contrasting materials creates a space that that is both beautiful and inviting. The use of monolithic pieces of masonry, metalwork, and woodwork is also a more durable design choice than applied moldings and delicate carvings.

Conclusion

The combination of flexible design, collaborative spaces, and a streamlined modernist aesthetic have created an enduring space at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. VWSSH revolutionary modular design and use of moveable metal partitions still allow NIST to reconfigure spaces quickly and economically in response to changing programmatic needs. Perhaps most significantly, the architect's original design intent is readily visible throughout the public spaces and across the exterior façade. Relatively few aesthetic changes have been made in these significant spaces. After more than fifty years of the continuous use, Building 101 remains an aesthetically cohesive and functionally relevant structure on the NIST Gaithersburg campus.

SECTION B: CONSTRUCTION HISTORY



CONSTRUCTION HISTORY

Architect

After securing the 579-acre site in Gaithersburg, MD, the National Bureau of Standards recommended VWSSH of New York as the architect for the new campus. The General Services Administration considered proposals from several architectural engineering firms before finally selecting VWSSH.¹ The New York firm had a long-established reputation for designing large laboratory complexes and headquarters for both public and private leaders in science and technology. In 1885, the firm's founder, Cyrus L. W. Eidlitz, accepted a commission to design the Metropolitan Telephone Building in Manhattan. This project first established the firm's commitment to designing aesthetically pleasing and technically innovative buildings for the advancement of science and industry. By 1915, Eidlitz and his partner Andrew C. McKenzie had designed 61 buildings for the nascent telephone industry. In 1922, the firm designed its first of more than 500 laboratory buildings: a chemistry laboratory for Western Electric Company in New York City. Over the next three decades, the firm developed a reputation for designing scientific and technological facilities that were practical, adaptable, and aesthetically beautiful. These included the Barclay-Vesey Building (1926) in New York, the Argonne National Laboratory (1952) in Illinois, and the Goddard Space Flight Center (1961) in Maryland. Most significantly, VWSSH designed the Bell Telephone Laboratories, a groundbreaking scientific research campus in the New Jersey suburbs.² In their design of Bell Labs, VWSSH developed a revolutionary modular laboratory system with moveable partitions that they would implement to great success at the NIST Campus and Building 101.

Architectural Description as Originally Built

After deciding to relocate in 1955, NIST determined that the new headquarters should be in a suburban location a convenient distance from Washington, DC. With the country in the midst of the Cold War, the Federal government required that the new site be at least twenty miles from the White House, given the possibility of nuclear attack. NIST also required a more rural setting to provide a buffer against electrical interference and mechanical vibrations from neighboring buildings. The site would also be automobile-centric, with multiple entrances from major roadways and ample parking lots. The NBS administration envisioned a self-sufficient university-like campus with several smaller buildings instead of one large structure.³ This would allow the various research departments greater flexibility in designing their individual facilities. A centralized administration building would house the library, lecture halls, and auditoria in addition to administrative office space.

Building 101 was designed to house the administrative departments and amenities necessary to support the operation of the NIST laboratories. The old headquarters in Washington, DC had grown haphazardly during the 1940s due to the war-era boom in scientific research. Temporary buildings were cobbled together as necessary. Departments were housed in whatever space was available, and communication between disciplines was difficult or non-existent.⁴ NIST wanted to avoid this disjointed organization as well as the inevitable obsolescence of overly specialized buildings. Building 101 was therefore designed to be a hub for the entire campus. The directors of the Administration, Planning, Chemistry, Engineering, and Physics departments would have office space on the eleventh floor of the office tower. The architect placed necessary amenities – such as the library, cafeteria, and auditoria – in Building 101, forcing employees in the outlying laboratory buildings to mingle with those from other disciplines. Informal

¹ Robert S. Walleigh, "The Gaithersburg Site," *NIST Special Publication 825: NBS/NIST – A Historical Perspective*, ed. Karma A. Beal (Gaithersburg: NIST, 1991), 50-51.

² "Highlights of 100 Years," (New York: HLW Architecture).

³ Walleigh, 49-50.

⁴ Walleigh, 49.

gathering spaces were scattered throughout the first-floor wings to encourage impromptu meetings and conversations between colleagues. Perhaps the architect's most innovative design was the use of moveable metal partition walls throughout the office spaces, a feature that would allow Building 101 to adapt to changing programmatic needs.

VWSSH envisioned Building 101 as the administrative and cultural center of the NIST campus. It is therefore centrally located on the campus and intentionally designed as a visual focal point. At eleven stories tall with a two-story rooftop penthouse, Building 101 towers over the General Purpose Laboratories (GPLs) and support buildings, which are typically one to three stories in height. Building 101 occupies a lot of land bound by the four principal vehicular thoroughfares – North Drive, South Drive, East Drive, and West Drive. Like the GPL buildings, Building 101 is partially clad in beige face brick to distinguish it from the red-brick support buildings. Marble, limestone, and granite cladding enhance the first-floor elevations, further distinguishing Building 101 from the more utilitarian GPL buildings. A single-story brick and glass concourse connects Building 101 to Building 223, also known as the Materials Building.

Building 101 is an excellent example of the modernist International Style. This architectural movement was well-established by the time architects VWSSH began designing the campus in the late 1950s. The International Style first appeared in Europe during the 1920s as a response to the more ornate styles of earlier periods. As such, the style rejected nonessential ornamentation. To create visual interest, practitioners relied on the layering of rectilinear forms and the juxtaposition of asymmetrical window groupings with smooth, unbroken wall planes. A "horizontal feeling" was another defining characteristic of the style. Banded windows and cantilevers emphasized the horizontal dimension over the vertical. The style also developed as a celebration of the industrial age combining man-made materials, such as concrete, glass, and steel, with natural materials. Structural concrete and steel members were frequently displayed as part of the final design, rather than clad in a more decorative material as they would have been in the past.⁵

The International Style – with its clean lines, use of modern materials, and rejection of unnecessary ornamentation – expressed the Institute's dedication to innovation and advances in technology while respecting the need for a pared down, economical design. Throughout the building, there is minimal applied ornament. Instead, VWSSH used asymmetrical grouping of rectilinear forms and the interplay of various materials, including structural steel, plate glass, brick, and marble, to create visual interest. Within the modernist aesthetic, VWSSH utilized spatial organization, circulation, outdoor space, and glass to create the inviting and invigorating academic atmosphere NIST desired.

Building 101 contains fourteen levels: a sub-basement, basement, and floors one through eleven, with a mechanical mezzanine level inserted between floors one and two. The sub-basement contains the elevator and sump pits. The basement originally contained back-of-house spaces, such as storage space, locker rooms, electrical equipment rooms, and maintenance offices. It also housed some more specialized spaces, such as a bank, credit union, photography dark rooms, and medical facilities, including a surgery theater and hydrotherapy room. The library, auditoria, cafeteria, lecture rooms, classrooms, and some staff offices are found on the first floor. The remaining staff offices, conference rooms, and limited laboratory space are found on floors two through eleven of the main office tower, with the Directors' Office suite located on the eleventh floor.

Exterior

In keeping with the tenets of the International Style, Building 101 imparts a strong sense of horizontality, even in the design of the high-rise office tower. The low-rise wings feature long bands of glass curtain wall, cantilevered awnings, and overhanging roofs, all of which emphasize the horizontal dimension. The

⁵ Poppeliers, 127-130.

proportions of the office tower, which is much longer (east-west) than it is tall, further stresses the importance of the horizontal over the vertical.

The core of Building 101 is an 11-story modernist office tower, designated as Wing A, that dwarfs the low-lying laboratory and support buildings. The tower is rectangular in shape with a long east-west axis. The short east and west elevations are windowless and clad in beige brick. The long north and south elevations of the office tower feature regular grids of tall, rectangular windows set within an extruded aluminum frame. Insulated steel porcelain spandrels divide the windows horizontally, exaggerating the office tower's horizontal lines. On the north elevation, the window grid is continuous with windows spaced regularly along the entire length and height of the facade. At the south elevation, two vertical bands of beige face brick divide the windows into three asymmetrical bays. The vertical bands of brick correspond with the locations of interior elevator shafts. The irregular bays also employ the modernist concept of balanced asymmetry and distinguish the primary south elevation from the secondary north façade. At both the north and south elevations, the mezzanine level window frames hold extruded aluminum louvers instead of glass because this floor only houses mechanical equipment. At the first story, wing A extends north of the office tower at the building lobby and first floor offices. The lobby is expressed with aluminum and glass curtain walls while the offices are differentiated with a beige brick cladding. At the roof level, there is a two-story mechanical penthouse with beige brick above dark aluminum cladding. The dark aluminum finish offsets the penthouse from the rest of the tower distinguishing it as a separate block.

Single-story wings extend to the south and west of the central office tower around the Inner Court. Wing B continues the east elevation to the south with a one-story glass and aluminum curtain wall visually supported with marble columns. Wing B wraps eastward to Wing D and westward to Wing C. Wing D is a two-story limestone box with inset beveled marble facades on the east and west elevations of the box. Wing C is visually divided into two sections: the cafeteria facing the courtyard and the dining rooms facing south. The cafeteria section of Wing C is set off with a concrete geometric roof in a scalloped pattern. There is an aluminum and glass curtain wall trimmed with marble facing the courtyard. The south elevation of Wing C is two-stories, with the first story below the grade of the courtyard. Beige face brick at the first story is contrasted with an anodized aluminum brise solieil at the second story giving the first story a recessed visual impact. The west side of Wing C extends to the north with a one-story corridor clad with aluminum and glass curtain wall on both sides. At the west side, beige brick is again used to visually recess the raised basement below the curtain wall. Wing C leads into Wing E, another two-story limestone box. On the primary north elevation, a concrete portico seamlessly extends from an aluminum and glass curtain wall and is supported on marble columns. To the south, the limestone box cantilevers over a black granite-clad raised basement. The south elevation of the box is trimmed in marble to highlight the recessed aluminum, glass, and porcelain paneled curtain wall. To the west of the limestone box on Wing E is a smaller limestone box with aluminum, glass, and porcelain paneled curtain wall. Extending from Wing E to the north is a covered walkway with a concrete geometric roof and square concrete columns. The chevron-patterned roof connects Building 101 with Building 225.

The main entrance to Building 101 is located at the east elevation of Wing A. Additional entrances are found at all elevations except the west: two at the north elevation of Wing A, two at the north elevation of Wing E, one at the east elevation of Wing B, two at the east elevation of Wing D, one at the south elevation of Wing B, and two at the south elevation of Wing C. The main entrances feature granite terraces. There is a large granite terrace at the north side of the library (Wing E). A granite and marble retaining wall lines the east and north elevations of Wings A and B. There is also a loading dock with two additional entrances at the south elevation of Wing B.

At the first-floor level, both the office tower and single-story wings display a variety of exterior cladding materials, including white marble, buff limestone, gray granite, beige brick, and aluminum-and-glass curtainwall systems. Consistent with the International Style, materials express the function of the

building spaces as well as designate their relative importance and formality. White marble is the highestlevel finish. It designates main entrances and the primary facades of more formal interior spaces. It is most prominent at the main east entry and the principal east façade of the Red Auditorium, the larger of the two auditoria. At the Red Auditorium, beveled white marble panels give prominence to the east and west elevations. This high level of detailing is unusual at a facade that does not provide a primary entrance to the building. It marks the Red Auditorium as an especially high-style formal space. It also demonstrates the automobile-centric layout of the NIST campus, as this highly ornamental façade is more visible from the roadway than from pedestrian walkways. Marble also clads the columns at the curtainwall systems, covered terraces, and courtyard. Limestone is the next highest-level finish and it typically indicates secondary facades of important formal spaces, including the library and Red Auditorium. Brick is the most cost-effective of the exterior masonry claddings and is used to offset primary forms. It is the only masonry cladding used on the upper levels of the office tower. Throughout the campus, the color of the brick used on the building is used to distinguish utilitarian buildings (red brick) from general purpose laboratories and institutional buildings (beige). Aluminum-and-glass curtainwall systems emphasize circulation and collaborative spaces and provide a source of daylighting for corridors, stairwells, entrances, the library, and the cafeteria,

Below is a detailed list of the specific type and location of marble, limestone, granite, and brick used on the exterior:

Vermont Meadow White Select Marble

- Building walls at Wing D, East Elevation
- Building wall at Level 1 of Wing A, East Elevation
- Building walls at Wing C, Court Elevation Looking South
- Building walls at Wing A, Court Elevation Looking North
- Building walls at Wing West and East Court Elevations
- Building walls at Section B-B
- Cladding at exterior columns

Indiana Limestone

- Building walls and Fascia at Wing D, South and East Elevations
- Building walls at Wing E, West Elevation
- Building walls and fascia at Wing D, Court Elevation Looking South
- Building walls at Wing E, Section A-A
- Coping at office tower, East and West Elevations

Western Black, Charcoal Black, or St. Cloud Gray Granite

- Wall base and sill at all exterior elevations
- Large pavers at Entrances and East Vestibule
- Stairs at Entrances
- Pavers and Stairs at Library Covered Terrace

Chelmsford Gray or Light Gray Granite

• Small spacers between large granite pavers at Entrances and East Vestibule

- Pavers at exterior walkways
- Wall and coping at raised planters in inner court
- Wall and floor of reflecting pools in inner court

Bright Red, Red, or Red Variegated Granite

• Benches at Library Covered Terrace

Red Variegated, Swenson Pink, or Sunset Red Granite

• Large pavers at sunken plaza in inner court

Western Black, Veined Ebony Black, or Opalescent Granite

• Gravel fill at exterior raised beds

Beige Face Brick

- Building walls at Basement Level and Level 1 of Wing C, West Elevation; Wing C, South Elevation; Wing E, Court Elevation Looking North; Wing B, South Return
- Building walls at Levels 2 through 11, office tower, East and West Elevations
- Building walls at Rooftop Penthouse

Interior Spaces and Design

The interior of Building 101 was designed to accommodate ample flexible office space while also encouraging collaboration and impromptu conversations between employees from different departments. Specialized communal and ceremonial spaces – including the auditoria, cafeteria, and library – occupy the first-floor and mezzanine levels, except in Wing A where the mezzanine contains only mechanical equipment rooms. The second through eleventh floors of the central office building house conference rooms, staff offices, and limited laboratory space. The Director's Office suite is located on the eleventh floor. The building is divided into five wings – identified by the letters A through E - grouped around an inner courtyard.

The first floor is laid out in an asymmetrical yet balanced quadrangle around a central courtyard. The quadrangle is divided into five wings designated by letters A through E. Wings A through C are arranged counterclockwise around the inner courtyard, with visitors entering the main east entrance located in Wing A. Wing A includes the main lobby, office tower, and the corridor and offices north of the inner courtyard. Wing B includes the corridor, lecture rooms, and classrooms east of the inner courtyard as well as the Green Auditorium. The cafeteria dining rooms and kitchens are found in Wing C, which lies to the west of the inner courtyard. Wings D and E project from the southeast and northwest corners of the quadrangle, respectively. Wing D houses the Red Auditorium, while Wing E is the main research library.

Wing A: Office Tower and Lobby

Wing A consists of the eleven-story office tower as well as the first-floor lobby and the corridor and offices to the north of the inner court. Staff offices, conference rooms, and labs that do not require

specialized facilities occupy the floors two through eleven of the office tower. On the first floor, the lobby and corridors feature terrazzo floors and marble wall panels. The first-floor offices are more finished with vinyl asbestos tile floors and flush metal partitions.

Whereas the first-floor program mostly permanent, the upper floor offices were designed to adapt easily to changing programmatic needs. Moveable flush metal partitions demarcate office space. NIST can remove or rearrange these as necessary to accommodate changes in staff organization or programming. Finishes in the office spaces were modest – including vinyl asbestos tile floors and acoustical ceiling tiles. The Director and Associate Directors' office suite are located on the eleventh floor and fitted with more permanent finishes. The walls in these suites have concrete masonry units finished with plaster as opposed to metal partitions. The original decorative finishes were also more elaborate at the executive suite. These included carpet and grass cloth wall coverings. Walnut wall paneling further distinguishes the Director's Office from those of the Associate Directors. The original carpet and grass cloth are no longer extant, and the walnut paneling has been refinished.

Wing B: Green Auditorium and Corridor Fourteen

Wing B lies to the east of the inner court. It houses the Green Auditorium as well as the corridor, classrooms, and lecture halls east of the inner court. The corridor features terrazzo floors, glazed brick wall panels with wood trim, and suspended acoustic tile ceilings. Walnut paneling clads the corridor walls at the entry to the Green Auditorium.

The Green Auditorium is one of the most elaborate spaces in Building 101. The auditorium itself is a 64' -9" by 57' -4-1/4" rectangular space with no formal lobby. Beginning 12' -9'1/2" from the rear (south) wall, the floor drops 4' -6" over a span of 36' -9'1/2". The side aisles, forestage, and rear of the auditorium are carpeted. The floor at the seating area is vinyl asbestos tile. The stage is white oak parquet in a checkerboard pattern. Walls are clad in walnut panels. The ceiling is suspended metal lath and plaster in the center of the room with suspended walnut panels at the perimeter. Original carpet, vinyl asbestos tile, ceiling plaster, and auditorium seating have been replaced over the course of multiple renovations. The Green Auditorium is designed to seat 288 people.

Classrooms and lecture halls contain more utilitarian finishes. The floors are vinyl asbestos tile. Walls are vinyl fabric covering over plaster on the bottom half of the wall with mineral acoustic tiles on the upper half. Original furnishings include steel stairs with pipe railings, slate chalkboards, and sliding wood doors. Ceilings are acoustic mineral tile with surface and flush-mounted rectangular and square florescent light fixtures.

Wing C: Cafeteria and Kitchens

Wings C lies at the south end of the main block of Building 101. The Cafeteria Dining Room takes up almost a third of the entire Wing. The Dining Room is a large rectangular space 130' - 8'' by 56' - 2 - 1/4''. The original floors were vinyl asbestos tile with a repeating pattern at the center and solid field borders on all sides. These have been replaced with vinyl tiles that do not match the original in color or pattern. The main wall finish is vinyl fabric over plaster. Marble and walnut panels are primarily found at the entrances to the Dining Room. Original drawings show that acoustical tiles were to have clad the scalloped concrete ceiling. Presently, the scalloped ceiling is coated in an asbestos containing spray-on plaster. Original cylindrical pendant light fixtures are a significant character defining feature.

The focal point of the dining room is the north wall, an aluminum-and-glass curtainwall system running the full length of the dining room. The curtainwall overlooks the courtyard, giving diners a pleasing view of the outdoor space regardless of their location within the room. The central location of the cafeteria and inner court make both spaces convenient shortcuts for passing from one end of the building to the other. By visually connecting the two spaces through the glass curtain wall, the architects increased the

likelihood of impromptu meetings that might encourage discussion and collaboration between NIST employees.

The smaller staff dining room, a multipurpose space, and two more formal private dining rooms lie along the south side of Wing C. The staff dining room and multipurpose space are of similar size and shape. Originally, they were finished with matching repeating pattern vinyl asbestos tile floors and vinyl fabric wall covering. The existing floors are vinyl tiles that do not match the original in color and pattern. The private dining rooms originally featured gold-colored carpet and walls clad in cherry paneling and grass cloth. Original carpet and grass cloth are no longer extant.

Commercial kitchens and food storage are located between the cafeteria dining room to the north and the smaller dining spaces to the south. Original finishes in these spaces were highly utilitarian, including stainless steel doors, ceramic tile wall veneer, and a ceramic tile base.

Wing D: Red Auditorium

Wing D is a two-story, rectangular structure that projects from the southeast corner of the main block of Building 101. From the exterior, Wing D appears to be a separate structure with its own distinct architectural character. The rectangular Auditorium Lobby – located in Wing B – projects 21' - 2" from the south façade of Building 101. The Lobby abuts the west elevation of the Red Auditorium, providing the only connection between Wing D and the main building.

Designed to seat 756 people, the Red Auditorium is the larger of the building's two auditoria. The auditorium is a 64' - 9'' by $57' - 4 \cdot 1/4''$ rectangular space with a formal lobby located in Wing B. Beginning 13' - 5'' from the rear (west) wall, the floor drops 5' - 0'' over a span of ... The aisles, forestage, and rear of the auditorium are carpeted. Flooring at the seating area is vinyl asbestos tile. The stage is white oak parquet in a checkerboard pattern. The north and south walls of the auditorium are exposed molded concrete block in a stacked pattern with a marble base. The rear west walls are clad in walnut panels. The ceiling is suspended metal lath and plaster in the center of the room with a suspended wood slat ceiling around the perimeter. Original carpet, vinyl asbestos floor tiles, ceiling plaster, and auditorium seating have been replaced over the course of multiple renovations.

Wing E: Library and Museum

The library is located in the northwest corner of Building 101. The library is a rectangular space $148' - 3 \cdot 1/2''$ long (north-south) and 122' - 6'' wide (east-west). The interior space is a full two stories in height with a mezzanine level that spans the full width and three quarters of the length of the space. The mezzanine overlooks the north side of the library, where a two-story aluminum curtain wall floods the space with natural light. Offices and private study rooms run along the south elevation. The focal point of the library is a helical terrazzo stair that provides access to the east end of the mezzanine level. A second straight stair with a central landing provides access to the west end. Both stairs have terrazzo treads and risers and a stainless-steel balustrade with a walnut handrail. Library floors are vinyl asbestos tile. Ceilings are striated acoustical tiles.

The Library Lobby lies to the east of the library and provides access to the Library as well as the Museum and the cataloging room. The Museum and cataloging room are rectangular rooms of equal size on either side of the Lobby – the Museum to the north and the cataloging room to the south. Finishes in these spaces include vinyl asbestos floor tiles, walnut paneling, vinyl fabric wall covering, and acoustical ceiling tiles.

Inner Court

The inner court features a sunken center plaza with a rectangular reflecting pool at its east end. The sunken plaza is paved with large red granite pavers and smaller gray granite spacers. Courtyard surfaces at grade, raised planting beds, and the reflecting pool are all gray granite. A gray granite footbridge crosses the pool on its short axis. Walls surrounding the court are chiefly aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. Six sets of double-leaf aluminum-and-glass doors provide access to the court: two each at the south elevation of Wing A and the north elevation of Wing C and one each at the west elevation of Wing B and the east elevation of Wing E. The roof cantilevers out at the north and south ends of the inner court. Marble-clad columns support the two cantilevers.

TIMELINE OF IMPORTANT MILESTONES IN THE BUILDING'S HISTORY

- 1955: The National Bureau of Standards decides to relocate to a newly constructed campus outside of Washington, DC.
- 1956: The federal government acquires a 560-acre site in Gaithersburg, MD. The General Services Administration selects VWSSH architects of New York to design the new campus.
- 1960: VWSSH present their plans and models for the new facility to NBS. Groundbreaking for Phase I of construction takes place.
- 1962: Construction begins on Phase II, which includes the Administration Building, Radiation Physics Laboratory, and multiple service buildings.
- 1965: The Director's Office moves to the newly completed Administration Building,
- November 15, 1966: The campus dedication ceremony is held in the library Inner court.
- 1972: Regrading and Replanting at Main Entrance
- 1975: Restoration of Lecture Room D
- 1979: Modifications to 9th and 10th floors; new incandescent fixtures in lecture rooms; new stage stair handrail in Red Auditorium
- 1980: Replacement of stairwell #1; installation power operating doors at main entrance; modification to Red Auditorium bathrooms; 5th floor office modifications
- 1981: Roof and fascia repairs; new bookcases and cabinets for library; modifications to 7th 10th floors
- 1983: Rehabilitation of Library roof
- 1984: Replacement of stainless-steel handrails at entrances
- 1986: Sitewide roofing rehabilitation
- 1988: The National Bureau of Standards changes its name to the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Lighting and power modifications in Red Auditorium, Green Auditorium, and Lecture Rooms A-D; renovation of Mail Room.

- 1990: 8th-floor toilet renovations.
- 1991: Fire door modifications at entrances and on 2nd, 3rd, and 6th floors
- 1994: Installation of suspended ceiling in Basement B-wing corridor
- 1995: Handicap stage access in Red Auditorium
- 1996: Sprinkler system modifications and fire safety upgrades.
- Late 1990s: Woodwork in Directors' Office Suite refinished
- 2000: Ceiling replacement in Red and Green Auditoriums; updates to first-floor sprinkler system
- 2001: Ceiling modifications in A and B corridor; modifications to 2nd, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th, and 9th floors; dumbwaiter fill in; installation of NIST directional accessibility signage.
- 2009: Building 101 Entrance and Courtyard Paver Repair
- 2010: Inner Court Renovation
- 2013: West Service Area Café modifications
- 2016: Concrete wall repair in basement
- 2017: Green Auditorium Restroom Renovation.
- 2018: Cafeteria Renovation

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Granite Type 1 – Western Black, Charcoal Black, or St. Cloud Gray, stippled	• Wall base at all elevations	Delano Granite, Cold Spring Granite Co., or Delano Granite	Delano, Minnesota; Cold Spring, Minnesota
Granite Type 2 – Chelmsford Gray, Light Gray, or Light Gray, shot ground	 Small spacers between large pavers at North Entrance, South Entrance, South Entrance, East Entrance, and East Vestibule Walkway Pavers East of Building 101 Landscape Pavers North of Library Stairs in Inner Court Small spacers between large pavers at sunken part of Inner Court Pavers at perimeter of Inner Court Side and Pavers at Foot Bridge over Reflecting Pool in Inner Court 	Fletcher, Swenson, or Mt. Airy	Westford, Massachusetts; Concord, New Hampshire; Mt. Airy, North Carolina
Granite Type 3 - Western Black, Charcoal Black, or St. Cloud Gray, shot ground	 Large pavers at North Entrance, South Entrance, East Entrance, and East Vestibule Stairs at North, South, and East Entrances Pavers and Stair at Library Covered Terrace Curb at Paved Landscape North of Library 	Delano Granite, Cold Spring Granite Co., or Delano Granite	Delano, Minnesota; Cold Spring, Minnesota
Granite Type 4 – Bright Red, Red, or Red Variegated, honed	Benches at Library Covered Terrace	Cold Spring Granite Co., ditto, or Stony Creek	Cold Spring, Minnesota; Branford, Connecticut
Granite Type 5 – Western Black, Veined Ebony	• Fill at raised beds at East and South Entrances	Delano, Cold Spring, ditto	Delano, Minnesota;

Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Black, Opalescent, crushed granite chips			Cold Spring, Minnesota
Granite Type 6 – Chelmsford Gray, Light Gray, or Light Gray, honed	 Wall and Coping at Raised Planters in Inner Court Wall and Floor of Reflecting Pools in Inner Court 	Fletcher, Swenson, or Mt. Airy	Westford, Massachusetts; Concord, New Hampshire; Mt. Airy, North Carolina
Granite Type 7 – Red Variegated, Swenson Pink, or Sunset Red, shot ground	Large Pavers at sunken plaza in Inner Court	Stony Creek, Swenson, or Cold Spring	Branford, Connecticut; Concord, New Hampshire; Cold Spring, Minnesota
Limestone	 Wall Cladding at Mezzanine: East Elevation column lines B and S; West Elevation column lines CC-XX; South Elevation column lines 1-9; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 1-9; Section A-A column lines CC-XX Wall Cladding at Level 1: North Elevation west of column lines 59; East Elevation column lines B and S; West Elevation column lines CC-XX; South Elevation column lines 1-9; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 1-9 Fascia: East Elevation column lines B-S; South Elevation column lines 1-9; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 1-9; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 1-9; Court Fascia: East Elevation column lines 1-9; Court Coping: East Elevation column 	Indiana Limestone Company	Bloomington, Indiana
Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
--	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	column lines KK-SS; Rooftop Penthouse		
Marble Type 1 – Meadow White Select, honed	 Wall Cladding at Level 1: East Elevation column lines B-S and KK-VV; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 30-33 and 45-47; Court Elevation Looking North column lines 35-39; West Court Elevation column lines HH- NN; East Court Elevation column lines KK-NN; Section BB column lines LL-NN Column Cladding at Level 1: North Elevation column lines 9a-29 and 54-59; East Elevation column lines S-FF; South Elevation column lines 9-30; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 10-11; Court Elevation Looking North column lines 9a-12a, 32-34, and 40-42; East Court Elevation column lines V-KK Fascia: North Elevation column lines 54-59; West Elevation column lines CC-XX; Section AA column lines CC-XX; Court Elevation Looking North column lines 54-59 	Green Mountain Marble Company	West Rutland, Vermont
PBS No. 36-772	 Wall Cladding at Basement Level: West Elevation column lines A-CC; South Elevation column lines 13-50; Court Elevation Looking North column lines 48-53; Building Section AA column lines A-C Wall Cladding at Mezzanine and Level 1: North Elevation column lines 29-53; West Elevation column lines A D1. 	Systems	Oregon

Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Aluminum Type 1	 South Elevation column lines 30-50; Court Elevation Looking North column lines 48-53; Building Section AA column lines A-C Wall Cladding Levels 2-11; East and West Elevations of Wing A Wall Cladding at Roof Top Penthouse Curtain Wall Frames u.n.o. 		
– Anodized, satin finish	 Window Frames u.n.o. Full Lite Doors and Frames u.n.o. Enclosure and Fascia at North and South Elevations of Office Tower Fascia at Court Elevation Looking South column lines 33-45 		
Aluminum Type 2 – Alcoa #2020 Gray		Alcoa Corporation	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Aluminum Type 3 – Alcoa Duranodic Medium (Black)	 Louvers and Siding at Rooftop Penthouse Louvers at Level 2 of Wing A Fascia: North Elevation column lines 9a-54; East Elevation column lines S-VV; West Elevation column lines A-CC; South Elevation column lines 9- 50; Court Elevation Looking South column lines 9-33 and 45-48; Court Elevation Looking North column lines 9a-54; West Court Elevation column lines V-NN; East Court Elevation V- NN; Building Section A-A column lines A-C 	Alcoa Corporation	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Aluminum Type 4 – Alcoa #2140 Gray	• Grilles at Wing D East and West Elevation	Alcoa Corporation	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Aluminum Type 5 – Alcoa #2010 Gray	• Metal blades at Curtain Wall at South Elevation Wing C	Alcoa Corporation	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Aluminum Type 6?	 Convector enclosure (detail 5- 1-14) Extruded grilles 		
Porcelain Insulated Steel Panels – PBS #27886 White	 Enclosure at North and South Elevations of Office Tower Curtain Wall at East Elevation Wing E Curtain Wall at South Elevation Wing C Curtain Wall at Court Elevation Looking South Wing B 	Pacific Building Systems	Woodburn, Oregon
Stainless Steel Type 1 – No. 4 Satin finish	 Curtain Wall at East Vestibule A102 Railing at exterior stairs Column cladding at Loading Dock 		
Glass Type 1 – Polished Plate, clear, 1/4"	 Reversible Aluminum Windows at North and South Elevations of Office Tower Curtain Wall at East Vestibule A102 Fixed Aluminum Windows North Elevation Level 1 Curtain Wall at Northwest Entrance Curtain Wall at East Elevation Wing E Fixed Window at South Elevation Wing C Fixed Window above Wing B South Entrance Curtain Wall at Wing E Court Elevation Looking North 		Mud dauber

Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	 Fixed Windows at Wing E Court Elevation Looking North Fixed Windows at North Court Entrances to Wing A 		
Glass Type 2 – Polished Plate, clear, 3/8"	 Curtain Wall at North Elevation Wing E Curtain Wall at South Elevation Wing C Curtain Wall at South Elevation Wing B Curtain Wall at Wing B Court Elevation Looking South Curtain Wall at Wing A Court Elevation Looking North 		
Glass Type 3 – Insulated, clear, 1"	• Curtain Wall at Cafeteria Court Elevation Looking North		
Glass Type 4 – Tinted Plate, Solar Gray No. 4, 3/8"	• Curtain Wall at East Elevation Wing C	Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co.	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Glass Type 5 – Wired, clear, 1/4"	Label Doors		
Glass Type 6 – Tempered, clear	• Full Lite Doors at East Vestibule A102		
Glass Type 7 – Wired, obscure, 1/4"	• Fire Doors???		
Composition Roofing Type 1 – Built Up, Gravel Slag	 Roof over Level 11 of Office Tower Roof over Penthouse 		
Composition Roofing Type 2 – Built Up, Bluestone Chips	• Roof over Level 1: Wing A, Wing B, Wing C (except for Cafeteria Dining Room), Wing D, and Wing E		

Original Exterior and Structural Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Composition Roofing Type 3 – Built Up, white marble chips and white mineral sheets	Roof over Cafeteria Dining Room		
Composition Roofing Type 4 – Traffic Roofing, neoprene rubber composition	•	Dex-O-Tex	Los Angeles, California
Flashing Type 1 – Reinforced Fabric	Below grade		
Flashing Type 2 – Copper	 Exterior wall at Wing A south elevation, mezzanine level Exterior wall at Penthouse Parapet at Penthouse Roof 		
Flashing Type 3 – Lead-Coated Copper	• Wing A parapet wall		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Granite Type 2 – Chelmsford Gray, Light Gray, or Light Gray, shot ground	• Floors at Vestibule (A102 and B139)	Fletcher, Swenson, or Mt. Airy	Westford, Massachusett s; Concord, New Hampshire; Mt. Airy, North Carolina
Granite Type 3 - Western Black,	• Floors at Vestibule (A102 and B139)	Delano Granite, Cold Spring	Delano, Minnesota;

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Charcoal Black, or St. Cloud Gray, shot ground		Granite Co., or Delano Granite	Cold Spring, Minnesota
Marble Type 2 – Meadow White Select	 Walls at Main Lobby (A101) and Vestibule (A103), Elevator Lobby (A104), Exhibit Area (B101), Lobby (B138) Cafeteria Dining Room (C101), West Serving Area (C119), Library, Elevator Lobbies (A201, A301, A401, A501, A601, A701, A801, A901, A1001, A1101) Walls at Corridors 12, 13, 17, 29 	Green Mountain Marble Company	West Rutland, Vermont
Marble Type 3 – Cliffdale Brown Beige, polished	• Wall Base in Vestibule (D101) and Red Auditorium (D103)	Carthage Marble Company	Carthage, Missouri
Marble Type 4 – Imperial Black, honed	• Main Lobby (A103)	Alabama Marble Co.	Sycalauga, Alabama
Brick, Glazed - #625 Gray (stack joints, truss type reinforcement)	 Wall at Exhibit Area (B101) Wall at Corridors 13, 14, 16, and 17 	Hanley Brick Company	Bradford, Pennsylvania
Concrete Masonry Unit – smooth texture, painted (concave 3/8-inch joint)	 Ceiling and Walls at Main Shipping and Receiving (BB50) Walls and Ceiling at Telephone Closets (A202, A213, A302, A312, A402, A413, A502, A512, A602, A610, A702, A713, A802, A809, A902, A909, A1002, A1012) Ceiling at Mechanical Equipment Room (CM101) 		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	 Ceiling at Electric Room (DM101) and Storage (DM103) Ceiling at Stair 19 Walls at Rooms AB5, AB9-10, AB15-16, AB24-26, AB28, AB33, AB37 Walls at Rooms BB1-2, BB11- 12, BB15-18, BB27, BB37-39, BB41-42, BB46-BB47, BB51, CB41, CB44-45, CB56, CB58, EB3, EB12-1, CM101, D107 Walls at Electric Closet (A105, A122, B114, B124, C112, E109-110, EM1, AM102-104, A206, A306, A406, A506, A606, A706, A806, A906, A1006, A1106) Walls at Telephone Closet (A110, A121, C114, E108, E112, A1102, A1117) Walls at Corridors 1-7, 10, 11, 19, 31 Walls at Stair 19 Walls at Rooftop Penthouse 		
Concrete Masonry Unit, molded – smooth texture natural (flat concave joint matching CMU in color)	• Walls in Red Auditorium (D103)	Way-Lite Company	Chicago, Illinois
Concrete, painted	 Ceiling at Rooms AB6, AB10a, AB15-16, AB24-26, AB28, AB37-37b Ceiling at Rooms BB1-2, BB17, BB27, BB37-39, BB41- 42, BB46-47, BB50-52, BB55 Ceiling at Rooms CB15, CB33, CB38, CB41-45, CB53, CB56, CB58 		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	 Ceiling at Rooms EB1-3, EB6, EB12-13 Ceiling at Rooms A105, A110, A119-119a, A121-122, AM101-104, A1102, A1117 Ceiling at Rooms B114, B124 Ceiling at Rooms C112, C114 Ceiling at Rooms C112, C114 Ceiling at Rooms E108-110, E112, EM1 Ceiling at Electric Closets (A206, A306, A406, A506, A606, A706, A806, A906, A1006, A1106) Ceiling at Corridors 3, 11, 19, 31 Ceiling at Rooms AB6, AB37a-37b, BB50, BB52, BB55, CB38, EB1-2, EB6) Walls at Rooms A119-119a, AM101 		
Aluminum Type 2 – Alcoa #2020 Gray	 Radiator Enclosure at Exhibit Area (B101) and Lobby (B138) Radiator Enclosure at Corridors 12-17 	Alcoa Corporation	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Aluminum Type 6 - Anodized w/ fine satin finish	Interior Window TrimCurtain WallFull-Lite Glazed Doors		
Steel (type – see specs) – baked on enamel	 Doors, window stools, and radiator enclosures u.n.o. Toilet and shower room enclosures u.n.o. Wall Base u.n.o. 		
Steel (see specs) – painted	• Door Frames u.n.o.		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	• Stair Risers, Platform Bases, Stringers, Fascia, Railings, and Soffits		
Steel, Stainless – 120 Belt Finish	 Elevator and Dumbwaiter Doors (1st Floor only) Ceiling, Doors, and Door Trim at Vestibules (A102 and B139) Doors and Door Trim at Corridor 12 		
Wood Type 1 – Natural White Oak Parquet Block, prefinished, checkerboard pattern	 Auditorium Stages (B130, D103) 		
Wood Type 3 – American Figured Walnut, quartered	 Wall Panels at Lecture Rooms (B102, B105, B117); Closets (B117a-b); Entrance (B125, B129) Wall and Ceiling Panels at Green Auditorium (B130) Wall and Ceiling Panels at Entrance Vestibule (D101) and Red Auditorium (D103) Wall Panels at Library Lobby (E104) and Museum (E105) Walls Panels at Corridors 13, 17 		
Wood Type 4 – Butternut, flat sliced			
Wood Type 5 – Butternut, plain sawed			
Wood Type 6 – American Walnut, quarter sawed			

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Wood Type 7 – Cherry, vertical graining, flat sliced	• Wall Paneling at Private Dining Rooms (C110 and C115)		
Acoustical Ceiling Tile – type IIA perforated aluminum, 12" x 24", white	 Ceiling at Manager's Office (CB62) Kitchen (CB63) Ceiling at Cafeteria Counter Serving (C102a), Receiving Pantry (C118) Service Pantry (C121), Dishwashing (C122), Office (C123) 		
Acoustical Prefab Unit – type VA mineral plaster, 12" x 12", "Owens Corning" gray on white	 Ceiling at Rooms AB1-2, AB5, AB7, AB8-10, AB14, AB17, AB20-22, AB29-36 Ceiling at Rooms BB11, BB12, BB16-17, BB19-BB23, BB28-BB29, BB43-45, BB48- 49 Ceiling at Rooms CB20, CB26, CB29-31, CB34-36 Ceiling at Rooms EB4-5, EB7, EB9, EB11, EB15 Ceiling in Rooms A111-118, A120, A123-128, A130, A207, A1013 Ceiling in Rooms B102, B103, B105, B106-112, B115, B117 Ceiling at Rooms C119 Ceiling at Rooms C119 Ceiling at Rooms E101, E103, E105-106, E113, EM5, EM8- 19 Ceiling at Elevator Lobbies (A201, A301, A401, A501, A601, A701, A801, A901, A1001) Ceiling at Offices in Wing A Levels 2-11. Ceiling at Corridors 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 18, 19, 29, 30 		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	• Wall Covering at Multipurpose Studio (BB18), TWX (BB43), Audio Room (CB30)		
Acoustical Tile, striated, 12" x 24"	• Ceiling at Library Lobby (E104), Periodicals (E115), Library Offices (E116-121), Conference Room (E122), Stack Area and Reading Room (E123), Staff Room (EM7), and Mezzanine Stack Area and Reading Room (EM20)		
Acoustical Tile, mineral fissured, 12" x 24"			
Cement Coat Waterproofing, Metallic	 Floor and Walls of Elevator Pit Stair Treads and Landings at Elevator Pit 		
Acoustic Plaster, Textured	 Ceiling at Vestibule (A101), Main Lobby (A103), and Elevator Lobby (A104) Ceiling at Exhibit Area (B101), Lobby (B138) Ceiling at Corridors 12-17 		
Fireproof Plaster – smooth, painted	 Ceiling at Stairs 15 and 15A Ceiling at Electric Room (DM101) and Storage (DM103) 		
Gypsum Plaster – smooth painted	 Ceiling at Rooms AB4, AB11, AB27, BB31-33, BB35, CB1- 2, CB5, CB11, CB14, CB19, CB23, CB40, CB46-48, CB51, CB54, EB14 Ceiling at Rooms A106-106a, A108, A132, A1014-1015, A1017, A1105, A1110, A1112, A1114, A1116, A1124, 		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	 Ceiling at Rooms B102a-102b, B104, B105a-105b, B113, B116, B117a, B118-119, B122, B126, B130, B132, B135-136 Ceiling at Rooms C106-109, C110, C113, C115, C117-118, C120 Ceiling at Auditorium (D103), Electric Room (DM101), Storage (DM103) Ceiling at Rooms E102, E107, E111, E114, EM4, EM6 Ceiling at Service Closets (A205, A305, A405, A505, A605, A705, A805, A905, A1005) Ceiling at Vending Areas (A307, A507, A807) Ceiling at Vomen's Restrooms (A407, A607, A707, A907, A1007) Ceiling at Stairs 3-5, 10-12, 20 Ceiling at Stairs 3-5, 10-12, 20 Ceiling at Rooms AB1-3, AB7, AB23, CB2a, CB52 Walls at Rooms AB1-3, AB7, AB17, AB20-22, AB29-32, AB34-36, BB19-23, BB28, BB44-45, BB48-49, CB10, CB20, CB26, CB29, CB31, CB34-36, EB4-5, EB7, EB11, EB15 Walls at Rooms A106-106a, A111, B102a-102b, B103-104, B105a-105b, B113, B116, B117a-117b, B126-127, C107, DM102, E102, E107, E111, E115, A1014-1015, A1017 Walls at Closets on Level 11 Walls at Stairs 5, 10, 15, 15a 		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Keene's Cement Plaster – smooth hard white painted	 Ceiling at Vestibules and Toilet Rooms (AB8, AB12-13, AB18-19, BB30, BB34, BB46. CB3-4, CB6, CB8, CB39, CB49-50, CB55, EB8, EB10, A107, A109, A129, A131, B121, B123, B134, B137, C104-105, D106, DM104, EM2, EM3, A203-204, A303- 304, A403-404, A503-504, A603-604, A703-704, A803- 804, A903-904, A1003-1004, A1103-1104, A1125) Ceiling at Rooms BB4-10, BB13-15, BB24, BB40, CB7, CB9, CB16, CB21-22, CB24- 25, CB27-28, CB32, CB57, CB59-61 		
Ceramic Tile, Unglazed Porcelain – 3000 Medley, Browns and Grays	 Floor at Toilet Rooms (AB8, AB12, AB19, BB34, BB36, CB6, CB39, CB55, A107, A133, B123, B137, C105, D106, DM104, EM3, A203, A303, A403, A503, A603, A703, A803, A903, A1003, A1103) Floor at Toilet Vestibules (AB13, AB18, BB32, BB35, B122, B136, C106) 	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Unglazed Porcelain – 112 Turquoise	 Floor at Rooms BB4-10, BB13-14, BB24, BB30, BB40 Floor at Rooms CB21-22, CB24-25, CB27-28, CB3 	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Unglazed Porcelain – 3000 Medley, Blues	 Floor at Toilets (CB3-4, CB49- 50, EB10, A109, A129, B121, B134, C104, EM2, A204, A304, A404, A504, A604, A704, A804, A904, A1004, A1104) Floor at Toilet Vestibules (A131, C109, 	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Ceramic Tile, Unglazed Porcelain – 183 White	• Floor at Shower Room (CB7)	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Unglazed Porcelain – 2" x 2", 1691 Brown	• Floor at Toilet Room (A1125)	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Glazed Interior – satin finish, 6300 White Mosaic	 Walls at Men's Toilet Rooms and Vestibules (AB8, AB12- 13, BB35-36, CB6, CB39, CB55, EB8, A107, A133, B122-123, B136-137, C105- 106, D106, DM104, EM3, A203, A303, A403, A503, A603, A703, A803, A903, A1003, A1103) 	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Glazed Interior – satin finish, 6300 White Splatter	• Walls at Shower Room (CB7)	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Glazed Interior – satin finish, 1801 White	 Walls at Women's Toilet Rooms and Vestibules (AB18- 19, BB30, BB32, BB34, CB3- 4, CB49-50, A109, A129, A131, B121, B134, C104, C109, EM2, A204, A304, A404, A504, A604, A704, A804, A904, A1004, A1104) 	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Glazed Interior – satin finish, 1101 Turquoise	• Walls at Rooms BB4-10, BB13-14, BB24, BB40	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Tile, Glazed Interior – satin finish, 1821 White, 1" x 2"	• Walls at Toilet Room (A1125)	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Ceramic Tile, Glazed Interior – satin finish	 Wall and Base at Toilet Rooms Wall and Base at Photography Dark Rooms, Film Drying Room (BB13), Chem. Mix and Store Room (BB4), and Light Trap Hall (BB5) Wall and Base at Vestibules (AB13, AB18, BB35, A131, B136, B122, B132, C106, C109) Wall and Base at Shower Room (CB7) 	Mosaic Tile Company	Zanesville, Ohio
Ceramic Veneer – matt glaze	• Wall and Wall Base at Cafeteria Counter Serving Areas (C102A and C119A), South Serving Area (C113)	Federal Seaboard Terra Cotta Co.	New York, New York
Plywood Wainscot, painted	 Telephone Closets (AB16, AB26, CB44, EB13, A110, E112, A202, A213, A302, A312, A402, A413, A502, A512, A602, A610, A702, A713, A802, A809, A902, A909, A1002, A1012, A1102, A1117) 		
Steel Partitions and Wainscot, Flush Metal – baked on enamel	 Offices and Closets u.n.o. 1st-Floor Administrative Spaces Library Offices and Study Rooms 		
Steel Partition, metal panel construction with recessed joints	 1st-Floor Administrative Spaces Library Offices and Study Rooms Corridor 12 		
Structural Facing Tile Wall and Base, Opaque Ceramic Glazed – satin matt, smooth texture	 Service Closets at Basement, Level 1, and Level 11 Rooms AB4, CB7, CB24, CB28, CB32, CB37, CB40, CB42, CB46-48, CB51, CB53- 	Arketex	Brazil, Indiana

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	 54, CB57, CB59-60, CB62, CB65 Rooms C108, C116, C120- 123, E114, EM6 		
Structural Facing Tile Walls, Unglazed – smooth texture	 Service Closets (A205, A305, A405, A505, A605, A705, A805, A905, A1005) 	Arketex	Brazil, Indiana
Structural Facing Tile Base, Unglazed – Red Canyon, smooth Texture	 Cafeteria Counter Serving (C102a) Service Closets (A205, A305, A405, A505, A605, A705, A805, A905, A1005) 	Murray Tile Company, Inc.	Lewisport, Kentucky
Wall Covering, Grass Cloth	 Private Dining Rooms (C110 and C115) Waiting Room (A1013, A1017) Conference Room (A1108) Offices (A1109, A1111, A1115, A1126, A1130, A1132, A1136, A1138) Deputy Director (A1119) Director (A1121) Secretary Offices (A1128, A1134, A1140) Reserved (A1142) Corridor 29 	Charles R. Gracie Laue Bros. Timbertone Albert Van Luit Company *Specific manufacturer and pattern listed in Color Schedule in Complete Drawing Set (1962)	New York, New York Los Angeles, California
Wall Covering, Paper	 Women's Restrooms (BB33, CB5, EB9, A130, B120, B133, A207, A407, A607, A707, A907, A1007, A1107) 	Katzenbach and Warren Pageant Renverne Thibaut	New York, New York

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Wall Covering, Vinyl Fabric		Gilford Inc.	New York, New York
		Moderncote	New Castle, Indiana
Wall Covering, Vinyl Fabric (Naugahyde)	 Coat Rooms (B128, B131) Vending Areas (C103a, C118) Vestibule (E101) Corridors 10, 15, and 19 	Uniroyal Corporation	Naugatuck, Connecticutt
Wall Covering, Vinyl Fabric (Vicrtex)	 Lecture Rooms (B102, B105) Conference Rooms (B106-107, B117) Vestibule (B108, B116a) Office (B109, B112) Reading Room (B110-111) Classroom (B115) Women's Restroom and Vestibule (B119-120) Cafeteria Dining Room (C101) Red Auditorium (D103) Cataloging (E103), Library Lobby (E104), and Vestibule (E113) Stairs 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, and 20 	L. E. Carpenter and Company	New York, New York
Wall Covering, Vinyl Fabric (Vin-L- Fab)	 Cafeteria West Serving Area (C119) Xerox Room (E106), Conference Room (E122), Stack Area and Reading Room (E123) Passage (EM3) and Study Rooms (EM8, EM18, EM19, EM20) 	Thortex	
Vinyl Base, Preformed	 Vending Area (A307, A507, A807) Vestibules (D102, D104, D108, D109) 	Johnsonite	Chagrin Falls, Ohio
Carpet	• Private Dining Rooms (C110 and C115)	Archibald Holmes and Son;	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	 Entrances (B125 and B129) Entrance Vestibule (D101) Eleventh-Floor Offices, Conference Rooms, and Waiting Room Elevator Cabs 1-4 	Bigelow Carpet Company Ernest Treganowan, Inc.;	Clinton, Massachusett s New York, New York
Concrete Floor, Monolithic – smooth	 Electrical Closets Stair 19 Mechanical Equipment Rooms (AB10A, BB53, AB37A, AB37B) Elevator Pit 		
Concrete Floor, Monolithic - painted	 Garden Store and Closet (A119 and A119A), Store Room (AB25), Electrical Equipment Room (AB37) Corridors 3, 11, and 31 Signal Closet (AB37B) Mechanical Equipment Rooms (CM101, AB6) Telephone Closets Electric Room (DM101) Storage Room (DM103) 		
Concrete Floor, 1" Integral Topping – Smooth	• Main Shipping and Receiving (BB50)		
Cork Tile (9" x 9")	• Floor at Audio Room (CB30)	Armstrong Cork Company	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Earth Floor	• Unfinished Area (DB1)	N/A	N/A
Laticrete	• Floor at Multi-Purpose Studio (BB18)	Laticrete International, Inc.	Bethany Connecticut
Quarry Tile, Abrasive, flush with finish top – Red Canyon, Unglazed	 Floor at service closets and locker rooms. Floor at Vestibules (CB46 and B135) 	Murray Tile Company, Inc.	Lewisport, Kentucky

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Quarry Tile – Romany Spartan	 Floor at Food Storage (CB37), Garbage Refrigeration (CB37), Trash (CB43) Floor at Dairy Refrigeration (CB37), Freezer (CB59), Meat Room (CB60), and Vegetable Room (CB61) Floor at Receiving Pantry (C116) Floor at Cafeteria Counter Serving Areas (C102A and C119A) Vestibule (CB46) Locker Rooms (CB48, CB51) 	US Quarry Tile	Canton, Ohio
Solo Blue	• Locker Rooms (CB48, CB51)	Company	
Terrazzo Floor and Base (NTMA Plate 130) – Black, Red, Yellow, Cream, and White Chips in Gray Cement	 Corridor 13 Stairs 3, 4, 5, 10-12, and 20 		
Terrazzo Floor and Base (NTMA Plate 141) – Gray and White Chips in Black Cement	 Vestibule (A101), Main Lobby (A103), and Elevator Lobby (A104) Exhibit Area (B101) and Lobby (B138) Vending Areas (C103a and C118) Corridors 13-19 		
Terrazzo Floor and Base (NTMA Plate 141) – Gray and White Chips in White Cement	• Vestibule (E101)		
Terrazzo Floor and Base (NTMA Plate 209) – White, Red,	• Stairs 1 and 2		

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
and Black Chips in White Cement			
Terrazzo, Precast stair tread	• Stairs 10, 13, 14, and 19		
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") - #3504 Gray	 Rooms AB1-3, AB5, AB9, AB10, AB14, AB17, AB20- 23, AB29-36 Rooms BB11-12, BB15-17, BB19-23, BB28-29, BB43-45, BB48-49 Rooms CB52-53 Rooms EB4-5, EB7, EB11, EB15 Corridors 1, 2, 4-6, 7, and 10 	Mastic Tile Corporation of America	Houston, Texas
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") - #3508 Light Brown	 Rooms CB1, CB2-2a, CB8-11, CB14, CB16, CB20, CB26, CB29, CB31, CB34-36 Rooms B126-128, B131 Corridor 9 	Mastic Tile Corporation of America	Houston, Texas
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") - #311 White	• Womens Restroom (EB9)	Mastic Tile Corporation of America	Houston, Texas
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Vina-Lux Calcutta v310 Black	 Rooms A106-106a Room E102, E104-105 	Uvalde Rock Asphalt Co.	San Antonio, Texas
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Vina-Lux Sandalwood v331	 Rooms C113 Rooms E103, E104-107, E111, E113, E123 Rooms EM4-5, EM7-20 Corridor 30 	Uvalde Rock Asphalt Co.	San Antonio, Texas
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Vina-Lux Tuxedo v319 White	• Rooms E115-122	Uvalde Rock Asphalt Co.	San Antonio, Texas
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Classic IV White	• Women's Restrooms (BB33, CB5, A130, B120, B133,	Flintkote Company	Chicago Heights, Illinois

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
	A207, A407, A607, A707, A907, A1007, A1107) • Vestibules (B119, B132)		
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Classic IV Gray	 Reserved (A111) Offices (A112-118, A120, A123-128) Rooms (B102-113, B115, B116-117b) Closet (C107) Stairs 13 and 14 	Flintkote Company	Chicago Heights, Illinois
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Classic II Light Brown	 Vestibules (D102, D104, D108-109) Red Auditorium (D103) Waiting Room (D105) Projection Room (DM102) Elevator Lobby (A1101) Closets (A1110, A1112, A1114, A1116, A1120, A1122-1124) Stairs 15 and 15a 	Flintkote Company	Chicago Heights, Illinois
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Classic X Sage Green	 Elevator Lobbies (A201, A301, A401, A501, A601, A701, A801, A901, A1001) Vending Areas (A307, A507, A807) Offices on Levels 2-10 Closet (A1014) Corridor 29 	Flintkote Company	Chicago Heights, Illinois
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Snipe Salmon 903 (Field) and White 92 (Feature)	• Cafeteria Dining Room (C101), East Serving Area (C102), and West Serving Area	Johns-Manville Corporation	New York, New York
Vinyl Asbestos Tile (9" x 9") – Warbler Green 958 (Field) and White 92 (Feature)	• Multi-Purpose Room (C103), Staff Dining Room (C117),	Johns-Manville Corporation	New York, New York

Original Interior Materials			
Element/Item	Location in Building	Supplier	Source/ Headquarters
Vinyl Asbestos Tile	• Auditorium (B130)	Johns-Manville	New York,
(9" x 9") – Macaw		Corporation	New York
Green 965			
Louverall Ceiling	Elevator Cabs 1-4	Garden City	Chicago,
System		Plating and	Illinois
		Manufacturing	
		Company	

13³ •

OORHEES WALKER SMITH SMITH & HAINES

RVICES ADMINISTRA S SERVICE WASHINGTO NAL BUREAU OF STA NEW FACILITIES ITGOMERY COUNTY, MARY

BASEMENT FLOOR PLA

101

3-1

ECTS - NEW YORK, N. Y.

AWING

(N 1957

some believy







SCALE : 52"/"0". (

ARCHITECTUR

101

3-2

NO.

Section B - 37



 3

 VOOPHEES WALKER SMITH SMITH & HAIRES AGOINTET, KER YOR, K.V.
 00 NO.123

 CPUL A, A 743 L2 AVA.A.S.A. Without and another service and another service and momentary and another service and another service memory and another service and another service and another memory another service another service another service memory another service another se

ARCHITECTS FILE NO. BINDING ORDER NO. 2225 - Lor - 24 5

SCALE: 1/32" + 15 0"



Section B - 39





ICES ADMINISTRA IONAL BUREAU OF STAN NEW FACILITIES

IOI

ASEMENT FLOOR PLA

3-5

AL BUREAU OF STANE

101

NEW FACILITIES

ASEMENT FLOOD

3-6

ARCHITECTUR













GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION ATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDAR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAN 18084 FILE NO. DATE MONIA CHECKED BY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

3-8

BLDS, NO





Section B - 45

BLDG. NK









ARCHITECTS THE ROL








Section B - 53

T. 17

1000



LOTE AREAS NAVING SPACE NUMBERS WITH UNDESIGNATED ANNISH SHALL HAVE FIA MO F-3 SPACE NO A/284 NOT USED





Section B - 54

32

(13)

12

())) ()) Q

A. 6

19:34

) Distance

An y

ANT ALLEL

An Alteraco

٢ MATH RESEARCH

Hellenser

•

MITH RETENCCH

ANNUHANI.

7:10

CONFOLTANT.

21-214"

1.50

¢,

10'05'

LOBBY ADD

200

-<u>d</u>igh

Secretury

a

a - 3:97



AREAS HAVING SPACE NUMBERS WITH UNDESIGNATED FINISH SHALL MAVE FIN NO F-B

04 VOORHEES WALKER SMITH SMITH & HAINES TIONAL BUREAU OF STANDAI NEW FACILITIES 0 KEY MAN RAWING. TITLE ADMINISTRATION DUILDING TCALE 1/5" . 1:0" AWING 3-20

IOI

Section B - 55



AREAS NAVING SANCE NUMBERS WITH . UNDESIGNATED FINISN SHALL MAVE FIN 10 F-3 -SPACE NUMBER NOT USED A/414

· ·





WAS

IOI

Section B - 56



AREAS HAVING SPACE NUMBERS WITH UNDESIGNATED FINISH SHALL HAVE FINING FIG SHACE NUMBERS NOT USED A/SOS SIL THEU SIG SESTIMUT SEG





2-9-62

FILE NO

101



ROTE AREAS NAVING SANCE NUMBERS WITH UNDESIGNATED FINISH SANLE MAYE FIN IN F-3 - CRAME NUMBERS NOT OSED A/GIQ THEU A/GIS





"M2.9.6

101

(

Section B - 58



AREAS NAVING SPACE NUMBERS WITH DUDESIGUATED FINISH SHALL MAYE FINISH P-3





ARCHITECTS FILE NO. 2225 - 101 - 218

2-9-63

FILE NO.

1

Section B - 59



AREAS HAVING SPACE NUMBERS WITH UNDERIGNATED FINISH SHALL HAVE FIN 10 F-3 SPACE NUMBERS NOT USED NOIS NOTE





2-9.6

0

NEW FACILITIES

DMINISTRA TION BUIL ARCHITECTURA

ARCHITECT

3-25





L BUREAU OF STAND NEW FACILITIES SONERY COUNTY, MA

101

DATE 120 04 CHECKED

MINTH FLOOR PLAN WING A ADMINISTRATION BUIL

RCHITECTS FILE NO.

Section B - 61

-92

-(24)

-(5)

M 0

valat

٩

Ċ

- RATERING

2 2:9

MATCH LINE -

65

arthe

167 SA

10104

CTRUM

ANALYSTS

(∰)≠ ÷

FORMUL

A

CONFERENCE 2 WOOL DOWN

Anne Carles

æ PAPER WORK

AND SURVEYS

OLYNELON CHIEF MAT PLAN



NOTE AREAS NAVING SPACE NUMBERS WITH NO F-3 UNDESIGNATED FINISH EMALL HAVE FIN NO F-3 SPACE NUMBERS MIT USED - A/10/6



NAL BUREAU OF STAN ERY COUNTY, MA FILE NO.

3-27

ARCHITECTS FILE NO. 2225-101-218

BUILDI ITECTUR

101

Section B - 62





NO TE ALL WOOD PANELING, WOOD TRIM, WOOD DOORE ON THIE FLOOR SHALL BE WALNUT -WOOD TYPE 3



AL BUREAU OF STAND

RCHITECTUR 3-28



TYPICAL PLAN ELEVATORS 1,2,3 & 4

RAWING

NO

ORIENTATION

3-29

FILE NO. BINDING

IOI



the second of Billson a low







OR

ARCHITECTS FILE NO. BINDING ORDER NO

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



NBS Campus (Gaithersburg) Administration Building - architect's drawings. NIST Digital Archives - Gaithersburg Campus, Architectural Drawings. June 27, 1962.



NBS Campus (Gaithersburg) Administration Building - architect's drawings. NIST Digital Archives - Gaithersburg Campus Collection, Architectural Drawings. June 27, 1962.



NBS Campus (Gaithersburg) Administration Building NIST Digital Archives - Gaithersburg Campus collection. December 27, 1962.



Building 101 Looking Southeast - June 25, 1963 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book I - Construction No. 41.



Building 101 Looking Southeast - February 18, 1964 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book IV - Construction No. 74.



Building 101 Looking Southeast to Wing A - May 22, 1964 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book IV - Construction No. 88.



Building 101 Wing A Looking Southwest - September 23, 1963 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book I - Construction No. 53.



Building 101 Looking South Wing C - May 22, 1964 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book IV - Construction No. 85.



Building 101 Spiral Stair in Library - August 21, 1963 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book I - Construction No. 46.



Building 101 3rd Floor West - July 17, 1964 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. Blake Construction Co. General Contractors Progress Photos - Phase II, Book V - Construction No. 96.



Building 101 Library Looking South - November 1966 NIST Library Vertical Files - Gaithersburg Campus. "The New NBS Laboratory Complex." National Bureau of Standards Technical News Bulletin Dedication Issue. November 1966. Page 229.



Administration Building. NIST Digital Archives - Gaithersburg Campus. June 27, 1962.









Auditorium "B" Wing. NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.


Library Lobby Museum Cataloguing. NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.



Cafeteria.

NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.



Typical Stairs. NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.



Auditorium "D" Wing. NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.



Director's Suite - - - 11th Floor NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.



Associate Director's Office - Scheme "D". NIST Library Archives - Material Boards. Voorhees Walker Smith Smith & Haines. National Bureau of Standards New Facilities.

SECTION C: HISTORIC BUILDING ZONE PLANS/ INVENTORY OF SIGNIFICANT FEATURES & MATERIALS



HISTORIC BUILDING ZONE CLASSIFICATIONS

Three preservation zone classifications have been overlaid on the interior spaces of Building 101 to identify, document, and clarify preservation priorities of the historically and architecturally significant extant features and spaces of the building. The three preservation zone classifications are: 1) Restoration Zone; 2) Rehabilitation Zone and 3) Renovation Zone. The preservation zone classifications are depicted by different colors on the floor plan drawings.

This report reviewed the significant architectural features of the interior spaces that were included in the two highest priority zone classifications. Renovation zones were not investigated. The elements within Restoration and Rehabilitation zones are further detailed by inventory lists which itemize the significant features within the area or space and the related material, finish, and approximate date of those listed features. Photographs document significant features within the inventory.

This classification system provides guidance to NIST management and staff when determining what magnitude of intervention and disruption of historic fabric is appropriate in a given space during maintenance and renovation activities. It also informs design decisions for sensitive alterations and additions within the historic building.

Preservation Zones – Definitions

Restoration Zone (red)

Spaces identified as Restoration Zones are public or private spaces with a high level of integrity and architectural finish, possessing architectural and/or historical significance, and containing significant architectural features.

These areas have unique or distinctive architectural features, such as original materials or details, representative examples of highly skilled craftsmanship, or the work of a notable architect or builder. They may also be of significance due to their association with persons or events of preeminent importance.

Architectural features in these spaces should be preserved. If any work is done in these zones as part of repair or alteration project, the significant architectural features are to be restored to their original appearance.

Treatment Guidelines for Restoration Zone

The overall volume and architectural features should be maintained and preserved as a highest priority. Treatment or intervention in a Restoration Zone should be very carefully planned and designed so that any alteration (for example, installation of new or upgraded building systems such as ductwork, piping, conduit, etc.) will result in little or no visible impact, and little or no loss of historic fabric. Where repair or restoration of architectural features is required, that work should conform to current technical standards.

All work in Restoration Zones should be reviewed and approved by NIST's Federal Preservation Officer.

Rehabilitation Zone (yellow)

Spaces identified as Rehabilitation Zone areas are public or private spaces with a moderate to high level

of integrity and architectural finish, possessing architectural and/or historical significance, and containing significant architectural features that should be preserved or restored as part of any repair or alteration project.

These spaces contain significant architectural features and original materials, but are less ornate than the Restoration Zone in overall character.

Architectural features in these spaces should be preserved. If any work is done in these zones as part of repair or alteration project, the significant architectural features are to be restored to their original appearance to the greatest extent possible.

Treatment Guidelines for Rehabilitation Zone 1

Spaces in this category may be rehabilitated to meet modern functional needs, but every effort should be made to retain and preserve the significant architectural features. New materials may be introduced, but this should be done in as sensitive a manner as possible to assure retention of architectural character of these spaces. Visual intrusions should be avoided. Where repair or preservation of existing features is required, that work should conform to current technical standards.

All work in Rehabilitation Zones should be reviewed and approved by NIST's Federal Preservation Officer.

Renovation Zone (green)

Spaces identified as Renovation Zones are areas of minimal architectural or historical significance containing few or no architectural features that will need to be preserved or restored as part of a repair or alteration project. Renovation Zones may contain isolated elements of historic and architectural significance that should be preserved and maintained.

Treatment Guidelines for Renovation Zone

Renovation Zone spaces are often not publicly accessible and contain few significant architectural details therefore, the retention and preservation of architectural features is of minimal importance. Use of contemporary design elements is recommended as a means of preserving the overall continuity of these areas of the building. Although substantial redesign of these areas is acceptable, alterations should temper the intrusive quality of projects such as installation of mechanical and electrical systems through careful coordination of new work with existing architectural features and finishes to the greatest extent feasible. Where repair or preservation of existing features is required, such work should conform to current technical standards.





BUILDING 101 - ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

PRESERVATION ZONING PLANS

SIXTH FLOOR RESTORATION ZONE REHABILITATION ZONE

RENOVATION ZONE



BUILDING 101 - ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

PRESERVATION ZONING PLANS SEVENTH FLOOR RESTORATION ZONE REHABILITATION ZONE RENOVATION ZONE



PRESERVATION ZONING PLANS EIGHTH FLOOR RESTORATION ZONE REHABILITATION ZONE RENOVATION ZONE

Section C - 6



BUILDING 101 - ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

PRESERVATION ZONING PLANS ELEVENTH FLOOR RESTORATION ZONE REHABILITATION ZONE RENOVATION ZONE

Section C - 7

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

METHODOLOGY

The Inventory of Significant Features and Materials for Building 101 was completed by John Milner Associates Preservation (JMAP). In February 2019, the team began a comprehensive survey of interior and exterior spaces to establish the Restoration Zone and Rehabilitation Zones for the building.

The project team noted and photographed contributing features, finishes, and possible alterations to the floor plan and features. It is important to note that some historically significant features listed in the inventory may not be original to the building. For example, due to deterioration, these features may have replaced original fabric and match the original features in configuration, materials, and finish. Some modifications to the building may be considered historically significant because they represent a modification to the building over time that has become a significant part of its evolution and use.

The following inventory is organized by historic building zone classification and then by exterior elevation followed by interior rooms in the building. The zone for each room or space is indicated at the top of the page. The significant features in each room are listed in a table format, including general photographs of the room or space and any significant details or elements and sub-elements.

The inventory sheets for each room are organized in the following manner:

General Description—This section provides a brief overview of the elevation or room providing location, general history and describing major alterations to each space.

Feature Description—This section provides a listing of each contributing feature of the elevation or room. The features are then described in more detail with information about material, finish, and date and a corresponding photograph is presented in the right-hand column.

Unique interior spaces, such as the auditoria and library, are inventoried separately. Room types that repeat throughout the building, such as corridors and offices, have general inventory sheets that describe the typical features and finishes of these spaces along with any anomalies unique to only a portion of that room type. Corridors are divided up according to which wing they occupy. Corridors in Wings A, B, and C are distinct enough to merit individual classification. There are no corridors in the surveyed portions of Wings D and E. Within Wing A, corridors are further divided according to floor level. Floors 1 through 10 are grouped together given they have similar features and finishes. The finishes for office on the 11th Floor are distinct enough to merit their own classification. Offices are only located in Wing A, and like corridors, are divided according to floor-level. Floors 1 through 10 are grouped together as a distinct room type. Classrooms and lecture halls are only located on the 1st Floor of Wing B. These two room types are grouped together given nearly identical features and finishes, and the fact that only one classroom is still used for its original purposes. Where there were variations in any of the building's typical spaces, the inventory notes the anomaly with a photograph.

In addition to utilizing the research and information found in and associated with the existing Historic Structure Report, the project team conducted archival research to inform the building survey. The research was used to verify the team's findings in the field, to determine which elements represent original construction, and which elements are architecturally and historically significant. The following "research collection" can be found in the electronic files attached with this report. The documents have been organized by repository and then further organized by topic.

A list of repositories, consulted in conjunction with this project, follows.

NIST Research Library - Gaithersburg

Vertical File on Gaithersburg Campus Material Boards and Renderings for Interior Design Oral History Written History Documents

NIST Records Management - Gaithersburg

Photographs from the time of construction.

NIST Digital Archives

Textural records. Gaithersburg Campus Collection includes photographs, architectural renderings, technical publications, journal articles, and history publications.

NIST Facilities Drawings Database and Library - Gaithersburg

Relevant files include architectural drawings and specifications for the original construction and major maintenance

ROOM INDEX					
				Page Number	
Room Number	Room Name	Room Type	Zone Type	Inventory	
Exterior		**	**		
East Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C13	
North Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C19	
West Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C27	
South Elevation		Façade	Restoration	C29	
Inner Court		Courtyard	Restoration	C37	
East Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C41	
North Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C43	
West Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C47	
South Elevation - Courtyard		Façade	Restoration	C49	
Entrance Vestibules					
	East and South Entrance Vestibules	Vestibule	Restoration	C51	
Corridors					
CO-A-01	Hall of Standards	Corridor	Restoration	C57	
CO-A-02 to CO-A-10	Corridor	Corridor	Rehabilitation	C129	
CO-A-11	Corridor	Corridor	Rehabilitation	C135	
CO-B-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C63	
CO-C-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C71	
CO-D-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C63	
СО-Е-01	Corridor	Corridor	Restoration	C71	
Connecting Stairs					
ST-3-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
ST-4-01 to ST-4-11	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
ST-5-01 to ST-5-11	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
ST-11-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
ST-12-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
ST-16-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
ST-19-01	Connecting Stair	Stair	Rehabilitation	C139	
Elevator Lobbies					
EL-1-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77	
EL-2-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77	
EL-3-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77	
EL-4-01	Public Elevator Cab	Service	Restoration	C77	
EL-1-01 to EL-4-01	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C83	
EL-1-02 to EL-1-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79	
EL-2-02 to EL-2-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79	
EL-3-02 to EL-3-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79	
EL-4-02 to EL-4-11	Public Elevator Lobbies	Service	Restoration	C79	
First Floor	1				
LO-B-01/A100	East Lobby	Lobby	Restoration	C83	
LO-A-01	North Lobby	Vestibule	Restoration	C53	
B100	Exhibit Hall	Hall	Restoration	C63	
B101	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
B102	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
B106	Lecture Room	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
B107		Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
B110	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
B111		Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
B113	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C143	
	Classroom	Classroom	Rehabilitation	C145	
			Renabilitation	C143	
B121	Green Auditorium	Auditorium	Restoration	C8/	

ROOM INDEX					
				Page Number	
Room Number	Room Name	Room Type	Zone Type	Inventory	
C101	Cafeteria	Cafeteria	Restoration	C93	
C106	Dining Room	Conference	Rehabilitation	C147	
C108	Dining Room	Conference	Rehabilitation	C147	
C110	Dining Room	Conference	Rehabilitation	C147	
LO-D-01	Red Auditorium Lobby	Lobby	Restoration	C99	
D107	Red Auditorium	Auditorium	Restoration	C103	
E107	Museum	Hall	Restoration	C109	
E109	Library Vestibule	Vestibule	Restoration	C109	
E114	Library Stacks	Stacks	Restoration	C115	
E115	Exhibit Hall	Hall	Restoration	C109	
Eleventh Floor					
A1131	Executive Director's Office	Office	Restoration	C123	
A1134	Director's Office Reception	Office	Restoration	C123	
A1137	Director's Office Conference Room	Conference	Restoration	C123	
A1138	Director's Office	Office	Restoration	C123	

East Elevation

The east elevation is the principal elevation of Building 101. This elevation faces the drop-off area and parking lots, so that it is the first view of the building that most visitors experience. The main formal entrance is located at the north end of the east elevation in Wing A, with a canopy leading from the drop-off driveway to the east entrance vestibule. The east elevation of the office tower rises over the east entrance with its stark beige brick facade.

The east elevation of the classroom core in Wing B features a glass and aluminum curtain wall that provides natural light and sightlines into the corridor.

The east elevation of the Red Auditorium (Wing D) is the most visually striking of the exterior elevations. It features an expanse of beveled marble panels. The highly ornamental Red Auditorium façade is more visible from vehicular drives than from any of the pedestrian walkways, highlighting the auto-centric focus of the NIST Gaithersburg campus.





East Elevation			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966
The façade at the panels are oriented highest-level exter cladding material	east entrance is clad i d vertically in a stack rior finish. It is chief for large formal spac	n marble. Large rec ed bond pattern. Ma ly used at formal en es.	ctangular marble arble is the trances and as a
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Fascia	Limestone	Honed	1966
The east façade of panels. This is the identifies the Red the building. Wall	f the Red Auditorium e most ornate exterior Auditorium as a unic Limestone – IN.	(Wing D) is clad in r treatment found at jue and significant for Honed	beveled marble Building 101. It ormal space within 1966
Base	Buff Granite – Black	Stippled	1966
Indiana limestone Red Auditorium (east façade. Recta a stacked bond pa panels and one lar	is the secondary clac Wing D). It clads the angular limestone par ittern. The panels alte rger square panel in a	Iding material on the reveal at either end iels are oriented ver ernate between two t checkerboard patter	e east façade of the l of the recessed tically and laid in thinner rectangular rn.
Wall	Brick - Beige	Smooth	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Beige brick cladd designates utilitar (Wing A) is clad i	ing is the lowest-leve ian spaces and offices in beige brick with no	l exterior finish and s. The east façade o punched openings.	typically of the Office Tower

DATE	РНОТО
n 1966 ar	
1966	
ack 1966	
ors and lobbies. At the and-glass curtain wall ipartite bay features si er horizontal lites belo	x.
n 1966 ear	
It façade of Wing A ar stainless steel. The is show that originally utomatic sliding doors loors date to that perio	
nd 1966	
nd 1966	
n 1984	
ea to the east entrance e pavers and smaller rer level of the plaza to s were replaced in 198	
1966	
. 4 h/ 1966	
ack 1966	
- 1 - z	4 n/ 1966 .ck 1966 .ck 1966 .ca to the passenger .less steel with a granit rest on the lower level

of the entrance plaza and four on the upper level. 100% Submission - NIST 101 HSR

East Elevation				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum	Alcoa #2140 Gray	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Alcoa #2140 Gray	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
This door type is f Red Auditorium. I center mullion sep bolt and pull lever	ound at the north and Flush aluminum pan arates the two doors	d south end of the ea els flank the doors o . Original hardware	ist elevation of the on either side. A includes a dead	Aluminum hardware
Grille Anodized Aluminum Alcoa #2140 Gray 1966 Vertical aluminum mullions extend from the top of the aluminum doors to the soffit on the east elevation of the Red Auditorium (Wing D). Aluminum sheet of the same type and finish covers the opening behind the aluminum grille.			-	
Access Panel	Cast Bronze	Natural Patina	1966	
A rectangular bron each panel door fe letters spell out "W	I nze access panel cov atures an embossed o VATER" in all capita	rs each hose bib loc cross hatch pattern. als.	ation. The face of Raised block	

East Elevation				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Retaining Wall	Granite – Gray (Type VI)	Honed	1966	
Coping	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Granite retaining walls create the raised planting beds on either side of the east entrance plaza. The retaining walls and raised beds were altered to accommodate a wheelchair ramp in 1972. At the same time, the original gravel fill was replaced with soil, sod, and plantings.				
Flag Pole	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Upper Base	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shotground	1966	
Lower Base	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shotground	1966	En maas Broomand
A flagpole is locat 101. The flagpole a larger circular pl around the outer en- standard to which in sentence case: A	ed at the south end o base consists on a ci inth of black granite. dge of the gray grani the wise and the hon Address by George W	f the oblong lawn ea rcular plinth of gray The following wor te plinth in all capita est can repair." A ci Vashington to the Co	ast of Building y granite on top of rds are inscribed als: "Let us raise a tation is inscribed onstitutional	

Convention 1787.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

North Elevation

The principal façade of the north elevation is the covered terrace and main entrance for the research library (Wing E). This façade features a full-height curtain wall system with two sets of double-leaf doors: one at its east end and one at its west. Eight marbleclad columns support the terrace canopy. The north elevation for Wing A features glass-and-aluminum curtain wall at the main lobby and north entrance vestibule. Beige brick cladding with punched window openings corresponds with office space along the north side of Wing A. The north elevation of the office tower is a multi-story facade with repeating aluminum columns and singlelight fenestration with porcelain spandrels. The secondary north façade of the Red Auditorium (Wing D) is clad in limestone panels that alternate in a single over double arrangement within the stacked bond.

The north elevation also features an original arcade with a concrete and plaster geometric canopy that leads to the north entrance between wings A and E. The north side of the library has a paved terrace disrupted by gravel beds. The terrace paving has been reduced in size since its installation during original construction. Gravel fill in perimeter planters have been replaced with mulch and plantings.



North Elevation	n			
FEATURE	MATERIAI	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Brick – Beige	Smooth	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
(Type I) Beige brick cladding is the lowest-level exterior finish and typically designates utilitarian spaces and offices. On the north elevation, it indicates interior office space along the north side of Wing A and museum space in Wing E.				
Wall	Limestone – IN. Buff	Honed	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Limestone is the second-highest exterior finish and typically clads the secondary facades of large, formal spaces. Limestone panels clad the secondary elevations of the Red Auditorium (Wing D) and library (Wing E). Rectangular limestone panels are oriented vertically and laid in a stacked bond pattern alternating between two thinner rectangular panels and one larger square panel in a checkerboard pattern.				
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	Attender ///
Marble is the high facades of large for marble cladding is façade of the resea wall spans as well	est-level exterior fini ormal spaces and print found at the north est arch library. It clads as the columns at the	ish and typically cla aciple entrances. On ntrance to Wing A a structural columns b e library terrace.	ds the primary a the north façade, and the principle between curtain	
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Curtain wall is the glazing is arranged horizontally orient lobby as well as th	typical exterior clad d with large vertically ted lites below. This he north entrance vess	ding at corridors and y oriented lites abov configuration occur tibule, both located	d lobbies. Banded e smaller rs at the main in Wing A.	

North Elevation	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Curtain wall on the north elevation of Wing E floods the library stacks and reading area with natural light. Two-story banded glazing is arranged with large vertically oriented lites above smaller square lites below. The aluminum rail between the two courses of lites also serves as the lintel for the double-leaf door leading into the library.				
Window - Fixed	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Fixed aluminum w spaces in Wing E. all four sides. In t that require a great	vindows occur at offi The aluminum fram Building 101, punch ter degree of control	ice spaces in Wing A ne stands proud of th ed openings are used over daylighting.	A and museum te brick façade on d at interior spaces	
Curtain Wall – Extruded Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Curtain Wall – Spandrel Panel	Porcelain- Insulated Steel	Glazed – PBS #27886 White	1966	
Curtain Wall – Reversible Window	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
The north elevatio with protruding all of windows along separate windows	n of the office tower uminum columns. T the horizontal axis. and louvered openin	is clad in an alumin he aluminum colum Porcelain insulated gs along the vertical	um curtain wall ns separate bays steel panels axis.	
Opening – Louvered	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966	
The punched open louvered rather tha the entire second f describes a proprie that is similar to an	ings at the second-fl in glazed. Louvers a loor houses machine etary treatment of the nodizing.	oor level of the offic are used in place of g and equipment roon Aluminum Corpora	the tower are glazing because the ms. Duranodic ation of America	

North Elevation	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
This is the original remains at the nor- plates on the exter	l exterior door. On t th entrance vestibule ior and push bars on	he north elevation, t . Original hardware the interior.	his door type only includes pull	
Door – Double- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966	
Louvered Transom	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
Hollow metal door Wing A. Exterior	rs lead from the nort hardware includes a	h areaway into the b door knob and dead	asement level of l bolt.	
Access Panel	Cast Bronze	Natural Patina	1966	
A rectangular bron each panel door fe letters spell out "V staining surroundi	nze access panel covo atures an embossed VATER" in all capita ng marble bluish gre	ers each hose bib loc cross hatch pattern. Ils. Bronze panels a en and gray.	cation. The face of Raised block re corroding and	

North Elevatior	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Portico - Ceiling	Concrete	Cement Stucco	1966	
Portico - Columns	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Portico - Floor	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shot Ground	1966	
Portico – Fascia	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Bench	Granite – Red	Polished	1966	
Stairs	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shot Ground	1966	

A portico runs the full length of the north library façade. Eleven rectangular columns support the roof. Fascia and cladding at columns are white Vermont marble. Large square granite pavers are laid in a staggered grid pattern. Large red granite "benches" span the area between each of the eleven columns, except where the granite steps lead up double-leaf doors at the east and west ends of the portico. Granite benches also span the space between the first and last columns and the north façade. The portico floor is raised above grade level with granite steps leading to the library entrances and a white marble fascia.

Date and provenance of light fixtures are unknown. Square, surface-mounted fixtures appear to post-date round recessed fixtures. It is not known if round recessed fixtures are original.



North Elevation	1			
	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТ
North Entrance Plaza – Pavers and Stairs	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shot Ground	1966	
North Entrance Plaza - Spacers	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
Handrail	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1984	
the same type as the handrails at the ex Stair	ne large granite pave terior entrances were Concrete	rs. All original stair e replaced in 1984. Unfinished	iless steel 1966	
Handrail	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	TBD	
Retaining Wall	Brick – Beige	Smooth	1966	
Coping	Granite – Gray (Type VI)	Honed	1966	
Railing	Steel	Painted	1966	
A concrete stair le	ada dawn ta tha haar	mont lovel of Wing	A The concrete	

A concrete stair leads down to the basement level of Wing A. The concrete stair treads have nonslip, grooved aluminum nosing. It is not known if the stainless steel handrails were replaced in 1984 along with the handrails at public building entrances. A concrete retaining wall clad in beige brick creates the areaway on the north side of Wing A.

Retaining Wall	Granite – Gray (Type VI)	Honed	1966
Coping	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966

Granite retaining walls contain raised planting beds on either side of the north entrance.





North Elevatior	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Library Terrace	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
Curb	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shot Ground	1966	
Bench	Wood/ Granite – Red	Treated/ Honed	1966	
A paved court lies with large gray gra benches are stagge has been reduced. replaced with mult configuration.	to the north of the li anite pavers in a stag cred along the north s Gravel fill has been ch and planting. The	brary (Wing E). Th gered grid pattern. ' side of the paved are removed from perin benches are in thei	e area is paved Three red granite ea. The paved area meter planters and r original	
Arcade – Ceiling	Concrete	Painted	1966	
Arcade – Floor	Concrete	Painted	1966	
Lighting – Surface- Mounted	Cast Aluminum/ Opal Glass	Satin Finish/ Semi- Translucent	1966	
A geometric arcad 225. Two rows of canopy in a chevro painted white. The roof adjacent to the	e connects the north rectangular concrete on shape. The concre ere are paired surfact e supporting column	entrance to Wing A e columns support a ete columns and can e-mounted lights at s.	with Building precast concrete opy ceiling are each valley of the	



THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

West Elevation

The west elevation is the least visible to visitors. It therefore has more simplified materials and detailing compared with the other elevations. In particular, there is very little marble cladding. There are also no entrances along the west elevation. The principal façade is the west façade of the Library (Wing E). This façade features limestone and granite cladding with a marble fascia. The entire west façade of the projecting center bay features is aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. The secondary façade is the west façade of Wing C. This features an aluminum-and-glass curtain wall system at the first-floor level and beige brick cladding with no openings on the basement level.

The west elevation of the office tower is identical to the east elevation. It features beige brick cladding without punched openings.



FEATURE	MATERIAI	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Limestone – IN. Standard Buff	Honed	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Fascia	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Limestone ashlar o banels are oriented ulternate between n a checkerboard	clads the library's we I vertically and laid i two thinner rectangu pattern.	est elevation. Rectan n a stacked bond pat lar panels and one la	ngular limestone tern. The panels arger square panel	
Wall	Brick – Beige	Smooth	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
designates utilitari of Wing C at the b	an spaces and office basement level and th Anodized	s. Beige brick clads e west elevation of t	the west elevation he office tower.	
Curtain Wall	Aluminum/ Glass	Finish/ Clear	1966	
Insulated Panel	Porcelain- Insulated Steel	Glazed – PBS #27886 White	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966	
The projecting cer glass curtain wall carrells situated to stainless-steel pan- glazing.	tter bay of the library system. The large p the west of the mair els are set within the	v façade features an a late glass windows l a stack area. Porcela curtain wall frame b	aluminum-and- ight the reading in-insulated below the row of	
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Curtain wall is the aluminum-and-gla of Wing C at the f corridor connectin	typical exterior clad ss curtain wall syste irst-floor level. The g Wing C with Wing	ding at corridors and m spans the length o large plate glass win gs A and E.	l lobbies. An f the west façade dows light the	
South Elevation

The south elevation spans the Red Auditorium (Wing D), the Green Auditorium (Wing B), The cafeteria and loading dock (Wing C), and the library (Wing E).

The principal façade of the south elevation is the south entrance plaza and vestibule for Wing B. This façade features spans of aluminum-and-glass curtain wall between marble-clad columns. The south entrance vestibule projects from one bay of the curtainwall. Granite steps lead up to the granite-paved entrance plaza immediately in front of the entrance vestibule.

The limestone-clad south elevation of the Red Auditorium (Wing D) is east of the south entrance. To the west of the south entrance lies the concrete loading dock that services the back-of-house spaces in Wings B and C. The south facade of Wing C is clad in beige brick at the basement-level, indicating this Wing's more utilitarian use compared with Wings B, D, and E. At the first-floor level, Wing C features a span of curtain wall behind an aluminum brise-soleil. The south facade of the library features a cantilevered curtain-wall bay framed in limestone and marble trim over a black granite base.

For description of the south office tower features, see Court - South Elevation section.



South Elevation	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Fascia	Limestone – IN. Buff	Honed	1966	
Marble is used spa cladding for the m entrance of Wing I projecting bay at th	ringly on the south fa asonry columns betw B. It is also used as t he south façade of the	açade. Its most pror reen spans of curtain he upper and lower f e Library (Wing E).	ninent use is wall at the south ascia of the	
Wall	Limestone – IN. Buff	Honed	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a	of large, formal space evation of the Red A re oriented vertically	uditorium (Wing D) and laid in a stacked	ls clad the . Rectangular l bond pattern	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a alternating betwee panel in a checker	econd-highest exterior of large, formal space levation of the Red A re oriented vertically n two thinner rectang board pattern.	ees. Limestone panel uditorium (Wing D) and laid in a stacked gular panels and one	ls clad the . Rectangular l bond pattern larger square	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a alternating betwee panel in a checker Wall	econd-highest exterior of large, formal space levation of the Red A re oriented vertically n two thinner rectange board pattern.	Smooth	Is clad the . Rectangular I bond pattern larger square 1966	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a alternating betwee panel in a checker Wall Base	econd-highest externo of large, formal space levation of the Red A re oriented vertically n two thinner rectang board pattern. Brick - Beige Granite – Black (Type I)	Smooth Stippled	Is clad the . Rectangular I bond pattern larger square 1966	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a alternating betwee panel in a checker Wall Base Beige brick claddi interior spaces, suc the south elevatior spaces that serve th elevation of Wing	econd-highest exterior of large, formal space levation of the Red A re oriented vertically n two thinner rectang board pattern. Brick - Beige Granite – Black (Type I) ng on exterior facade ch as offices. Beige I n of Wing C, which h he cafeteria. Brick cl E at the original libra	Smooth Stippled Stipp	1966 1966	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a alternating betwee panel in a checker Wall Base Beige brick claddi interior spaces, suc the south elevatior spaces that serve th elevation of Wing Curtain Wall	econd-highest exterior of large, formal space evation of the Red A re oriented vertically n two thinner rectange board pattern. Brick - Beige Granite – Black (Type I) ng on exterior facade ch as offices. Beige I n of Wing C, which h he cafeteria. Brick cl E at the original libra Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Smooth Stippled Stipp	Is clads the Is clad the . Rectangular 1 bond pattern larger square 1966 n and less public loading dock and ck-of-house at the south 1966	
Limestone is the secondary facades secondary south el limestone panels a alternating betwee panel in a checker Wall Base Beige brick claddi interior spaces, suc the south elevatior spaces that serve the elevation of Wing Curtain Wall Base	econd-highest exterior of large, formal space evation of the Red A re oriented vertically n two thinner rectange board pattern. Brick - Beige Granite – Black (Type I) ng on exterior facade ch as offices. Beige I of Wing C, which h he cafeteria. Brick cl E at the original libra Anodized Aluminum/ Glass Granite – Black (Type I)	Smooth Smooth Stippled s indicates utilitariar prick clads the south ouses offices and back adding is also found ary cataloging room. Clear Satin Finish/ Clear Stippled	Is clads the Is clad the . Rectangular 1 bond pattern larger square 1966 1966 n and less public loading dock and ck-of-house at the south 1966 1966	

large vertical lites above and three smaller horizontal lights below.

South Elevation					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE		
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966		
Fins	Aluminum	Alcoa #2020 Gray	1966		
Brise Soleil	Aluminum	Alcoa #2010 Gray	1966		
Insulated Panel	Porcelain- Insulated Steel	Glazed – PBS #27886 White	1966		
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966		



This curtain wall type is only found on the south façade of Wing C. It lights original private dining rooms, staff dining room, and multipurpose room – now conference rooms, the Heritage Room, and the Portrait Room respectively. The fins and horizontal "blades" create a brise soleil that prevents excessive daylighting, which is a concern given the curtain wall's southern exposure.

Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966
Fascia	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966
Trim	Limestone – IND. Buff	Honed	1966
Insulated Panel	Porcelain- Insulated Steel	Glazed – PBS #27886 White	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966

The south façade of the library (Wing E) features a cantilevered curtain-wall system set within a frame of marble and limestone trim. This curtain wall lights library staff offices on the first floor and study rooms on the mezzanine level. The upper and lower fascia of the projecting bay are white Vermont marble. The trim at either end of the projecting bay is Indiana limestone. Porcelain-insulated panels are inserted above the mezzanine-level glazing, below the first-floor glazing, and between the two levels of glazing.

Window - Fixed	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966
----------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------	------

This window type is found at the original Library cataloging room. The aluminum frame stands proud of the brick façade on all four sides. In Building 101, punched openings are used at interior spaces that require a greater degree of control over daylighting.





South Elevation				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Window - Fixed	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
This window type level. The aluminu protruding aluminu openings are used over daylighting.	only occurs on the so um frame is recessed um sill is integral to t at interior spaces tha			
Window - Fixed	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
This window type occurs at office space in Wing C and Wing E. The aluminum frame is recessed within brick cladding. The protruding aluminum sill is integral to the frame. In Building 101, punched openings are used at interior spaces that require a greater degree of control over daylighting.				
Opening – Louvered	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Louvers indicate that interior space at this location houses mechanical equipment. The aluminum frame is recessed within brick cladding. The protruding aluminum sill is integral to the frame.				
Opening – Louvered	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
LouveredAluminumFinishLouvers indicate that interior space at this location houses mechanical equipment. This louvered opening is adjacent to the south loading dock. The aluminum frame is recessed within brick cladding. The protruding aluminum sill is integral to the frame.				

South Elevation				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Opening – Louvered	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Louvers indicate th equipment. These E. Each grouping the first floor.	nat interior space at t louvered openings a of three louvered op	his location houses r re found at the baser enings aligns with a	nechanical nent level of Wing window above on	
South Entrance Vestibule	Stainless Steel/ Glass	No. 4 Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
The south entrance vestibule extends from the south façade of Wing B. The vestibule framing is steel clad in stainless steel. The existing doors are not original. Construction drawings show that originally doors were full-lite with simple pull bar hardware.				
South Entrance Plaza – Pavers and Stairs	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shot Ground	1966	
South Entrance Plaza - Spacers	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
Handrails	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1984	
A two-level entran entrance vestibule. smaller gray granit plaza up to the upp formal entrances w been reduced roug	ce plaza leads from t The plaza is paved te spacers. Granite s per plaza and the entr vere replaced in 1984 hly half from its orig	the pedestrian path to with large black gra tairs lead from the lo ance vestibule. The the footprint of the inal size.	o the south nite pavers and ower level of the handrails at all ne lower plaza has	
Doors – Double- Leaf	Hollow Metal/ Glass	Painted/ Wire	1966	
Two doors of this t spaces on the base Aluminum trim fra Original hardware	type lead from the so ment level of Wing (ames the painted stee includes a dead bolt	outh loading dock int C. The door frame is l doors as well as th and lever handle wi	to back-of-house s painted steel. e wire-glass lites. th a thumb latch.	

South Elevation	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Double- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
One door of this ty space on the basen Original hardware	pe leads from the so nent level of Wing C includes a dead bolt	uth loading dock to . The door frame is and door knob.	back-of-house painted steel.	
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
hardware includes bar on the interior. plate above. This of Door – Single-	dead bolts and pull b The door frame is a door type is found at Hollow Metal	Painted	ntype: Original and a simple push nted steel lintel of Wing C. 1966	
Leaf Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
The door frame is p bolt and door knob door to protect aga is found at the load	painted carbon steel. A plexiglass panel inst impacts with eq ling dock.	Original hardware is fastened to the bo uipment and deliver	includes a dead ottom half of the ies. This door type	
Garage Door – Rolling	Aluminum	Anodized	1966	
The door frame is p closed up with pain inserted into one o unknown.	painted carbon steel. nted steel panels. A f the paneled opening	Two garage door o single-leaf pedestria gs. The date of these	penings have been n door has been e alterations is	

South Elevation				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Light	Cast Aluminum/ Opal Glass	Satin Finish/ Semi- Translucent	1966	
This is an original light fixture. It is located above the original full-lite aluminum doors on the south façade of Wing C.				
Access Panel	Cast Bronze	Natural Patina	1966	
A rectangular bron each panel door fe letters spell out "W	ze access panel cove atures an embossed c /ATER" in all capita	ers each hose bib loc cross hatch pattern. I ls.	ation. The face of Raised block	
Retaining Wall	Granite – Gray (Type VI)	Honed	1966	
Coping	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	
Granite retaining v south entrance plaz	valls create the raised	l planting beds on ei	ther side of the	
Retaining Wall	Concrete	Grooved	1966	
A large concrete re access to the basen	etaining wall creates nent-level loading do	the cut-through to all ock on the south side	low vehicular of Wing B.	

South Elevation					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Retaining Wall	Concrete	Grooved	1966		
A smaller concrete	retaining wall is loc	ated at the west end	of Wing C.		

Inner Court

The court is the centerpiece of Building 101. It features a sunken central plaza with a rectangular reflecting pool and simple foot bridge. Original granite benches are grouped together at the north end of the plaza to encourage social exchanges among NIST employees. Granite planters surround the plaza on all sides. Lush plantings creating a natural, bucolic environment intended to draw people out of the building and into courtyard.

Large expanses of curtain wall surround the court on all four sides. Curtain wall allows for uninterrupted sightlines between the various interior spaces and inner court. This transparency encourages impromptu meetings and potentially collaborations between NIST employees.



Inner Court				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Perimeter Paving	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
The perimeter of the shot ground finish uninterrupted joint	he inner court is pave . Pavers are laid in a t lines on both axes.	ed with rectangular and stacked grid pattern	gray pavers with a 1 with	
Sunken Plaza – Pavers	Granite – Red (Type VII)	Shot Ground	1966	
Sunken Plaza – Spacers	Granite – Red (Type VII)	Shot Ground	1966	7 1
The central plaza of paved with large so pattern. Narrow re other paver.	of the inner court is s quare red granite pay ectangular spacers of	unken below the per vers in a staggered c gray granite are set	rimeter and is heckerboard between every	
Steps	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
Two shallow grani west sides of the su with double-leaf d	ite steps lead from th unken plaza. The ste oors on the east and	e perimeter paving opposite perimeter paving opposite south of cent west court elevation	on the east and er and are lined up is.	
Planters	Granite – Gray (Type VI)	Honed	1966	
Planter beds surrou beds are level with planters at the sun	und the sunken plaza perimeter paving. (ken plaza and steps.	where steps are not Granite retaining wa	present. Planter lls contain the	

Inner Court				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Pool	(Type VI)	Honed	1966	
Foot Bridge	Concrete/ Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
The rectangular pool is located just east of center within the sunken plaza. A simple foot bridge spans the pool just south of the pool's center line. This emphasizes the principle of balanced asymmetry used throughout the design of Building 101. Both the pool and the foot bridge are paved with gray granite, in contrast to the pink granite that is the principal paving for the sunken plaza.				
Drainage Tile	Granite – Red (Type VII)	Shot Ground	1966	the second second
The four drainage tiles set within the sunken plaza are made of the same pink granite used for the large pavers.				
Sundial	Concrete/ Bronze	Exposed Aggregate/ Natural Patina	1966	
Bronze Natural Patina The NBS Commemorative Sundial was installed in the inner court upon the completion the Gaithersburg Campus in 1966. It commemorates the Bureau's firth three Directors. At its installation, it was on of the most complex in the world – at noon each day giving not only the time but the date, season of the year, time of sunrise and sunset, and height of the sun above the horizon. It remains at its original location at the north end of the sunken plaza.				

Inner Court				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Bench	Granite/ Wood	Honed/ Treated	1966	
Each bench consis They are arranged plaza and a group configurations.	its of wooden slats la in two groupings – o of three at the west e	id across three recta one pair at the east es end. The benches are	ngular granite feet. nd of the sunken e in their original	

Court Elevation – East Elevation (Looking East)

The east court elevation features a 5-bay span of aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. Two spans of marble-clad wall with no openings flank the curtain-wall system. A projecting concrete canopy partially shades the curtain wall. A set of double-leaf doors are set in the second bay of curtain wall from the south - slightly south of the elevation's center line. The doors are aligned with granite steps leading down to the sunken plaza, which maintains the feeling of balanced asymmetry seen throughout the building.



Court Elevation	n – East Elevation	n (Looking East)		
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	The State State
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Marble clads the t curtain wall. Mar formal entrances a in this location ide	two end bays as well ble is the highest-lev and as a cladding mat entifies the inner cou	as the columns betw el exterior finish. It terial for large forma rt as a significant for	reen each bay of is chiefly used at al spaces. Its use rmal space.	
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Canopy Soffit	Concrete	Painted	1966	
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966	
Tripartite bays of masonry columns. lites above and the uninterrupted sigh transparency enco	aluminum-and-glass . Each tripartite bay ree smaller horizonta ttlines between the co purages impromptu m	curtain wall span be features six lites – th l lites below. Curta prridor and inner cou eetings between NIS	etween marble-clad nree large vertical in wall allows for nrt. This ST employees.	
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
This is the origina bay of the curtain the elevation's cer maintaining the ba	I exterior door. One wall from the south onter line and aligned alanced asymmetry s	set of doors is cente end. The doors are with steps leading to een throughout the b	red in the second slightly south of the sunken plaza, puilding.	
Access Panel	Bronze	Dark Patina	1966	SE TUPE APPLICATION
A rectangular bron each panel door fe letters spell out "V staining surroundi	nze access panel cov eatures an embossed WATER" in all capita ing marble bluish gre	ers each hose bib loc cross hatch pattern. als. Bronze panels a en and gray.	ation. The face of Raised block re corroding and	

Court Elevation – North Elevation (Looking North)

The north elevation of the inner court includes a covered terrace with marble cladding and curtain walls. The south elevation of the office tower rises above the north court elevation. It is a multi-story facade with repeating aluminum columns and single-light fenestration with porcelain spandrels. Two columns of beige brick cladding interrupt the repeating aluminum curtain-wall system and express the location of the interior elevator shafts. Aluminum curtain-wall at the elevator lobbies feature a different fenestration pattern from the rest of the façade. This treatment realizes two of the main aesthetic ideals of the International Style: the belief that form should express underlying function and the emphasis placed on balanced asymmetry.



	MINTERINE	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966	K-A-MINA
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
he center protrud arge rectangular attern. Marble is ormal entrances a this location ide	ling bay of the north marble panels are or the highest-level ext nd as a cladding mat entifies the inner cour	court elevation is cla ented vertically in a erior finish. It is ch erial for large forma t as a significant for	ad in marble. stacked bond iefly used at Il spaces. Its use mal space.	
Wall	Brick – Beige	Smooth	1966	
n the south eleva adding flank a ba ght the main elev adding correspon	ation of the office tov ay of tripartite alumin ator lobby on floors and with the location of	ver, two columns of num windows. The 2 through 11. The c of the interior elevat	beige brick tripartite windows columns of brick or shafts.	
Curtain Wall – Extruded Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Curtain Wall – Spandrel Panel	Porcelain- Insulated Steel	Glazed – PBS #27886 White	1966	
Curtain Wall – Reversible Window	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
	Anodized	Clear Satin Finish	1966	

Court Elevation	n – North Elevati	on (Looking Nor	th)	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966	
Exterior walls on o and-glass curtain v smaller rectangula transom lite over t sightlines between encourages impror	either side of the man wall. Large rectangu r lites arranged horiz he double-leaf door. he the corridor and inn mptu meetings betwe			
Opening – Louvered	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966	
The punched openings at the second-floor level of the office tower are louvered rather than glazed. Louvers are used in place of glazing because the the entire second floor houses machine and equipment rooms. Duranodic describes a proprietary treatment of the Aluminum Corporation of America that is similar to anodizing.				
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
This is the original one on either side pull plates on the o	l exterior door. Then of the marble-clad co exterior and push bar			
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966	
This is the stair do Original hardware and a panic bar on	oor leading from stain includes a dead bolt the interior.	well No. 5 into the o	courtyard.	

Court Elevation	n – North Elevatio					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО		
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal					
Hollow metal door the bottom half of into each of the eq Original hardware	rs at the two equipmo each door. There ar uipment rooms to th includes a dead bolt					
Canopy	Concrete	Painted	1966			
Columns	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966			
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966			
A concrete canopy covers the two recessed spaces on either side of the marble-clad center bay. Marble-clad concrete columns support both canopies. The east canopy spans the entire distance between the protruding center bay and the east court elevation. The west canopy only spans half the distance between the center bay and the west court elevation.						

Court Elevation – West Elevation (Looking West)

The west court elevation is the least ornate of the court elevations. Its principle feature is a long expanse of aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. This curtain-wall system lacks the intervening marble-clad columns, so that it is less ornamental than similar curtain walls on the north and east court elevations. This further establishes interior Wing C corridors as more utilitarian and less high-style than Wing B corridors. A projecting concrete canopy partially shades the curtain wall. Two spans of marble-clad wall flank the curtain-wall system. A set of double-leaf doors are set slightly south of the elevation's center line. The doors are aligned with granite steps leading down to the sunken plaza, which maintains the feeling of balanced asymmetry seen throughout the building.



FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Marble clads the v Marble is the high entrances and as a location identifies	walls flanking the alu lest-level exterior fini cladding material fo the inner court as a s	minum-and-glass cur ish. It is chiefly used r large formal spaces significant formal spa	tain wall. at formal . Its use in this ice.
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Canopy Soffit	Concrete	Painted	1966
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966
Curtain wall spans vertically over sm large square transe uninterrupted sigh transparency enco Door – Double- Leaf	s most of the façade. aller rectangular lites om lite over the doub tilines between the cc urages impromptu m Anodized Aluminum/	Large rectangular lit arranged horizontall le-leaf door. Curtain prridor and inner cour eetings between NIS Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	es are arranged y. There is a wall allows for t. This T employees. 1966
Frame	Glass Anodized	Clear Satin	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966
This is the origina south of the elevat sunken plaza. Thi building.	l exterior door. One tion's center line and is maintains the balar	set of double-leaf do aligned with steps le aced asymmetry seen	ors are slightly ading to the throughout the
Access Panel	Bronze	Dark Patina	1966
A rectangular bron each panel door fe letters spell out "V staining surroundi	nze access panel cove eatures an embossed o VATER" in all capita ng marble bluish gre	ers each hose bib loca cross hatch pattern. I ils. Bronze panels ar en and gray.	ation. The face of Raised block e corroding and

Court Elevation – South Elevation (Looking South)

The south elevation is the principal elevation surrounding the inner court. It features a scalloped roof system in direct contrast with the overall rectilinear design of Building 101. This characteristic provides a unique and visually interesting backdrop for the inner court. It draws attention to the cafeteria space within Wing E, underlining the significance of the open, communal dining area in the spatial hierarchy of Building 101. The elevation also features a long expanse of aluminum-and-glass curtain wall, which provides uninterrupted sightlines between the cafeteria and inner court, with the intention of facilitating social and professional interaction between building inhabitants.



			I
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Honed	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Walls at either end Marble is the high entrances and as a location identifies	l of the central curtai est-level exterior fini cladding material fo the inner court as a s	n wall are clad in w ish. It is chiefly use r large formal space significant formal sp	hite marble. d at formal s. Its use in this pace.
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966
Base	Granite – Black (Type I)	Stippled	1966
Canopy Soffit	Concrete	Painted	1966
Fascia	Duranodic Aluminum	Medium Black Finish	1966
Aluminum-and-gla features three rows their upper edge to	ass curtainwall spans s of 24 lites each. Th o accommodate the cu	most of the south c ne upper row of lites urves of the scallope	ourt elevation. It are curved along ed roof line.
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966
This is the original flanks the curtain Original hardware interior.	l exterior door. On the wall system leading i includes pull plates of the system states of the system states of the system of the system states of the syste	he south court eleva nto the cafeteria dir on the exterior and j	tion, this door type ing space. push bars on the
Access Panel	Bronze	Dark Patina	1966
A rectangular bron each panel door fe letters spell out "W staining surroundin	nze access panel cove atures an embossed o VATER" in all capita ng marble bluish gree	ers each hose bib loc cross hatch pattern. Ils. Bronze panels a en and gray.	cation. The face of Raised block re corroding and

Entrance Vestibules

Entrance vestibules are located at the east entrance to the East Lobby (A100) and the south entrance to corridor CO-D-01 in Wing B. These are the two principle formal entrances to Building 101. Most visitors use the main entrance at A100. The south entrance serves as the formal entrance for the Red Auditorium in Wing D. Each vestibule is a projecting, single-story space enclosed with stainless-steel-and-glass curtain wall. The flat roof structure is also clad in stainless steel. Vestibules retain a high degree of architectural integrity, except that the original doors at both assemblies have been replaced.

The existing doors at both vestibules are not original. Construction drawings show that originally doors were full-lite, single-leaf swinging doors with simple pull bar hardware. The date and provenance of existing light fixtures is unknown.



Entrance Vesti	bules			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966	
The ceiling is clac the metal panels a the ceilings.	l in panels of stainles re exposed. There ar			
Curtain Wall	Stainless Steel/ Glass	No. 4 Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Diffuser	Stainless Steel/ Glass	No. 4 Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
The muntins and f differs from other aluminum claddin muntins at the bas	frames of the curtain curtain-wall assembling. Stainless steel air se of the curtain walls	walls are clad in sta ies in Building 101, ducts with diffusers	inless steel. This which feature run between	
Pavers	Granite – Black (Type III)	Shot Ground	1966	
Spacers	Granite – Gray (Type II)	Shot Ground	1966	
The granite floors the east and south alternate with sma wall-to-wall carpe	in the vestibules is a entrance plazas. Lan aller gray granite space et covers the granite p			



CeilingAcoustic TileSmooth2001The ceiling consists of smooth acoustic tiles set within an exposed metal grid. Original construction drawings indicate that the ceiling in this location as to be acoustic plaster on metal lath. The acoustic tile ceiling sits at the original ceiling height as depicted in interior elevations in the original drawing set. Therefore, it is unlikely that an earlier plaster ceiling still exists beneath the suspended acoustic tile ceiling. The existing ceiling dates to corridor ceiling modifications that took place sometime during or after 2001.Image: Ceiling Marble - VT. Meadow WhiteWallMarble - VT. Meadow WhitePolished1966BaseTerrazzoPolished1966
The ceiling consists of smooth acoustic tiles set within an exposed metal grid. Original construction drawings indicate that the ceiling in this location as to be acoustic plaster on metal lath. The acoustic tile ceiling sits at the original ceiling height as depicted in interior elevations in the original drawing set. Therefore, it is unlikely that an earlier plaster ceiling still exists beneath the suspended acoustic tile ceiling. The existing ceiling dates to corridor ceiling modifications that took place sometime during or after 2001. Wall Marble – VT. Meadow White Polished 1966 Base Terrazzo Polished 1966
Wall Marble – VT. Meadow White Polished 1966 Base Terrazzo Polished 1966
Base Terrazzo Polished 1966
same marble that clads exterior elevations. The marble panels extend all the way to the suspended ceiling with no intervening molding at the connection. The recessed coved base is terrazzo and is continuous with the terrazzo floor.
Curtain Wall Anodized Aluminum/ Glass Clear Satin Finish/ Clear 1966
Base Terrazzo Polished 1966
The north wall of the lobby is entirely aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. It is identical to a single bay of the north curtain wall in the East Lobby, except for a set of double-leaf doors centered in the curtain-wall span.
Door – Double- Leaf w/ Transom Anodized Aluminum/ Glass Clear Satin/ Clear 1966
Frame Anodized Clear Satin 1966
Hardware Aluminum Satin 1966

North Lobby: L	O-A-01			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Dividing Strip	1/16" White Metal	Polished	1966	
Terrazzo is white a occurs only in first covers the terrazzo	and gray aggregate so -floor corridors and floor.			
Grille	Steel	Painted	1966	11/11/11/11/11
An HVAC grille is	s centered in the sout			

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Hall of Standards: CO-A-01

The Hall of Standards is located in Wing A to the north of the Central Court. Aluminum and glass curtain walls provide sight lines to the landscaped court and flood the corridor with natural light. A central marble-clad core contains the secondary stair tower, elevator, and two utility closets. The corridor runs east to west, connecting the east lobby in Wing A to the Library in Wing E. The first-floor administrative offices run along the north side of the corridor. The corridor takes its name – the Hall of Standards – from moveable exhibits displaying historic standards of measurement.

The corridor has remained mostly unchanged since 1966. The acoustic ceiling tiles and original light fixtures were replaced with new in 2001. This has been the most significant alteration. Original finishes include marble and plaster walls; terrazzo floors; stainless steel doors; and a moveable steel partition wall featuring walnut veneer doors and fixed transom windows.



Hall of Standar	rds: CO-A-01			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001	
Original corridor plaster tiles. The an exposed metal	ceilings were 12" x 1 existing acoustic tile grid.	2" prefabricated acc ceiling was installed	ustic mineral d after 2001. It has	
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966	
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
White Vermont m corridor as well as	arble clades the walls s the central stair core	s at the east and wes	st ends of the	
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Square columns a painted.	long the south side of	`the corridor are pla	stered and	
Wall	Steel Panel with Recessed Joints	Vinyl Coating	1966	
Base	Steel	Vinyl Coating	1966	
The north wall is a connected at recess coating. The part original construct	a moveable partition ssed joints. The walls ition wall appears to b ion drawings.	wall made of separa and base have a fa be in the same confi	te steel panels ctory-applied vinyl guration as in the	

Hall of Standar	ds: CO-A-01			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Aluminum and gla the east and west of arranged vertically Double-leaf, full-l curtain wall. A pu push bar is attache	ass curtain wall syste ends to the central sta over smaller rectan ite doors are centere ish bar visually divided at either end to the	ems extend from mass air core. Large recta gular lites arranged d in both the east and les the single lite at d e stiles and does not	sonry columns at ingular lites are horizontally. d west spans of each door. The bisect the lite.	
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	TS
Office doors are si matching veneer is includes aluminun set and lever hand	ingle-leaf clad in wa s inserted to the left n door knobs and sta le have replaced orig	lnut veneer. A wood of the door. Origina inless-steel moderni ginal knobs at most d	d panel with l hardware st hinges. A lock loors.	
Door – Single- Leaf	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	A - N
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	STAIRS
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	

A stainless-steel door leads into the stairwell. Raised lettering spells out STAIRS in all capitals. Original hardware includes aluminum door knobs and stainless-steel modernist hinges. A lock set and lever handle have replaced original knobs at stair door.

Hall of Standar	ds: CO-A-01			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Single- Leaf Louvered	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
Utility closet door hardware includes hinges.	s are stainless steel v aluminum door knol	vith a louvered vent. bs and stainless-stee	Original l modernist	

Door – Elevator	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966

A single elevator is located in the central stair core. The elevator door and frames are stainless steel with a satin finish.



Hall of Standar	ds: CO-A-01			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Window – Fixed	Steel/ Glass	Vinyl Coating/ Clear	1966	
Several large fixed	l transom windows a	re set within the stee	el partition wall.	
Opening	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
A stainless-steel fr stainless-steel fran Standards.	ame opening leads in opening leads from	nto the stair door alc m the east lobby into	ove. A similar the Hall of	STARS
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Dividing Strip	1/16" White Metal	Polished	1966	
Terrazzo is white a occurs only in first	and gray aggregate s t-floor corridors and	et in black cement. lobbies.	This terrazzo type	
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966	
Low radiator cabir	hets run along the ba	se of both curtain-w	all spans.	

Hall of Standar	ds: CO-A-01			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Water Fountain	Ceramic/ Chrome	Glazed/ Polished	1966	near Red Auditorium
Ceramic water fou	ntains are original.	The manufacturer is	Crane.	
Thermostat	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	Sold period sources
An original thermo manufacturer is Ho	ostat is mounted to the oneywell.	he steel partition wal	ll. The	

Wing B and D Corridors and Exhibit Hall: CO-B-01, CO-D-01 and B100

Corridors in Wing B allow circulation among the east lobby, the west entrance vestibule, the formal auditoria, and the first-floor lecture halls and classrooms. The Wing B corridors are designed as an extension of these public and formal spaces. The corridors are wide with high ceilings. All exterior walls are aluminum-and-glass curtain wall, so that the halls are flooded with natural light. The corridors feature elevated finishes, including marble, walnut paneling, glazed brick, terrazzo, and stainless steel.

There is a large open exhibit hall (B100) at the north end of Wing B. It is continuous with Wing B corridors and has identical finishes.



FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Ceiling Driginal corridor blaster tiles. The an exposed metal	Acoustic Tile ceilings were 12" x 1 existing acoustic tile grid.	Smooth 2" prefabricated acou ceiling was installed	2001 Istic mineral after 2001. It has		
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966		
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	5	
wannu paneting (rooms, and classr- walnut veneer par through paneled a	ooms. The entire wanted by the	Il at the Green Auditori	orium is clad in rooms are		
Wall	Brick	Glazed	1966		
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966		
Corridor walls at brick. Paneled alo into regular spans stacks each one b a light gray color Wall	classrooms and lectur coves at offices and c . Bricks are laid in a rick wide dividing the with black specks. Marble – VT.	re rooms are clad in p lassrooms divide glaz stacked bond, with tv e panel into three colu Polished	panels of glazed zed brick panels wo recessed umns. Glazing is		
vv all	Meadow White	i onsticu	1700		
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966		
White Vermont m wo corridors leac valls between spa	narble clads walls at t ling to the Red Audit ans of curtain wall.	he entrance to the caf orium in Wing D. M	eteria and the arble also clads		
Wing B and D Corridors and Exhibit Hall: CO-B-01, CO-D-01, and B100					
--	---	--	---	--------------------	--
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966		
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966		
The corridor to the This corridor is mu provides access to janitor's closets, an	e north of the Green A uch more utilitarian t the two stairwells be ad two small confere	Auditorium has pain han the other corrid whind the Green Aud ence rooms.	ted plaster walls. ors in Wing B. It litorium, two		
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966		
All exterior walls a aluminum-and-gla columns. Each trij above and three sn	are aluminum-and-gl ss curtain wall span partite bay features s naller horizontal ligh	ass curtain wall. Tr between marble-clac ix lites – three large ts below.	ipartite bays of d concrete vertical lites		
Door – Double- Leaf	Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	Martin Contraction	
Frame	Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966		
There are two door Auditorium Lobby single lite on the lo stiles. There are le on the lobby side. Each door has a lar the ceiling.	rs of this type. They y. Doors are full lite. obby side. It is attack ever handles on the c Original hardware h rge square transom v	lead from the corric A panic bar visual hed at either end to to orridor side and a fa as been replaced as vindow that extends	dor into the Red ly divides the the two aluminum ace-mounted closer part of the retrofit. from the lintel to		

Wing B and D	Wing B and D Corridors and Exhibit Hall: CO-B-01, CO-D-01, and B100				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Door – Double- Leaf	Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966		
Frame	Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966		
Hardware	Aluminum/ Acrylic	Satin/ Semi- translucent	1966		
This door type lead visually divides th end to the two alur simple cylinder sh side.	ds into the Cafeteria. e single lite on the C minum stiles. Pull ba ape. One door has a	Doors are full lite. afeteria side. It is at ar handles are plastic face-mounted close	A push bar tached at either c molded into a r on the corridor	<image/>	
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966		
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966		
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966		
Simple hollow me hardware includes	tal doors lead into tw door knobs and dead	o small conference lbolts.	rooms. Original		
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966		
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966		
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966		
Hollow metal fire Auditorium. The	doors lead into the ty single square lite is s	wo stairwells to the r et with wire glass.	north of the Green		

FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966
Hollow metal doo small corridor to t	rs with louvered ven he north of the Greer	ts are found at janitor [:] 1 Auditorium.	's closets in the
Door – Single- Leaf	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966
Hardware	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966
Hardware Single-leaf stainle in the corridor lead push plate on the o side. Janitor's clo	Stainless Steel ss-steel doors are fou ding to the Red Audi corridor side and a si set doors are fitted w	Satin Ind at restrooms and a torium. Restroom do mple pull bar handle o rith a door knob and d	1966 a janitor's closet ors have a flush on the restroom eadbolt.
Hardware Single-leaf stainle in the corridor lead push plate on the o side. Janitor's clo Door – Single- Leaf	Stainless Steel ss-steel doors are fou ding to the Red Audi corridor side and a si set doors are fitted w Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Satin Ind at restrooms and a torium. Restroom do mple pull bar handle o rith a door knob and d Stained Lacquer Finish	1966 a janitor's closet ors have a flush on the restroom eadbolt. 1966
Hardware Single-leaf stainle in the corridor lead push plate on the o side. Janitor's clo Door – Single- Leaf Frame	Stainless Steel ss-steel doors are fou ding to the Red Audi corridor side and a si set doors are fitted w Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer Walnut	Satin Ind at restrooms and a torium. Restroom do mple pull bar handle o rith a door knob and d Stained Lacquer Finish Stained Lacquer Finish	1966 a janitor's closet ors have a flush on the restroom eadbolt. 1966 1966
Hardware Single-leaf stainle in the corridor lead push plate on the d side. Janitor's clo Door – Single- Leaf Frame Hardware – Knob	Stainless Steel ss-steel doors are fou ding to the Red Audi corridor side and a si set doors are fitted w Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer Walnut	Satin Ind at restrooms and a torium. Restroom do mple pull bar handle of rith a door knob and d Stained Lacquer Finish Stained Lacquer Finish	1966a janitor's closet ors have a flush on the restroom eadbolt.196619661966

Modernist stainless-steel hinge

Wing B and D Corridors and Exhibit Hall: CO-B-01, CO-D-01, and B100					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966		
Dividing Strip	1/16" White Metal	N/A	1966		
Terrazzo is white a	and gray aggregate s	et in black cement.			
Grille	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966		
Wood grilles are fo Green Auditorium	ound in the wood-pa				
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966		
Low radiator cabir	nets run along the ba				
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966		
Walnut fire extinguisher cabinets are recessed into the wood paneling at the entrances to lecture halls and classrooms. Original lettering has been removed and replaced with high-visibility signage.				Unificate Program and At Bevices	

Wing B and D Corridors and Exhibit Hall: CO-B-01, CO-D-01, and B100					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Thermostat	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966		
Original thermosta Honeywell.	ats are mounted to co	rridor walls. The m	anufacturer is		

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Wing C and E Corridors: CO-C-01, CO-E-01

The corridors in Wing C are used almost exclusively by NIST employees. At its south end, CO-C-01 provides access to Building 223, one of the General Purpose Laboratory buildings. The two Wing C corridors also provide circulation between the library, cafeteria, and courtyard. However, visitors to Building 101 are more likely to enter these spaces through the more formal corridors in Wings A and B, both of which communicate directly with the main east lobby. Since the Wing C Corridors are less visible to the general public, they have much more modest finishes than corridors A and B. Specifically, there is no marble, walnut paneling, or glazed brick in Wing C corridors. Corridors in Wing C feature plaster walls, aluminum-and-glass curtain wall, and walnut doors.



Wing C and E	Corridors: CO-C-			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001	
Original corridor c tiles. The existing exposed metal gric	ceilings were 12" x 1 acoustic tile ceiling 1.			
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966	Trans 1 1 1 1
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Interior walls are plastered. Plaster walls in the corridor to the south of the cafeteria are painted. This corridor mostly services conference rooms and back of house spaces, in addition to the cafeteria.				
Wall	Plaster	Vinyl Fabric	1966	
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Interior walls are p inner court are fini the library, courtya	blastered. Plaster wa shed with vinyl fabr ard, and Building 22.			
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Curtain Wall Aluminum/ Glass Clear 1966 The corridor to the west of the inner court has curtain wall on both sides, allowing for panoramic views of both the inner court and the west lawn. The curtain-wall system is arranged in two rows of lites. Large rectangular lites are arranged vertically over smaller rectangular lites arranged horizontally. There is a large square transom lite over the double-leaf door in the east wall.				

Wing C and E	Corridors: CO-C-	-01, CO-E-01		
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	American Walnut/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Frosted	1966	
The wall at the mu glass panels are fr the history of NIS	useum lobby is glass osted and etched wit T. Glass panels wer			
Door – Double- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
Walnut doors lead museum lobby. D retrofitted as ADA replaced as part of remain.	l into the Portrait Roo Doors to the Portrait F A-compliant automati f the retrofit, except f	Modernist stainless-steel hinge		
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	

Double-leaf, full-lite doors lead into the inner court. This is the original exterior door. An aluminum push bar visually divides the single lite at each door. The push bar is attached at either end to the aluminum stiles and does not bisect the single lite.



Wing C and E Corridors: CO-C-01, CO-E-01					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966		
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966		
Hardware	Aluminum/ Acrylic	Satin/ Semi- translucent	1966		
original exterior d at each door. The and does not bisec bars, in contrast to	oors. n aluminum pu push bar is attached at the single lite. The the aluminum pull b	ish bar visually divid at either end to the a interior doors featu pars found on exterio	des the single lite duminum stiles re two acrylic pull or doors.	Acrylic pull bars	
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal/ Glass	Painted/ Clear	1966		
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966		
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966		
This door type lea storage. The glaze butler's pantry to a food or dishes.	ds into the former bu ed opening would ha avoid opening the do	itler's pantry, which ve allowed individua or into a cafeteria w	is now used for al entering the orker carrying		
Door – Single- leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966		
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966		
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966		
Hollow metal doo	rs with louvers are fo	bund at utility and ja	nitor's closets.		

Wing C and E Corridors: CO-C-01, CO-E-01					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Opening	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966		
This opening leads The presence of cl- double-leaf door h	s from the Wing C C osers and threshold p ere.	orridor into the Win olates indicates there	g B Corridors. e was once a		
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	il.	
Dividing Strip	1/16" White Metal	Polished	1966		
The principal floor aggregate in black some of the door o	material is terrazzo cement. There are a penings in the corric	consisting of white llso small patches of lor to the west of the	and gray carpeting outside cafeteria.		
Expansion Joint	Steel	Non-Slip Texture	1966		
An expansion joint is located at the north end of the Wing C Corridor outside the museum lobby. The joint is covered with a non-slip steel plate.					
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966		
Radiators run the f	full length of the bott	om of the exterior c	urtain walls.		

Wing C and E Corridors: CO-C-01, CO-E-01					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Steel/ Glass	Painted/ Wire	1966		
Fire extinguisher of painted steel with	abinets are recessed wire-glass glazing.	into the plaster wall	. The door is		



Public Elevator Cab				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
	1	1	1	
	1	r	r	
	1	1	1	



Elevator Lobby, Second – Eleventh Floors					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001		
This is the typical has been lowered.	ceiling in interior co	rridors and lobbies.	The ceiling height		
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	N/A	XI	
This ceiling type is tiles are smooth an these ceilings were	s only found in the si Id set within an expo e installed. The ceilir	xth-floor elevator lo sed metal grid. It is ng height has been lo	bby. Acoustic not known when owered.		
Ceiling	TBD	TBD	TBD		
This ceiling type is lobby.	s only found in the 1	1 th -Floor corridor at	the elevator		
Ceiling	Plaster	Painted	1966		
The soffit above w	rindows is painted pl	aster.			

Elevator Lobby, Second – Eleventh Floors						
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО		
Wall	Marble – VT. White Meadow	Polished	1966			
Walls at the elevat marble. Marble pa base moldings.	or lobbies are clad in anels are continuous					
Window – Reversible	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966			
Three rectangular each level. The he Originally, ceiling	windows are set in the ads of the windows heights were higher	ne south wall of the extend above ceiling in all upper-level co	elevator lobby on g height. orridors.			
Door – Elevator	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966			
There are four elev and two on the we door to the acousti each elevator door transoms.	vators in each of the st. A stainless-steel c drop ceiling. Floo jamb. A floor indic					
Floor	Vinyl Asbestos Tile	N/A	1966			
The original corric	lor floor is a solid fie	eld of brown vinyl as	sbestos tiles.			

Elevator Lobby, Second – Eleventh Floors					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966		
Radiator cabinets a each elevator lobb	are found under the t y.	ripartite windows at	the south end of		

East Lobby: A100 and Elevator Lobby

Located at the east end of Wing A, the east lobby is the principal entrance lobby in Building 101. The main point of entry into the lobby is through the east vestibule. Entry is also possible through the smaller north lobby. The East Lobby provides internal access to the Hall of Standards and the main elevator lobby in Wing A. The lobby also communicates directly with corridors 13 and 14 in Wing B. In addition to circulation space, the East Lobby provides seating and exhibit space. Large curtain walls along the east and north walls flood the lobby with natural light. Many of the original finishes remain within the space, except for the original plaster ceiling and light fixtures, which were replaced in 2001.



East Lobby: A1	East Lobby: A100 and Elevator Lobby						
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001				
The ceiling consis grid. Original con was to be acoustic original ceiling he drawing set. There beneath the susper corridor ceiling me	ts of smooth acoustic struction drawings ir plaster on metal lath ight as depicted in in efore, it is unlikely th aded acoustic tile ceil odifications that took						
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966				
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	TIN BURNING			
Large panels of polished white Vermont marble clad the walls. This is the same marble that clads exterior elevations. The marble panels extend all the way to the suspended ceiling with no intervening molding at the connection. The recessed coved base is terrazzo and is continuous with the terrazzo floor.							
Wall	Marble – AL. Imperial Black	Honed	1966	IN OF YOUR A			
Inscription	Marble – AL. Imperial Black	Gilded	1966	NO DECEMBENTATION OPINIO COULD			
Black marble clad into three of the sc	s the west wall of the juare marble tiles. T	CONSTONATOR CONTROL OF THE SCHOOLS COLLEGES AND OF REINTICE APPARATUS THE SCHOOLS COLLEGES AND OF RECOVERNMENT OF SCHOOLS COLLEGES AND OF RECOVERNMENT OF SCHOOLS OF THE PROVIDENT OF SCHOOLS OF SCHOOLS OF THE RECOVERNMENT OF SCHOOLS					
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966				
Glass The automatic sliding door assembly is not original to the building. Two rectangular lites flank the bank of sliding doors. Four lites span the area above the doors. The automatic sliding doors were installed in 1980.							

FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
The north wall of t system. Three trip between marble-cla – three large vertic The marble-clad co	he east lobby is an a artite bays of alumir ad masonry columns al lites above and th olumns project into t	luminum-and-glass um-and-glass curta . Each tripartite bay ree smaller horizont he interior space.	curtain-wall in wall span y features six lites al lights below.	
Door – Single- Leaf	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
the west end of the knobs and stainless Door – Double- Leaf w/ Transom	East Lobby. Origin s-steel modernist hin Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	clear Satin/	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
A set of double-lea visually divides the end to the aluminus been retrofitted as on the east lobby si transom extends ab	of doors lead into the e single lite at each of m stiles and does no an ADA-compliant a ide has been remove pove the height of the	North Lobby. An a loor. The push bar i t bisect the single lin automatic entrance. d as part of the retro e ceiling.	aluminum push bar s attached at either te. The doors have Original hardware ofit. The glass	
Door – Elevator	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966	
A stainless-steel tra tile ceiling. Floor f jamb. A floor indi	ansom extends above numbers are mounte cator display is mou	e each elevator door d to the inside of ea nted to each of the t	to the acoustic ch elevator door ransoms.	

East Lobby: A1	00 and Elevator			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Dividing Strip	1/16" White Metal	Polished	1966	
Terrazzo is white a occurs only in first	and gray aggregate s t-floor corridors and			
Grille	Extruded Aluminum	Clear Anodized – Satin Finish	1966	
An extruded alumi elevator lobby.	inum grille is set in t	he soffit above the o	pening into the	
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	No. 101 and
An original stainle south wall of the e EXTINGUISHER	ess-steel fire extingui ast lobby. Red plast " in all capitals.			
Mail Drop	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	1 11
An original stainle east lobby. "MAI	ess-steel mail drop is L" is inscribed above	recessed within the the mail slot in all	south wall of the capitals.	AATL

Green Auditorium: B121

The Green Auditorium is located in the southern end of Wing B. The auditorium features stepped seating that descends from grade level at the south end of the auditorium to the stage area at the north end. The stage is not elevated above the floor level. Two small vestibules are located to the south of the auditorium. These serve as the two formal entrances into the space. Two additional entrances flank the stage at the north end of the auditorium. These open into stairwells that provide access to a grade-level corridor above and the basement below. The plaster ceiling and light fixtures were replaced in-kind in 2000. Original finishes include walnut clad doors and walnut wall panels with a Cliffdale marble base. Auditorium seating and the stage surface are not original.



Green Auditorium: B121					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Ceiling	Plaster	Painted	2000		
Ceiling	Plywood	Painted	2000		
A stepped acousti seating. This ceil perimeter, a plywo ceiling. Original be perforated plyw panels are solid w the suspended pla	cal plaster ceiling is ing was replaced in-k ood ceiling is recessed drawings indicate the wood panels with An rith a painted finish. ster ceiling.	suspended above the kind in 2000. Aroun ad above the height of e ceiling at the room herican walnut venee These likely date to	auditorium d the auditorium f the plaster perimeter was to r. Existing ceiling the replacement of		
Ceiling	Wood	Stained Lacquer	2000		
There is currently area. Original dra panels with Amer replaced during re	modern wood cladd awings indicate this c ican walnut veneer. enovations in 2000.	ing on the flat ceiling eiling was to be perf The original ceiling	g above the stage Forated plywood was likely		
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966		
Base	Marble – MO. Cliffdale	Polished	1966		
Walls are clad in j base is brown Clif marble base is cra	plywood panels with ffdale marble tiles wi ocked in some locatio	American walnut ve th a simple rectangu ns.	neer. The wall lar profile. The		
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Perforated w/ Stained Lacquer Finish	1966		
Base	Marble – MO. Cliffdale	Polished	1966		
The rear (south) w Perforation is an a The wall base is b profile. The marb	vall of the auditorium acoustical treatment f prown Cliffdale marb ple base is cracked in	is clad in perforated or sound dampening le tiles with a simple some locations.	d walnut veneer. at this location. e rectangular		

Green Auditori	um: B121			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Double- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware – Pull and Push Bar	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	

This door type provides access between the formal vestibules and the rear of the auditorium. Narrow glazed openings allow individual provide sightlines into the auditorium while minimizing the light pollution from the vestibule or corridor. Original hardware includes a pull bar on the vestibule side, a kick plate and raised push plate on the auditorium side, and stylized modernist hinges. There is no closer and no threshold.



Push plate



Pull bar

Modernist stainless-steel hinge

Green Auditorium: B121						
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE			
Door – Double Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Hardware – Pull and Push Bar	Aluminum	Satin	1966			
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966			

The doors between the corridor and vestibule have no glazed openings. Hardware includes a face-mounted closer, panic bar, and kick plate on the vestibule side; a deadbolt and thumb latch on the corridor side; and stylized modernist hinges. The aluminum threshold has a grooved, non-slip texture.





Aluminum dead bolt with pull lever



Push bar



Modernist stainless-steel hinge

Green Auditori	Green Auditorium: B121					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО		
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Frame	Steel w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Hardware – Pull and Push Bar	Aluminum	Satin	1966			
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966			
Hollow metal fire walnut wall panels stage area. Each f basement and first the auditorium side and a concealed cl	doors are clad in wa s. There are two suc ire door opens direct floor. Hardware ind e, a simple pull hand oser.	Pull handle				
Floor	Carpet	N/A	2000			
The side aisles, for were the two vesti renovations in 200	restage, and standing bules. The carpet w 0.					
Floor	Resilient Tile	N/A	2000			
Resilient tile floor during renovations asbestos tile floori	ing is found at the se s in 2000. Originally ng.					

Green Auditori	Green Auditorium: B121					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО		
Window – Fixed	American Walnut/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966			
A glazed opening auditorium.	allows sightlines fro					
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	C RE ERT KCU SIDE		
There are four fire two into the west. adjacent walls. Th doors. Raised met letters have fallen face. A simple me	extinguisher cabine The cabinet door is the wood grain is con- al letters spell out fir off, and a modern gr tal ring pull opens th					
Speaker Grille	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Walnut speaker gr	illes flank the stage a					
Grille	Extruded Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966			
There are three alu and west walls. A above the marble b	uminum grilles just a nother aluminum gri base.	bove the marble bas ille is centered in the	e on both the east south wall just			

Cafeteria: C101

The cafeteria is a large, open, single-story space located in Wing C south of the inner court. The most striking feature is the north wall, which is almost entirely a single span of aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. The curtain wall floods the space with natural light and provides views of the inner court from almost anywhere in the room. This design feature is intended to connect the two spaces visually and to encourage leisurely, impromptu meetings and conversations among NIST employees.

The cafeteria has been altered several times since its 1966 construction. Most notably, the west serving area has been enclosed and adapted into a lecture room. The east serving area retains its original use but almost none of its original finishes. It is not included in the restoration zone. The cafeteria dining area itself retains several original finishes, including marble and walnut paneling and original modernist pendant light fixtures, which were recently refurbished. There are currently plans to insert a mezzanine level within the cafeteria to provide additional seating. This will require alterations to some of the light fixtures.



Cafeteria: C101	Cafeteria: C101						
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Ceiling - Scalloped	Concrete	Textured Acoustic Coating	1966	V-+ IEAK			
The ceiling over the of the exterior room soffits suspend frond ductwork runs with for metal-lath-and- ridge between indi- fissure mineral acco- wholesale with a the rubbed finish.	ne main dining area i f system in the build om the bottom of each hin each concrete so -plaster panels in a s ividual scallops. The poustic tiles. Instead, extured acoustic coa						
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001				
Ceilings over the e lower than the sca originally perforat mineral fiber acou was likely replaced	entries, serving area, lloped ceiling at the ed aluminum acoust stic tiles set within a d at the same time as						
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966				
Walls at the inner extensive use of su utilitarian space. To originally three mo cafeteria. The use that it too was a si	court entrances are c uch a high-level finis This is particularly si ore formal private di of high-level finishe gnificant space withi						
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966				
Base	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966				
Walnut paneling clads the east and west walls of the cafeteria. The extensive use of such a high-level finish elevates the cafeteria above a merely utilitarian space. This is particularly significant considering there were originally three more formal private dining rooms just south of the communal cafeteria. The use of high-level finishes within the public cafeteria indicates that it too was a significant space within the original design.							

Cafeteria: C10	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Base	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966	
A smaller interior entrance from the	curtain-wall system Wing C corridor.			
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Base	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966	
The south wall of plastered and pain and painted. Orig	the dining area and v ted. All columns thr inally these surfaces	vest wall of the serv oughout the space a were covered with v	ing area are re also plastered vinyl fabric.	
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Most of the north wall. This curtain anywhere in the m two central, comm meetings between light.	wall is a continuous wall provides clear ain dining area. Thi nunal spaces was inte NIST employees. It			
Door	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum/ Acrylic	Satin/ Semi- translucent	1966	
This is the origina visually divides th end to the aluminu	l interior and exterior e single lite at each o um stiles and does no	r door. An aluminu loor. The push bar i t bisect the single li	m push bar is attached at either te.	

Cafeteria: C10	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Hardware	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
This is the origina swinging with no hinge.	I door leading into ba latching mechanism.			
Floor	Vinyl Tile	N/A	2001	
Floors were origin been replaced with white vinyl tile wi perimeter. The ex	hal vinyl asbestos tile h white and black vin ith black tiles forming kisting floor likely da			
Lighting	Solid Brass/ Cast Acrylic	US-26D Dull Chromium/ Surface Polished	1966	
These are the orig and canopy are so shade is polished	inal light fixtures in to lid brass with a dull of acrylic. The fixtures	the main dining area chromium finish. Th were recently refurt	. The fixture body he cylindrical pished.	
Grille	Extruded Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
Extruded aluminu acoustic tile ceilin	m grilles are flush-m ngs.			

Cafeteria: C101							
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966				
Radiator cabinets r	run the length of the	north curtain wall.					
Diffuser	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966				
Aluminum diffuse within the concrete	rs are spaced regular e soffits at the scallo	ly along the alumin ped ceiling.					

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Red Auditorium Lobby: LO-D-01

The lobby is located in Wing B to the west of the Red Auditorium. Visitors enter the lobby from the Wing-B corridors through double-leaf doors at the north and south ends of the west wall. A bank of five single-leaf doors centered in the east wall provide access into the Red Auditorium. Aluminum-and-glass curtain walls at the north and south ends of the lobby flood the space with natural light. Original finishes include white Vermont marble, walnut veneer, and black terrazzo.



Red Auditorium Lobby: LO-D-01							
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001				
The ceiling in the existing ceiling da	Red Auditorium Lob tes to the corridor ce	by was originally ad iling updates in 200	coustic tile. The 1.				
Wall	Marble – VT. Meadow White	Polished	1966	Mayor Jones is in fill			
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	RED			
Large panels of po same marble that of way to the suspend The recessed cove	blished white Vermon clads exterior elevation ded ceiling with no in ad base is terrazzo and	nt marble clad the w ons. The marble par ntervening molding d is continuous with	ralls. This is the nels extend all the at the connection. the terrazzo floor.	AUDITORIUM			
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966				
The north and sou rectangular lites an arranged horizonta	th walls are aluminu re arranged vertically ally.	m-and-glass curtain	wall. Large gular lites				
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966				
Dividing Strip	1/16" White Metal	Polished	1966				
Terrazzo is white occurs only in firs	and gray aggregate so t-floor corridors and	et in black cement. lobbies.	This terrazzo type				
Red Auditoriun	n Lobby: LO-D-()1					
------------------------	--	---------------------------	------	-------			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966				
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966				
Hardware	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966				

A bank of five single-lite doors leads from the lobby into the vestibule and from the vestibule into the auditorium. All doors feature a kickplate and original modernist hinges. Hardware differs between the lobby doors and the auditorium doors. A spring latch secures the lobby doors, so these require a lockset with a thumb latch and panic bar. The auditorium doors cannot be latched and feature a simple modernist pull bar and push plate. Each bank of doors has a continuous aluminum threshold with a grooved, non-slip texture.



Aluminum pull bar



Aluminum push bar



Modernist stainless-steel hinge

Red Auditorium Lobby: LO-D-01					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Door – Double- Leaf	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	A Month Mark Ford	
Frame	Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	eister	
Double-leaf doors aluminum push ba is attached at eithe lite. The doors hav entrances. Origina glass transom exte	lead from the corrid r visually divides the r end to the aluminu ve been retrofitted to l hardware has been nds above the height	or CO-D-01 into the e single lite at each o m stiles and does no be ADA-compliant replaced as part of th t of the ceiling.	lobby. An loor. The push bar t bisect the single automatic he retrofit. The		
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966		
Low radiator cabir walls at the north a	l nets run along the ba and south ends of the	I se of the aluminum- b 13obby.	l and-glass curtain		
Water Fountain	Ceramic/ Chrome	Glazed/ Polished	1966		
Ceramic water fou	ntains are original.	The manufacturer is	Crane.		
Thermostat	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966		
An original thermostat is mounted to the marble wall. The manufacturer is Honeywell.					

Red Auditorium: D107

The Red Auditorium occupies the entirety of Wing D. It is the larger of the two auditoriums. In contrast to the smaller Green Auditorium, the Red Auditorium features an elevated stage area is recessed into the east wall. The auditorium also has a true backstage area, which is accessed through two doorways, one on either side of the stage. The sloped seating area descends from grade-level at the rear (west) of the auditorium down to the forestage area. The acoustical plaster ceiling was replaced in kind in 2000. Apart from the seating and plaster ceiling, renovations have retained most of the original finishes, including suspended wood slat ceilings, formed concrete block, walnut acoustical panels, and stainless-steel doors.



Red Auditoriur	Red Auditorium: D107				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Ceiling	Plaster	Painted	2000		
Ceiling	Wood Strip	Perforated	1966		
A perforated wood wider slats strips a the gaps in the but suspended, steppe seating area. The	d strip ceiling runs ar are butternut. Thinne tternut strips so that t ed plaster ceiling hang existing plaster ceilin				
Ceiling	Wood Plank	Stained Lacquer	2000		
The ceiling above the stage area is flush wood strip without perforations. The spacing of the wood planks do not match original drawings. This ceiling was likely installed during renovations in 2000.					
Wall	Formed Concrete Block	Textured	1966		
Base	Marble – MO. Cliffdale	Polished	1966		
The north and south walls of the auditorium are formed acoustical concrete block. The concrete blocks are laid in a stack bond pattern. Every other stack is built of convex blocks that stand proud of the wall plane. The wall base is brown Cliffdale marble tiles with a simple rectangular profile.					
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Perforated w/ Stained Lacquer Finish	1966		
Base	Marble – MO. Cliffdale	Polished	1966		
The rear (west) wall of the auditorium is clad in acoustic perforated walnut panels.					

Red Auditoriur	n: D107			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Plaster	Vinyl Wall Covering	1966	
Base	Marble – MO. Cliffdale	Polished	1966	
Plaster walls flank pulling away from	ing the stage are cla the plaster wall in a	d in vinyl fabric. The reas.	e vinyl covering is	
Wall	Butternut and American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Wood strips clad p wider slats, princip behind the gaps in	portions of the east a ple strips are buttern the butternut strips			
Door – Double- Leaf	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Hardware	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
Double doors flan end of the auditori frame and surroun raised push plates side. Double door Original red letter been retrofitted wi	k the stage at the eas um. Doors are stain d are also stainless s on the auditorium si rs on the west end of ing spells out "EXIT th modern signage a	t end and the main e less steel with a satin teel. Original hardw de and simple pull b the auditorium open " above the west doo nd a face-mounted a		

Stainless-steel push plate

Red Auditoriun	n: D107			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Hardware – Knobs	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
Single-leaf walnut doors lead from the auditorium vestibule into flanking stairwells leading up to the mezzanine-level projection room. Original hardware includes a door knob, deadbolt, kickplate, and modernist butt hinges. One door has a stainless-steel faceplate reading PROJECTIONIST in all capitals.				Modernist stainless-steel hinge
Door – Single- Lite	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer/ Blass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966	

Lite	Walnut Veneer/ Blass	Clear	1900
Frame	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966
Hardware	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966

A bank of six single-lite doors leads from the lobby into the vestibule and from the vestibule into the auditorium. All doors feature a kickplate and original modernist hinges. Hardware differs between the lobby doors and the auditorium doors. A spring latch secures the lobby doors, so these require a lockset with a thumb latch and panic bar. The auditorium doors cannot be latched and feature a simple modernist pull bar and push plate. Each bank of doors has a continuous, textured aluminum threshold.



Raised push plate

Red Auditoriun	n: D107			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Double- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Double-leaf hollow backstage access. mounted closer, an	v metal doors at the Original hardware in ad utilitarian pivot hi	left and right ends of ncludes a doorknob, nges.	f the stage provide deadbolt, face-	
Door – Stacked Sliding	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Large stacked door conceal the project to either side of the the stage floor. Th	rs slide across the ba tion screen. When th e screen. Doors slide here are three leaves	teck of the prosceniur ne screen is needed, e along three paralle on each side, for six	n opening to doors retract to the l metal grooves in in total.	
Window – Fixed	American Walnut/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966	
A fixed glazed opening provides sightlines from the mezzanine-level projection room into the auditorium.				
Floor	Carpet	N/A	2000	
The aisles, forestag Carpet was most re	ge area, and standing ecently replaced duri	g room area were ori ing renovations in 20	ginally carpet. 000.	

Red Auditorium: D107				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Floor	Vinyl	N/A	2000	
Vinyl asbestos tile The existing vinyl renovations in 200	s originally covered flooring is a continu 0.			
Stage	Oak Plank	Stained Lacquer	1966	
The stage floor is t	finished with oak pla	nks.		
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Steel/ Glass	Painted/ Wire	1966	
Fire extinguisher c southeast entrance and most corridors in the Green Audit	abinets are recessed s. These cabinets are . They are significa orium.			
Grille	Extruded Anodized Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
Aluminum grilles occur between the molded concrete block walls and the marble base. They are also found above the hollow metal doors at stage right and stage left.				

Museum: E107, E109, and E115

The museum occupies three rooms to the east of the library: the museum lobby and two exhibit rooms to the north of the lobby. Originally the museum only occupied the larger of the two exhibit rooms (E107). This room features elevated finishes, such as walnut paneling and a decorative vinyl flooring pattern, and houses multiple custom-designed display cases. Room E109 was originally used exclusively as a lobby area for the library and museum. Room E115 originally housed Xerox equipment. Both of these rooms now house exhibits. An additional opening has been constructed in the south wall of E115 to make it accessible via the museum lobby.



Museum: E107, E109, and E115				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Striated	1966	
Ceilings in the mu concealed grid. T museum lobby are Ceilings in the ma	I seum lobby (E109) a iles are striated along the only two spaces in exhibit room (E10	I are 12"x24" acoustic g their length. The li that retain this origi)7) have been altered	I tiles set within a ibrary and inal ceiling tile. 1.	
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Striated	1966	C
Ceilings in the ma within a concealed not confirmed.	in exhibit room (E10 1 grid. It is assumed	07) are 12"x12" acou this is the original c	istic tiles set eiling, but this is	
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Textured	N/A	
This is not the original ceiling in room E115. It is not known when this ceiling was altered.				- Contraction of the second seco
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Base	Vinyl	N/A	1966	
Walls in the muse American Walnut smaller exhibit roo	um lobby and larger . This designates the om, which was origin	exhibit room are par ese areas as more for nally housed Xerox o	neled with mal than the equipment.	

Museum: E107,	E109, and E115	;		
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Wall	Plaster	Vinyl Wall Covering	1966	
Base	Steel	Baked Enamel	1966	
The north wall of th a vinyl covering.	he main exhibit root	m (E107) is plastered	l and finished with	
Wall	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Base	Vinyl	N/A	1966	
Wall	American Walnut/ Class	Stained Lacquer/	1966	
Base	Vinyl	N/A	1966	
The east wall of the frame. The glass particular relevant to the histor	e museum lobby (E anels are frosted an ory of NIST. Glass	109) is glass panels s d etched with symbo panels were original	et within a walnut ls and documents ly clear.	Charters of Freedom
Window - Fixed	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	The
There are six fixed and one fixed wind sides and soffit of e are fitted with verti exhibit spaces.	windows along the ow in the north wal each window openir cal metal blinds to o	north wall of the larg l of the smaller exhit ng are plastered and p control levels of dayl	ger exhibit room pit room. The painted. Windows lighting in the	

Museum: E107, E109, and E115				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Window – Fixed	American Walnut/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966	
The wall between several large glaze which otherwise connects the two s	the museum lobby a d openings. This all ould only be lit with paces.	nd the larger exhibit ows daylight into th artificial light. It als	room features e museum lobby, so visually	
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
Doors leading into is a full height woo includes stylized n knobs have been re	the larger exhibit ro od transom panel abo nodernist butt hinges eplaced on some doo	oom are clad in walm ove each door. Orig and aluminum door ors.	ut veneer. There inal hardware knobs. Door	

Modernist stainless-steel hinge

Museum: E107, E109, and E115				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Double- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
A double-leaf wah Original hardware door knobs. Door	nut door leads from (includes stylized mo knobs have been rep	Modernist stainless-steel hinge		
Floor	Vinyl Asbestos	N/A	1966	
Tile The floors in the museum lobby and larger exhibit room is black and tan vinyl asbestos tile laid out in a grid pattern. Thin strips of tan tile separate large squares of black tile. There is a border of tan tiles around the perimeter of both rooms.				
Floor	Wood	Stained Lacquer	Unknown	A second se
The floor in the sn not original. It is n	naller exhibit rooms not known when it w	is narrow wood plan vas installed.		

Museum: E107	, E109, and E115	i		
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Display Case	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966	
A large, rectangula lobby. It features	ar display case is bui three glazed opening	It into the east wall o	of the museum frames.	
Display Case	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer/ Glass	Stained Lacquer/ Clear	1966	CORSYS CONTRACTOR OF
There are two free Walnut mullions d protects objects wi cylindrical base cl	-standing round disp livide each case into ithin the cases. Each ad in walnut veneer.	lay cases in the larg three equal parts. C of the cylindrical ca	er exhibit room. urved glazing ases rests upon a	
Thermostat	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
Original thermosta space (E107). The	1 ats are found in the n e manufacturer is Ho	useum lobby (E109 neywell.) and main exhibit	

Library: E114

The research library is among the most architecturally significant interior spaces in Building 101 and retains a high degree of architectural integrity. Many of the original finishes remain in the space, and replacements are typically in kind. The library is a two-story space with a mezzanine level running the full length of the room east to west and approximately two-thirds of the width north to south. The mezzanine overlooks the full-height reading area that runs along the north side of the Library. The north wall features a full-height aluminum curtain-wall that floods the library interior with natural light. A monumental, self-supporting spiral stair provides access to the mezzanine at the east end of the library. A simple straight stair with a half landing provides mezzanine access at the west end. Finishes include plaster walls, vinyl floor tiles, terrazzo, stainless steel, and American figured walnut.



Library: E114				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustical Tile	Striated	1966	
Diffuser	Extruded Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
Striated acoustic c diffusers occur at very few interior s	ceiling tiles are susper spans of curtain wall spaces that retain thei	nded from a conceale along the north wall r original acoustic ce	ed grid. Linear . This is one of a biling tiles.	
Ceiling	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Diffuser Three linear runs and mezzanine lev with the square stu	Extruded Aluminum of plaster ceiling occu vels. These plaster se ructural columns. Lin	Clear Satin ur above the stacks o ections run along east near aluminum diffus	1966 n the first-floor t to west in line sers are centered	
Diffuser Three linear runs of and mezzanine lev with the square str within the plaster first-floor level ab the library. Ten ro the plaster ceiling Walls	Extruded Aluminum of plaster ceiling occr vels. These plaster se ructural columns. Lin tacks between colum bove the carrel area in ectangular areas of ac Plaster	Clear Satin ur above the stacks o ections run along east near aluminum diffus ns. Plaster ceilings a the protruding bay a coustical tiles are spa	1966 n the first-floor t to west in line sers are centered also occur on the at the west end of ced evenly along 1966	
Diffuser Three linear runs of and mezzanine lev with the square str within the plaster first-floor level ab the library. Ten ro the plaster ceiling Walls Base	Extruded Aluminum of plaster ceiling occr vels. These plaster se ructural columns. Lin tacks between colum ove the carrel area in ectangular areas of ac Plaster Vinyl	Clear Satin ur above the stacks o ections run along east near aluminum diffus ns. Plaster ceilings a the protruding bay a coustical tiles are spa Vinyl Fabric N/A	1966 n the first-floor t to west in line sers are centered also occur on the at the west end of ced evenly along 1966 1966	
Diffuser Three linear runs of and mezzanine level with the square stru- within the plaster first-floor level ab the library. Ten ra- the plaster ceiling Walls Base Originally all plas areas, vinyl fabric	Extruded Aluminum of plaster ceiling occurvels. These plaster seructural columns. Lintacks between columnove the carrel area in ectangular areas of action Plaster Vinyl ter walls were clad in has been painted over	Clear Satin ur above the stacks o ections run along east near aluminum diffus ns. Plaster ceilings a the protruding bay a coustical tiles are spa Vinyl Fabric N/A n a textured vinyl fab er.	1966 n the first-floor t to west in line sers are centered also occur on the tt the west end of ced evenly along 1966 1966 ric. In some	
Diffuser Three linear runs of and mezzanine level with the square stuwithin the plaster first-floor level ab the library. Ten reference the plaster ceiling Walls Base Originally all plass areas, vinyl fabric Flush Metal Partitions	Extruded Aluminum of plaster ceiling occurvels. These plaster seructural columns. Lintacks between colum tacks between columns. Lintacks between columnove the carrel area in ectangular areas of accurrent	Clear Satin Ur above the stacks o ections run along east near aluminum diffus ns. Plaster ceilings a the protruding bay a coustical tiles are spa Vinyl Fabric N/A n a textured vinyl fab er. Baked Enamel	1966 In the first-floor to west in line sers are centered also occur on the the west end of ced evenly along 1966 ric. In some 1966	

Library:	E114
Liorary.	

FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Curtain Wall	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Fine Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	

The north wall is entirely aluminum-and-glass curtain wall. Lites are divided into two rows, with smaller square lites below and larger rectangular lites above. The curtain wall extends above the suspended acoustical tile ceiling so that the top rail of the aluminum frame is not visible. There are two sets of double-leaf doors – one at either end of the curtain-wall span. The original doors have been replaced with modern ADA-compliant automatic doors.

Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966
Frame	American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966

Single-leaf walnut doors are found on the first floor of the library. Original hardware includes modernist hinges and aluminum door knobs. A walnut panel above the door extends to the height of the ceiling.







Modernist stainless-steel hinge



This is the typical office door. Original hardware is an aluminum door knob. Lever handles have replaced these at some doors. Some office doors have a single glazed opening above the height of the door knob.



Library: E114				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hollow metal door closets. Original h replaced these at so	rs with louvers occur nardware is an alumin ome doors.	at electrical, mecha num door knob. Lev	nical, and janitor's ver handles have	
Floor	Vinyl Asbestos Tile	N/A	1966	
The original floori color. Patches of r pattern.	ng is a solid field of replacement tile do n	vinyl asbestos tile ir ot match the origina	h a light gray l in color or	

Library: E114			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE
Stair – Structure	Poured Concrete	N/A	1966
Stair – Soffit and Stringer	Plaster	Painted	1966
Stair – Treads and Risers	Terrazzo	TBD	1966
Stair – Balusters	1" Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966
Stair – Handrail	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966

A significant character defining features is the self-supporting spiral stair case located at the east end of the library. The poured concrete structure is clad with pre-fabricated terrazzo treads and risers. The soffit and open stringer are plastered and painted. Round stainless-steel balusters 1" in diameter support the curved walnut handrail.



Monumental spiral stair (2019)



Unfinished concrete stair (1962)

Stair – Structure	Concrete Block	Plastered and Painted	1966
Stair – Treads	Terrazzo	Polished	1966
Stair – Landing	Terrazzo	Polished	1966
Stair - Balusters, Risers, and Stringer	Steel	Painted	1966
Stair - Handrail	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966

A simpler, more utilitarian stair provides access to the mezzanine at the west end of the Library. The stair has two straight flights with an intermediate landing. The closed stringer, riser, and balusters are painted carbon steel. Like the monumental spiral stair, this stair features terrazzo treads and an American walnut handrail.



Library: E114				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Mezzanine – Soffit Panels	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Trim	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966	
Balusters	1" Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin Finish	1966	
Handrail	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
The mezzanine lev approximately two mezzanine is finish diffuser is centered and American wal	yel runs the full length p-thirds the width no- hed with plaster pane d in every third plast nut handrail match t	th of the Library east rth so south. The fac els. An extruded alu er panel. The stainle hose of the monume	t to west and ce of the minum air ess-steel balusters ntal spiral stair.	
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Steel/ Glass	Painted/ Wire	1966	
Fire extinguisher of painted steel with	abinets are recessed wire-glass glazing.	into the plaster wall	. The door is	FRE DTINGUSHER
Water Fountain	Ceramic/ Chrome	Glazed/ Polished	1966	Nearest Accessible Water Fourtain near Audionation Audionation
Ceramic water fou	intains are original.	The manufacturer is	Crane.	
Diffuser	Extruded Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
The aluminum fram	me is proud of the pl	aster wall.		

Library: E114				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Diffuser	Extruded Aluminum	Clear Satin	1966	
The aluminum fra	me is proud of the pl	aster wall.		Internation

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Directors' Office Suite: A1131, A1134, A1137, and A1138

The Director's office suite occupies the west end of the Eleventh Floor of Wing A. The suite includes a large reception area, a conference room, and offices for the Director and Executive Director, each with a private bathroom. The suite is entered through a small vestibule. The layout of the space is notably different from that shown in original construction drawings. However, a furniture plan dating to 1964 shows the existing layout, indicating the change to layout happened prior to construction. Original finishes include walnut paneling and doors and aluminum doors and windows. Most other finishes – including carpet, acoustic ceiling tiles, and light fixtures – have been replaced.

An aluminum-and-glass door with transom and sidelights has been installed at the end of the corridor to create a small entrance vestibule for the suite. This division is not original, and this sheet does not include finishes in the vestibule area.



Directors' Offic	ce Suite: A1131,	A1134, A1137, a	and A1138	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	c. 1999	
Ceilings in the Dir plaster acoustic tile the space in the lat	rectors' suite were or es. Ceilings were m te 1990s.	iginally 12" by 12" ost recently replaced	prefab mineral l during updates to	
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Base	Steel	Baked Enamel	1966	
rectangular profile painted.	The original finish	i is baked enamel, bi	ıt bases have been	
Wall	Plaster	Vinyl Fabric	c. 1999	
Base	Steel	Baked Enamel	1966	
Dividing walls in t finished with plast Directors' suite fro metal partitions as fabric that may dat covering was grass profile. The origin	the Directors' suite a er and vinyl fabric w om other office space dividing walls. Wa te to renovations in t s cloth. The wall bas nal finish is baked en	re concrete masonry vall covering. This c es, which typically h lls are currently cove he late 1990s. The se is steel with simp amel, but bases hav	v unit construction distinguished the lave moveable ered in a vinyl original wall le rectangular e been painted.	
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Base	Steel	Baked Enamel	1966	
Certain walls in th reception area are dates to the late 19 can be seen on orig steel with simple r	e Director's office, F clad in walnut panel 990s. The original fi ginal finish boards ir ectangular profile. 7	Executive Director's ing. The existing ch nish was more natur the library archives The original finish is	office, and herry stained finish al walnut color, as s. The wall base is baked enamel,	

but bases have been painted.

FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Floor	Carpet	N/A	c. 1999	
loors in the offic arpeted. The exis 990s.	es, conference room, sting carpet most like	and reception area well attes to renovation	ere originally is in the late	
Door – Double- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	
Double-leaf swing Doors are clad in v also refinished dur hinges and an alur A louvered walnu between the recep vestibule and the l	ging doors lead from walnut veneer to mat ring the late 1990s. (ninum door knob. T t transom above the o tion area and corrido owering of the corrid	the vestibule into the ich the walnut panelin Original hardware inc he face-mounted clos doors originally allow r before the construct dor ceiling.	reception area. g. They were ludes modernist er is not original. ed for ventilation ion of the	Modernist stainless-steel hinge
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	11-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-
	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame		Satin	1966	
Frame Hardware – Knob	Aluminum			

Directors' Office Suite: A1131, A1134, A1137, and A1138						
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE			
Door – Sliding	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966			
A double-leaf slid space now functio extends to the heig	ing doors close off w ns as a kitchenette.	/hat was likely a clos A walnut panel abov	set originally. The re the doors			
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966			
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966			
Original closet doe aluminum door kn the ceiling.	ors in the two offices obs. A louvered wa	s feature original mo lnut transom extends	dernist hinges and s to the height of	-		
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966			
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin	1966			
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	i a		

A single-leaf connects the Director's office and the adjacent conference room. On the conference room side, the door is recessed in a walnut-paneled alcove. A walnut panel above the alcove extends to the ceiling height. On the office side, the door is flush with the wall. A walnut panel extends above the door to the ceiling height.



РНОТО

100% Submission - NIST 101 HSR	

Directors' Offic	ce Suite: A1131,	A1134, A1137, a	und A1138	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Window – Reversible	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Windows are singl The interior openin	e-lite. Metal blinds ng is plastered and p			
Radiator Cabinet	Steel	Painted	1966	
There is a radiator	cabinet in each wind			
Built-in Casework	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Diffuser	Extruded Aluminum	Clear Satin Finish	1966	
The offices and co an extruded alumin wood casework an late 1990s. An alu casework. The ori on original finish b	nference room featu num grille set within d trim in the Directo minum diffuser is m ginal finish was mon poards in the library			

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Corridors on the second through tenth Floors of the Office Tower were designed as minimalist, practical spaces that could easily adapt to changing programmatic needs. The corridors run from east to west, with a large office suite and elevator lobby at the east end and a second large office suite at the west end. Smaller offices, utility closets, and restrooms are located along both sides of the corridors. Corridor walls are moveable partitions made up of flush steel panels. The moveable partitions allow for easy rearrangement of offices and relocation of doorways as needed. Finishes are utilitarian and hardwearing; they include vinyl asbestos floor tiles, hollow metal doors, and acoustic ceiling tiles. Corridors retain much of their original fixtures with a few exceptions. On most floors, the suspended ceilings and light fixtures were replaced around 2010. The ceiling height has also been lowered, so that louver transoms over doors are no longer visible.



FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001	
This is the typical set within an expo it was above the he additional air circu only visible inside	ceiling in interior co sed grid. The ceiling eight of louvered tran ilation between the o the offices.	rridors. Acoustic til g height has been lov nsoms above the doo ffice and corridors.	es are smooth and wered. Originally ors that allowed These are now	Ŧ
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	N/A	
This ceiling type is smooth and set wi ceilings were insta was above the heig additional air circu only visible inside	s only found in the si thin an exposed meta illed. The ceiling hei ght of louvered trans- ilation between the o the offices.	xth-floor corridor. Il grid. It is not kno ght has been lowere oms above the doors ffice and corridors.	Acoustic tiles are wn when these d. Originally it s that allowed These are now	
Wall	Steel Flush Metal Panel	Vinyl Coating	1966	
Base	Steel	Vinyl Coating	1966	
Corridor walls are walls and base hav been lowered so th	moveable partitions ve a factory applied v nat louver transoms a	made of flush steel inyl coating. Corric re no longer visible.	panels. Both the dor ceilings have	

FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE
Door – Single- Leaf	Steel	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966

This door assembly leads into the large office suite at the east end of the sixth-floor corridor. It is probable that this door assembly originally existed at this location on the second through the tenth floors. It now only exists on the sixth floor. It has been replaced with a centered aluminum-and-glass door with sidelites and transom on all other floors. Original aluminum knobs have been replaced with lever handles.

Door – Single- Leaf	Steel	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966

Typical office doors are single-leaf with no glazed or louvered openings. Original hardware includes aluminum door knobs, deadbolts, and butt hinges. Some door knobs have been replaced with lever handles. Office doors have a steel louver transom above the height of the suspended corridor ceiling.

Door – Single- Leaf	Steel	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966

Doors to restrooms and janitor and utility closets have steel louvers set below the door's midline. Original hardware includes aluminum door knobs, deadbolts, and butt hinges. Door knobs have been replaced with lever handles on some doors.

Door – Single- Lite	Steel	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966

Some office doors have a single glazed opening. This is the most common office door type on the 6th Floor. Original hardware includes aluminum door knobs, deadbolts, and butt hinges. Door knobs have been replaced with lever handles on some doors.









FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal/ Glass	Painted/ Wire	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	

This is the standard stairwell door. There are two on every upper-floor corridor. Original hardware was likely a stainless-steel door knob, but the door has been retrofitted with a lever handle. Stairwell doors have a single lite of wire glass.



Door – Double- Leaf	Steel	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966

This door type was only found on the 6th Floor. The left leaf is the same as the typical office door. Original hardware includes aluminum door knobs, deadbolts, and butt hinges. Door knobs have been replaced with lever handles on some doors.

|--|

The original corridor floor is a solid field of brown vinyl asbestos tiles.





Water Fountain	Ceramic/ Chrome	Glazed/ Polished	1966	Accessible Wider Fountain on 1st & 8th
Ceramic water fou	ntains are original. '	The manufacturer is	Crane.	

		-	-	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Mail Chute	Stainless Steel	No. 4 Satin	1966	
A stainless-steel m level corridors. "N	nail chute is located a MAIL" is inscribed o			

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

11th-Floor Corridor

Since the Executive Office Suite is found on the eleventh, the corridor features higher level finishes than corridors on second through tenth floors. Most notably, dividing walls are concrete-masonry-unit construction finished with plaster, in contrast to the moveable metal partitions used on floors two through ten. The layout is almost identical to the lower floors. At its east end, the long single corridor terminates in a large office area. The Directors' office suite is at the west end of the corridor. A modern aluminum-and-glass partition with a single-leaf door creates a small entrance vestibule for the Directors' office suite. This division of space is not original. Offices on the eleventh floor are larger, so that there are fewer office doors leading off of the corridor. Doors and transom panels are walnut veneer, a significant change from the hollow metal doors found on floors two through ten.



11 th -Floor Corridor							
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	c. 2010				
This is the typical set within an expo it was above the he additional air circu only visible inside	ceiling in interior co sed grid. The ceiling eight of louvered tra ilation between the c the offices.						
Wall	Plaster	Vinyl Fabric	1966				
Base	Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966				
The wall base woo	od with a simple rect	angular profile.					
Floor	Vinyl Tile	N/A	c. 2001				
Floors were origin faux marble patter may date to ceiling	ally vinyl asbestos ti n. It is not known w g modifications in th						
11th-Floor Corridor							
--	--	---	---	-------			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО			
Door – Single- Leaf	Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966				
Frame	Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966				
Hardware – Knobs	Aluminum	Satin	1966				
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966				
Office doors are si hardware includes hinges. Modern le Office doors have ceiling. The louve	ingle-leaf with no gla a aluminum door kno ever handles have rep a wood louver trans er transom is not visi	azed or louvered ope bs, deadbolts, and m placed door knobs in om above the height ble from the corrido	nings. Original odernist butt some instances. of the suspended r.				
Door – Single- Leaf	Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966				
Frame	Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966				
Hardware – Knobs	Aluminum	Satin	1966				
Hardware – Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966				
Doors to restroom below the door's r knobs, deadbolts, includes a push pla face-mounted clos ADA accessible d	s and janitor's and u nidline. Closet door and modernist butt h ate, pull bar handle, ser on the restroom s oors.	tility closets have we hardware includes a inges. Restroom do and kickplate. Restr ide. These doors are	bod louvers set luminum door or hardware oom doors have a not automatic				

11 th -Floor Corridor				
		T	1	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Single- Leaf	Walnut Veneer/ Glass	Stained Lacquer / Wire	1966	
Frame	Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Stair doors have a does not appear to	single square lite set be original. Hinges	t with wire glass. Th likely are original.	ne lever handle	
Mail Drop	Stainless Steel/ Glass	No. 4 Satin/ Clear	1966	
An original mail drop is found at the west end of the corridor. The chute aluminum with clear glazing set within an aluminum frame. Mail is inserted into a slot in the top of a stainless-steel panel mounted above the glazing. MAIL is inscribed into the face of the panel in all capitals.				
Water Fountain	Ceramic/ Chrome	Glazed/ Polished	1966	Control Rest data Proc
A single water fou was manufactured	ntain is located at th by Crane.	e west end of the co	rridor. The fixture	

Stairwells: ST-3, ST-4, ST5, ST-11, ST-12, ST-16, and ST-19

The two main stairwells are located in Wing A – one at the east end near the elevator lobby and one in the center of the office tower. These stairwells run all the way from the basement level to the 11^{th} floor of the office tower. There are two stairwells in Wing C that run from the basement to the 1^{st} floor. The enclosed stairwell in the library runs from the basement up to the mezzanine level. Two stairwells at the north end of the Green Auditorium in Wing B allow circulation from the corridor, which is at grade, to the front of the Green Auditorium, which is below grade. Regardless of their configurations, stairwells are united by a common material palette. In particular, a specific terrazzo pattern is used to distinguish the stairwells from the first-floor corridors.



Stairwells: ST-	3, ST-4, ST5, ST	-11, ST-12, ST-1	6, and ST-19	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Ceilings in all stair always, includes s	wells are painted platringers and soffits o	aster. This occasion f the stairs themselv	ally, but not es.	
Walls	Plaster	Vinyl Fabric	1966	
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Base	Steel	Painted	1966	
walls	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Base	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
Base	Steel	Painted	1966	
All stairwells have walls are painted p	e painted plaster wall blaster.	s at the basement le	vel. At Stair 3, all	
Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal/ Wire Glass	Painted/ Clear	1966	Cn
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
The typical stair de The only exception is clad in walnut v Original hardware replaced in some l	oor is a hollow meta n is the 11 th floor of eneer. (See Survey includes aluminum ocations.	l fire door with a sin the office tower, wh Sheet for eleventh-fi door knobs. Door k	gle wire-glass lite. ere the stair door loor corridor). nobs have been	

Stairwells: ST-	3, ST-4, ST5, ST	-11, ST-12, ST-1	6, and ST-19	
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	NDT AN EXIT
Hardware	Aluminum	Satin	1966	
Exterior stair door panic bar on the in	s do not have a wire- terior face instead of	-glass light. They ar f door knobs.	re also fitted with a	
Window - Reversible	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin/ Clear	1966	
Only the two stairs single-lite. The in	wells in the office to terior opening is pla	wer have windows. stered and painted.	Windows are	
Floor	Terrazzo	Polished	1966	
In stairwells, the terrazzo consists of black, red, yellow, cream, and white chips in gray cement. This terrazzo distinguishes stairwells from the 1 st -floor corridors.				
Radiator Cover	Steel	Painted	1966	

Stairwells: ST-3, ST-4, ST5, ST-11, ST-12, ST-16, and ST-19				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Air Register	Steel	Painted	1966	
This type of wall r	l egister is only found			
Railing	Oak/ Steel	Clear Lacquer/ Painted	1966	
The handrail is oak with a clear lacquered finish. Steel arms attach the wood handrail to a steel railing with steel balusters. At the foot of the bottom flight and the head of the top flight, the wood handrail doubles back and terminates at one of the steel balusters.				
Handrail	Oak/ Steel	Clear Lacquer/ Painted	1966	
The handrail is oak with a clear lacquered finish. The oak handrail is mounted on a steel rail with three evenly-spaced arms that attach to the wall at circular steel plates.				
Fire Extinguisher Cabinet	Steel/ Glass	Painted/ Wire	1966	
Fire extinguisher cabinets in stairwells are painted steel with wire glass glazing. Some cabinets are missing their glazing entirely.				

Lecture Rooms and Classrooms: B101, B102, 106, B107, B110, B111, B113, B114, B116, B118

Lecture rooms and classrooms are concentrated in a single block at the north end of Wing B. Rooms B101, B102, and B107 are designated as lecture rooms while smaller Room B106 was a originally a classroom. B103 served as a projector room for lecture room B101 and B107. Room B104 served as a storage room. All of these rooms could be access from the main corridor or through a connecting hall in the center of the block of rooms. The four main rooms are still used as classrooms or lecture halls but the projection room and storage room have been turned into office.

Rooms B110, B114, B116, and B118 are concentrated at the southwest corner of the education block. These rooms remain in their original configuration served as reading rooms and offices. Today, these rooms are all offices.

Rooms B113 and B114 were conference rooms at the southeast corner of the education block.

Various renovations to these spaces took place during the 1970s and 1980s. Though finishes have changed, the room layouts have remained constant. The few remaining original finishes are plaster walls, slate chalk boards, and walnut veneer panels and doors.



Lecture Rooms	and Classrooms	B101, B102, 10	6, B107, B110, B	B111, B113, B114, B116, B118
	1			
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2019	
The existing ceilin corridor ceilings. exposed metal grid interspersed with 4	ngs are in the process Square mineral fiber d. The original ceilin 4'x 4' light fixtures.	of being updated to acoustic tiles are su ngs were mineral fib	match the aspended in an er acoustical tiles	
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Base	Steel	Painted	1966	* 5
Plaster walls were many of the rooms base was painted r	originally covered in s but has been painte netal.	n vinyl fabric. The v d over in some place	vinyl remains in es. The original	
Wall	Plywood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Walnut paneling is B102 and the west B106.	s found on the shared t wall of B107. Ther	d wall between lectur e is no wood panelin	re halls B101 and ng in classroom	
100% Sub-	mission NIST 101 I	d 2 L		Section $C = 144$

Г

Lecture Rooms	and Classrooms:	: B101, B102, 10	6, B107, B110, B	B111, B113, B114, B116, B118
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
Hinges	Stainless Steel	Satin	1966	

The typical door into the education block from the east and west corridors is a wood-veneer door with special stainless-steel hinges. The original doors had aluminum knobs but these have been replaced with aluminum door pulls and push plates. The wood door is missing from corridor access to the southwest office block.





Modernist stainless-steel hinge

Door – Single- Leaf	Hollow Metal	Painted	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966
Hardware	Aluminum	Polished	1966

Hollow metal doors lead from lecture rooms B101, B102, B106 and B107 into the connecting corridor. These doors are also present in the southwest office block and the southeast conference rooms. The hardware includes flat hinges and aluminum door knobs.

Door – Sliding	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966

This closet door type occurs in Room B102. These sliding doors would have been originally used in Rooms B101 and B107 and been replaced by modern accordion doors.





Lecture Rooms and Classrooms: B101, B102, 106, B107, B110, B111, B113, B114, B116, B118				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Accordion	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	Steel	Painted	1966	
This closet door ty	rpe occurs in Room			
Window	Oak/ Glass	Clear Lacquer/ Clear	1966	
Lecture Room B10 room to the lecture	01 and B107 have a generation of the second	glazed opening from	the projection	
The carpet is not o In at least one roon the original floor f	Tile riginal. All carpet h n, the original VAT inish.			
Chalkboard	Slate	N/A	1966	
Original slate chal remain.	kboards in rooms B1	01, B106, B107, B1	11 and B113	

Private Dining Rooms and Corridor: C106, C108, C110, and CO-C-01

There are two small private dining rooms located at the sound end of Wing C. Rooms C106 and C108 are separated by a folding partition wall that is typically left in the open position. Room C110 has no such divider. Both spaces have been updated to accommodate modern conference technology. This includes projection equipment mounted to the ceilings and retractable projection screens mounted to the east and west walls. The acoustic tile ceilings have been replaced with new. Original grass cloth has been removed, and walls are now smooth painted plaster. The only original decorative finishes to remain are walnut veneer doors and cherry veneer paneling.



Private Dining	Rooms and Corri	dor: C106, C108	, C110, and CO-	C-01
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Ceiling	Acoustic Tile	Smooth	2001	
Acoustic tiles are s the same as those i same time.	smooth and set withi in first-floor corridor	n an exposed grid. ' 's and were likely re	These ceilings are placed around the	
Wall	Plaster	Painted	1966	
Base	Steel	Baked Enamel	1966	
Plaster walls were originally finished with grass cloth. This has been removed and replaced with a smooth painted surface. The original wall base is steel with a baked enamel finish. In most locations carpet returns over the wall base. Where exposed, the wall base has been painted.				
Wall	Plywood – Cherry Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Base	Steel	Baked Enamel	1966	
The east and west walls of the two spaces are clad in cherry veneer paneling. The original wall base is steel with a baked enamel finish. In most locations carpet returns over the wall base. Where exposed, the wall base has been painted.				
Folding Partition	Plywood – Cherry Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
A moveable folding partition can be opened to separate Rooms C106 and C108. The partition is clad in Cherry Veneer. It slides along extruded aluminum channels in the ceiling and floor.				

Private Dining Rooms and Corridor: C106, C108, C110, and CO-C-01				
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО
Door – Single- Leaf	Wood w/ American Walnut Veneer	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Frame	American Walnut	Stained Lacquer	1966	
Hardware – Knob	Aluminum	Satin Finish	1966	
Hardware – Hinge	Stainless Steel	Satin Finish	1966	
Original hardware includes aluminum doorknob and modernist stainless-steel hinges. Knobs have been replaced with aluminum push and pull hardware. Doors in C110 have been replaced with modern full-lite doors. The original walnut transom panels remain even where doors have been replaced.				Modernist stainless-steel hinge
Window – Fixed	Anodized Aluminum/ Glass	Clear Satin Finish/ Clear	1966	
Two tripartite windows are set in the south wall of each space. Window blinds and dressings are not original.				
Floor	Carpet	N/A	2001	221
Floors were origin when alterations w	ally carpeted. The c rere made to the ceil	arpet was likely repl ings.	laced in 2001	

Private Dining Rooms and Corridor: C106, C108, C110, and CO-C-01					
FEATURE	MATERIAL	FINISH	DATE	РНОТО	
Radiator Cover	Steel	Painted	1966		
Radiator cabinets r	run along the south w	vall beneath the tripa			

SECTION D: PRESERVATION CHALLENGES & RECOMMENDATIONS



PRESERVATION CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This section summarizes existing conditions in Building 101 that represent preservation challenges. JMA Preservation's architectural conservators teamed up with McMullan Consulting Engineers to review the existing conditions of the historic building materials as well as any structural deficiencies. Each team conducted a visual survey of the historic materials and performed selective sounding with a silicone mallet. The team performed a probe at three marble panel locations (east entrance, library portico, and courtyard columns) where the panels were removed from the structure and conditions observed. Questions related to these probes led to further investigation of : 1) marble panels at the concrete perimeter wall at the east entrance; 2) and 3) pavers and cheek wall construction at the north entrance; 4) marble panels at the library's patio fascia, and 5) concrete soffit at the loading dock. JMA Preservation analyzed mortar using acid digestion on mortar collected from the marble panels to determine the relative composition. The conditions found during the entire assessment vary from minor to major impact on the significant architectural features of the building. Further information on the probes and the mortar analysis can be found in Section J of this report.

Features and materials which date to the original construction period provide the building with its character, and contribute both to its sense of history and the overall architectural experience. They are accordingly referred to as "character-defining features." Features and materials which are not considered significant are those that were installed after the 1962-1966 construction or those that are neither consistent with, nor sympathetic to, their precedents.

The treatment of significant features and materials, whether it be for maintenance, repair, removal, or replacement, should be carefully considered during the planning process and should focus on the preservation of the original building fabric. The treatments for features and materials that are not significant can have more flexibility because the alteration or replacement of these features and materials will not affect the building's original fabric or character.

During the survey for the Inventory of Significant Features and Materials in Section C, the project team identified the following character-defining features for consideration:

- Exterior Masonry: Marble, Limestone, Granite, and Brick
- Retaining Walls: Concrete, Granite and Marble
- Entrance Terraces: Granite Pavers
- Courtyard Bridge: Concrete
- Arcade: Concrete
- Landscaping
- Doors and Hardware
- Windows and Hardware
- Interior Finishes: Marble, Terrazzo, Tile
- Ceiling Tiles
- Plaster
- Architectural Metals: Aluminum, Stainless Steel, and Bronze
- Architectural Woodwork: Railings, Cabinetry, Veneer

There are two main challenges in protecting the character-defining features of an architecturally significant building: 1) the treatment of the materials themselves, and 2) inappropriate alterations or replacements that affect the original design intent. The building materials are in relatively good condition. Typical treatment recommendations include partial repairs for broken original materials, replacement for materials that have served beyond their life expectancy, and maintenance to remediate water infiltration or refinish degraded materials.

The following section outlines specific conditions for the two main preservation challenges at Building 101. Treatment recommendations and priority levels are provided for each condition. High-priority items are those that are best treated within the next two years as conditions will continue to deteriorate and could cause more costly damage if not addressed. Medium-priority items are those that are best treated within two to five years. Conditions of these items will continue to deteriorate and, if not corrected within that period, will lead to more serious damage and costly repair of historic fabric. Low-priority items are those features that are cosmetic in nature and affect the aesthetic of the building. These items can be addressed on a more long-term basis without compromising the safety of those using the building and should be evaluated on a five- to ten-year cycle. At the end of this section is a photographic catalog of the identified conditions.

Materials Conditions and Treatment

Exterior Masonry - Joints

While the exterior masonry is in overall good condition, the most concerning conditions are the wholesale failure of masonry-to-masonry mortar joints. Existing mortars are typically too hard and contribute to spalling and cracking of exterior masonry, especially at marble elevations.

See Section J, Item II for the full mortar analysis report.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

- Repoint failed masonry-to-masonry mortar joints with a softer Type N cement-lime mortar that matches the original in color and texture. Incorporate repointing into long-term maintenance cycle.
- Renew sealant joints where sealant is used appropriately between two dissimilar materials.

Exterior Masonry - Cleaning

Moderate soiling and staining are universal conditions for all exterior masonry. Limestone exhibits severe soiling, especially on north-facing elevations, mostly related to biological growth. Green copper staining is found on marble elevations below bronze access panels.

Recommendations:

Priority: Low

- General cleaning is recommended for all masonry types at all elevations. Removal of heavy soiling is recommended at north-facing limestone elevations, especially at Wing D. Copper staining is minimal and is not harming the stone.
- If NIST decides to remove copper stains, an alkaline poultice specifically designed for copper stain removal from masonry is recommended.
- Acidic cleaners are allowed on glazed brick and granite, but only alkaline and neutral cleaners are appropriate for limestone and marble.

Exterior Masonry – Brick

The brick exterior masonry walls are in overall good condition. The most common problem found was step-cracking through bricks and joints occurring near façade corners. This was found mostly on walls that lacked vertical expansion joints. The step-cracking at the corners happens due to the internal stresses caused by thermal expansion and contraction of the brick material. These stresses travel across the wall until they find an expansion joint which absorbs the stresses. When there are no expansion joints, the stresses cannot be absorbed and cause the wall to move at the ends. This movement causes the step cracking. Where there are expansion joints, the thermal expansion has caused the joint material to pop out and become non-functional. Overall, cracking is minimal and seems to have stopped. It would not be practical to add expansion joints to the walls in this application. The best and most economical solution would be to patch all brick cracks and joints with a softer mortar and monitor these locations to see if cracks reappear.

Bricks above louver openings were constructed with cored bricks. These bricks act as lintels for these openings and should be solid. The cores had been previously filled with mortar, which was found to be de-bonded and falling out. These cores should be cleaned and refilled with mortar. Some bricks above window openings in the library access hallway seemed to be popping out. This could possibly be related to steel angle lintels corroding behind the brick. The bricks above window openings should be removed and this condition be reevaluated.

One potential problem observed during the survey was the brick wall façade in the 13-story building. Visually, the brick in this façade seemed to be discolored in a horizontal pattern mostly along floor levels. This could be a sign of shelf angle supports corroding behind the brick. Also, no horizontal soft joints could be seen from the ground. A more in-depth survey is needed here in order to accurately evaluate this condition.

Recommendations:

Priority: Low

• Patch or replace cracked bricks, replace missing bricks, and repoint joints with mortar. Monitor to see if cracks reappear.

- Clean all old mortar from inside cored brick lintels. Fill with new mortar to provide solid lintels at louver openings.
- Perform probe to remove displaced bricks from above window openings and observe hidden conditions. Determine future scope of work from findings.

Priority: Medium

• Perform a closeup visual survey of the brick façade above ground level for the 13-story building. May need to remove some bricks to inspect shelf angle supports.

Exterior Masonry – Marble and Limestone

Damage, including spalls, cracks, and erosion are uncommon and limited to specific locations. Large spalls above head height pose a threat to life safety as they may come dislodged and fall on passers-by. Scratches and spalls are especially common around the base of the building near landscaped areas or building corners. Landscaping equipment that comes in contact with building elements can nick or scratch masonry. High-pressure washing has also left visible gouges where it has eroded marble and limestone. Pressure-washing above 400 psi is not recommended for historic masonry.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

• *Repair spalls and cracks. Prioritize work at locations that pose a life-safety threat or allow water infiltration.*

Priority: Medium

- *Remove any vegetation within two feet of exterior masonry.*
- Limit maintenance or landscaping activities that might harm exterior masonry. Make maintenance and landscaping crews aware of activities that may damage masonry. Require workplans that provide protocols for preventing unnecessary damage.

Exterior Masonry - Marble Panels

The biggest concern regarding the marble cladding is bowing of the panels. Upon inspection, the structural team found that the two-inch marble panels were bowing outwards approximately 1/4 to 3/8 of an inch with the bowing most pronounced at the lower panels. Bowing was much less on the three-inch panels. This amount of bowing is small and does not require removal of panels across the building.

Panel connections were found to be structurally sound with exception to some corroded nails that attach the marble split tie anchors to the face of concrete columns. The team also found chipping and cracking of the marble panels at some of the corners, and several of the panels at the library had diagonal cracks. This is likely due to a lack of soft joints in the marble cladding, causing unwanted internal stresses from the thermal expansion of the stones.

At the library portico, the steel angle supporting the marble panels under the portico floor slab is badly corroded. Water from the patio above is penetrating the granite pavers through joints/cracks and running down the concrete slab behind the steel angles, causing them to corrode over time. While the structural configuration is sufficient, the steel angles require replacement with stainless steel to prevent further displacement. While not investigated, the south elevation of the library has a similar fascia configuration which will need replacement of the angle with stainless steel.

See Section J, Item I and III for full reports.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

- *Remove panels from the façade that are attached to the masonry backup to allow evaluation of these anchors. Remove at least one full vertical course of panels. (Completed)*
- *Remove all panels attached to the perimeter concrete columns to evaluate the condition of the nailed split tail concrete anchors. Reattach the removed panels with an alternate connection detail. (Completed)*
- *Remove all marble panels below the library portico floor slab to expose the steel angle. (Completed)*
- Evaluate the steel angle and determine the extent of corrosion. Consider replacing the steel angle with stainless steel depending on the results of the investigation.(Completed)
- *Clean out the cavities and provide a positive drainage outlet at column marbles.*
- Replace the Portland cement with a softer, lime-based mortar for all marble panel joints.
- Install a soft joint between the top of the marble panels and the soffit above at all marble locations.

Priority: Medium

- *Remove chipped and cracked marble pieces and repair with matching material.*
- Areas of marble panels that are currently experiencing displacement, cracked joints, or other visible signs of distress such as corroded support angles but have a lower exposure to the public <u>OR</u> areas that have a high exposure to the public should plan to be removed and reset within the next five (5) years. These include:
 - Stones above the "1962" stone adjacent to the East entrance.
 - Stones at the Library fascias on the north and south elevations.
 - Stones at walls and pilasters in Courtyard not included in High Priority

Priority: Low

• Areas of marble panels are not currently experiencing visible displacement and also a low exposure to the public should plan to be removed and reset within the next ten (10) years. These include:

- Wall panels not directly adjacent to walkways.
- Any remaining marble stone panels

Retaining Wall - Concrete (Loading Dock)

The concrete retaining wall located next to the loading dock is in overall good condition. Many locations on the wall that looked discolored and possibly deteriorating were sounded with a mallet and no spalling was found. The discoloring is most likely dirt and dust particles accumulated over the years. However, some cracking and spalling of the concrete was found in the wall portions closer to the loading dock. Cracks that have no spalling should be routed and filled with mortar. Spalling concrete should be removed and area should be patched. If rebar is visible after removing spalled concrete, expose it by removing at least ³/₄ inches of concrete around bar and check for corrosion. If corrosion is present, the condition should be further investigated by an engineer. Otherwise clean rebar and patch with appropriate concrete patching material.

Two expansion joints were found in this retaining wall. The expansion joint material at both locations were hardened. Hard joints are not functional and should be removed. After removing all failed material from the expansion joints, install new backer rod and sealant for a soft joint.

At the loading dock entrance, the concrete soffit above appeared to be spalling. The soffit could not be reached for sounding. This location should be surveyed further, and a test hole should be opened in the soffit for inspection. Also, the top step of the concrete stairs is spalling. Spalling concrete should be removed, and location should be patched.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

- Route and fill cracks with mortar.
- *Remove all spalling concrete and patch.*
- Remove all old expansion joint material and install new backer rod and sealant for soft joints.
- Sound at concrete soffit, open a test hole, and reevaluate. (Completed)

Retaining Wall - Granite and Marble

The stone retaining walls at the east and north elevations elevation adjacent to the main entrance appear to be failing. Several wall sections were displaced out, indicating either overturning or sliding failure. McMullan conducted a water leaks and exterior condition investigation in 2007. Findings from this investigation revealed that there is corrosion and debris inside the storm drain system, poor drainage and grading behind the wall, blocked weeps along the wall and an overall under-designed wall structure.

The same recommendations from the 2007 report apply here. We recommend that the existing wall be removed and replaced with a footing below it. The wall and footing should be redesigned by a structural engineer. We also recommend new grading and a new drainage system behind the wall to minimize hydrostatic pressure.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

- *Remove existing wall support and replace with new wall support, designed by a structural engineer.*
- Add new drainage system behind wall.
- Regrade soil behind wall.
- *Retain existing marble coping and granite facing, as possible.*

Courtyard Bridge - Concrete

The concrete bridge located at the courtyard pool appears to be sagging. This condition assessment is based only on visual observation. Concrete at the bottom of the bridge was sounded and no spalling was found. A gauge should be installed at the midspan of the bridge and the sagging deflection should be monitored and recorded for a year. A new assessment should be made based on the results.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

- Install gauge at midspan of bridge and monitor for one year.
- Assess condition again based on results.

Arcade

The Arcade walkway is in overall good condition. The structure of the walkway appears to be sound with no signs of significant structural defects. A couple minor spalls were found on the concrete base of the walkway. Spalling concrete should be removed and the area should be patched. If rebar is visible after removing spalled concrete, expose it by removing at least ³/₄ inches of concrete around bar and check for corrosion. If corrosion is present, the condition should be further investigated by an engineer. Otherwise patch with appropriate concrete patching material. No structural issues were found in the concrete pavement.

The concrete ramp that connects to the walkway had broken curbs on both sides. The curbs should be removed entirely on both sides and re-poured.

Recommendations:

Priority: Low

- *Remove spalled concrete from base of walkway and patch with compatible mortar.*
- *Remove broken curb at ramp and re-pour new concrete curb.*

Entrance Terraces - Granite Pavers

The most pressing concern at entrance pavers is displacement. In several locations, pavers have settled unevenly. This poses a trip hazard and threat to life safety for building inhabitants. This displacement may be related to the original installation techniques, erosion, or other site conditions. Forms of incidental damage – such as cracks and spalls – are localized and minor. Occasional replacement stones are a poor match to existing materials.

Mortar has been removed from all paver joints and replaced with sealant. Sealant is not appropriate in this location as it is less vapor permeable than the surrounding stone pavers. This will force moisture to evaporate through the stone pavers rather than through the joints. The movement of moisture through the stone can lead to efflorescence, erosion, and delamination. If the pavers are on a concrete bed, the joints should be pointed with an appropriate mortar. If the pavers are on a sand bed, the joints should be filled with sand. Drawings found in the NIST repository from 2009 indicate the east entrance pavers are set on a concrete slab (See Section K: Index for Digital Files).

Ferrous rust stains are also common at the edges of stone pavers. It appears that a grinder was used to cut mortar joints and left behind metal particles that gradually corroded and stained the stone.

The probe at the north pavers revealed the setting bed has two layers, the bottom layer containing pea gravel, and the thinner top layer appeared to be sand and cement. It is plausible that the pavers were reset at some time, perhaps by removing top loose material from the original setting bed and then resetting the stones in a thin leveling bed on top of the original one. It is not known if either or both of these are original. The exposed top surface of the concrete slab is heavily scaled, creating a rough surface and exposing the large aggregate. There is no waterproofing or drainage layer present on top of the slab. Water infiltration appears to be the major problem here due to the open joints and heavy salting in the wintertime. The vertical displacement has two possible causes, both related to water infiltration: scaling of the concrete slab or frost heaving. Both of these mechanisms have associated volume change and therefore can displace the pavers.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

- *Remove and temporarily replace sealants in all joints with a traffic grade, non-staining sealant.*
- *Remove sunken pavers, regrade and compact soil, reset paver.*

Priority: Medium

- Demolish the plaza, salvaging the pavers for re-installation
- *Replace the underlying slab on grade and setting bed with more appropriate and modern materials. Including:*
 - Air entrained concrete slab on grade.
 - Damp proofing or other surface sealant on the slab.
 - o Drain board layer
 - o Setting bed
 - o Stainless steel clips to restrain lateral movement at unrestrained edges

- Isolation joint at cheek walls
- If damage has occurred to more than 50% of any paver, replace in kind.
- *Reinstall granite pavers with mortared joints to provide more maintenance free surface.*

Priority: Low

- Repair cracks and spalls. Do not repair hairline cracks or minor snips
- Clean ferrous stains with acidic rust remover.

Landscaping and Sitework

Landscaping is frequently too close to the structure. When trees and shrubs grow too close to the structure, water running off the plants are deposited onto the building, resulting in erosion and other moisture-related conditions. The roots of trees and large shrubs can also put pressure on the building foundations, potentially leading to structural problems over time.

Groundhogs have dug an extensive network of tunnels along the west elevation of Wing C. The tunnels are extensive enough to interfere with building foundations. They expose portions of the foundations that were always intended to be below grade. They also pose a threat to life safety if passers-by trip over or fall into the significant holes. Infestations of birds and insects are a relatively minor concern but still merit attention.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

• *Remove ground hogs and back fill tunnels.*

Priority: Medium

• *Remove or trim any trees, shrubs, or other plants that grow within two feet of the building façade.*

Priority: Low

- *Remove insect nests as they appear.*
- *Remove bird nests. Explore bird proofing options and install if deemed appropriate.*

Windows and Doors

Gaskets, sealant, and weather-stripping at window and door assemblies have reached the end of their useful life. Both gaskets and sealant will require wholesale replacement to prevent water and air leakage in the building envelope.

Sometime after construction, an insulating film was applied to all exterior windows to insulate against radiant heat gain and the damaging effects of UV rays on interior finishes. This film has failed 100%. It is not performing as intended, and it detracts significantly from the intended design of the building façade. While this film has failed, a similar treatment is necessary to improve the performance of the original window assemblies.

At punched openings, most steel window and door lintels are beginning to corrode. If left unchecked, corroding lintels will expand, leading to spalling and cracking of surrounding masonry.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

- *Replace failed gaskets, sealant, and weather-stripping at all window and door assemblies.*
- *Remove failed film at all window assemblies. Replace with appropriate film to improve performance of window assemblies as a barrier against radiant heat gain and damaging UV light.*
- *Remove corrosion from corroding steel lintels. Prepare surface and provide and corrosioninhibiting coating system. Paint.*

Interior Finishes – Marble, Terrazzo, and Tile

Interior marble, terrazzo, and tile are in very good condition. Grout joints at marble wall panels have failed 100% and need to be re-grouted. Cracks and spalls are not a major concern at marble wall panels. Cracks are much more common at the marble wall base in the two Auditoria. Superficial scratches are common and are most likely the result of collisions with carts and maintenance equipment. Mild soiling, staining, and adhesive residue are common at all marble wall paneling.

Cracks and spalls are common at expansion joints in the terrazzo floors. They are also common at other isolated locations that may indicate a point of movement in the floor slab. In some locations, poorly-matched epoxy fill has been used to repair spalls.

The original ceramic, quarry, and structural tiles exist in most bathrooms and janitor's closets. Cracking is common at ceramic and quarry tiles. Structural tiles exhibit very little damage, mostly limited to surface spalls.

All the floors, with the exception of the corridors and bathrooms, were finished with vinyl asbestos tile (VAT) or carpet. The VAT is in good condition but it has been periodically replaced with new material that does not match the old in texture or color.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

- *Re-grout marble wall panels and base; reset displaced panels.*
- *Repair cracks and spalls in marble.*

• *Repair snips and spalls in terrazzo floor with color-matched terrazzo patch instead of epoxy.*

Priority: Low

- *Clean interior marble to remove soiling, staining, and adhesive residue.*
- Buff out superficial scratches on marble wall panels.
- *Remove poorly-matched epoxy patch from terrazzo floors. Replace with color-matched terrazzo patch.*
- *Remove cracked ceramic/quarry tiles and replace in kind.*
- Remove mismatched VAT and replace with new vinyl tiles of matching color and size.

Ceiling Tiles

Acoustical ceiling tiles are present in all office and the library. Conditions for ceiling tiles are relatively minor but include water damage, broken tiles, and missing tiles. Water infiltration is the biggest concern and all areas with damaged tiles should be replaced only after the leaks have been remediated. Replacement tiles should match the original in style, size texture, and color.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

• *Remediate leaks in areas of water infiltration.*

Priority: Low

• *Replace missing or broken tiles to match the original in kind.*

<u>Plaster</u>

Plaster walls and ceilings are in very good condition. Most plaster walls are covered in a textured vinyl wall covering, an extremely durable finish that protects the plaster from damage and wear. The most pressing concern for plaster walls and ceilings is water infiltration around windows where sealant and gaskets have failed. Paint loss at plaster soffits in the library suggest problems with water infiltration or high relative humidity. In the East lobby, acoustic ceiling tiles have replaced the original plaster ceiling.

Recommendations:

Priority: High

• Determine source of leaks and make necessary repairs to prevent future water infiltration.

Priority: Medium

• Remove damaged plaster, patch, and sand smooth so that patch is flush with surrounding plaster. Prepare, prime, and repaint to match existing, or provide vinyl fabric wall covering that matches existing.

Architectural Metals – Aluminum, Stainless Steel, and Bronze

White metals – mostly aluminum and stainless steel – are a character defining feature of both the interior and exterior. Most windows, curtainwall systems, and glazed doors have aluminum frames. The exception is the east entrance vestibule, which is stainless steel and glass. Unglazed, interior metal doors are stainless steel, as are the metal column covers at the east entrance. The only bronze elements are decorative access panels on exterior walls. Architectural metals are in overall good condition. Corrosion is uncommon and appears to be related to corrosive salts used on exterior paving and corrosive cleaning chemicals used on the interior. Stainless steel typically does not corrode, but there is significant corrosion at the bottoms of some interior doors. This is likely related to corrosive cleaning chemicals. All exterior bronze access panels have corroded, resulting in green copper staining on marble wall cladding. Minor dents and dings are fairly common but do not threaten the integrity of the metal elements.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

• *Remove corrosion and apply a protective coating.*

Priority: Low

• *Review and update maintenance procedures to prevent avoidable collision damage to metal elements. In particular, ensure that all deliveries pass through the loading dock area to prevent damage to exterior doors at pedestrian entrances.*

Architectural Woodwork

Architectural woodwork includes walnut paneling and doors in the corridors, library, auditoria, cafeteria, and 11th floor offices, especially the Director's Suite. In corridors, UV exposure through curtain walls have significantly faded the finish on walnut paneling and doors. Residue from cleaning chemicals have further damaged the original finish, especially at the bottom half of doors and paneling. On the 11th floor, paneling and doors have been refinished with a dark cherry-colored stain that does not match the historic finish. Scratches are common, especially around door knobs. In a very few locations, veneer is missing, likely as a result of collisions with carts and maintenance equipment.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

• Repair areas of missing or damaged veneer.

- *Restore historic finish at all walnut doors and paneling. Reference NIST material boards for original finish sample for matching.*
- Put in place a cyclical maintenance plan to protect and renew the historic finish over time.

<u>Signage</u>

Original historic signage consists of individual letters fixed directly to the wall surface without an intervening placard. Any symbols – such as no smoking signs – were also individual elements mounted directly to the wall surface. Some signage has been removed completely so that only the ghost mark remains. This occurred exclusively where original signage designated a room name and/or number. These have been removed to avoid confusion with modern signs that designate the current room name and/or number if it differs from the historic. Where original signage remains, it is typically missing multiple letters and/or symbols. Where necessary, additional modern signage has been installed alongside historic signage. The most obvious example of this is the installation of more highly visible modern fire extinguisher signs alongside historic signage in the Auditoria. This was done to comply with modern fire codes without removing historic material.

Historic signage is a significant character defining feature and merits preservation. However, modern signage is often required to comply codes or to provide adequate wayfinding for visitors to the building.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

- *Retain and preserve all existing historic signage.*
- Using ghost marks and existing historic signage as a model, replicate historic signage that has been removed.
- *Provide modern signage as needed to comply with modern building codes and to provide adequate wayfinding.*

Utilitarian Spaces with Significant Original Finishes

All bathrooms except for the Green Auditorium bathrooms retain most or all of their original finishes. These include quarry tile floors, ceramic tile walls, painted steel stall dividers, porcelain fixtures, and nickel-plated hardware. Janitor's closets also retain original finishes, including quarry tile floors, structural facing tile walls, porcelain fixtures, and nickel-plated hardware. These spaces still possess a high degree of architectural integrity, which would typically qualify them for preservation. However, these are also utilitarian spaces that need to adapt to changing needs so that Building 101 remains a viable, usable structure.

Recommendations:

Priority: Medium

• Retain one men's restroom, women's restroom, and janitor's closet in their original condition to provide a physical record of the building's evolution over time. These representative spaces will also provide a model for the future restoration of other restrooms and janitor's closets, should that ever occur.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Exterior Masor	nry		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Exterior Masonry	Failed Mortar Joints	Repoint mortar joints 100% with a compatible mortar that matches the original in color and texture.	
Priority: High	1	.	
Marble Panels	Inappropriate Mortar	When repointing, use a compatible mortar that is soft enough to act as a sacrificial element.	
Priority: Low			
Exterior Masonry	Sealant in Masonry-to- Masonry Joints	Remove sealant from masonry-to- masonry joints and repoint with a compatible mortar that matches the original in color and texture.	
Priority: High		T	
Exterior Masonry	Sealant in Masonry Crack	Remove sealant from masonry cracks. Repair crack with crack injection mortar or patch.	
Priority: High			

Exterior Masor	nry		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Exterior Masonry	Heavy Soiling	Clean with a biocide and low- pressure wash. More aggressive methods – such as misting and microabrasives – may be necessary if gentler methods do not clean successfully.	
Thomy. LOW			
Exterior Masonry Priority: Low	General Soiling	Clean with a gentle neutral or alkaline detergent and a low- pressure wash.	
Phonty: Low			
Exterior Masonry	Copper Staining	Clean with an alkaline poultice specifically formulated for removing copper stains from masonry.	
Priority: Low		Ι	
Brick Walls	Step cracking – most likely due to horizontal thermal movement compounded by lack of expansion joints.	Patch brick (or replace) cracks & joints with mortar and monitor.	
Priority: Low			

Exterior Masonry			
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Brick Walls Priority: Low	Step cracking – most likely due to horizontal thermal movement compounded by lack of expansion joints.	Patch brick (or replace) cracks & joints with mortar and monitor.	
Brick Walls	Step cracking – most likely due to horizontal thermal movement compounded by lack of expansion joints.	Patch brick (or replace) cracks & joints with mortar and monitor.	
Priority:Low			
Brick Walls	Step cracking – most likely due to horizontal thermal movement compounded by lack of expansion joints.	Patch brick (or replace) cracks & joints with mortar and monitor.	
Priority: Low	T	Γ	
Brick Walls	Vertical expansion joint has popped out due to brick wall thermal movement.	Remove old expansion joint material. Install new backer rod and sealant for a soft expansion joint.	
Priority: High			

Priority: High

Exterior Masonry				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Brick Walls	Brick discoloration and possible horizontal cracking along 13-story façade. Discoloration could be due to shelf angle corrosion or dirt. Possible cracking could be due to lack of horizontal soft joints.	Clean façade, perform closeup visual survey above ground level, remove bricks and evaluate.		
Priority: Medium		I		
Brick Wall Louver lintels	Brick lintels above louvers are cored. Old mortar is loose and falling off.	Remove failed mortar, Refill with mortar that matches existing.		
Priority: Low				
Brick Walls Priority: Low	Many bricks have popped out and displaced around the building.	At each location, remove displaced brick pieces, clean joints, and install new brick.		
Priority: Low				
Marble Panels	Spall	Repair masonry spall.		
Priority: High				
Exterior Masonry				
---------------------	--------------	---	---------	--
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Exterior Masonry	Crack	Repair crack with crack injection grout.		
Priority: High				
Exterior Masonry	Scratches	Scratches do not require any repair. Create work plans designed to limit new damage to stone during maintenance and landscaping activities.	A B A A	
		Train landscaping/maintenance crews to avoid damaging stone.		
Priority: Low		1		
Exterior Masonry	Erosion	Correct source of water runoff that is contributing to erosion.		
Priority: Medium				
Marble Panels	Displacement	Clean out cavities and provide a postive drainage outlet at marble columns. Repoint with softer mortar.		
Priority: High		1 2 3 4 5		

Exterior Masonry					
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО		
Marble Panels	Corroded Anchors	Replace corroded anchors.			
Priority: High					
Marble Panels	Steel angle supports for marble panels along library colonnade base are badly corroded.	Remove all marble panels along this angle and evaluate existing conditions.			
		Examine extent of corrosion for steel angles.			
		Provide structural repair design including replacing steel angle with stainless steel angle. (Approximately 84FT)			
Priority: High					

Retaining Wall	s		ſ
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Concrete Retaining Wall	Vertical crack on wall. The concrete was sound, and there were no signs of corrosion.	Route and fill crack with mortar (approximately 10FT).	
Priority: Medium			A Contraction of the second se

Retaining Walls				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Concrete Retaining Wall	Vertical expansion joints have hardened.	Remove old expansion joint material. Install new backer rod and sealant for a soft expansion joint (approximately 50FT).		
Priority: Medium	I		NU	
Concrete Retaining Wall	Previous concrete patches are snalling off	Remove delaminated patch/concrete and patch (approximately 25SF). If rebar is visible after removing spalled concrete, expose it by removing at least ³ / ₄ inches of concrete around bar and check for corrosion.		
	are spanning off.	If corrosion is present, the condition should be further investigated by an engineer. Otherwise patch with appropriate concrete patching material.		
Priority: Medium	Γ	Γ		
		Remove failed concrete next too and behind exposed rebar.		
Concrete Retaining Wall	Exposed Rebar	Remove corrosion and provide a corrosion-resistant coating system.		
		Patch concrete with compatible patching material that matches the original in color and texture.	a series	
Priority: Medium				
Stairs at Loading Dock	Top step is spalling.	Remove delaminated concrete and patch (approximately 6SF).		
Priority: Low				

Retaining Wall	S		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Loading Dock	Stucco soffit at loading dock appears to be spalling. Unable to reach the soffit to perform delamination sounding.	Perform delamination sounding. Make a test opening and reevaluate.	
Filolity. High		Destant all second as initial	
Concrete Retaining Walls	Vertical expansion joint has hardened. Minor concrete spalling around joint.	Replace old expansion joint material with new backer rod and sealant for soft joint (approximately 10FT). Remove delaminated concrete and patch (approximately 5SF). If rebar is visible after removing spalled concrete, expose it by removing at least ¾ inches of concrete around bar and check for corrosion. If corrosion is present, the condition should be further investigated by an engineer. Otherwise patch with appropriate concrete patching material	
Priority: Medium			
Stone Retaining Walls	Many sections along retaining wall have displaced. Per 2017 water leak survey, drainage behind wall seems to be clogged, causing excess hydrostatic pressure. Also, wall footing is underdesigned for overturning.	Per recommendation on 2017 water leaks survey report, existing wall should be removed and replaced with a footing below it. We also recommend a new drainage system and new grading behind the wall. Retain original stone coping and panels.	
Priority: Medium			

100% Submission - NIST 101 HSR

Retaining Walls				
FLEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Stone Retaining Walls	Granite stone piece is loose.	Remove loose piece and reattach with epoxy.		
Thomy. Low				
Stone Retaining Walls Priority: Low	Granite panel is cracked in half.	Repair or replace granite panel.		
Stone Retaining Walls	Marble coping panel is cracked.	Repair or replace marble piece.		
Priority: Low	Γ		A	
Stone Retaining Walls	Open and failed joints.	Repoint mortar joints along entire wall.		
Priority: Low				

Retaining Wall	S		
	1		1
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Entrance Retaining Walls	Entrance steps sidewall granite mortar joints are open. Some granite panels are displaced.	Removed displaced panels and reset. Repoint mortar joints along entire wall.	
Priority: Low			

Courtyard Bridge				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Concrete Bridge	Concrete bridge at courtyard pool appears to be sagging. Concrete was sounded and no spalling was found.	Install gauge and monitor sagging deflection for one year.		
Priority: Medium				

h			
Arcade			
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Arcade	Minor corrosion.	Remove concrete at corrosion location and reevaluate. Repatch (approximately 1SF).	
Priority: Medium			CALL STATES

Arcade			
	-	-	-
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Arcade		Remove delaminated concrete and patch (approximately 25 SF).	
	Concrete spall.	If rebar is visible after removing spalled concrete, expose it by removing at least ³ / ₄ inches of concrete around bar and check for corrosion.	
		If corrosion is present, the condition should be further investigated by an engineer. Otherwise patch with appropriate concrete patching material.	
Priority: Medium			
Arcade	Broken concrete curb on both sides.	Demo and replace with new concrete curb (approximately 24CF).	
Priority: Low			

Entrance Terrad	ces - Pavers		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Pavers	Granite paver displaced due to soil erosion.	Remove stone, regrade and compact soil, and reset stone.	
Priority: High			

Entrance Terraces - Pavers			
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Pavers	Granite paver is cracked.	Remove stone, regrade and compact soil, repair of replace stone.	
Priority: Low	1	[
Pavers	Crack	Cracks do not require repair.	
Fliolity. Low			
		Minor spalls do not require repair.	
Pavers	Spall	Large spalls should receive a dutchman repair.	
Priority: Low	Т		
Pavers	Displacement	Remove displaced paver, correct for displacement, and reset.	
Priority: High			

Entrance Terraces - Pavers				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
		Remove sealant from joints in granite pavement.		
Pavers	Sealant in Joints	Repoint with a compatible mortar.		
Priority: High	1			
Pavers	Rust Stains	Clean rust stains with an acidic rust remover.		
Priority: Low	1			
		Existing replacements that are a poor match do not require replacement if they are in sound condition.		
Pavers	Inappropriate Replacement	Replacement is appropriate when more than 50% of a stone is damaged.		
		When necessary, replace damaged stones with new or salvage stone that matches the original in color, texture, size, shape, and profile.		

Landscaping and Site Work				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Pests	Ground Hog Tunnels	Remove ground hogs. Back fill holes and tunnels.		
Priority: High				
Pests Priority: Low	Nesting Birds	Explore possible methods of bird proofing to determine a compatible system. A compatible system will successfully deter birds without damaging building elements or detracting significantly from the original design intent. Do not install incompatible systems.		
		Use a low-pressure wash to remove		
Pests	Nesting Insects	More sensitive means are needed to remove bees and hornets nests.		
Priority: Low	Γ			
Landscaping	Landscaping Too Close to Building	Remove or trim landscaping that grows within two feet of the building façade.		
Priority: Medium				

Windows and Doors				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Brick Wall Window lintels Priority: Medium	Brick cracking above windows. Steel lintes behind bricks possibly corroding, which could be causing the cracks.	Remove bricks and evaluate.		
Windows and Doors Priority: Medium	Sealant Failure	Remove failed sealant and replace with new.		
			and the second s	
Windows and Doors	Weather- stripping Failure	Remove failed weather-stripping and replace with new.		
Priority: Medium				
Doors and Windows	Gasket Failure	Replace failed gaskets in kind.		
Priority: Medium			A A A	

Windows and I	Doors		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
		Remove corrosion.	
Windows and Doors	Corroded Lintel	Prepare surface. Provide a corrosion-resistant coating.	
		Prime and repaint.	
Priority: Medium	1	Γ	
Windows and Doors	Film Failure	Remove failed film at all exterior glazing and replace with new.	
Priority: Medium			

Interior Finishes: Marble, Terrazzo, and Tile				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
		Scratches do not require any repair.		
Interior Marble	Nicks and Scratches	Create protocols designed to prevent damage from collisions with carts and maintenance equipment.		
Priority: Low			1 104	

Interior Finishes: Marble, Terrazzo, and Tile				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Interior Marble	Crack	Repair crack with crack injection mortar.		
Priority: Medium				
		Minor spalls do not require any repair.		
Interior Marble	Spall	Create protocols designed to prevent damage from collisions with carts and maintenance equipment.		
Priority: Medium		I		
Interior Marble	Joint Failure	Repoint all interior marble joints with a compatible grout that matches the original in color and texture.		
Priority: Medium	-			
Interior Marble			Determine if movement at failed sealant joint is active. Correct source of movement if still active.	and the second of the second o
	Displacement	Remove failed sealant and replace with new. Sealant is appropriate at this specific location		
Priority: Medium			HINDEMATION TECHNOLOGY LABORATOR	

Interior Finishes: Marble, Terrazzo, and Tile				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Interior Marble	Soiling	Clean interior marble with mild, non-ionic detergent and water.		
Priority: Low				
Interior Marble Priority: Low	Staining	Clean stains that remain after general cleaning with neutral or alkaline latex poultice material.		
	zzo Inappropriate Repair	Remove inappropriate epoxy patch.		
Terrazzo		Patch with terrazzo patch that matches original in color and texture.		
Priority: Low		r		
Terrazzo	Spall	Patch with terrazzo patch that matches original in color and texture.		
Priority: Medium				

Interior Finishes: Marble, Terrazzo, and Tile				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Tile	Crack	Replace cracked tiles in kind.		
Priority: Low				
Tile	Spall	Replace spalled tiles in kind.		
Priority: Low				
		Existing replacements that are a poor match do not require replacement if they are in sound condition.		
Interior Finishes	Inappropriate Replacement	When necessary, replace damaged stones with new or salvage stone that matches the original in color, texture, size, shape, and profile.		
Priority: Low		stones with new or salvage stone that matches the original in color, texture, size, shape, and profile.		

Ceiling Tiles			
	1		1
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Ceiling Tiles	Water Damage	Investigate and correct sources of water infiltration or high relative humidity.	
		After correcting source of water leak, remove damaged ceiling tiles and replace in kind.	
Priority: Medium	1		
Interior Finishes	Missing Element	Replace missing element in kind.	
Priority: Medium			

Plaster			
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Plaster Wall Vinyl Covering	Delamination	Reattach delaminated vinyl wall covering.	
Priority: Low			R A

Plaster			
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Plaster Wall Vinyl Covering	Staining	Clean stained vinyl wall fabric with a mild, non-ionic detergent and water.	
Priority: Low			
		Investigate and correct sources of water infiltration.	
Plaster	Water Damage	After correcting source of water leak, remove damaged plaster.	
		Patch with new plaster. Sand so that patch is smooth and flush with adjacent plaster. Paint or provide vinyl wall covering to match adjacent wall or ceiling.	NUMBER
Priority: Medium		-	I MARCINE I
		Investigate and correct sources of water infiltration or high relative humidity.	
		After correcting source of water leak, remove damaged plaster.	
Plaster	Paint Loss	Patch with new plaster. Sand so that patch is smooth and flush with adjacent plaster. Paint.	
Priority: Medium			

Architectural M	Ietal		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
		Remove corrosion and apply protective coating.	
Architectural Metals	Corrosion	When salting exterior pavement, avoid allowing salt to come in contact with ornamental metals. Rinse salts from all surfaces once temperatures are above freezing and rising.	
Priority: Low			
		Minor dents and dings to not require repair.	
Architetural Metals	Dents and Dings	Create workplans to limit damage to ornamental metals during maintenance and landscaping activities.	
		Ensure that all deliveries go through the loading dock to avoid unnecessary damage to ornamental metals at pedestrian entrances.	
Priority: Low			

Architectural V	Voodwork		
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО
Doors	Veneer Loss	Provide dutchman repair with walnut veneer that matches the original in color and texture.	
Priority: Low			

Architectural Woodwork				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Paneling Priority: Medium	Faded Finish	Using original material boards as a guide, restore original finish.		
Thomes. Wednum				
Paneling	Inappropriate Finish	Using original material boards as a guide, restore original finish.		
Priority: Medium				
Paneling	Residue	Clean veneer. Create maintenance protocols that prevent damage to wood veneer from cleaning chemicals and floor refinishing products.		
Priority: Low				
Architectural Woodwork	Nicks and Scratches	Minor nicks and scratches do not require repair.		
Priority: Low				

Signage				
ELEMENT	CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	РНОТО	
Historic Signage	Missing	Replicate original signage and reinstall alongside modern signage. Use ghost marks and historic signage that still exist to inform replication.	<text></text>	
Priority: Medium				
Historic Signage	Partially Missing	Replicate and reinstall missing elements. Use ghost marks and historic signage that still exist to inform replication.	F RE EXT NGU SHER	
Priority: Medium				

Preservation Challenges				Condition	Drawings	
Exterior Element	Condition	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	Key Notes	General Notes
Masonry	Joint Failure	Х			1	В
Masonry	General Soiling			Х		А
Stone	Heavy Soiling			Х	14	
Stone	Copper Staining			Х	10A	
Brick	Cracks			Х	3B	
Brick	Expansion Joint Replacement	Х				С
Brick	Lintel Repairs			Х	6A	
Brick	Structural Probes		Х			
Stone	Erosion			Х		
Stone	Scratches			Х		
Stone	Spalls & Cracks	Х			4,5	
Marble Panels	Corroding Anchors/Angles		Х		12B	
Marble Panels	Reset at Public Areas		Х		12B-High	
Marble Panels	Reset at Non-Public Areas			Х	12B-Low	
Marble Panels	Inappropriate Mortar	Х			1	В
Marble Panels	Missing Sealant Joint	Х				Е
Marble Panels	Improve Drainage/Weeps	Х			12A	
Retaining Wall - Concrete	Cracks		Х		3A	
Retaining Wall - Concrete	Spalls		Х		5	
Retaining Wall - Concrete	Expansion Joint		Х			F
Retaining Wall - Stone	Spalls and Cracks			Х	4	
Retaining Wall - Stone	Rebuild/ Address Drainage		Х		12B	G
Courtyard Bridge	Monitor		Х			
Arcade Concrete	Patch			Х	5	
Arcade Concrete	Replace Curb			Х	5	
Entrance Pavers	Joint Failure	Х			12C	
Entrance Pavers	Sunken Pavers	Х			12C	
Entrance Pavers	Rebuild/ Address Drainage		Х		12C	
Entrance Pavers	Cracks			Х	3A	
Entrance Pavers	Spalls			Х	4	
Entrance Pavers	Replacement			Х	12C	
Entrance Pavers	Rust Stains			Х	10B	
Landscaping and Site Work	Vegetation Too Close		Х			Н
Landscaping and Site Work	Ground Hogs	Х				Ι
Landscaping and Site Work	Insects			Х	17A	
Landscaping and Site Work	Birds			Х	17B	
Windows and Doors	Gasket Failure		Х			D
Windows and Doors	Sealant Failure		Х			С
Windows and Doors	Weather-Stripping Failure	Х				D
Windows and Doors	Corroding Lintel		Х		6A	

Preservation Challenges				
Interior Element	Condition	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
Windows and Doors	Film Failure		Х	
Marble	Joint Failure	Х		
Marble	Soiling			Х
Marble	Staining			Х
Marble	Nicks and Scratches			Х
Marble	Cracks		Х	
Marble	Displacement		Х	
Marble	Spalls			Х
Terrazzo	Inappropriate Repair			Х
Terrazzo	Spalls		Х	
Tile	Cracks			Х
Vinyl Asbestos Tiles	Inappropriate Repair			Х
Ceiling Tiles	Water Damage	Х		
Ceiling Tiles	Replacement			Х
Plaster	Water Damage	Х	Х	
Plaster	Patch		Х	
Architectural Metals	Corrosion		Х	
Architectural Metals	Dents and Dings			Х
Architectural Woodwork	Veneer Loss		Х	
Architectural Woodwork	Faded Finish		Х	
Architectural Woodwork	Nicks and Scratches			Х
Architectural Woodwork	Residue			Х
Architectural Woodwork	Inappropriate Finish		Х	
Historic Signage	Preserve		Х	
Historic Signage	Replicate		Х	
Facilities	Modernization			Х

SECTION E: PREVIOUS STUDIES



PREVIOUS STUDIES

Preservation Assessments

Historic Assessment National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD, June 12, 2015 Metropolitan Architects & Planners and R. Christopher Goodwin & Associates, Inc.

Maryland Historical Trust Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties Form and Determination of Eligibility Form, June 12, 2015

Maryland Historic Trust Determination Letter, October 29, 2015

National Park Service Determination of Eligibility Notification, Jun 22, 2016

Summary

In June 2015, NIST commissioned an historic assessment of their campus to achieve the following goals:

- To develop a historic overview of NIST;
- To develop the background and administrative history of NIST;
- To identify the historical themes, time periods, and people significant to the history of NIST;
- To document resources located at the NIST Gaithersburg campus;
- To identify the range of properties associated with signifcant themes and time periods; and,
- To evaluate the significance and integrity of properties applying the National Register Criteria for Evaluation (36 CFR 60.4[a-d]) within the appropriate historic context.

When the report was completed, NIST submitted a Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties Form (MIHP) and Determination of Eligibility Form (DOE) to Maryland Historical Trust (MHT) to establish the historic character of the NIST Gaithersburg campus. The DOE proposed that NIST has integrity for a historic district under Criterion A for its association with events that have made important contributions to the broad patterns of history under the theme of Science and Technology and under Criterion C as a recognizable entity that embodies the characteristics of Postwar Research Campus design (National Register of Historic Places Criteria for Evaluation 36 CFR 60.4[a-d]). The proposed district only included 13 buildings and the designed landscape completed between 1965 and 1966 out of a possible 74 buildings, structures, objects, and landscapes.

In October 2015, MHT determined that the entire 578-acre NIST campus is eligible for listing on the National Register and rejected NIST Federal Preservation Officer's request to carve out a smaller district within the campus. In June 2016, the National Park Service concurred with MHT defining the period of significance as the initial period of campus construction from 1961-1969.

Master Plans

Campus Master Plan, 2009 Mancini Duffy Architecture Design NIST Space Utilization Study, 2013 Metropolitan Architects and Planners, Inc.

- NIST Administrative Space Strategic Plan, 2014 OKKS Studios
- NIST Research Facilities Strategic Plan, 2014 Metropolitan Architects and Planners, Inc.
- NIST Traffic and Gates Study for Gaithersburg Campus, 2015 Gorove/Slade
- NIST Building 245 Feasibility Study Planning Alternative Development Options, 2015 OKKS Studios
- NIST Cafeteria and Food Service Operations Evaluation and Recommendations

NIST Building 101 Lower Levels Planning Study, 2017 OKKS Studios

NIST Gate A Visitor Screening Study, 2017 Metropolitan Artchitects, and Planners, Inc.

NIST Gaithersburg Master Plan, May 2018 Metropolitan Architects and Planners, Inc.

Summary

The 2009 campus master planning effort focused on integrating NIST's priority laboratory and support needs into the campus fabric, circulation system, and infrastructure framework. A Programmatic Environmental Assessment was completed simultaneously with the master plan and resulted in a finding of No Significant Impact. The plan focused on new buildings (320, 207, 318, and 208) and additions to existing buildings (202, 205, 235, 301, 302).

Three studies attempted to understand how much space is available on the campus, how much space is needed for future operations, and what the needs are for administrative space versus research space. The 2013 Space Utilization Study documented all the space on the Gaithersburg campus and its use to provide a planning tool. The findings indicated the space has efficient utilization with 86% of laboratories and office space within the DoC utilization standards. Findings also suggested that organizations are fragmented across the campus and some labs are not used for their intended purposes. Both things compromise research missions and point to outdated infrastructure. The 2014 Administrative Space Strategic Plan Study found that approximately 295, 000 assignable square feet of space is needed and of

that 142-168,000 assignable square feet is needed for administrative space alone. Recommendations included implementing shared offices, open workstations, standardized floor plans for Building 101 tower, and standard office furniture. The 2014 Research Facilities Strategic Plan found that 45% of the laboratories were functionally compliant or in the process of modernizing while 55% of the laboratories required upgrades. The study recommended a new "precision laboratory" facility and the gradual modernization of the special and general purpose laboratories over a multi-year program.

The Traffic and Gates Study, the Building 245 Feasibility Study, the Cafeteria Evaluation, the Building 101 Lower Levels Planning Study and the Gate A Visitor Screening Study were all implemented to explore renovation and expansion of current buildings and circulation. In each case renovations and additions were recommended to support the campus goals.

The recommendations from these previous reports were evaluated and augmented in the 2018 NIST Gaithersburg Master Plan. The master plan provides for the modernization of aging, inefficient building and accommodates growth over a 20-year period. The plan included approximately 1.4 million gross square feet of new facilities and renovations to 15 buildings. This plan concentrates new research buildings in the central campus core following a regular pattern linked by an interior pedestrian concourse. New specialty laboratories are placed outside the core, and the existing special purpose laboratories are planned for additions and renovations. Other campus recommendations include:

- Upgraded Campus Utilities
- Advanced Research Facilities
- Renovated Special Purpose Laboratories
- Secure Visitor Entry
- Gradual Growth
- Modernized General Purpose Laboratories
- Specialty Research Buildings
- Adaptive Reuse
- Connected Buildings

- Enhanced Conference and Visitor Facilities
- Historic Preservation
- Consolidated Shipping and Receiving
- Pedestrian Circulation
- Coordinated Parking Strategy
- Energy Conservation Emphasis
- Natural and Sustainable Campus
- Flexible, Incremental Growth and Change

For Building 101, the 2018 Master Plan recommends an addition of approximately 50,000 square feet, adjacent to the main lobby. The ground floor will accommodate an auditorium and three conference rooms, to enhance the conference center use. The lower level will provide storage and stack space for the library and museum. The new space will also accommodate a modern library and office space. The foot print is intended to complete the pinwheel design reference of the original architecture.

The latest Master Plan suggests implementing a Programmatic Agreement with Maryland Historical Trust and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation as part of Section 1060f the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 since the campus has been determined eligible on the National Register of Historic Places. The agreement would streamline the Section 106 process by identifying standards for categories of routine projects and the review procedures for new construction and rehabilitation. The Plan further recommends the development of an internal Design Review Board at NIST to support the execution of NIST cultural resource management.

Historic Structure Reports

Historic Structure Report of Radio Building (Building 1) in Boulder, CO, July 2018 studiotrope Design Collective and AECOM

Summary

NIST determined that Building 1 of the Boulder Campus is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places under Criteria A and C for its associations with broad patterns of history of the National Bureau of Science and as a good example of the International Style and post-Worlk war II research campus model (National Register of Historic Places Criteria for Evaluation 36 CFR 60.4[a-d].)

This HSR was prepared to provide documentary, graphic, and physical information about the history of Building 1 and its existing condition. The goal of this report was to provide NIST with a guide for future improvements to Building 1. This HSR documents the history, construction, and evolution of the building; its historic significance; and its current condition. It also provides historic preservation objectives, selected treatments as defined by the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (Standards) (National Park Service [NPS] 2017), and recommendations for work.

All extant original exterior elements of Building 1 contribute to its character and its eligibility for listing in the NRHP. On the interior, character-defining features are concentrated in the Front of the House, which, as the original public entrance to the campus and the location of the building's common areas, possesses all the building's architectural ornamentation while the rest of the building is more utilitarian in design. To support the scientific mission of the NBS and the CRPL, alterations to the interior of Building 1 began soon after the building was constructed and have continued throughout the building's history. The historic integrity of the laboratory and office spaces in the Spine and wings has been compromised by these alterations, and the only character-defining features that remain intact in the interior of the Spine and wings are the configuration of the double-loaded corridors.

Building 1 is generally in good condition, including the building envelope, exterior architectural finishes, and weather protection components (joints, windows, and roofing systems), as well as the interior architectural finishes (floors, doors, and ceilings). A few places have been observed to be in fair and poor condition, including portions of the roof, the original windows, exterior doors and hardware, and some concrete finishes. Damages to the concrete include spalling, staining, cracks, and exposed corroding reinforcing bars. Due to the age of the building, numerous mechanical and plumbing features were found to be non-compliant with current codes.

NIST Asset Report for Building 101

Requirement Detail Report, 2015 VFA Inc.

Summary

NIST Asset Report lists maintenance and repair items for buildings systems for Building 101. Requirements are organized according to seven categories: (1) Building Integrity – Beyond Rated Life; (2) Building Integrity – Reliability; (3) Code Compliance – Life Safety; (4) Environmental – Air/Water Quality; (5) Functionality – Aesthetics; (6) Operations – Energy; and (7) Operations – Maintenance. The original condition assessment took place in May of 2013. Each requirement item includes a description and photographs of the condition, locations affected, an estimated cost for the recommended action, and a projected timeframe for completing the action. The asset report does not include follow-up information for completed actions, such as actual completion date and actual cost. It is unknown how many of these actions have been completed.

Structural Engineering Assessments

Temporary Shoring Study for the Red Auditorium, Building 101, May 28, 1992 McMullan and Associates, Inc.

Summary

An earlier report by McMullan & Associates, Inc., dated January 14, 1992, found that significant deterioration of the Red Auditorium floor system could result in structural failure and required immediate attention. The temporary shoring study discusses alternatives for additional supports for the floor slab until permanent repairs could take place. The report offers four alternatives for redundant shoring systems: (1) small dimensional timber in combination with large dimensional timber; (2) large dimensional timber; (3) structural steel posts; and (4) steel framing in combination with structural steel. The study determined that scheme 3 was the most feasible. Regardless of which scheme was to be implemented, the report indicated that permanent repairs should take place within five years.

Shoring work was ultimately completed. This 5-year temporary shoring is still in place. Permanent repairs have not occurred.

Post Earthquake Rapid Visual Review of the Building 101 Auditorium, August 31, 2011; #3423.0007.01-1-Rpt.

McMullan and Associates, Inc.

Summary

Following the 2011 earthquake that affected Virginia and Maryland, McMullan & Associates, Inc, completed a rapid visual review of Building 101 Wing D. The report examines various areas of concern that NIST raised following the earthquake. These included cracked mortar joints at exterior marble

cladding, vertical cracks in CMU walls in the backstage area, and deflection of the plaster soffit above the east exterior elevation. Following a visual inspection, McMullan determined that cracked mortar joints and deflection of the plaster soffit were not of immediate concern, though these areas would eventually require repair. Specifically, beveled panels on the east and west facades should be monitored for loose stones. If loose stones were found, these areas were to be netted to catch falling pieces or barricaded to prevent pedestrian access. Vertical cracks in interior CMU walls in the backstage areas were of the greatest concern. McMullan's investigation concluded that these walls were not reinforced, had no control joints, and were not properly braced at the top. McMullan expressed concern that the wall might not be able to withstand lateral loads during a future earthquake even and recommended limiting access to this location. McMullan recommended an evaluation of the wall design and alterations to bring the construction into compliance with modern codes during any future renovations.

Building 101 Basement Wall Investigation, Building 101, June 15, 2015; Project No. 3423-18-01 McMullan and Associates, Inc.

Summary

In 2015, McMullan and Associates completed an assessment of concrete walls along the basement corridor adjacent to the unexcavated area below the Cafeteria. These concrete walls exhibited areas of spalling and cracking. McMullan completed three types of analysis: visual observations, Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), and sampling of concrete cores. Visual observations established the extent and location of damage to interior concrete walls. GPR was used to confirm size and placement of steel reinforcement bars and to determine depth of concrete cover across the walls. Petrographic analysis of concrete cores determined that carbonation of the concrete paste extends to the depth of steel reinforcement in several locations. Carbonation lowers the pH of the concrete paste, which can result in carbonation-induced corrosion. Since no evidence of active groundwater was found on the exterior side of the concrete walls, McMullan concluded that carbonation-induced corrosion is the principal cause of spalling and cracking of interior concrete.

McMullan and Associates recommended taking additional core samples throughout the basement to determine the extent of carbonation. At areas of carbonation with no visible signs of damage, McMullan recommended three possible repairs: (1) removal and replacement of carbonated concrete with new; (2) re-alkalization of existing concrete; and (3) installation of a Cathodic Protection System. At areas of visible damage, McMullan recommended removing and replacing spalled concrete and filling cracks with a structural epoxy.

Building 101 Leak Remediation Study, Gaithersburg, MD, November 6, 2017; Project Number 3681-08 McMullan and Associates Inc.

Summary

Structural engineers McMullan & Associates and Civil Engineers A. Morton Thomas & Associates investigated sources of water infiltration into the basement on the northeast side of Building 101. Specifically the study documented existing conditions of basement waterproofing, site drainage systems, and overall topography of the site adjacent to the east main entrance. Investigations determined that

existing grade of the raised planting beds at the east entrance was insufficient to shed rain water away from the building foundations. Test pits dug along the building foundations confirmed that water was ponding about three feet below grade and not freely draining. Corrosion of iron pipe drains and build-up of debris exacerbated the drainage problem. The investigation also that foundation waterproofing terminated below grade level, allowing rainwater to migrate over and possibly behind the membrane.

The study discusses the following recommendation in detail: replacement of foundation waterproofing and drainage, installation of new PVC drains to replace corroded iron pipe drains in the raised planting area; and reconstruction of existing granite retaining walls with new concrete backup walls and footings. The study provides a cost estimate and suggested repair details for the proposed new work. The study also recommended additional probes at the east entrance plaza paving were also recommended to understand conditions at this location. The

Window and Induction Unit Study

NIST Building 101 Window and Induction Unit Study, Gaithersburg, MD, December 30, 2014 OKKS Studios and Henry Adams, LLC, Consulting Engineers

Summary

The 2014 study investigated options for Building 101's mechanical and window system in order to extend the facility's service life, improve the building's energy performance, and meet executive mandates for Federal facilities. There are fifteen air handling units in five separate mechanical rooms servicing different portions of Building 101. There are ten distinct window types of varying sizes and approximately 1000 individual window assemblies that require replacement.

This report includes separate recommended options for improvements to central mechanical plants, replacement of existing terminal mechanical units, replacement of windows and improved envelope insulation for each portion of the building. The following represent options for A-Wing:

- Renovation Scheme A-1; Mechanical refurbishment with window replacement phased Horizontally.
- Renovation Scheme A-1b; Mechanical refurbishment with curtain wall replacement phased Horizontally.
- Renovation Scheme A-2; Mechanical replacement with window replacement phased Horizontally.
- Renovation Scheme A-2b; Mechanical replacement with curtain wall replacement phased to occur horizontally.
- Renovation Scheme A-3; Mechanical replacement with curtain wall replacement phased Vertically.
- Renovation Scheme A-4; New mechanical penthouse with curtain wall replacement.

In contrast to the A-Wing, the B, C and D wings are relatively lightly populated, and the need for complex strategies to accommodate tenant relocations is not a priority. The possible combinations of the different options for the replacement of mechanical systems and for the replacement of envelope systems are therefore more straightforward.

- Mechanical Renovation Option M.BCD.1: Outlines mechanical plant refurbishment and replacement of mechanical terminal units with replacement of the existing window systems.
- Mechanical Renovation Option M.BCD.2: Outlines construction of a new mechanical penthouse tied to the existing distribution system and replacement of mechanical terminal units with replacement of the existing window systems.

As with the B, C and D wings, the E-Wing is relatively lightly populated, and the need for complex strategies to accommodate tenant relocations is not a priority. The possible combinations of different options for the replacement of mechanical systems and for the replacement of envelope systems are therefore more straightforward.

- Mechanical Renovation Option M.E.1: Outlines mechanical plant refurbishment and replacement of mechanical terminal units with replacement of the existing window systems.
- Mechanical Renovation Option M.E.2: Outlines construction of a new exterior mechanical room tied to the existing distribution system and replacement of mechanical terminal units with replacement of the existing window systems.

The existing building organization, the distribution of existing building tenants, and arrangement of existing building mechanical systems all suggest that to properly evaluate a complete renovation of Building 101 the work be considered as involving three distinct but related projects, each with its own challenges- mechanical and facade renovations to the A-Wing, which will affect the greatest proportion of the building's tenants, mechanical and façade renovations to the B, C and D-Wings, which are all comparatively lightly occupied but which nevertheless perform functions critical to NIST operations, and mechanical and facade renovations to the E-Wing, which will affect the second largest number of tenants and which must also consider mechanical upgrades to address the requirements for storing archival materials. The report presents the merits of these options as cost estimates for each scheme.

SECTION F: OUTLINE SPECIFICATIONS



PRESERVATION OUTLINE SPECIFICATIONS

Section 01 3591	Historic Treatment Procedures		
Section 02 4296	Historic Removal and Dismantling		
Section 03 0130	Historic Cast-In-Place Concrete Restoration		
Section 04 0310	Historic Masonry Cleaning		
	 Marble (Interior, Exterior) Limestone Granite Brick (Interior, Exterior) 		
Section 04 0342	Historic Masonry Restoration		
	 Marble (Interior, Exterior) Limestone Granite Brick (Interior, Exterior) Retaining Walls 		
Section 04 0343	Historic Masonry Repointing		
	 Marble (Interior, Exterior) Limestone Granite Brick (Interior, Exterior) 		
Section 05 0385	Historic Architectural Formed Metal Cleaning and Restoration		
	Anodized AluminumBronzeStainless Steel		
Section 06 0312	Historic Architectural Woodwork Restoration		
	 Oak Walnut Cherry Veneer Walnut Veneer 		
Section 07 6200	Sheet Metal Flashing and Trim		
Section 07 9200	Joint Sealants		
Section 08 0351	Historic Aluminum Window Restoration		

Section 08 0352	Historic Wood Door Restoration
	• Walnut Veneer
	Aluminum Hardware
Section 08 1119	Historic Stainless-Steel Doors and Frame
	Stainless Steel Hardware
Section 08 4413	Historic Glazed Aluminum Curtain Wall Restoration
Section 09 0320	Historic Flat Plaster Restoration
Section 09 2400	Historic Cement Plastering Restoration
	• Stucco
Section 09 0313	Historic Ceramic Tile Restoration
	Quarry Tile
	Glazed Wall Tile
Section 09 5123	Historic Acoustical Tile Ceiling Restoration
Section 09 6519	Historic Resilient Tile Flooring Restoration
	Vinyl Composition Tile
Section 09 6613	Historic Terrazzo Flooring Restoration
Section 09 0190	Maintenance Repainting
Section 32 1373	Historic Unit Paving Restoration and Cleaning
SECTION G: GLOSSARY



GLOSSARY

Anodized Aluminum – aluminum given a protective and/or decorative coating through anodization, an electrolytic passivation process.

Brise Soleil – literally meaning "breaks sunlight," a term for an architectural device that protects a building from excessive sun exposure. These are typically perforated screens or louvers constructed to shade elevations with a large proportion of glazed openings.

Duranodic – proprietary treatment of the Aluminum Corporation of America that is similar to anodizing.

Coping – in wall construction, a protective cap over the wall's horizontal top surface. Copings may be metal, masonry, or wood and are typically sloped or beveled to shed water.

Curtain Wall – an exterior wall assembly that hangs from the floor slabs of a multi-story building. Curtain wall assemblies typically consist of large glass panels set in a metal frame. Infill panels can also be metal or masonry. The assembly differs from a typical exterior wall in that it is not load bearing. Curtains walls support only their own weight and any loads imposed on them. These loads are transferred back to the primary structure of the building at the floor slabs.

Fascia – a flat, horizontal member covering the joint between the top of a wall and projecting rafters or between the vertical and horizontal structural members of a screen assembly.

Screen- any construction designed merely to separate, protect, seclude, or conceal but not to support.

Soffit – the underside of any part of a building, such as an arch, beam, bridge, or stairs.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

SECTION H: CONDITION DRAWINGS







ŀ	EY NOTES							GEN	JERAL NOTES
$\langle 1$	WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	$\langle 6A \rangle$	PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.	(12A)	CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE PANEL.	(17A) (17B)	REMOVE INSECT NEST.	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIOR
	10% 30%	6B	CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION.	(2B)	REMOVE STONE AND RESET.	(18)		B.	REPOINT MASONRY 10
$\langle 2$	REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL BIOCIDE. +++++++	$\overline{7}$	REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION.	(13)	CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	(19)	SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR S
$\langle 3A \rangle$	REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL UPICITY OF STATE AT FACILL COATION	<u> (8</u>)	PIN STONE AT AREA OF INCIPIENT SPALL USING THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.		CLEAN HEAVY SOUND WITH ALKAUNE CHEMICAL	20	REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER S CURTAIN WALLS 100%
(3E	STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	9	REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED	21	REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR JO
	REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS.	(10A)	> CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH	(15)	REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER.	22	REMOVE MARBLE PANELS FOR REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE	F.	REPLACE SOFT JOINTS
$\left< \frac{30}{4} \right>$	> NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.		POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT TO JOINT ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT		PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR,		WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	G.	REMOVE DEBRIS FROM
	MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	(10B	EACH INSTANCE.	$\langle 16 \rangle$	REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT			H.	TRIM/REMOVE VEGETA
5	angle REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT		EACH.		STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET				TUNNELS.
	MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.	(11)	REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		LACH.			J. 	KEMUVE RUST STAINS

MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE ED 400 PSI.

00% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES THERWISE BY NOTE 1.

SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

TRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND

DINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND ITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

TS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

ATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.













MINCOWS TYPE TYPE 455.50 DGE EL (5) SOUTH ELEVATION - WING E

CLEAN ALL EXTERIOR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE

REPOINT MASONRY 100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

REPLACE EXTERIOR SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

REPLACE WEATHER STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND

REPLACE MORTAR JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND CONCRETE SOFFIT WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

REPLACE SOFT JOINTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

REMOVE DEBRIS FROM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

H. TRIM/REMOVE VEGETATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

REMOVE GROUNDHOGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

REMOVE RUST STAINS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.



18035

 \triangle

XXX



K	EY NOTES							GEN	NERAL NOTES
1	WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	6A	PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.	(12A)	P CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE	(17A)	REMOVE INSECT NEST.	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERI
	10% 30%	(6B)	CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION.	(2B)	REMOVE STONE AND RESET.	(18)	REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST	В.	REPOINT MASONRY
2	REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL BIOCIDE. [+++++++]	$\langle 7 \rangle$	REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION.	(13)	CIEAN EFELORESCENCE IIIIIIIIIIIII		SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	C.	UNLESS INDICATED
(3A	<pre></pre>	$\langle 8 \rangle$	> PIN STONE AT AREA OF INCIPIENT SPALL USING THREADED STAINLESS—STEEL ROD.			20	REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER
(3B	FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION.	9	REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT	(14)	CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED	(21)	REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR
	REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS.	(10A)	CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH	(15)	REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER	(22)	REMOVE MARBLE PANELS FOR REINSTALLATION REPLACE SHELF ANGLE	F.	CONCRETE SOFFIT
(3C	> NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.		POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT	ī	PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR,		WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	G.	REMOVE DEBRIS FF
4	ATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND		TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT EACH INSTANCE.		TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.			Н.	TRIM/REMOVE VEG
5	PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH. > REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT	(10B)	PREMOVE RUST STAIN, ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.	<u>`</u>	STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SOUARE FEET			.	REMOVE GROUNDH TUNNELS.
	MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.	(11)	REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		EACH.			J.	REMOVE RUST STA



John Milner Associates

a division of MTFA Archit



OF CONCY TARGULAR TITLE TO THE ATTENDED ALUM TARGULAR TO THE ATTENDED ALUM TARGULAR TO THE ATTENDED THE ATTEND	
TYPE '3'	TIAL S
CARLING CALL CAL	APPROX BROKEN - 17 - 38 ABOVE V LINTELS 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
KEY NOTES GENERAL	RAL NOTES
 1 WHERE HAICHEL, REPORTING. 10% WHERE HAICHEL TURE 10% CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION. 10% REMOVE BIBLICHEL ACCH ICATION. 10% REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL FACE OF STORE AT AREA OF INCIPIENT SPALL USING THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD. 11% CLEAN HEAVY SOLING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL CLEANRESS AND COLD WATE WASH NOT TO EXCEED HICKS. 10% CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APELY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION. NDICATED OVER FOULF FACE OF STORE FROM JOINT NICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STORE FROM JOINT AT HAT MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND PROFILE. 10% REMOVE BUS STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH. 10% REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CHEMENT STUCCO TO 11% REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CREMENT STUCCO TO 	CLEAN ALL EXTERIC WASH NOT TO EXCI REPOINT MASONRY UNLESS INDICATED REPLACE EXTERIOR REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 101 REPLACE MORTAR CONCRETE SOFFI IN REPLACE SOFT JOIN REPLACE SOFT JOIN REPLACE SOFT JOIN REPLACE SOFT JOIN REMOVE DEBRIS FR TRIM/REMOVE VEGE REMOVE GROUNDHC TUNNELS.



	STONE	FASCIA (18	12-1"	STONE TYPE
			TYP (LOW PRIORI 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	ENTRANCE DWG. S.S.
KEY NOTES		_		GENERAL NOTES
(1) WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING. 10% 30%	<a>6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.<a>6BCLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION.	(12A) CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MAR PANEL. (2B) REMOVE STONE AND RESET.	RBLE (17A) REMOVE INSECT NEST. (17B) REMOVE BIRD NEST. (18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST.	A. CLEAN ALL EXTERIOR WASH NOT TO EXCEE B. REPOINT MASONRY 1
2 REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL BIOCIDE. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	 REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION. PIN STONE AT AREA OF INCIPIENT SPALL USING 	(12) REMOVE DISPLACED PAVERS. REGRADE AND R (13) CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	(19) SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	UNLESS INDICATED O C. REPLACE EXTERIOR S
(3A) REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION. (3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	IHREAUED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD. (9) REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.	CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMIC CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO E 400 PSI.	AL XCEED (21) REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E. REPLACE MORTAR JO
REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS. $\langle 3C \rangle$ NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.	(10A) CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION	(15) REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER. PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT	(22) REMOVE MARBLE PANELS FOR REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANEL	F. REPLACE SOFT JOINT S. G. REMOVE DEBRIS FROM
(4) REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT EACH INSTANCE.	TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.		H. TRIM/REMOVE VEGET
5 REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE, ASSUME 6" X 6" FACH.	EACH. (11) REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.	STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.		TUNNELS. J. REMOVE RUST STAINS



18035

 $\overline{\bigtriangleup}$

XXX

	KEY NOTES		
(1)	WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING. 10%	(10A) CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS	
$\langle 2 \rangle$	REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL BIOCIDE.	(10B) REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.	
	$\begin{array}{c} * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * $	(11) REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.	
< <u>3</u> A	REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION.	$\overline{(12A)}$ CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE PANEL.	STONE COPING
(3B)	STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS.	(12B) REMOVE DISPLACED STONE AND RESET.	TIXED WINDOWS
(3C)	NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.	$\overline{(12C)}$ REMOVE DISPLACED PAVERS. REGRADE AND RESET.	
$\langle \underline{4} \rangle$	REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN,	13 CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	
5	REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT	CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED 400 PSI.	
$\overline{(6A)}$	TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH. PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.	(15) REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER. PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.	-5 -5-1-7
6B	CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION.	(16) REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.	
$\langle 7 \rangle$	REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION.	(17A) REMOVE INSECT NEST.	GREY FACE BRICK
8	PIN STONE AT AREA OF INCIPIENT SPALL USING THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.	(17B) REMOVE BIRD NEST.	
(9)	REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.	18 REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST. 19 SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	BRICK STRUCTURAL STUDY:
(10A)	CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION	20 REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	FOR VISUAL SURVEY AT 5 LOCATIONS
	INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2	21 REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	MAKE A PROBE 2 BRICKS WIDE BY 4 BRICKS TALL
	APPLICATIONS AT EACH INSTANCE.	ANGLES WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	REPLACE BRICKS AFTER REVIEW BY STRUCTURAL
	GENERAL NOTES		ENGINEER.
A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIOR MASONRY WITH A WARM	WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED 400 PSI.	
В.	REPOINT MASONRY 100% INCLUDING PAVED EN NOTE 1.	TRANCE TERRACES UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE BY	
C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR SEALANT JOINTS 100%		$\chi = \frac{4}{2}$ Here is an even such as the transmission of the tra
D.	REPLACE ALL WEATHER STRIPPING AND GASKE	TS AT WINDOWS AND CURTAIN WALL 100%.	
E.	REPLACE MORTAR JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PA EACH COLUMN.	ANELS AND CONCRETE SOFFIT WITH SOFT JOINT AT	STAINLESS SOLUMN FA
F.	REPLACE SOFT JOINTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING	9 WALLS 100%	
G.	REMOVE DEBRIS FROM RETAINING WALL DRAINS	5 100%	
H.	TRIM/REMOVE ANY VEGETATION THAT IS WITHIN	2 FEET OF THE BUILDING FACADE.	OFFICE IUWER - WEST ELEVATION
١.	REMOVE GROUNDHOGS FROM WEST LAWN AND	BACKFILL TUNNELS.	
J.	REMOVE RUST STAINS FROM GRANITE PAVING 1	100%.	





I	KEY NOTES		GENERAL NOTES
•	WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL. 12A CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE 17A REMOVE II PANEL. 17B REMOVE II 17B REMOVE II	NSECT NEST. A. CLEAN ALL EXTERIOR
	10% 30%	(6B) CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION. (2B) REMOVE STONE AND RESET. (17) (18) REMOVE (12C) REMOVE DISPLACED PAVERS. REGRADE AND RESET. (18) REMOVE	CALCIUM CRUST
ľ	BIOCIDE.	7 REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION. 13 CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE. 11 13 SEAL GA 8 DIN STONE AT AREA OF INCIDIENT SPALL LISING 13 CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE. 11 11 13	PS IN FLASHING. C. REPLACE EXTERIOR SE
<	(3A) REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION.	THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD. (20) REPAIR ' (14) CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL (20)	WARPED FLASHING. D. REPLACE WEATHER ST CURTAIN WALLS 100%
<	(3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	(9) REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED (21) REBUILD EACH.	CONCRETE STAIRS E. REPLACE MORTAR JOI CONCRETE SOFFIT WIT
K	REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS. $\overline{3C}$ NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.	(10A) CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH (15) REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER. REINSTAL POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT WITH STA	IARBLE PARELS FOR LATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE F. REPLACE SOFT JOINTS INLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS. A DEMONSTRATE DEEDING FOR
•	REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT	INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.	G. REMOVE DEBRIS FROM H. TRIM/REMOVE VEGETA
	PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	TOB REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET (16) REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT EACH. STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO	I. REMOVE GROUNDHOGS TUNNELS.
•	(5) REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.	MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET (11) REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT EACH. REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.	J. REMOVE RUST STAINS



KEY NOTES G	GEN	IERAL NOTES
1 WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN GA PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL. Image: Clean out drainage ports at bowing marble Image: Clean out drain	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIO WASH NOT TO EXCE
10% 30% CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION. (2B) REMOVE STONE AND RESET. (18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST. (18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRU	В.	REPOINT MASONRY
2 REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL 7 REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION. BIOCIDE.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR
3A REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION. 0 FIN STONE AT ANCA OF INCIDENT SPALE OSING 20 REPAIR WARPED FLASHING. 0.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 100
(3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	E.	REPLACE MORTAR J CONCRETE SOFFIT W
REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS. (10A) CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH (10A) CLEAN	F.	REPLACE SOFT JOIN
(a) REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT FOOLINGL AT LEFT OCCUPY LIGHT LOOPING AND INCLUSING MASONRY IN COLOR, TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.	G. н	REMOVE DEBRIS FRO
MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND EACH INSTANCE. PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH. PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH. TOB REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET TOB REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT STUCCO PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO	I.	REMOVE GROUNDHO
5 REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, NOD DROFTLE - LOGING OF A COLOR, TEXTURE, NOT DROFTLE - LOGING OF A	J.	IUNNELS. REMOVE RUST STAIN
AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.		























	KEY NOTES							GEN	IERAL NOTES
\langle	1) WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	6A	PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.	(12A)	CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE PANEL.	(17A)	REMOVE INSECT NEST.	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIO
		(6B)	CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION.	(2B) (12C)	REMOVE STONE AND RESET.			В.	REPOINT MASONRY
	BIOCIDE.	$\langle 7 \rangle$	REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION.	(13)	CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	(19)	SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	С.	REPLACE EXTERIOR
<.	A REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION.		THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.	(14)	CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL	(20)	REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 100
<	3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	<u>(9</u>)	REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		400 PSI.	$\langle 21 \rangle$	REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR JU CONCRETE SOFFIT W
(3C NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.	(10A)	CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION	(15)	REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER. PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT	12	REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE WTH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	F.	REPLACE SOFT JOIN
\langle	4 REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND	_	TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT EACH INSTANCE.	_	MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.			н.	TRIM/REMOVE VEGET
	PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	(10B)	REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.	(16)	REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EXISTING ASSUME 2 SOLIARE FEET			1.	Remove groundhoo Tunnels.
	MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.	(11)	[,] REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		EACH.			J.	REMOVE RUST STAIN

OR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE SEED 400 PSI.

100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND 20%.

JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

NTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

ROM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

ETATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

DGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

INS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.



A121









	KEY NOTES	GEN	IERAL NOTES
\langle	1) WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN 6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL. 12A CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE 17A REMOVE INSECT NEST. 1) WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN 6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL. 12A CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE 17A REMOVE INSECT NEST. 1) WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN 6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL. 12A CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE 17A REMOVE INSECT NEST. 1) UIEU OF 100% REPOINTING. 100% TOTAL TOTAL 100% TOTAL TOTAL	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIO WASH NOT TO EXCE
	10% 30% CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION. (2B) REMOVE STONE AND RESET. (18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST. (18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRU	В.	REPOINT MASONRY UNLESS INDICATED
	BIOCIDE. BIOCIDE.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR
	3A) REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEICHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD. (14) CLEAN HEAVY SOLUNG WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL	D.	REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 100
	3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND 3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND 3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED (21) REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS 400 PSI. TO TO EXCEED (21) REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR J CONCRETE SOFFIT W
(3	REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS. TOA CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS	F.	REPLACE SOFT JOIN
\langle	Z INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, 4 TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND EACH INSTANCE.	ы. Н.	TRIM/REMOVE VEGET
	PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH. EACH. EACH. TOB REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH. TOB REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH. TOB REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EVISTING ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET	I.	Remove groundhoo Tunnels.
	MATCH EARSTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH. AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.	J.	REMOVE RUST STAIN

DR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE EED 400 PSI.

100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND 0%.

JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

NTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

OM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

TATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

OGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

NS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.







ŀ	WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN	6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT COR	RODED STEEL. $(12A)$ CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE	(7A) REMOVE INSECT NEST.	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIO
		\frown	PANEL.	(17B) REMOVE BIRD NEST.		WASH NOT TO EXCE
	10/% JU// 30/% 💥 💥	(6B) CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE	CORROSION.	(18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST	В.	REPOINT MASONRY
ŀ	2 REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL	7 REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING	TO EROSION.			UNLESS INDICATED
	BIOCIDE. + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		DALL LISING (13) CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	19/ SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR
k	3A) REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME	THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.		20) REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER
	FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION.		CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL			CURTAIN WALLS 100
k	(3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	EACH.	400 PSI. 277777	D ZI/ REDULD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR J
	REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS.			22 REMOVE MARBLE PANELS FOR		CUNCRETE SUFFIT
	3C) NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.	POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH	WIH (15) REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER.	REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE WITH STAINIESS STEEL REINSTALL PANELS	+.	REPLACE SOFT JOIN
Ĩ		INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STO	NE FROM JOINT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR,	WITT STAINLESS STELL. KEINSTALL FANLES.	G.	REMOVE DEBRIS FRO
ľ	MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR, TEXTURE, GRAIN, AND	TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLIC	ATIONS AT TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.		н.	TRIM/REMOVE VEGE
	PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	(10B) REMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQI	JARE FEET (16) REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT		I.	REMOVE GROUNDHO
	5 REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT	EACH.	STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET			TUNNELS.
	MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE,	(11) REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC,	/PAINT EACH.		J.	REMOVE RUST STAI
	AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH.	REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT	LACH.		'	

KEY NOTES

OR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE

100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND 0%.

JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

NTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

ROM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

ETATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

DGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

INS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.









KEY NOTES		GEI	NERAL NOTES
1 WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN 6A PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL. 12A CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWI LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	ING MARBLE (7A) REMOVE INSECT NEST.	Α.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIO
10% 30% CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION. (2B) REMOVE STONE AND RESET.	AND RESET.	В.	REPOINT MASONRY
2 REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL 7 REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION. BIOCIDE. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	(19) SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR
(3A) REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION. THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.	CHEMICAL	D.	REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 100
(3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND EACH. (3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND EACH. (3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND (3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL STEEL STEEL STEEL	OT TO EXCEED (21) REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR J CONCRETE SOFFIT V
Image: Replace cracked bricks.	ER. REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE THAT WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	F.	REPLACE SOFT JOIN
INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR MATCHES EXISTING IN COLOR. TEXTURE. GRAIN. AND TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.	R,	н.	TRIM/REMOVE VEGE
PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	D T0	l.	REMOVE GROUNDHO TUNNELS.
5 REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, 11 REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE F AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH. REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH. EACH.	FELI	J.	REMOVE RUST STAI

OR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE EED 400 PSI.

100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND 0%.

JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

NTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

ROM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

ETATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

DGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

INS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.



Sheet #











KEY NOTES				GEN	IERAL NOTES
1 WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN 6A PREPAR LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	ARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.	2A) CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE PANEL.	TA REMOVE INSECT NEST.	Α.	CLEAN ALL EXTERIO
10% 30% 6B CLEAN	N POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION. $\sqrt{2}$	2B) REMOVE STONE AND RESET.	(18) REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST	B.	REPOINT MASONRY
2 REMOVE BIOLOGICAL GROWTH WITH ARCHITECTURAL 7 REMOVE BIOCIDE. ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	VE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION.	13) CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	(19) SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOR
(3A) REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME	ADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.	14) CLEAN HEAVY SOLING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL	20 REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 100
(3B) STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND (9) REMOVE EACH.	WE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT	CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED	21 REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	E.	REPLACE MORTAR J
REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS.	N COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH	15 REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER.	222 REMOVE MARBLE PANELS FOR REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE	F.	REPLACE SOFT JOIN
4 REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT INDICAT	ATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT JINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT	MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.	WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	G. H.	REMOVE DEBRIS FRO
PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	INSTANCE. IVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET (16) REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO		I.	REMOVE GROUNDHO
5 REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, (11) REMOVE AND PROFILE ASSUME 6" X 6" FACH	VE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT IVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.	MATCH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.		J.	REMOVE RUST STAIN



DR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE EED 400 PSI.

100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND 0%.

JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

NTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

OM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

TATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

OGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

NS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.















KE	TY NOTES							GEN	VERAL NOTES
(1)	WHERE HATCHED, REPOINT PERCENTAGE SHOWN IN LIEU OF 100% REPOINTING.	$\langle 6A \rangle$	PREPARE, PRIME, AND REPAINT CORRODED STEEL.	(12A)	CLEAN OUT DRAINAGE PORTS AT BOWING MARBLE PANEL.	(17A) (17B)	REMOVE INSECT NEST.	A.	CLEAN ALL EXTERI
	10% 30%	⟨6B⟩	CLEAN POLISHED METAL TO REMOVE CORROSION.	(2B)	REMOVE STONE AND RESET.	(70)		В.	
<u>{</u> 2)	BIOCIDE. BIOCIDE. F + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	$\langle 7 \rangle$	REMOVE VEGETATION CONTRIBUTING TO EROSION.	(13)	CLEAN EFFLORESCENCE.	(19)	SEAL GAPS IN FLASHING.	C.	REPLACE EXTERIOF
(JA)	REPAIR CRACK WITH INJECTION GROUT. ASSUME FULL HEIGHT OF STONE AT EACH LOCATION.		THREADED STAINLESS-STEEL ROD.	$\langle 14 \rangle$	CLEAN HEAVY SOILING WITH ALKALINE CHEMICAL	20	REPAIR WARPED FLASHING.	D.	REPLACE WEATHER CURTAIN WALLS 10
(3B)	STITCH BRICK CRACKS WITH STEEL RODS AND	<u>(</u> 9)	P REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		CLEANERS AND COLD WATER WASH NOT TO EXCEED	$\langle 21 \rangle$	REBUILD CONCRETE STAIRS	Ε.	REPLACE MORTAR CONCRETE SOFFIT
⟨3C⟩	REPLACE CRACKED BRICKS. NO ACTION AT CRACKED PAVER.	(10A)	CLEAN COPPER STAIN ON MASONRY WITH POULTICE. APPLY POULTICE AT EACH LOCATION	(15)	REMOVE ABANDONED METAL FASTENER. PATCH HOLE WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT	(22)	REMOVE MARBLE PANELS FOR REINSTALLATION. REPLACE SHELF ANGLE WITH STAINLESS STEEL. REINSTALL PANELS.	F.	REPLACE SOFT JO
$\left\langle 4 \right\rangle$	REPAIR SPALL WITH STONE DUTCHMAN THAT		INDICATED OVER FULL FACE OF STONE FROM JOINT TO JOINT. ASSUME UP TO 2 APPLICATIONS AT FACH INSTANCE		MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE.			G. Н.	TRIM/REMOVE VEG
	PROFILE. ASSUME 8" X 8" EACH.	(10B)	PREMOVE RUST STAIN. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.	(16)	REMOVE FAILED AREA OF CEMENT STUCCO. PATCH WITH CEMENT STUCCO TO			l.	REMOVE GROUNDH TUNNELS.
<u>(5</u>)	 REPAIR SPALL WITH PATCHING MORTAR THAT MATCHES EXISTING MASONRY IN COLOR, TEXTURE, AND PROFILE. ASSUME 6" X 6" EACH. 	(11)	REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT WITH MASTIC/PAINT REMOVER. ASSUME 1 SQUARE FOOT EACH.		MAICH EXISTING. ASSUME 2 SQUARE FEET EACH.			J.	REMOVE RUST STA

IOR MASONRY WITH WARM WATER PRESSURE CEED 400 PSI.

(100% INCLUDING PAVED ENTRANCE TERRACES) OTHERWISE BY NOTE 1.

R SEALANT JOINTS 100%.

R STRIPPING AND GASKETS AT WINDOWS AND 00%.

R JOINTS BETWEEN MARBLE PANELS AND WITH SOFT JOINT AT EACH COLUMN.

DINTS IN CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS 100%.

FROM RETAINING WALL DRAINS 100%.

GETATION WITHIN 2 FEET OF THE BUILDING.

HOGS FROM WEST LAWN AND BACKFILL

AINS FROM GRANITE PAVING 100%.





SECTION I: COST ESTIMATE


COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY

The cost estimate is divided into three phases to reflect high-, medium-, and low-priority work. Highpriority work should be completed within 1-3 years, 3-5 years for medium-priority work, and 5-10 years for low-priority work. Each phase includes temporary facilities, contractor's overhead and profit, design contingencies and escalation in addition to the identified scope of work. If the phases are combined, NIST will realize budget efficiencies in the categories listed above.

The project team defined scope priorities based on the existing conditions survey and the probe investigations into the marble panel construction and terrace construction. The high-priority work is focused on maintaining safety and preventing water infiltration. This includes replacing the anchoring system of the marble panels in public walkways with stainless steel, creating weep holes at the panels to allow the wall system to drain, and repointing/resealing joints. The high-priority areas for marble panel replacement include the courtyard columns, the east entrance columns, and the library portico columns. Repointing of limestone and marble panels on all elevations is a high priority.

Medium-priority work is focused on maintenance items that require cyclical attention. This includes concrete repairs, retaining wall drainage repairs, maintenance of vegetation, and window maintenance. Restoration of interior finishes are also a medium priority as the longer they are unmaintained, the harder it will be to return them to their intended appearance and condition. The structural probe of the brickwork is considered a medium-priority as the brickwork is generally in good condition. The steel supports will likely need intervention within 10 years and the probe will allow adequate time for planning. Medium-priority marble panel replacement is concentrated at the east entrance walls, the library fascia, and the rest of the courtyard.

Low-priority work includes general repointing of the brickwork. Current estimates from the survey indicate that brickwork has between 10% to 30% open joints. To prevent water infiltration, this condition cannot be ignored but is safe to carry out within a 10-year plan. Other low-priority items include cleaning of stains and other imperfections that are mostly aesthetic in nature. Low-priority marble panel replacement includes the south and east elevation pilasters and remaining walls that are not adjacent to walkways.

The description of the prioritized scope of work can be found in the narrative of Section D: Preservation Challenges. Section D highlights conditions found during the survey and the recommendations for addressing those conditions are listed by high, medium, and low priorities. This section includes a chart of typical condition photos and ends with matrix to provide a snapshot view of the priorities. All the conditions are noted on the drawings in Section H. Work associated with the marble panels and granite terraces is noted with a priority level on the drawings. Additionally, the priority chart is cross-referenced with general notes and key notes from the drawings. Finally, recommendations from the investigation probes is found in Section J of the report. This cost estimate has incorporated recommendations from Section D: Preservation Challenges, Section H: Condition Drawings, and Section J: Investigations to create the phased pricing.

NIST - Building 101 Condition Survey & HSR Gaithersburg, Maryland

> Prepared for: **MTFA Architecture, Inc.** 3200 Lee Highway Arlington, VA 22207 703-524-6616

> > February 19, 2020

Prepared by: **R.W. Brown & Associates** 364 Brandon Lane Heathsville, Virginia 22473 804-580-3535 email: rwbrownestr@juno.com

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey & HSR, Gaithersburg, Maryland		Page 1
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.	RV	VB Job # 18-35-B
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associates		2/19/20

NOTES

- 1 Unit costs include subcontractors' overhead and profits.
- 2 Unit prices, provided by suppliers, subcontractors, and past experience, reflect standard construction methods and materials. Sales tax and labor burden are included in the unit prices of each item. Labor prices are based on wage scale conditions but do not reflect overtime. Total estimate considers a competitive bidding process and responsive bids from at least 3 qualified bidders.
- 3 This estimate is based on report with drawings dated February 2020.
- 4 The total cost is based on a construction start of Summer 2020.

5 Exclusions:

Architectural and Engineering Fees Routine maintenance programs Site Work or utilites Hazardous material abatement (if required) Furnishings or equipment not itemized in the estimate

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey & HSR, Gaithersburg, Maryland	Page 2
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.	RWB Job # 18-35-B
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associates	2/19/20

Project Recapitulation

Page		Total Cost
3	High Priority	\$4,756,000
7	Medium Priority	\$5,363,000
11	Low Priority	\$3,072,315

Total Cost (Nonconstant \$)

\$13,191,315

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey &	HSR, Gaithersburg, Maryland	Page 3
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.		RWB Job # 18-35-B
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associates	High Priority	2/19/20

Recapitulation

		Total
Item		Cost
DIV 1/GENERAL REQUIREMENTS		1,619,950
DIV 2/EXISTING CONDITIONS		58,136
DIV 3/CONCRETE		20,712
DIV 4/MASONRY		1,519,553
DIV 5/METALS		25,000
DIV 6/WOOD & PLASTICS		-
DIV 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE PROTECT	ΓΙΟΝ	192,738
DIV 8/DOORS & WINDOWS		-
DIV 9/FINISHES		26,239
DIV 10/SPECIALTIES		-
DIV 11/EQUIPMENT		-
DIV 12/FURNISHINGS		-
DIV 13/SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION		-
DIV 14/CONVEYING		-
DIV 21/FIRE SUPPRESSION		-
DIV 22/PLUMBING		-
DIV 23/HVAC		-
DIV 26/ELECTRICAL		-
SUBTOTAL		3,462,328
GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S OH&P @	15%	519,349
SUBTOTAL		3,981,677
BOND @	1.5%	59,725
SUBTOTAL		4,041,403
DESIGN CONTINGENCY @	15%	606,210
SUBTOTAL		4,647,613
ESCALATION @	2.33%	108,289
TOTAL		\$4,755,902

SAY: **\$4,756,000**

itect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.							RWB	Job # 18-35-E
mated by: R.W. Brown & Associa	ates				High Pr	riority		2/19/20
DIVISION 1/CENEDAL DEOLIDEMENTS	2		Material	Total				
DIVISION I/GENERAL REQUIREMENT)		& Equip	Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Ouantity	Unit	Unit	& Equip	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
PERSONNEL	Quantity	0 1110	0	ee 24mp	0	20001		
PROJECT MANAGER	18	MO	1,000.00	18,000	16,230.00	292,140	310,140	
GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT	18	MO	500.00	9,000	12,250.00	220,500	229,500	
GENERAL LABOR	18	MO	100.00	1,800	6,670.00	120,060	121,860	
TRUCKING	18	MO	1,100.00	19,800	1,020.00	18,360	38,160	
								699,660
TEMPORARY FACILITIES & EQUIPME	ENT							
TEMPORARY OFFICE	18	MO	2,800.00	50,400	By Genera	l Labor	50,400	
TEMPORARY STORAGE	18	MO	1,500.00	27,000	By Genera	l Labor	27,000	
TRAFFIC CONTROL/BARRICADES	18	MO	2,000.00	36,000	By Genera	l Labor	36,000	
TELEPHONE/TELECOMMUNICATIONS	18	MO	250.00	4,500	-	-	4,500	
TEMPORARY HEAT	3	MO	3,000.00	9,000	By Genera	l Labor	9,000	
TEMPORARY POWER & LIGHTING	18	MO	250.00	4,500	760.00	13,680	18,180	
SCAFFOLDING	100,000	SF	3.50	350,000	4.00	400,000	750,000	
DUMPSTER - PER PULL	36	EA	360.00	12,960	-	-	12,960	
DUMPSTER - RENTAL	18	MO	125.00	2,250	-	-	2,250	
SMALL TOOLS	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	-	-	5,000	
SAFETY	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	-	-	5,000	
								920,290

DIVISION 2/EXISTING CONDITIONS

			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
EXTERIOR CLEANING								
REMOVE INSECT NEST	27	EA	-	-	20.00	540	540	
REMOVE BIRD NEST	7	EA	-	-	20.00	140	140	
REMOVE CONCRETE STAIR	40	LF	10.00	400	16.00	640	1,040	
REMOVE CONCRETE LANDING	48	SF	6.00	288	11.00	528	816	
MISCELLANEOUS DEMOLITION	1	LS	2,500.00	2,500	7,500.00	7,500	10,000	
TESTING	1	LS	4,500.00	4,500	3,500.00	3,500	8,000	
STRUCTURAL ANALYSES	1	LS	2,500.00	2,500	7,500.00	7,500	10,000	
EXTERMINATORS - GROUND HOGS	1	LS	1,000.00	1,000	3,500.00	3,500	4,500	
ESTABLISH FIXED MONITORS	10	EA	500.00	5,000	250.00	2,500	7,500	
MAINTAIN MONITOR READINGS								

TOTAL DIVISION 2/EXISTING CONDITIONS

58,136

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey & HSR, Gaithersburg, Maryland											
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.											
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associa	tes				High Pı	riority		2/19/20			
DIVISION 3/CONCRETE				•							
			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group			
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total			
CONCRETE											
REBUILD CONCRETE STAIR	40	LF	35.00	1,400	55.00	2,200	3,600				
REBUILD CONCRETE LANDING	48	SF	14.00	672	30.00	1,440	2,112				
MISCELLANEOUS CONCRETE REPAIRS	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	10,000.00	10,000	15,000				
TOTAL	DIVISION	3/CON	ICRETE					20,712			

DIVISION 4/MASONRY

			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
STONE & UNIT MASONRY								
POINT MORTAR JOINTS	44,026	SF	7.00	308,182	16.00	704,416	1,012,598	
REPLACE MASONRY LINTELS	50	LF	40.00	2,000	100.00	5,000	7,000	
REMOVE/RESET STONE PANELS	3,598	SF	10.00	35,980	36.00	129,528	165,508	
REMOVE/RESET COLUMN CLADDING	2,464	SF	10.00	24,640	28.00	68,992	93,632	
REPAIR COLUMN CLADDING	40	EA	1,000.00	40,000	1,500.00	60,000	100,000	
REPAIR/REPLACE STONE SILLS	200	LF	35.00	7,000	40.00	8,000	15,000	
REMOVE/REPLACE BRICKS TO MATCH	25	EA	5.00	125	60.00	1,500	1,625	
PIN STONE W/SS ROD	9	EA	100.00	900	250.00	2,250	3,150	
CLEAN DRAIN PORTS	2	EA	4.00	8	16.00	32	40	
REMOVE MASONRY & RESET	121	EA	150.00	18,150	850.00	102,850	121,000	

TOTAL DIVISION 4/MASONRY

1,519,553

DIVISION 5/METALS							
		Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
STRUCTURAL METALS							
MISCELLANEOUS METALS	1 LS	10,000.00	10,000	15,000.00	15,000	25,000	
							25,000

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey & HSR, Gaithersburg, Maryland											
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc. RWB											
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Assoc	iates			High P	riority		2/19/20				
	DDOTECTION										
DIVISION 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE	PROTECTION	Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group				
DIVISION 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE Item	PROTECTION Quantity Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equipment	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total				
DIVISION 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE Item CAULKING	PROTECTION Quantity Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equipment	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total				
DIVISION 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE Item CAULKING RAKE/REPLACE CAULKING - BLDG	PROTECTION Quantity Unit 58,300 LF	Material & Equip Unit 1.40	Total Material & Equipment 81,620	Labor Unit 1.90	Total Labor 110,770	Total Cost 192,390	Group Total				
DIVISION 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE Item CAULKING RAKE/REPLACE CAULKING - BLDG REPAIR FLASHING	PROTECTION Quantity Unit 58,300 LF 3 EA	Material & Equip Unit 1.40 36.00	Total Material & Equipment 81,620 108	Labor Unit 1.90 80.00	Total Labor 110,770 240	Total Cost 192,390 348	Group Total				

DIVISION 9/FINISHES								
			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
DRYWALL & PLASTER								
REMOVE WATER DAMAGED PLASTER	384	SF	1.80	691	7.00	2,688	3,379	
PATCH PLASTER SOFFIT	384	SF	7.50	2,880	40.00	15,360	18,240	
								21,619
PAINTING								
PAINT DRYWALL/PLASTER	1,200	SF	0.35	420	3.50	4,200	4,620	
								4,620
TOTAL	DIVISIO	N 9/FIN	ISHES					26,239

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey &	Page 7			
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.	RWB Job # 18-35-B			
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associates	Medium Priority	2/19/20		

Recapitulation

		Total
Item		Cost
DIV 1/GENERAL REQUIREMENTS		1,394,950
DIV 2/EXISTING CONDITIONS		10,000
DIV 3/CONCRETE		25,000
DIV 4/MASONRY		67,576
DIV 5/METALS		198,700
DIV 6/WOOD & PLASTICS		-
DIV 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE PROTEC	ΓΙΟΝ	19,800
DIV 8/DOORS & WINDOWS		2,048,600
DIV 9/FINISHES		66,800
DIV 10/SPECIALTIES		-
DIV 11/EQUIPMENT		-
DIV 12/FURNISHINGS		-
DIV 13/SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION		-
DIV 14/CONVEYING		-
DIV 21/FIRE SUPPRESSION		15,000
DIV 22/PLUMBING		22,500
DIV 23/HVAC		12,500
DIV 26/ELECTRICAL		22,500
SUBTOTAL		3,903,926
GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S OH&P @	15%	585,589
SUBTOTAL		4,489,515
BOND @	1.5%	67,343
SUBTOTAL		4,556,858
DESIGN CONTINGENCY @	15%	683,529
SUBTOTAL		5,240,386
ESCALATION @	2.33%	122,101
TOTAL		\$5,362,487

SAY: **\$5,363,000**

				,			0
						RWB	Job # 18-35-I
ates				Medium	Priority		2/19/2
5		Material & Equip	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Quantity	Unit	Unit	& Equip	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
18	MO	1,000.00	18,000	16,230.00	292,140	310,140	
18	MO	500.00	9,000	12,250.00	220,500	229,500	
18	MO	100.00	1,800	6,670.00	120,060	121,860	
18	MO	1,100.00	19,800	1,020.00	18,360	38,160	
							699,660
UN I 10	MO	2 000 00	50 400	Dr. Carra	1 I abar	50 400	
18	MO	2,800.00	30,400 27.000	By Genera	u Labor	30,400 27.000	
18	MO	1,300.00	27,000	By Genera	u Labor	27,000	
18	MO	2,000.00	30,000	By Genera	u Lauor	30,000	
18	MO	230.00	4,300	- Du Conorr	- 1 Labor	4,300	
3 19	MO	3,000.00	9,000	760.00	12 690	9,000	
70,000	MO SE	230.00	245,000	/00.00	280,000	525,000	
70,000	SF EA	3.30	243,000	4.00	280,000	12 960	
18	LA	125.00	2 250	-	-	2 250	
10		5 000 00	2,230	-	-	2,230	
1		5,000.00	5,000	-	-	5,000	
1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	-	-	5,000	695,290
_							
			450,210		944,740		1,394,950
		Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
			& Fauinment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment				Total
Quantity 1	Unit LS	2,500.00	2,500	7,500.00	7,500	10,000	10001
	Ates Quantity 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Ates Quantity Unit 18 MO 18	S Material & Equip Quantity Quantity Unit Unit 18 MO 1,000.00 18 MO 500.00 18 MO 100.00 18 MO 1,100.00 18 MO 1,100.00 18 MO 1,100.00 18 MO 2,800.00 18 MO 2,000.00 18 MO 2,000.00 18 MO 250.00 3 MO 3,000.00 18 MO 250.00 70,000 SF 3.50 36 EA 360.00 1 LS 5,000.00 1 LS 5,000.00	Ates Material & Equip Total Material & Equip Quantity Unit Unit Unit & Equip 18 MO 1,000.00 18,000 18 MO 500.00 9,000 18 MO 100.00 18,000 18 MO 100.00 1,800 18 MO 1,100.00 19,800 ENT 18 MO 2,800.00 50,400 18 MO 2,000.00 36,000 18 MO 2,000.00 36,000 18 MO 250.00 4,500 3 MO 3,000.00 9,000 18 MO 250.00 4,500 70,000 SF 3.50 245,000 36 EA 360.00 12,960 18 MO 125.00 2,250 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000	Ates Material & Equip Total Material & Equip Labor Unit 18 MO 1,000.00 18,000 16,230.00 18 MO 500.00 9,000 12,250.00 18 MO 100.00 1,800 6,670.00 18 MO 1,000.00 19,800 1,020.00 18 MO 1,000.00 19,800 1,020.00 18 MO 1,000.00 19,800 1,020.00 18 MO 1,500.00 27,000 By Generality 18 MO 2,500.00 4,500 - 3 MO 250.00 4,500 - 3 MO 250.00 4,500 - 18 MO 250.00 4,500 - 18 MO 125.00 2,250 - 18 MO 125.00 2,250 - 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000 - 1 LS 5,000.00	Ates Medium Priority S Material & Equip Total Material Labor Total Labor 18 MO 1,000.00 18,000 16,230.00 292,140 18 MO 500.00 9,000 12,250.00 220,500 18 MO 100.00 1,800 6,670.00 120,060 18 MO 1,100.00 19,800 1,020.00 18,360 ENT 18 MO 2,800.00 50,400 By General Labor 18 MO 1,500.00 27,000 By General Labor 18 MO 2,000.00 36,000 By General Labor 18 MO 250.00 4,500 - - 3 MO 3,000.00 9,000 By General Labor - 18 MO 250.00 4,500 - - - 3 MO 2000.00 5,000 - - - 18 MO 125.00<	Ates Medium Priority S Material & Equip Quantity Total Unit Total & Equip Total Unit Total Labor Total Cost 18 MO 1,000.00 18,000 16,230.00 292,140 310,140 18 MO 500.00 9,000 12,250.00 220,500 229,500 18 MO 100.00 1,800 6,670.00 120,060 121,860 18 MO 1,100.00 19,800 1,020.00 18,360 38,160 ENT 18 MO 2,500.00 50,400 By General Labor 50,400 18 MO 2,500.00 250,00 By General Labor 27,000 18 MO 2,50.00 4,500 - - 4,500 3 MO 250.00 4,500 - - 12,960 18 MO 250.00 4,500 - - 12,960 18 MO 250.00 4,500 - - 12,960<

DIVISION 4/MASONRY

		Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity Uni	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
STONE & UNIT MASONRY							
REMOVE/RESET STONE PANELS	1,200 SF	10.00	12,000	36.00	43,200	55,200	
REMOVE/RESET MARBLE FASCIA	238 LF	10.00	2,380	42.00	9,996	12,376	

TOTAL DIVISION 4/MASONRY

67,576

vitaat: MTEA Arabitaatura Ina							
nilect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.		1				RWB	JOD # 18-35-B
mated by: R.W. Brown & Associa	tes			Medium	Priority		2/19/20
DIVISION 5/METALS							
Item	Quantity Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equipment	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total
STRUCTURAL METALS	Qualitity Cliff			onit	120001	0000	1000
INSTALL RELIEVING ANGLES - ALLOW	1,100 LF	-	-	45.00	49,500	49,500	
STAINLESS STEEL ANGLES - MAT'L	8 TON	12,900.00	103,200	-	-	103,200	
DRILL & SET ANCHORS	500 EA	32.00	10,000	00.00	30,000	40,000	198,700
TOTAL	DIVISION 5/ME	TALS					198,700
DIVISION 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE P	ROTECTION	Matarial 8	Total Matarial	Lahan	T = 4 = 1	T-4-1	Crown
Item	Quantity Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
CAULKING RAKE/REPLACE CAULKING - SITE	6,000 LF	1.40	8,400	1.90	11,400	19,800	
TOTAL	DIVISION 7/THE	ERMAL & MC	DISTURE PROT	ECTION			19,800
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS							
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item	Quantity Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equipment	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item DOORS & FRAMES	Quantity Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equipment	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item DOORS & FRAMES REPAIR/MODIFY/UPGRADE EXTERIOR D - ALLOW	Quantity Unit OORS 5 EA	Material & Equip Unit 2,500.00	Total Material & Equipment 12,500	Labor Unit 1,500.00	Total Labor 7,500	Total Cost 20,000	Group Total 20.000
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item DOORS & FRAMES REPAIR/MODIFY/UPGRADE EXTERIOR D - ALLOW WINDOWS & GLASS	Quantity Unit OORS 5 EA	Material & Equip Unit 2,500.00	Total Material & Equipment 12,500	Labor Unit 1,500.00	Total Labor 7,500	Total Cost 20,000	Group Total 20,000
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item DOORS & FRAMES REPAIR/MODIFY/UPGRADE EXTERIOR D - ALLOW WINDOWS & GLASS REFURBISH GLAZED SYSTEMS	Quantity Unit OORS 5 EA 21,000 SF	Material & Equip Unit 2,500.00 50.00	Total Material & Equipment 12,500 1,050,000	Labor Unit 1,500.00 40.00	Total Labor 7,500 840,000	Total Cost 20,000 1,890,000	Group Total 20,000
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item DOORS & FRAMES REPAIR/MODIFY/UPGRADE EXTERIOR D - ALLOW WINDOWS & GLASS REFURBISH GLAZED SYSTEMS REFURBISH GLAZED SYSTEMS REMOVE/REPLACE UV FILM	Quantity Unit OORS 5 EA 21,000 SF 21,000 SF	Material & Equip Unit 2,500.00 50.00 3.60	Total Material & Equipment 12,500 1,050,000 75,600	Labor Unit 1,500.00 40.00 3.00	Total Labor 7,500 840,000 63,000	Total Cost 20,000 1,890,000 138,600	Group Total 20,000
DIVISION 8/DOORS & WINDOWS Item DOORS & FRAMES REPAIR/MODIFY/UPGRADE EXTERIOR I - ALLOW WINDOWS & GLASS REFURBISH GLAZED SYSTEMS REFURBISH GLAZED SYSTEMS REMOVE/REPLACE UV FILM	Quantity Unit OORS 5 EA 21,000 SF 21,000 SF	Material & Equip Unit 2,500.00 50.00 3.60	Total Material & Equipment 12,500 1,050,000 75,600	Labor Unit 1,500.00 40.00 3.00	Total Labor 7,500 840,000 63,000	Total Cost 20,000 1,890,000 138,600	Group Total 20,000 2,028,600

			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
MISCELLANOUS								
SEAL REMAINING EXTERIOR ENVELOPE	LEAKAG	E & REI	PAIR					
INTERIOR FINISHES - ALLOW	1	LS	25,000.00	25,000	40,000.00	40,000	65,000	
								65,000
PAINTING								
PREP/PRIME/PAINT METAL	50	SF	6.00	300	30.00	1,500	1,800	
								1,800

TOTAL DIVISION 9/FINISHES

66,800

tes							
				Medium	Priority		2/19/2
PRESION							
Quantity	Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equipment	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total
1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	10,000.00	10,000	15,000	
DIVISION	√21/ME		- FIRE SUPPRE	ESION			15,000
G		Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
1	LS	7,500.00	7,500	15,000.00	15,000	22,500	
DIVISION	√ 22/ME	ECHANICAL	- PLUMBING				22,500
		Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	7,500.00	7,500	12,500	
DIVISION	N 23/MF	ECHANICAL	- HVAC				12,500
		Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
- -	Quantity 1 DIVISION Quantity 1 DIVISION Quantity 1 DIVISION 1 DIVISION 1	QuantityUnit1LSDIVISION21/MEQuantityUnit1LSDIVISION22/MEQuantityUnit1LSDIVISION23/ME	QuantityUnitEquip Unit1LS5,000.00DIVISIONJIVISIONJIVISION1LS7,500.00DIVISIONJIVISIONJIVISION22/METANICALMaterial & Equip Unit1LS5,000.00DIVISIONJIVISIONJIVISION1LS5,000.00DIVISIONJIVISIONJIVISION	QuantityUnitEquip Unit& Equipment1LS5,000.005,000DIVISION 21/MECHANICAL - FIRE SUPPREGQuantityUnitEquip Unit& Equipment1LS7,500.007,500DIVISION 22/MECHANICAL - PLUMBINGQuantityUnitEquip Unit& Equipment1LS5,000.005,000DIVISION 22/MECHANICAL - PLUMBING1LS5,000.005,000DIVISION 23/MECHANICAL - HVAC	Quantity Unit Equip Unit & Equipment Unit 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000 10,000.00 DIVISION 21/MECHANICAL - FIRE SUPPRESION IG Material & Total Material Labor Quantity Unit Equip Unit & Equipment Unit 1 LS 7,500.00 7,500 15,000.00 1 LS 7,500.00 7,500 15,000.00 DIVISION 22/MECHANICAL - PLUMBING Unit Equip Unit & Equipment Unit Quantity Unit Material & Total Material Labor Unit Quantity Unit Equip Unit & Equipment Unit 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000 7,500.00 DIVISION 23/MECHANICAL - HVAC HVAC HVAC HVAC	QuantityUnitEquip Unit& EquipmentUnitLabor1LS5,000.005,00010,000.0010,000DIVISION 21/MECHANICAL - FIRE SUPPRESIONGQuantityUnitEquip Unit& EquipmentUnitLabor1LS7,500.007,50015,000.0015,000DIVISION 22/MECHANICAL - PLUMBINGQuantityUnitEquip Unit& EquipmentUnitLabor1LS5,000.005,0007,500.007,500.007,5001LS5,000.005,0007,500.007,500.007,500.00DIVISION 23/MECHANICAL - HVAC	Quantity Unit Equip Unit & Equipment Unit Labor Cost 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000 10,000.00 10,000 15,000 DIVISION 21/MECHANICAL - FIRE SUPPRESION Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost G Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost I LS 7,500.00 7,500 15,000.00 15,000 22,500 DIVISION 22/MECHANICAL - PLUMBING Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Quantity Unit Material & Total Material Labor Total Cost DIVISION 22/MECHANICAL - PLUMBING Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost 1 LS 5,000.00 5,000 7,500.00 7,500 12,500 DIVISION 23/MECHANICAL - HVAC Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost DIVISION 23/MECHANICAL - HVAC Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost Image: Cost DIVISION 23/MECHANICAL - HVAC

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey &	Page 11	
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.	RWB Job # 18-35-B	
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associates	Low Priority	2/19/20

Recapitulation

		Total
Item		Cost
DIV 1/GENERAL REQUIREMENTS		1,147,200
DIV 2/EXISTING CONDITIONS		26,212
DIV 3/CONCRETE		-
DIV 4/MASONRY		681,612
DIV 5/METALS		-
DIV 6/WOOD & PLASTICS		-
DIV 7/THERMAL & MOISTURE PROTECT	TION	-
DIV 8/DOORS & WINDOWS		-
DIV 9/FINISHES		381,641
DIV 10/SPECIALTIES		-
DIV 11/EQUIPMENT		-
DIV 12/FURNISHINGS		-
DIV 13/SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION		-
DIV 14/CONVEYING		-
DIV 21/FIRE SUPPRESSION		-
DIV 22/PLUMBING		-
DIV 23/HVAC		-
DIV 26/ELECTRICAL		-
SUBTOTAL		2,236,665
GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S OH&P @	15%	335,500
SUBTOTAL		2,572,165
BOND @	1.5%	38,582
SUBTOTAL		2,610,748
DESIGN CONTINGENCY @	15%	391,612
SUBTOTAL		3,002,360
ESCALATION @	2.33%	69,955
TOTAL		\$3,072,315

SAY: **\$3,073,000**

itect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.			T				RWB	Job # 18-35-E
mated by: R.W. Brown & Associa	ates				Low Pr	iority		2/19/20
DIVISION 1/GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	S Ouantity	Unit	Material & Equip Unit	Total Material & Equip	Labor Unit	Total Labor	Total Cost	Group Total
PERSONNEL	(
PROJECT MANAGER	8	MO	1,000.00	8,000	16,230.00	129,840	137,840	
GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT	8	MO	500.00	4,000	12,250.00	98,000	102,000	
GENERAL LABOR	8	MO	100.00	800	6,670.00	53,360	54,160	
TRUCKING	8	MO	1,100.00	8,800	1,020.00	8,160	16,960	
								310,960
TEMPORARY FACILITIES & EQUIPME	ENT	1.60		22 400			22 1 00	
TEMPORARY OFFICE	8	MO	2,800.00	22,400	By Genera	l Labor	22,400	
TEMPORARY STORAGE	8	MO	1,500.00	12,000	By Genera	l Labor	12,000	
TRAFFIC CONTROL/BARRICADES	8	MO	2,000.00	16,000	By Genera	ll Labor	16,000	
TELEPHONE/TELECOMMUNICATIONS	8	MO	250.00	2,000	- D C	-	2,000	
TEMPOKARY HEAT	3	MO	3,000.00	9,000	By Genera	ll Labor	9,000	
IEMPOKARY POWER & LIGHTING	8	MO	250.00	2,000	760.00	6,080	8,080	
SUAFFULDING	100,000	SF	3.50	350,000	4.00	400,000	750,000	
DUMPSIEK - PEK PULL	16	EA	360.00	5,760	-	-	5,760	
DUMPSTER - KENTAL	8	MO	125.00	1,000	-	-	1,000	
SMALL IUULS	1		5,000.00	5,000	-	-	5,000	
SAFETY	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000	-	-	5,000	

DIVISION 2/EXISTING CONDITIONS

			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
EXTERIOR CLEANING								
REMOVE GYPSUM CRUST	10	SF	3.00	30	12.00	120	150	
REMOVE RUST STAIN (2SF/EA)	114	SF	2.50	285	15.00	1,710	1,995	
REMOVE MASTIC/PAINT	188	SF	2.00	376	12.00	2,256	2,632	
REMOVE VEGETATION	600	SF	0.25	150	3.00	1,800	1,950	
REMOVE CALCIUM CRUST	1,299	SF	5.00	6,495	10.00	12,990	19,485	

Project: NIST - Building 101 - Condition Survey & HSR, Gaithersburg, Maryland							
Architect: MTFA Architecture, Inc.	RWB	Job # 18-35-B					
Estimated by: R.W. Brown & Associates	Low Priority		2/19/20				

DIVISION 4/MASONRY

			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
STONE & UNIT MASONRY								
CLEAN STAINED MASONRY	65,800	SF	1.30	85,540	2.80	184,240	269,780	
REMOVE EFFLORESCENSE	4,174	SF	3.00	12,522	5.00	20,870	33,392	
CLEAN MASONRY W/BIOCIDE	2,922	SF	2.00	5,844	4.00	11,688	17,532	
REMOVE/RESET STONE PANELS	384	SF	10.00	3,840	36.00	13,824	17,664	
REPAIR CRACK W/GROUT	1,782	LF	22.00	39,204	78.00	138,996	178,200	
REPAIR SPALL W/STONE DUTCHMAN	12	EA	250.00	3,000	550.00	6,600	9,600	
REPAIR SPALL W/MORTAR	145	EA	60.00	8,700	190.00	27,550	36,250	
CLEAN COPPER STAIN W/POULTICE	9	EA	4.00	36	16.00	144	180	
STITCH BRICK W/SS ROD	8	SF	20.00	160	100.00	800	960	
REMOVE METAL FASTENER/PATCH	31	EA	10.00	310	60.00	1,860	2,170	
REMOVE PAVERS/GRADE/RESET	288	SF	8.00	2,304	40.00	11,520	13,824	
CLEAN DRAIN PORTS	3	EA	4.00	12	16.00	48	60	
MISCELLANEOUS PAVER REPAIRS	3,000	SF	10.00	30,000	24.00	72,000	102,000	

TOTAL DIVISION 4/MASONRY

681,612

DIVISION 9/FINISHES

			Material &	Total Material	Labor	Total	Total	Group
Item	Quantity	Unit	Equip Unit	& Equipment	Unit	Labor	Cost	Total
MISCELLANOUS								
REGROUT INTERIOR MARBLE	1,000	SF	4.00	4,000	8.00	8,000	12,000	
RESTAIN & FINISH INTERIOR WOOD	2,500	SF	3.50	8,750	12.00	30,000	38,750	
PATCH TERRAZZO	500	SF	8.00	4,000	30.00	15,000	19,000	
REPLACE VAT - SELECTIVE	2,000	SF	3.25	6,500	8.00	16,000	22,500	
MISCELLANEOUS REPAIR & REFINISHING	ĩ							
- ALLOW	1	LS	10,000.00	10,000	15,000.00	15,000	25,000	
IINTERIOR REPAIRS & REFINISHING								
- ALLOW	1	LS	100,000.00	100,000	150,000.00	150,000	250,000	
								367,250
PAINTING								
PAINT DRYWALL/PLASTER	3,738	SF	0.35	1,308	3.50	13,083	14,391	
								14,391

SECTION J: INVESTIGATIONS





NIST Building 101 Marble Panels

Existing Conditions

General

The marble panels are stacked on each other and carrying the self-weight of the panels above. All the connections are designed to resist horizontal forces from wind or seismic.

Entrance Columns

Two opposite faces of the columns are clad in two-inch marble with the other two faces clad in stainless steel. (See Photo 1) There is a short panel of 1 ½ inch thick granite at the base on all four sides. (See Photo 2) The cavity between the granite and the concrete column is filled with mortar. The panels are bedded with ¼ inch white Portland cement mortar and appear to be installed tight to the concrete soffit above. (See Photo 3) The panels appear to have been set on small V-shaped lead shims prior to applying the mortar.

The bottom course of granite is attached to the concrete column with two 3/16-inch-thick masonry tee ties with one flange embedded in a kerf cut in top of the granite stone and the other protruding upwards to receive the first course of the marble. The flanges are mortared into the kerfs. The legs of the masonry ties are attached in a vertical dovetail insert cast into the concrete column.

The top of the first course of marble is attached with two 3/16-inch-thick masonry angle ties with the one leg turned down into a kerf at the top of the marble panel and the other in the same continuous dovetail slot cast in the concrete column. At the same location there are two more angle ties with one leg facing upwards to receive the next course of marble panels. (See Photo 4)



The panels are bowing outwards with the most bowing at the lowest panel. (See Photo 5)

The stainless-steel covers are attached with a welded steel plate attached in turn to a metal strap nailed into the concrete column. The metal strap appears to be galvanized but the metal connection plate is ferrous metal and corroded. (See Photos 6, 7) There is no flashing or weep holes to allow water to escape from the cavity.

Entrance Wall Panels

The exterior façade is clad in three courses of 37-inch wide x 55-inch-high panels stacked vertically. (See Photo 8) Above these three courses there is a band of smaller panels. (See Photo 9).

The three 3-inch-thick panels removed near the entrance on the perimeter wall were attached to a concrete column. (See Photo 10) This condition is likely to be the same at all other building perimeter columns but not on the walls between the columns. Between the back of the panel and the face of the concrete column there was a thin infill of concrete block loosely mortared in place.

Between the columns the panels are 2-inch-thick with a concrete masonry block backup. This block infill is likely to provide lateral support to the panels at these locations. In order to evaluate the panel to block connection system another panel would have to be removed. (See Photo 11)

The entrance wall panels were doweled with two pins each side to the short 3-inch-thick, 10-inch-wide return panels at the door (See Photos 12, 13) and to the adjacent 2-inch-thick panels.

The panels were also attached in the center of the panel top and bottom with a masonry anchor hooked and mortared into the kerf cut in the top and bottom of the panels and attached to a central embedded dovetail slot.



(See Photo 14) They were also attached at the four corners with split tail masonry anchors (See Photo 15), also hooked into kerfs cut in the top and bottom of the stones and fastened to the concrete column. (See Photo 16) The masonry ties at each of the four corners appear to have pulled away from the concrete column by 3/8 inch and, in at least one instance, the fastener had corroded to the extent that it was not connected to the concrete causing the anchor to become loose and ineffective. (See Photo 17) However, other fasteners appear to be in good condition and still attached to the concrete (See Photo 18). Several of the fasteners were corroded but still embedded in the concrete. The split tail anchor attached into the top of the base granite course was also loose and pulled away from the concrete. (See Photos 19, 20) The fasteners appear to be power activated nails shot through the 3/16-inch metal masonry ties and into the concrete. (See Photos 56, 64)

Courtyard Columns

These columns are rectangular with three courses of 55-inch-high panels and one smaller band of panels at the top. (See Photo 21) There are two-inch-thick marble panels on all four sides that are also bowing outwards. (See Photo 22) The bowing is also more pronounced at the lowest panels. (See Photo 23).

There is one continuous dovetail slot on each face of the column. This is used to attach a tee shaped 3/16-inchthick masonry tie. One leg turned down into a kerf cut in the top of the panels and the other leg turned up to receive the upper course of panels. The top and bottom of the panels have long slots or kerfs cut in them to receive the masonry anchor legs. (See Photos 24, 25)

In addition to these masonry anchors, the panels are pinned together at all four corners with three-inch-long, 3/8inch diameter stainless steel dowels. There are two, possibly three, dowels in the height of each panel. (See Photos 26, 27)



In addition, there is an inverted U-shaped pin at the top of each panel holding the two adjacent panels together. (See Photo 28)

Part of the cavity behind the marble panels is filled with mortar. (See Photo 29)

The cavity between the granite base and the concrete column is also filled with mortar. The granite base is attached with one masonry angle tie into a kerf in the top of the stone. (See Photo 30)

There is no flashing or weep holes to allow water to escape from the cavity.

Library Columns

These columns are rectangular with four courses of 7ft 3in high, 3-inch-thick panels. The panels removed were 20 inches wide. (See Photos 31, 32) The panels on the adjacent face are 22 inches wide. There was very little bowing of these panels.

The connection details were the same as the courtyard columns with a central split tail anchor attached to an embedded dovetail slot, two horizontal dowels on each side and the inverted U-shaped pins at each corner. The top and bottom of the panels reveal a central short kerf and the U pins at each end. (See Photo 33)

There were several diagonal cracks in the panels and numerous cases of edge chipping and spalling. (See Photos 34, 35, 36, 37, 38) The mortar was missing in numerous places.



The top of the panels is held down from the soffit and partially covered with a metal trim piece. (See Photos 39, 40) It appears that water could migrate under the trim piece and enter behind the marble stones.

Findings

The two-inch marble panels are bowing outwards approximately 1/4 to 3/8 of an inch with the bowing most pronounced at the lower panels. Bowing was much less on the three-inch panels.

The connections of the marble panels to the concrete columns are generally structurally sound except for the corroded, and in some cases broken and loose nails, attaching the split tie anchors to the face of the perimeter concrete columns. (See Photos 41, 42) The connections comply with and exceed the guidelines from ASTM and The Marble Institute of America, which recommend that there should be "...a minimum of four anchors per piece of stone up to 12 square feet of surface area, and two for each additional eight square feet." We were unable to evaluate the attachments of the panels to the masonry backup between the perimeter columns since none of these panels had been removed.

There is chipping and cracking of the marble panels at some of the corners, and several of the panels at the Library have diagonal cracks.

There appears to be little flexibility in the panel to panel connections or in the panel to underside of soffit connections due to the hardness of the Portland cement. The lack of a soft joint at the top of the marble panels at the entrance will also prevent thermal expansion. There are no provisions for water to escape from the cavities between the panels and the concrete columns.



Analysis

Marble is a rock resulting from metamorphism of sedimentary carbonate rocks, most commonly limestone or dolomite rock. Metamorphism causes variable recrystallization of the original carbonate mineral grains. The resulting marble rock is typically composed of an interlocking mosaic of carbonate crystals. These crystals behave in an anisotropic manner under stress, i.e., the thermal expansion of fine-grained marbles (exterior and interior faces expand at different rates) results in a permanent, generally convex, bowing.

Historically, bowing of marble panels has been known for over 100 years with some buildings exhibiting bowing in the order of ¾ inch to 1 3/16 inch after 15 to 20 years. Bowing of this magnitude usually requires complete removal and replacement of the marble facade. However, there have been many more buildings where the marble has performed well with only slight or no bowing. The bowing on this building is small and does not require removal of the panels. There are also no immediate life safety issues based on the information available from the limited test opening.

Thin marble panels, those two inches or less, are particularly susceptible to bowing due to thermal and moisture differential changes between the inside and external faces. Load bearing panels can also bow under their own self-weight with the greatest bowing at lower levels where the force is greater. The joints between the panels do not allow for the thermal expansion and contraction of the panels. As the panels expand and are restrained, the compression forces in the panels can cause bowing. Restrained thermal expansion will also cause the chipping and cracking of panel edges. The type of mortar in the joints should be resistant to moisture penetration and thermal breakdown, but also flexible, so that the marble panels will be able to move accordingly. It is also inevitable that water will penetrate the panels at some point, whether through joints or from interior condensation. Thus, collection and diversion of water through flashings and weep holes should have been included in the design.



Moisture trapped behind the panels could freeze and expand and exert horizontal forces on the panels causing bowing. This would be more likely at the lowest panels.

Recommendations

- Replace the Portland cement mortar with a softer, lime-based mortar.
- Install a soft joint between the top of the marble panels and the soffit above where necessary.
- Clean out the cavities and provide a positive drainage outlet.
- Remove chipped and cracked marble pieces and repair with matching material.
- Remove panels from the façade that are attached to the masonry backup to allow evaluation of these anchors
- Remove all panels attached to the perimeter concrete columns to evaluate the condition of the nailed split tail masonry anchors. Reattach the removed panels with an alternate connection detail.

N:\3800\3894-01 Bldg 101 HSR\Design\Building Condition Report\Marble Panels_5-29-19.docx

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



APPENDIX A

PHOTOS



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 1 of 21



Photo 1 – a. Entrance Column Test Opening. b. Entry Wall Panel Opening. c. Stainless Steel Panels.



Photo 2 –a. Granite Base. b. Stainless Steel Cover. c. 2 inch Marble Panel.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 2 of 21



Photo 3 – a. Marble installed tight to underside of soffit.



Photo 4 – a. Masonry Anchor bent up during removal of stone. b. Masonry Anchor cut during removal. c. Embedded dovetail slot.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 3 of 21



Photo 5 – a. Bowing of Panel.



Photo 6 – a. Anchor for stainless steel cover. b. Fastener for anchor to concrete.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 4 of 21



Photo 7 – a. Metal connection plate corroded.



Photo 8 – a. Top band of marble panels. b. Three courses of 2-inch marble panels.





Photo 9 – a. Typical Exterior Panels. b. Missing Mortar.



Photo 10 – a. Perimeter concrete column. b. Embedded dovetail slot. c. Marble return panel.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 6 of 21



Photo 11 – a. 2 inch typical exterior wall panel. b. Masonry packing in front of column. c. Masonry back up to wall panels.



Photo 12 – a. Masonry fill in front of column. b. 3 inch return panel. c. S.S. dowel to panel on column face.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 7 of 21



Photo 13 – a. 2 ¾ inch x 5/16 dia. Stainless Steel dowel.



Photo 14 – a. Insert embedded dovetail in column. b. 2 inch wall panel.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 8 of 21



Photo 15 – a. Typical split tail masonry anchor.



Photo 16 – a. 3 inch thick panel at perimeter column. b. 3 off-center kerfs cut in top and bottom of stone.


M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 9 of 21



Photo 17 – a. Masonry anchor pulled 3/8" away from concrete. b. Head of fastener but missing piece to concrete. c. Masonry anchor.



Photo 18 – a. Masonry anchor with fastener in good condition.



M&A No. 3894-01 Appendix A | MTFA May 29, 2019 Page 10 of 21



Photo 19 – a. Masonry anchor to Granite Base. b. Anchor pulled loose from concrete.



Photo 20 – a. Granite Base moved out.

MORTAR ANALYSIS:

INTRODUCTION

This report is an analysis of mortar sampled from Building 101 on the NIST campus in Gaithersburg, MD. JMA Preservation collected samples from the three principle masonry claddings: granite, limestone, and marble. JMA Preservation collected samples from granite cladding on the south elevation of Wing E; from limestone trim at the projecting bay on the west elevation of Wing E; and from the probe location at the marble pilaster in the inner court. All three samples were analyzed as representative of the original mortars used in the building. The purpose of this analysis is to determine the physical composition of the historic mortar for replication during the restoration of the building.

Mortar analysis is a visual and laboratory examination of cementitious building materials such as mortars, plasters, stuccos, and grouts for the purpose of determining composition and application techniques. The analysis is subjective, and primarily comparative in nature, and may be effectively used to assess the relationship between different parts of a structure or of a structure to similar sites elsewhere. The principal reason mortars are analyzed is to match historic mortars for repointing and reconstruction projects. It is critical that new mortars are physically compatible with adjacent materials and that the surface is aesthetically appropriate to the appearance of the significant historic period of the structure.

METHODOLOGY

Sampling

The samples chosen for analysis include:

- MA01 Granite Pointing Mortar (Wing E South Elevation)
- MA02 Limestone Pointing Mortar (Wing E West Elevation)
- MA03 Marble Pointing Mortar (Pilaster Inner Court)

Analysis

A freshly broken surface of the mortar sample was examined with a stereo-binocular microscope. Binder color and characteristics, proportion and characteristics of voids, and relationship between aggregate and binder were evaluated. The binder was matched to a color standard of the Munsell Color Chart.¹ A portion of the sample was ground in a marble mortar to disaggregate the material. The remainder of the sample was set aside for later use in evaluation of potential replication mixes.

The sample was then separated into three components: the acid-soluble fraction, the 'fines' (e.g. pigment, acid-insoluble cement residue, or silt-to clay-sized mineral grains), and the aggregate or sand. Separation was accomplished by wet-chemical techniques. The acid-soluble fraction was first removed by digestion with diluted hydrochloric acid. The fines were separated from the aggregate by washing and filtration, then dried and weighed. The weight of acid soluble material was calculated by the difference in weight of the sample before processing and its weight after processing.

Weight percentages of acid-soluble material, fines, and aggregate in the sample were calculated as an aid for determining an appropriate replication mortar type. The aggregate was examined microscopically to

¹ The Munsell System of Color Notation identifies color in terms of three attributes: hue, value, and chroma. Color standards are opaque pigmented films on coated paper mounted on charts for each hue.

identify the component materials, as well as evaluate the color, opacity, and shape of the sand grains, and the presence and nature of impurities. The particle size distribution of the aggregate was determined by sieve analysis.

This mortar analysis benefits form the existence of specifications for the original mortar mixes in the historic construction documents. Construction documents specified the components of each mortar mix but not their relative proportions. Components included: non-shrink mortar and grout compound (a combination of finely divided and graded mixture of iron powders, accelerators, dispersing agents, and plasticizing agents); Portland cement; sand; pea gravel or stone; and water. This complicates the mortar analysis. Since the exact chemical makeup of the mortar and grout compound is unknown, it is impossible to determine the acid solubility of this mortar component. It is therefore difficult to determine with any precision the exact proportions of aggregate, binder, and additives in the original mortar mix. However, by extracting and analyzing the aggregate portion, it is possible to reach some conclusions about the original aggregate type, color, and texture.

FINDINGS

Granite: Wing E – South Elevation

JMA Preservation took one sample of granite pointing mortar from the granite base at the south elevation of Wing E. There is evidence of multiple repointing campaigns at all exterior cladding, but visual inspection suggests this sample dates to original construction.

The pointing mortar is hard and brittle. It breaks by hand with some difficulty. This level of brittle hardness suggests the binder consists mostly or entirely of Portland cement with little or no lime present. Original construction specification data confirms this observation. The matte binder for mortar sample MA01 is a dark bluish gray (5PB 4/1). In cross section, the aggregate appears to be relatively fine and homogenous in size and shape. Grains are mostly gray or translucent and colorless, so that they pick up the gray color of the surrounding binder.



The aqueous solution of the powdered mortar sample effervesced violently with the addition of acid, which is typically a characteristic of lime mortars rather than entirely Portland cement mortars. However, visual inspection revealed no lime clumps and construction specifications do not list lime as a component. It is therefore possible that an unknown component of the original mortar and grout compound described in

specifications reacts violently with acid. After the initial effervescence, the reaction was relatively slow, taking several days for the binder to fully dissolve.

Analysis determined that overall the aggregate is well sorted and is not within ASTM C 144 ranges. The ratio of acid-soluble components (typically binder and additives) to acid-insoluble components (typically aggregate) for sample MA01 is approximately 8:1. Such a high acid-soluble to acid-insoluble ration is unusual, suggesting a mortar that is significantly under-sanded. It is also possible that some portion of the original aggregate was acid-soluble, for example a crushed calcareous stone. Construction specifications do list "pea gravel or stone" as a mortar component but do not specify which stone, if any, was to be used.

JMA Preservation conducted a full sieve analysis of the aggregate. Analysis determined that overall the aggregate is well sorted and is not within ASTM C 144 ranges. The original aggregate was very fine, with 97% of grains categorized as fine and only 3% categorized as medium fineness.

Limestone: Wing E – West Elevation

JMA Preservation took one samples of limestone pointing mortar from the limestone trim on the projecting bay on the west elevation of Wing E.

The pointing mortar is hard and brittle. It breaks by hand with some difficulty. This level of brittle hardness suggests the binder consists mostly or entirely of Portland cement with little or no lime present. Original construction specification data confirms this observation. The creamy matte binder for mortar sample MA02 is a yellowish white (5Y 9/0.5), indicating white rather than gray Portland cement was the principal binder. In cross section, the aggregate appears to be relatively fine and homogenous in size and shape. Grains are white, gray, and yellow in color.



The aqueous solution of the powdered mortar sample effervesced violently with the addition of acid, which is typically a characteristic of lime mortars rather than entirely Portland cement mortars. However, visual inspection revealed no lime clumps and construction specifications do not list lime as a component. It is therefore possible that an unknown component of the original mortar and grout compound described in specifications reacts violently with acid. After the initial effervescence, the reaction was relatively slow, taking several days for the binder to fully dissolve.

Analysis determined that overall the aggregate is well sorted and is not within ASTM C 144 ranges. The ratio of acid-soluble components (typically binder and additives) to acid-insoluble components (typically aggregate) for sample MA02 is approximately 9:1. Such a high acid-soluble to acid-insoluble ration is unusual, suggesting a mortar that is significantly under-sanded. It is also possible that some portion of the original aggregate was acid-soluble, for example a crushed calcareous stone. Construction specifications do list "pea gravel or stone" as a mortar component but do not specify which stone, if any, was to be used.

JMA Preservation conducted a full sieve analysis of the aggregate. Analysis determined that overall the aggregate is well sorted and is not within ASTM C 144 ranges. The original aggregate was very fine, with 95% of grains categorized as fine and only 5% categorized as medium fineness.

Marble: Pilaster – Inner Court

JMA Preservation took a single mortar sample from the structural probe location at the marble pilaster in the inner court.

The pointing mortar is hard and brittle. It breaks by hand with some difficulty. This level of brittle hardness suggests the binder consists mostly or entirely of Portland cement with little or no lime present. Original construction specification data confirms this observation. The matte binder for mortar sample MA01 is a dark bluish gray (5PB 4/1). In cross section, the aggregate appears to be relatively fine and homogenous in size and shape. Grains are mostly gray or translucent and colorless, so that they pick up the gray color of the surrounding binder.



The aqueous solution of the powdered mortar sample effervesced violently with the addition of acid, which is typically a characteristic of lime mortars rather than entirely Portland cement mortars. However, visual inspection revealed no lime clumps and construction specifications do not list lime as a component. It is therefore possible that an unknown component of the original mortar and grout compound described in specifications reacts violently with acid. After the initial effervescence, the reaction was relatively slow, taking several days for the binder to fully dissolve.

Analysis determined that overall the aggregate is well sorted and is not within ASTM C 144 ranges. The ratio of acid-soluble components (typically binder and additives) to acid-insoluble components (typically

aggregate) for sample MA03 is approximately 9:1. Such a high acid-soluble to acid-insoluble ration is unusual, suggesting a mortar that is significantly under-sanded. It is also possible that some portion of the original aggregate was acid-soluble, for example a crushed calcareous stone. Construction specifications do list "pea gravel or stone" as a mortar component but do not specify which stone, if any, was to be used.

JMA Preservation conducted a full sieve analysis of the aggregate. Analysis determined that overall the aggregate is well sorted and is not within ASTM C 144 ranges. The original aggregate was very fine, with 90% of grains categorized as fine and only 10% categorized as medium fineness.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The chemical analysis shows that all three mortars are remarkably similar in composition, indicating the three mortars date to the same period and most likely to original construction. The chemical analysis alone suggests an unusually high ratio of binder to aggregate (between 8:1 and 9:1) for each mortar. This binder to aggregate ratio would be highly unusual, as the recommended binder to aggregate ratio for mortars and grouts is 1 part binder to 2-3.5 parts aggregate.

JMA Preservation hypothesizes that the original mortars may have contained a crushed calcareous stone in the aggregate portion. JMA Preservation viewed crushed marble under a stereo-binocular microscope and determined that crushed marble is similar in appearance to a white, semi-translucent sand. It is therefore impossible to identify crushed marble in the original mortar through visual observations alone. A more indepth petrographic analysis of the mortar samples would be necessary to positively identify the aggregate components. This level of analysis is not currently in the project scope.



JMA Preservation also treated a sample of crushed marble with muriatic acid to form an idea of what would happen to crushed marble within a mortar sample during acid digestion. The crushed marble effervesced violently when treated with the acid, and most of the sample quickly dissolved. This could explain the violent effervescence seen during the acid digestion of all three mortar samples. Violent effervescence is usually associated with lime binders rather than Portland cement binders. Original specifications indicate that the historic mortar contains only Portland cement as a binder with no lime present, and visual observations did not find evidence of lime clumps in the mortar sample. It is possible that crushed mortar in the mortar samples could account for this violent effervescence as well as the extremely small amount of aggregate extracted during analysis. However, this is not conclusive.

Chemical analysis was not able to identify the type (M, S, O, or N) of each mortar, as the binder to aggregate ratios determined through acid digestion were well outside the ranges for all types. Construction documents indicate that the fully cured mortar had a compressive strength of 10000 psi. Of the various mortar types, Type M has the highest compressive strength at a minimum of 2500 psi. The original mortar was specified at three times this strength, indicating the mortar is far too hard for all masonry applications.

JMA Preservation does not recommend full replication of the original mortar, which is under-sanded and far too hard. JMA Preservation does recommend replicating the original aggregate, within modern ASTM standards, and replicating the overall color, texture, and appearance of the original mortar.

Replication

In general, repointing mortars for historic building materials should not contain products labeled "masonry cements" as these products can contain unknown additives that could affect the performance of the mortar. The composition of the new mortar ensures proper strength, breathability, and appearance compatible with the existing mortar.

For the stone at Building 101, the replacement mortar must have good flexural strength, high permeability, and must exhibit a lower compressive strength than the existing masonry. According to ASTM C1713 Standard Specification for Mortars for the Repair of Historic Masonry, the mortar mix ratio should fall within a 1 part binder to 2-3.5 parts aggregate unless analysis determines a different mix was used historically and was successful. This mortar analysis has determined that the original binder: aggregate ratio for the pointing mortar was 8:1 of cement binder to aggregate for granite, 9.3:1 for brick, and 8.5:1 for limestone. None of these ratios fall within the prescribed range, and this mortar was not successful. It has failed nearly 100% in some areas has broken the surrounding stone.

Since the chemical analysis determined binder to aggregate ratios so far outside the accepted ranges, JMA Preservation recommends using the ASTM standard ratios for an appropriate mortar type when replicating the historic mortar. JMA Preservation also recommends introducing some lime into the replication mortar mixes, even though this was not included in the original mix, to achieve softer, more flexible mortars that will perform better than the original. In keeping with the original mortars, JMA Preservation recommends using gray Portland cement for the granite pointing mortar and white Portland cement for the limestone and marble pointing mortars. Aggregate in replication mortars will match the original aggregate in color, texture, and appearance, but will be in keeping with ASTM standards for grain size distribution. The aggregate will include crushed marble, as this was likely present in the original mortar mix. Visual observations suggest that limestone and marble mortars contain the same type of white masons' sand, while the granite mortar contained a grayer masons' sand.

MA01 is extremely hard and brittle. The low effervescence during the acid digestion as well as the unusually long time it took the sample to fully digest suggest a high ratio of Portland cement to aggregate. This information, combined with a binder: aggregate ratio of 8:1 suggests this mortar was harder than a Type M. A Type M mortar is rarely prescribed for use in pointing historic masonry, as such a hard mortar has the potential to damage historic brick and masonry, including granite. JMA Preservation recommends repointing with a Type S mortar that is 2 parts Portland to 1 part lime to 9 parts aggregate. The replacement mortar should match MA01 in color. This will be achieved by selecting a sand that matches the MA01 aggregate in color rather than using masonry dyes and pigments.

MA02 is extremely hard and brittle and is damaging the historic limestone cladding. This information, combined with known binder: aggregate ratio of 9.3:1, suggests this mortar was harder than a Type M. A

Type M mortar is far too hard for use with limestone cladding. The very light yellowish white color of the binder indicates the use of white rather than gray Portland in the original mix. The existing mortar is too hard and is damaging the limestone. JMA Preservation therefore recommends repointing with a Type N mortar, which is softer than both a Type S and Type M. This mix should be 1 part white Portland to 1 part lime to 6 parts sand. The replacement mortar should match MA05 in color. This will be achieved by selecting a sand that matches the MA05 aggregate in color and texture rather than using masonry dyes and pigments.

MA03 is extremely hard and brittle and is damaging the historic marble cladding. This information, combined with known binder: aggregate ratio of 8.5:1, suggests this mortar is a Type M. A Type M mortar is far too hard for use with marble cladding. JMA Preservation recommends repointing with a Type N mortar that is 1 part Portland cement to 1 part lime and 6 parts aggregate. The replacement mortar should match MA06 in color. This will be achieved by selecting a sand that matches the MA06 aggregate in color rather than using masonry dyes and pigments.

The binder should be mixed with a well-graded mason's sand that meets the ASTM Standard C144 for allowable particle size distribution in mortar aggregates as seen in the chart below.

	Pe	ercent Passing	
Sieve	Maximum	Minimum	
Size	Allowable	Allowable	Median
10	100%	95%	98%
16	100%	70%	85%
35	75%	40%	58%
50	40%	10%	25%
100	25%	2%	14%
200	10%	0%	5%

Type N Mortar Mix:	
1 Part Cement:	White Portland cement, ASTM C150
1 Part Lime:	Hydrated Lime, Type S, ASTM C207
6 Parts Sand:	Sand and crushed marble, ASTM C144 that matches the original in color and texture.
Type S Mortar Mix:	

2 Part Cement:	Gray Portland Commentate C150
1 Part Lime:	Hydrated Lime, Type S, ASTM C207
9 Parts Sand:	Sand and crushed marble, ASTM C144 that matches the original in color and texture.

Mortar Performance Characteristics

• Replacement mortar should match the physical properties of the existing mortar. Ideally the composition of the new mortar should duplicate that of the original. Unfortunately, current analysis

techniques such as the Cliver and Jedrzejewska methods cannot accurately determine the actual original mix; there are far too many variables.²

- Replacement mortar is intended to be sacrificial because it is easily replaced. Mortar should, therefore, be softer than the existing masonry, which is less durable than new stone because of weathering and other treatments.
- Replacement mortar must be more porous than the surrounding masonry it supports, thus allowing moisture that may enter a wall to pass through it to the exterior. Hard, dense mortars prevent this moisture movement, causing accelerated deterioration in the masonry unit rather than in the mortar joint.

Mortar Sample Preparation

- Prepare a range of samples to determine the appropriate materials and proportions for the new mortar. Small batches of sample mortars can be prepared off-site until a preliminary mix is developed.
- Final samples should be prepared on site at actual repair locations to determine application method and final tooling, and to establish a performance standard.
- Final selection of the replacement mortar mix to be used is the responsibility of the owner or architect of record, and should be based on evaluation of the cause of failure of the existing mortar, and the condition and type of the masonry.
- Color selection shall be done at areas of stone and brick which have been cleaned as per the selected cleaning method.

Good Repointing Practice

- Repoint all open mortar joints in masonry walls. Leaving joints open will lead to moisture penetration and may, in turn, lead to material degradation of internal structural components.
- Friable, cracked, disintegrated joints must be cut back to sound mortar before repointing.
- Rake out existing deteriorated mortar to a depth of ³/₄-inch to 1-inch beyond the face of the joint.
- Install new mortar tooled to match the profile of the original mortar joints.
- Pack all voids in bedding mortar with new mortar, and then repointed to prevent face loading of the masonry and consequent spalling (face loading also occurs when pointing mortar is much harder than the bedding mortar).
- Do not install mortar during temperatures below 45°F or above 85°F.
- Properly cure new mortar to ensure that it does not dry out too quickly using a combination of protection and water misting as required. Failure to properly cure the mortar may lead to premature failure of the new work.

² See Hanna Jedrzejewska, "Old mortars in Poland: a new method of investigation" in *Studies in Conservation* 5, no. 4 (1960): 132-138.

Roundness Scale



Grain Size and Sorting



Project Name: NIST Building 101

Locations: Granite Base at south elevation of Wing E

Sample No.: MA01

Date: 06-17-19

Chemical Analysis

A. CALCULATIONS (weight in g.)

1. Container Weight:	133.63
2. Sample Weight	30.86
3. Filter Paper Weight	2.46
4. Container + Sand Weight:	134.25
5. Sand Weight:	0.62
6. Paper + Fines Weight:	5.28
7. Fines Weight:	2.81
8. Sand + Fines Weight:	3.43
9. Acid Soluble Weight	27.43
10. Weight Percent Sand	2.01%
11. Weight Percent Acid Soluble	88.87%
12. Weight Percent Fines	9.12%

B. PRE-TEST - Sample

Description: The sample is taken from a 3/16" granite joint from at the basement level. The sample breaks by hand with some difficulty. Cleavage is through the aggregate and not the binder. The gray binder is matte and textured. The binder to aggregate ratio is extremely high. Void volume is approximately 10% primarily in the form of rounded, regular voids from entrapped air. Voids tend to be smaller than the medium aggregate.

Color Munsell Value:	5PB 4/1	Color Name: Bluish purplish gray
	soft	hard
Relative Hardness:	1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 <u>9</u> 10

Mortar Analysis Summary (Cont.) Project Name: NIST Building 101 Sample: MA01 Page 2

C. POST-TEST - Sands Color Munsell Value:	10YR 6/1	Color Name: Light grayish yellow		
Opacity:	Opaque: 30%	Translucent: 40%	Transparent: 30%	

Angularity: The particles are mostly sub-angular measuring R0.5/S0.9.

Composition: The main portion of the sands are fairly uniform in composition. Grains are an even mix of opaque, translucent, and transparent. Color varies among white, gray, and yellow.

Size:	<u>Sieve No</u> .	<u>Weight</u>		Percent Passing	
	10	0.00	grams	100%	
	16	0.00	grams	100%	
	35	0.02	grams	97%	
	50	0.14	grams	79%	
	100	0.34	grams	33%	
	200	0.23	grams	3%	
	<200	0.02	grams	0%	
D. POST-T	EST - Fines				
Color Mu	nsell Value:	5PB 5/1		Color Name: Light Gra	ay

E. NOTES: There was high effervescence during acid digestion. The sample took several days to digest.



Note: Each vertical line represents the range allowable in a mortar sand for a given particle size as specified by ASTM C 144 Standard Specification for Aggregate for Masonry Mortar. Allowable percentages are different for natural and manufactured sands; this chart represents the absolute maximum and minimum of both aggregate types considered together. The bars represent the particle size distribution of the sample.

Project Name: NIST Building 101

Location: Limestone trim at west elevation of Wing E

Sample No.: MA02

Date: 06-17-19

Chemical Analysis

A. CALCULATIONS (weight in g.)

1. Container Weight:	163.20
2. Sample Weight	31.84
3. Filter Paper Weight	2.45
4. Container + Sand Weight:	163.96
5. Sand Weight:	0.76
6. Paper + Fines Weight:	4.65
7. Fines Weight:	2.20
8. Sand + Fines Weight:	2.96
9. Acid Soluble Weight	28.88
10. Weight Percent Sand	2.39%
11. Weight Percent Acid Soluble	90.69%
12. Weight Percent Fines	6.92%

B. PRE-TEST - Sample

Description: The sample is taken from a 5/16" limestone trip at the projecting central bay. The sample breaks by hand with some difficulty. Cleavage is through the aggregate and not the binder. The white binder is matte and textured. The binder to aggregate ratio is extremely high. Void volume is approximately 1% primarily in the form of rounded, regular voids from entrapped air. Voids tend to be smaller than the medium aggregate.

Color Munsell Value:	5Y 9/0.5	Color Name: White
	soft	hard
Relative Hardness:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	8 <u>9</u> 10

Project Name: NIST Building 101		Pa	age 2
C. POST-TEST - Sands Color Munsell Value:	10YR 8/1	Color Name: Yellowish Wh	iite
Opacity:	Opaque: 5%	Translucent: 70%	Transparent: 25%

Sample: MA02

Angularity: The particles are mostly rounded measuring R0.7/S0.9.

Mortar Analysis Summary (Cont.)

Composition: The main portion of the sands are fairly uniform in composition. Most of the grains are translucent and colorless. Some particles are translucent. Opaque particles are white in color.

Size:	<u>Sieve No</u> .	<u>Weight</u>		Percent Passing	
	10	0.00	grams	100%	
	16	0.00	grams	100%	
	35	0.04	grams	95%	
	50	0.20	grams	68%	
	100	0.32	grams	26%	
	200	0.17	grams	4%	
	<200	0.03	grams	0%	
D. POST-T	EST - Fines				
Color Mur	sell Value:	5Y 9/0.5		Color Name: Yellowis	h White

E. NOTES: There was high effervescence during acid digestion. The sample took several days to digest.



Note: Each vertical line represents the range allowable in a mortar sand for a given particle size as specified by ASTM C 144 Standard Specification for Aggregate for Masonry Mortar. Allowable percentages are different for natural and manufactured sands; this chart represents the absolute maximum and minimum of both aggregate types considered together. The bars represent the particle size distribution of the sample.

Project Name: NIST Building 101

Location: Marble pilaster in inner court

Sample No.: MA03

Date: 06-17-19

Chemical Analysis

A. CALCULATIONS (weight in g.)

1. Container Weight:	106.64
2. Sample Weight	28.06
3. Filter Paper Weight	4.18
4. Container + Sand Weight:	106.87
5. Sand Weight:	0.23
6. Paper + Fines Weight:	6.92
7. Fines Weight:	2.73
8. Sand + Fines Weight:	2.96
9. Acid Soluble Weight	25.10
10. Weight Percent Sand	0.82%
11. Weight Percent Acid Soluble	89.44%
12. Weight Percent Fines	9.74%

B. PRE-TEST - Sample

Description: The sample is taken from a 3/16" marble joint at a pilaster. The sample breaks by hand with some difficulty. Cleavage is through the aggregate and not the binder. The white binder is matte and textured. The binder to aggregate ratio is extremely high. Void volume is approximately 5% primarily in the form of rounded, regular voids from entrapped air. Voids vary in size, with some larger and some smaller than the medium aggregate.

Color Munsell Value:	5Y 9/0.5	Color Name: Yellowish white		
	soft	hard		
Relative Hardness:	1 2 3 4 5 6	7 <u>8</u> 9 10		

 Project Name: NIST Building 101
 Page 2

 C. POST-TEST - Sands
 Color Munsell Value:

 Color Munsell Value:
 10YR 7/1

 Color Name: Yellowish white

 Opacity:
 Opaque: 25%

 Translucent: 50%
 Transparent: 25%

Sample: MA01

Angularity: The particles are mostly rounded measuring R0.7/S0.9.

Mortar Analysis Summary (Cont.)

Composition: The main portion of the sands are fairly uniform in composition. Most of the grains are translucent and colorless. Some particles are translucent. Opaque particles are white in color.

Size:	<u>Sieve No</u> .	<u>Weight</u>		Percent Passing	
	10	0.00	grams	100%	
	16	0.00	grams	100%	
	35	0.03	grams	90%	
	50	0.07	grams	66%	
	100	0.10	grams	32%	
	200	0.08	grams	4%	
	<200	0.01	grams	0%	
D. POST-T	EST - Fines				
Color Mur	nsell Value:	5Y 9/0.5		Color Name: Yellowish	white

E. NOTES: There was high effervescence during acid digestion. The sample took several days to digest.



Note: Each vertical line represents the range allowable in a mortar sand for a given particle size as specified by ASTM C 144 Standard Specification for Aggregate for Masonry Mortar. Allowable percentages are different for natural and manufactured sands; this chart represents the absolute maximum and minimum of both aggregate types considered together. The bars represent the particle size distribution of the sample.



STRUCTURAL SURVEY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The structural team conducted a visual survey of Building 101 at the NIST campus on May 23, 2019. Findings and recommendations from that survey have been submitted with the 50% report submission on June 17, 2019. This report contains findings from additional probes performed by the contractor after submission of the 50% report.

The additional probes surveyed areas listed below:

- Exterior Marble Panels on East Perimeter CMU Wall.
- Marble Panel Supports at Library Patio Fascia
- Granite Pavers at North Entrance Plaza
- Granite Panels at North Entrance Cheek Wall
- Concrete Soffit at Loading Dock



Materials Conditions and Repairs

Exterior Marble Panels on East Perimeter CMU Wall

The marble panels that were attached to the perimeter CMU wall are supported by gravity to the bottom panel, which rests on the concrete slab. A plain steel angle was present at the joint between the first (lowest) and second panels. The angle is badly pitted and scaled. Checking with a metal detector, the angle continued for the whole width of the next stone over and partly into the next one. The stone below the steel angle is the one with the date carving, and we theorize that the rest of the stones were installed while waiting for the carving to be made, so the stone above it needed support. The cracking in the joint directly above the "1962" stone is the widest crack present due to the rust jacking of this angle. The metal detector also recorded intermittent readings at the quarter points of the stone, which corresponds to the tie hardware seen elsewhere.

Lateral ties consist of stainless-steel straps and split tail anchors in the horizontal joints embedded into the CMU backup wall. In general, there are two ties at the top and bottom of each stone, although at one joint, one of the ties was missing. Given the overall redundancy and connections between panels, an occasional missing tie is not cause for alarm by itself. There are also 3/8" diameter stainless steel rods run in between holes drilled in the sides of the panels at quarter points, connecting adjacent panels for alignment. These pins can also help to distribute loads between adjacent panels, but since they are not connected to the backup, do not restrain bowing.

This support configuration is structurally sound, but as was the case with panels at concrete columns, the existing mortar joints have failed at several locations. Perimeter CMU wall construction was rough but adequate.

Recommendations (all marble panels combined):

High Priority (2 years or less)

- Complete repointing, replacing the Portland cement with a softer, lime-based mortar for all marble panel joints. This is given a high priority in order to stop water infiltration and continuing associated deterioration.
- Areas of marble panels are experiencing visible displacement <u>and</u> where plain steel hardware has been found at similar construction <u>and</u> where there is a high exposure to the public should be removed and reset according to the specific recommendations below. These include:
 - Courtyard columns
 - East Entrance columns
 - o Library North Portico columns
- Install a soft joint between the top of the marble panels and the soffit above.
- Clean out the cavities and provide a positive drainage outlets (weeps).
- *Remove chipped and cracked marble pieces and repair with matching material.*
- Reattach the removed panels with alternate connection details using all stainless steel supports and hardware.

Medium Priority (5 years or less)

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED. PROPERTY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Do not remove this notice. Properly destroy or return documents when no longer needed.



- Areas of marble panels that are currently experiencing displacement, cracked joints, or other visible signs of distress such as corroded support angles but have a lower exposure to the public <u>OR</u> areas that have a high exposure to the public should plan to be removed and reset within the next five (5) years. These include:
 - Stones above the "1962" stone adjacent to the East entrance.
 - Stones at the Library fascias on the north and south elevations.
 - o Stones at walls and pilasters in Courtyard not included in High Priority

Low Priority (10 years or less)

- Areas of marble panels are not currently experiencing visible displacement and also a low exposure to the public should plan to be removed and reset within the next ten (10) years. These include:
 - Wall panels not directly adjacent to walkways.
 - Any remaining marble stone panels

Marble Panel Supports - Library Patio Fascia

The marble panels at the library patio fascia are supported by gravity on steel angles that are bolted to the patio concrete slab edge. Laterally, they are supported by 3/8" diameter stainless steel rods run in between holes drilled in the sides of adjacent panels and through stainless steel O-rings that embedded into the concrete slab edge. This support configuration is structurally sound; however, the existing steel angles are badly corroded.

From our survey, it appears that water from the patio above is penetrating the granite pavers through joints/cracks and running down the concrete slab below towards the edge of slab and behind the steel angles, causing them to corrode over time. Based on our analysis, we recommend keeping the existing support configuration and but replacing the existing steel angle supports with new stainless-steel ones. This will require removal of these panels.

The south elevation of the library has a similar fascia assembly. Though not investigated with a probe, we recommend the same treatment for this fascia with replacement stainless steel angle supports.

Recommendations:

- *Remove marble panels, steel angle supports, and attachment hardware along the edge of the slab.*
- Install new stainless steel angles (same size & length as original) with stainless steel bolts spaced at 30" O.C., offset 6" from original bolt locations. Weld 3/8" diameter (10" long) rods to the horizontal lip of the angle supports to provide lateral restraint, similar to the existing.
- Provide stainless steel lateral ties and alignment pins between panels, similar to the existing.
- *Reinstall marble panels*

Priority: Medium (see consolidated marble panel recommendations above)



Granite Pavers – North Entrance Plaza

An area of granite pavers was removed at the North Entrance Plaza, along with the setting bed down to the concrete slab on grade. The exposed top surface of the concrete slab is heavily scaled, creating a rough surface and exposing the large aggregate. There is no waterproofing or drainage layer present on top of the slab. The setting bed has two layers, the bottom layer containing pea gravel, and the thinner top layer appears to be sand and cement. It is plausible that the pavers were reset at some time, perhaps by removing top loose material from the original setting bed and then resetting the stones in a thin leveling bed on top of the original one. It is not known if either or both of these are original. The joints between the stones are filled with sealant which is in very poor condition and generally open. The pavers appear to be displaced both vertically and horizontally.

Water infiltration appears to be the major problem here due to the open joints and heavy salting in the wintertime. The vertical displacement has two possible causes, both related to water infiltration: scaling of the concrete slab or frost heaving. The open joints allow water to saturate the setting bed and pond on top of the slab. Due to the lack of air entrainment in the concrete, this leads to freeze thaw damage to the concrete, visible as scaling which also has a volume change associated with it, displacing the pavers above. Frost heaving is a more direct result of water accumulating within the setting bed and expanding when it freezes. Either or both of these mechanisms are possible.

It is unclear if the pavers are actually displacing horizontally, or if they simply appear to be due to the widespread sealant failure. Other than the cheek wall on the west side and the building to the south, the edges of the plaza are unrestrained, so it is possible that the pavers could creep horizontally over time. It is likely that the paver joints were originally mortared, which should be a more maintenance free construction.

Recommendations:

• *Remove and temporarily replace sealants in all joints with a traffic grade, non-staining sealant.*

Priority: High

- Demolish the plaza, salvaging the pavers for re-installation
- *Replace the underlying slab on grade and setting bed with more appropriate and modern materials. Including:*
 - Air entrained concrete slab on grade.
 - Damp proofing or other surface sealant on the slab.
 - o Drain board layer
 - o Setting bed
 - o Stainless steel clips to restrain lateral movement at unrestrained edges
 - o Isolation joint at cheek walls
- *Reinstall granite pavers with mortared joints to provide more maintenance free surface.*

Other plazas with similar construction should have the same treatment (South Entrance, East Entrance walkway within traffic loop, etc)

Priority: Medium

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED. PROPERTY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Do not remove this notice. Properly destroy or return documents when no longer needed.



Note: also see discussion and recommendations for cheek wall below

Granite Panels - North Entrance Cheek Wall

Granite cheek wall panels and cap stones were removed, exposing the concrete backup wall beneath. The concrete substrate had a crumbling surface consistent with a high degree of freeze-thaw damage. Freeze-thaw damage is typically caused by lack of air entrainment in concrete exposed to wet conditions during freezing temperatures. Petrographic analysis of the concrete was not included in this study, which would provide conclusive evidence of the lack of air entrainment, however given the era in which this building was constructed, air entrainment admixtures would not have been typically included. Wet conditions are likely due to failed joints in the cap stones allowing water penetration. There was no corrosion of steel reinforcing visible, and unlike some of the site walls, the cheek wall did not appear to be rotated.

The deterioration of the concrete backup has allowed the anchors holding the granite to move.

The cavity behind the cheek wall panels were also fully grouted, so in the right conditions, water behind the panels can freeze and expand, pushing the panels outward.

The granite pavers and setting bed were grouted tight to the face of the cheek wall stones, although there is a sealant joint at the very surface. On the opposite side of the wall, the joint between the granite cheek stones and the concrete pavement appears to be tight. Both of these conditions will cause expansion or other movement in the slabs to press against the cheek wall at the bottom. This can cause the cheek wall stones to rotate and move outward at the top.

Recommendations:

- *Remove granite and salvage for reinstallation.*
- Demolish and replace top 6 to 8 inches of the concrete backup wall to remove damaged concrete and provide sufficient lap of the replacement patch onto existing reinforcing. Protect new concrete with damp-proofing.
- *Remove horizontal concrete and granite elements and reinstall with isolation joint abutting the granite to allow thermal expansion.*
- *Granite reinstallation can be similar to the existing construction except the vertical cavities should remain open above grade to allow water drainage. Weeps should be installed.*

Priority: Medium

Concrete Soffit - Loading Dock

A section of the plaster soffit was removed at the loading dock near previously observed areas of concrete deterioration to determine if that condition continued into the hidden soffit space. We did not observe any



additional concrete deterioration at the slab underside or beams. The soffit construction itself is plaster on metal lath supported on small steel channels and hung by wires from the concrete slab above. The plaster has a few cracked areas, but overall it is in fair condition.

Recommendations:

• *Repair visible areas of concrete damage to prevent them from getting worse and to remove hazard of falling concrete spall as previously recommended.*

Priority: Hight

• Replace plaster soffit within 10 years

Priority: Low





Photo 1 – Overview, Exterior Marble Panels on East Perimeter CMU Wall



Photo 2 – Top of removal area - a. Stainless steel support angle (new). b. Stainless split tail tie (exist). c. CMU backup wall. d. hole for alignment pin in adjacent panel





Photo 3 – Bottom of removal area - a. Corroded support angle. b. Base of cavity full of debris. c. CMU backup wall. d. Adjacent concrete column



Photo 4 – a. Corroded support angle continuing into joint at adjacent stones. b. Rust jacking visible under adjacent stone.





Photo 5 – a. Joint at continuation of corroded steel support over "1962" stone.



Photo 6 – a. Base of wall cavity full of old debris.





Photo 7 – a. Overview, Marble Panel Supports at Library Patio Fascia. b. Steel angle. c. Removed marble fascia panels.



Photo 8 – a. Closeup of steel angle. Note general moderate corrosion on top and vertical face. b. Welded rod for stone lateral restraint.





Photo 9 – a. Underside of steel support angle. Note more severe corrosion. b. Underside of concrete lip with water staining.



Photo 10 - a. Removed stone (stored upside down from in-place orientation). b. Bottom of stone carved to receive steel rod restraint. c. Side of stone carved to receive metal tie (remnant of pin still in stone). d. Note stalactites on stone in place beyond indicating water flow.





Photo 11 – North entrance plaza overview. a. Granite Pavers. b. Granite Steps. c. Cheek Wall. d. Concrete slabs and steps



Photo 12 – North entrance plaza. a. Granite Pavers with open joints. b. Edge of paving.





Photo 13 – Removed pavers. a. Note open joints at failed sealant. b. Concrete base slab.



Photo 14 – North entrance plaza overview. a. Granite Paver. b. Top layer of setting bed. c. Bottom layer of setting bed d. Concrete slab, note deteriorated finish on slab.





Photo 15 – Cheek wall. a. End stone. b. Side wall stone. c. Adjacent concrete slabs tight to stones.



Photo 16 – a. End stone with fully grouted cavity. b. Concrete slab on grade tight to stone. c. Stainless steel strap anchor.





Photo 17 – Top of cheek wall. a. Top of concrete wall deteriorated, but no exposed or corroded reinforcing steel. b. Cavity at side walls fully grouted. c. Stainless steel straps in top of wall not well anchored due to deteriorated concrete.



Photo 18 – a. Loading dock investigation area. b. Visible spall adjacent to area covered by soffit.





Photo 19 – a. Retaining wall. b. Concrete beam. c. Concrete spandrel beam at front of soffit. d. Plaster soffit with steel channels and wire hangers.



Photo 20 – a. Concrete spandrel beam at front of soffit. b. Concrete slab above. c. Plaster soffit.

SECTION K: APPENDIX


INDEX FOR DIGITAL FILES

NIST Archives

NIST Archives located within the NIST Library contain original material boards and furniture layouts. Materials boards have samples of original wood veneer, ceramic tile, textiles, and carpet attached. Terrazzo and marble are typically illustrated in lieu of samples. Furniture layouts include clippings from contemporary furniture catalogs as well as some furnishing plans.

NIST Digital Archives

NIST Digital Archives contain original NIST publications including histories of the Gaithersburg campus. Publications range in date from 1966 to 2000. NIST Digital Archives also include historic photos of the building, including architect renderings dating to original design and construction.

NIST Vertical Files

NIST Vertical Files located within the NIST Library is a significant repository of original documents and photographs dating to the design and construction of the new campus in the 1960s. This includes records from the NIST Relocation Committee collected within the Iris Loyd File dating to 1956. Vertical Files also contain original construction photographs; 1960s newspaper and magazine articles about the new campus; and facilities planning reports dating to the 1990s.

NIST Archival Documents by Repository and Subject:





Current Photographs Organized by Space and Room Number





