teralia, that DOT regulations require carrier inspection of and accessibility to ORM-A, B, and C shipments; that containerization of such shipments is therefore prohibited; that ORM-D materials also pose hazards to flight safety; and that they should thus be similarly restricted. The carrier further contends that in Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Civil Aeronautics Board, U.S.C.A., D.C., Circuit, Nos. 74-1984 et al. (Delta) the Court found that the Board could reject tariffs without reference to DOT regulations only where they were in violation of such regulations.

In view of the foregoing and all other relevant factors, the Board concludes that the proposal may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be investigated. We find no basis for rejection.

DOT's adoption of the ORM-D classification was clearly intended to relieve shippers of such commodities from many of the unduly burdensome, unduly expensive, unduly wasteful, and unduly discriminatory regulations on the acceptance and carriage of such items. United's refusal to accept ORM-D materials in containers however, would constitute a restriction not included in the DOT regulations which, in our view, should be subjected to the scrutiny of an investigation.

We have concluded, however, not to suspend the proposal. Rule 301(D)(2) (c) of the same tariff provides that restrictions of the above materials included as outside pieces with a containerized shipment will be rated as though tendered inside the container. Thus the proposed rule will impose no higher charges on ORM-D shippers.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof, it is ordered, That: 1. An investigation is instituted to determine whether the provisions in Rule No. 81 (only so far as such provisions apply to ORM-D commodities) on 3rd and 4th Revised Pages 42-3 and references thereof of Part 87 issued by Airline Tariff Publishing Company, Agent, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such charges and provisions are or will be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful charges and provisions and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such provisions; 2. The proceeding, herein designated Docket 30833, be assigned before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated;

Except to the extent granted herein, the complaint of the Council for Safe Transportation hazardous articles in Docket 30833 is dismissed; and

Copies of this order shall be served upon United Air Lines, Inc. and the Council for Safe Transportation of Hazardous Materials, Inc. and are hereby made parties to Docket 30833.

This order shall be published in the Federal Register.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHILIP T. KAYLOR, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-8855 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
COLORADO ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the Colorado Advisory Committee (SAC) of the Commission will convene at 8 a.m. to 10 p.m. on April 9, 1977, Suite 1705, Executive Tower, 1405 Curtis Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.

Persons wishing to attend this open meeting should contact the Commission Chairperson, or the Mountain States Regional Office of the Commission, Executive Tower, Suite 1705, 1405 Curtis Street, Denver, Colorado 80202.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss final reviews of the domestic violence report.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.


JOHN I. BINKLEY, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-9017 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

CENSUS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON HOUSING FOR THE 1980 CENSUS

Public Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C., Appendix I, Supp. V, 1975), notice is hereby given that the Census Advisory Committee on Housing for the 1980 Census will convene on April 15, 1977 at 9:30 a.m. The Committee will meet in Room 2424, Federal Building 3 at the Bureau of the Census in Suitland, Maryland.

The Census Advisory Committee on Housing for the 1980 Census was established in March 1976 to provide technical advice and guidance in planning the forthcoming decennial Census of Housing to ensure that the major statistical requirements of decision makers are provided by the 1980 Census of Housing program.

The Committee is composed of 18 members including a representative from each of nine organizations and nine nonmembers appointed by the Secretary of Commerce.

The agenda for the meeting is: (1) Status of the Oakland, California pretest census; (2) housing content items in the Oakland pretest, including plans for evaluation; (3) pretest results; (4) national community services programs; (5) status of the 1980 Residential Finance Survey; (6) plans for obtaining comprehensive change information from the annual housing survey; (7) Census Bureau response to Committee recommendations; and (8) Committee recommendations.

The meeting will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside for public comment and questions. Extensive questions or statements must be submitted in writing to the Committee Control Officer at least 3 days prior to the meeting.

Persons planning to attend and wishing additional information concerning this meeting or who wish to submit written statements may contact Mr. Arthur F. Young, Chief, Housing Division, Bureau of the Census, Federal Building 3, Suitland, Maryland. Mail address: Washington, D.C. 20233. Telephone: 230-763-5863.

Dated: March 10, 1977.

ROBERT L. HAGAN, Acting Director, Bureau of the Census.

[FR Doc.77-8766 Filed 3-23-77; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL OCEAN AND GEOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Policy on Publication of Plane Coordinates

The National Survey, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, publishes the best available reference coordinate systems, including the "North American Datum" (NAD) systems. The National Oceans and Air Surveys, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, publishes the "Universal Transverse Mercator" (UTM) systems.

The UTM system will consist of the transverse Mercator projection as defined in Chapter 1 of the 1958 Department of Defense (DOD) Technical Manual 830-241-8, changing only the definition of the datum. The UTM will consist of the same projections and defining parameters as published in USC&GS Special Publication 173 (1974 revision) and legally adopted in 35 states, except for the following changes:

1. The grid will be marked on the ground using the 1983 NAD.
2. Distances from the origin will be expressed in meters and fractions thereof.
3. One additional decimal place should be used for the metric expression of each NAD plane coordinate.
4. The arbitrary numeric constant, presently assigned to the origin, will be unchanged but will be considered as meters instead of feet, except for the following: If a state elects to have a different constant(s) assigned to the origin so that the 1983 NAD plane coordinates will appear significantly different from the UTM system, then the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Geographic Survey will consider changing
the origin constant. If the state so elects, it must amend its legislation to accommodate this change.

4. Michigan's transverse Mercator system for some offshore points on the Louisiana south zone.

5. Zone boundary in the State of Washington passing through Grant County following latitude 47° 30' rather than the county boundary.

c. Negative "X" coordinates for some points on Maria Island and vicinity west of Puerto Rico.

d. Cincinnati.

e. Chicago.

2. Urbanization that requires either different parameters for existing zones or additional zones such that a metropolitan area would be located in a single zone. For example:

a. New York City.

b. Chicago.

c. Cincinnati.

d. Washington, D.C.

e. Negative "X" coordinates for some offshore points on the Louisiana south zone.

3. A change in the arbitrary origin as discussed above. This can be accomplished in most cases by:

a. Changing the "X" coordinate constant for 500,000 to 300,000 or 700,000 where the transverse Mercator is used, or change the "X" constant to 2,000,000 or 4,000,000 where the Lambert is used.

b. Changing the "Y" coordinate constant of zero or 500,000 to 1,000,000.

c. Changing both "X" and "Y" for some offshore points on the Louisiana south zone.

d. Zone boundary in the State of Washington passing through Grant County following latitude 47° 30' rather than the county boundary.

e. Negative "X" coordinates for some offshore points on the Louisiana south zone.

d. Negative "Y" coordinates for points on the Dry Tortugas on the Florida east zone.

A supplementary publication of SPC constants will not be published until 1982 in states that have legally adopted the transverse Mercator used, or change the "X" constant to 2,000,000 or 4,000,000 where the Lambert is used.

c. Negative "Y" coordinates for points on the Louisiana south zone.

d. Zone boundary in the State of Washington passing through Grant County following latitude 47° 30' rather than the county boundary.

e. Negative "X" coordinates for some offshore points on the Louisiana south zone.