

**FORENSIC SCIENCE
ERROR MANAGEMENT**

**INTERNATIONAL
FORENSICS SYMPOSIUM**

JULY 20-24, 2015 • WASHINGTON, DC



Errors in a DNA Testing Laboratory

Charlotte J. Word, Ph.D.

July 21, 2015

Topics to Discuss

- Errors that occurred
 - 1988-2005 Cellmark Diagnostics/Orchid Cellmark
 - Private DNA testing laboratory in Germantown, MD
- What we did regarding the error
 - Assessment
 - Resolution
 - Prevention
- Strategic planning for errors
- Management Culture and Support

Errors that Occurred

ALL Human Errors

- **Case-Specific Errors**
 - PCR Negative Control and/or Sample Contamination
 - Errors in Report
- **General Laboratory**
 - “Proficiency Test” Errors
 - Errors in Population Databases
 - Dishonest Scientist

Negative Control/Sample Contamination (PCR)

- Contamination with detectable amounts of *Human DNA*
- “Errors” that are **expected** based on the technology used
 - Due to the sensitivity of the assay
 - More sensitive, more risk of error
 - Negative controls are required
- Contamination in reagents used, plastic ware/disposables, sample and liquid handling errors

Negative Control/Sample Contamination (PCR)

- Detect results in negative controls, mixture in expected single-source sample
- Re-test to see where introduced (e.g., re-run, re-amplify)
- Re-extract, if necessary, using another portion of the sample
 - Report re-tested results
 - Retain all records in the case file, contamination records, QA/QC documentation

Prevention

- Training critical
 - Evidence and liquid handling techniques
 - Organization of bench set-up
 - Wear PPO, limit talking, changing gloves
- Small volumes of reagents in use at a time
- Procedures with fewer manipulations
- (Robotics, pre-screen or treat plastic ware)

Typographical Errors

- Errors that were missed at technical and administrative review
 - Found after report issued
- Issued an **amended report**
- Corrected in testimony if caught during pre-trial preparation or testimony

- Careful technical and administrative review
 - Can implement checklists and/or automated reporting

Error in Conclusion of Report

- Misaligned the data for the suspect and victim on the table of results in the report
 - All data and in the case file were fine; testing performed correctly
- Reported incorrect conclusions
 - Inclusion of the suspect instead of the victim
- Missed by analyst and technical reviewer
- Also missed by expert hired by the defense to review our data, casefile and report

Error in Conclusion of Report

- Additional error by me when reviewing for court testimony
- Caught the mistake in court during an admissibility hearing
- Immediately orally corrected the report in court
- Issued amended report the following business day and sent to the court

“Proficiency Test” Errors

- 1988-90
 - 2 tests issued by CACLD
 - RFLP testing done
 - 50 samples – all treated as possibly containing sperm
 - 3 extracts made/sample so 150 total extracts to evaluate
 - 1 mistake on each test

“Proficiency Test” Errors – First Error

- Label on tube got smudged – number misread
- Two different samples got combined as one sample
 - Incorrect results reported
- Corrective action – Changes to laboratory SOPs
 - Double label tubes
 - Witnessing step for all samples, tubes and transfers
 - Used different pens for labeling tubes

“Proficiency Test” Errors – Second Error

- Contamination of a DNA extract having little or no DNA with a DNA extract from an adjacent tube that had a high amount of DNA
 - Reported incorrect results/conclusion for the contaminated sample
- Corrective action – Changes to laboratory SOPs
 - All tubes closed at all times, except 2 tubes open for transfer
 - Separate high DNA samples from low DNA samples
 - Increased awareness regarding possible means of contamination

Errors in Population Databases

- Occurred in both the RFLP and DQ/PM databases
 - Data from a small number of individuals put into the “wrong” database
- Corrected the data in the databases
- Verified very minor effect on statistical frequencies calculated for profiles
- Updated statistics for all cases sent out on discovery and in preparation for court testimony
 - Issued an amended report with documentation regarding the error discovered in the databases

Dishonest Scientist – The Error

- Found to be substitution of negative profiles in case and data files for negative controls that needed to be re-run due electrophoretic issues that prevented the assessment of the negative control
 - PCR using STR analysis
- Discovered during technical review of the data

Dishonest Scientist – Actions Taken by the Lab

- Suspended analyst from case work immediately
- Controlled access to case files, computers and data files
- Reviewed all of her cases and data files to determine if a one-time or repeated event
 - Determined to have occurred in many cases
 - Only negative controls and allelic ladders affected
 - Tabulated cases
- Notified ASCLD/LAB and provided regular updates of the process

Dishonest Scientist – Actions Taken by the Lab

- Notified all clients - phone calls and letter
- Re-ran all negative controls that were available
 - No contamination detected in any controls
- Repeated testing at no charge
 - No discrepancies in the data were found
 - Suggests only negative control data were manipulated

Dishonest Scientist – Actions Taken by the Lab

- Implemented SOP changes
 - Random verification of electronic data for sample manipulation
 - Required printout of primer peak for all negative controls in the case file (in response to issues in other labs with negative controls)

Strategic Planning

- Had annual strategic planning meetings for all management and supervisors
- Assessed Strengths, Weaknesses, **Risks** and **Threats**
- Considered risk/threat of rogue scientist and possible errors

Important to know that
ERRORS WILL HAPPEN

Management Culture and Support

- Culture is Critical
 - Attitudes and work ethic of management and laboratory staff are very important
 - Encourage reporting and correcting of all errors
 - Involve staff in correcting errors, making improvements
 - Non-punative
 - Quality FIRST!!
 - Reduced repeat rate, improved TAT

Policy for Disclosure of ALL Errors

- All case-specific documentation kept in original case file
- All records kept by QA/QC manager
 - Available for review, audits, discovery
- All documentation provided on discovery
- All information disclosed to client & attorney (especially during pre-trial preparation)
- Testified to all errors in court

Summary

- Expect mistakes and plan for them
- Look for mistakes - is your assessment adequate?
- View mistakes as an opportunity rather than a failure
- Correct mistakes – document & retain
- Put corrective actions in place for the lab
- Learn from mistakes → make improvements
- Be open about all mistakes → share with all staff and others
- Provide on discovery, testify in court honestly and completely

Food for Thought...

Thomas J. Watson, the founder of IBM:

“If you want to increase your success rate, double your failure rate.”

“How could we learn a better way of doing things, if we had never made mistakes?”

— Lailab Gifty Akita

THANK YOU!!!

- Dr. Robin Cotton, Laboratory Director, TL
- Mark Stolorow, Director of Operations
- All analysts, QC/QA managers, supervisors/
managers at Cellmark Diagnostic -
Germantown