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Background Information:

1. Description of research need:

Evaluate the diversity of the conclusions provided by trained footwear/tire examiners when conducting comparisons of footwear/tire impression evidence test samples. Additionally, evaluate the diversity of the conclusions provided by trained footwear/tire examiners versus those provided by laypersons when conducting comparisons of footwear/tire impression test samples. The research outcomes should do some or all of the following: (1.) Quantify intra-examiner, inter-examiner and examiner-layperson variability as a function of the quality/quantity of the evidence provided, (2.) Quantify intra-examiner, inter-examiner and examiner-layperson variability as a function of the test taker’s education and discipline-specific training and experience, (3.) Identify aspects of the exam process and evidence that are sources of consistency in reporting conclusions, (4.) Identify aspects of the exam process and evidence that are sources of variability in reporting conclusions, (5.) Elucidate the process by which examiners assess and interpret footwear/tire impression evidence (e.g., quality, sufficiency, etc.). Note: Practitioner involvement in providing subject matter expertise during the planning phase of this research is highly encouraged in order to ensure that the research outcomes have applicability to casework, and the test samples are as realistic as possible under the research constraints.

2. Key bibliographic references relating to this research need:


16.


3a. In what ways would the research results improve current laboratory capabilities?

The results of this research would be considered by the footwear/tire examiner community, laboratories and accrediting bodies in order to implement necessary changes to the methodology, standard operation procedures, training programs and other quality assurance practices to reduce examiner error and minimize intra-/inter-examiner variation in reporting conclusions.

3b. In what ways would the research results improve understanding of the scientific basis for the subcommittee(s)?

Examinations of footwear/tire impression can be extremely complex, and the factors influencing a comparison are not static from case to case. The variables at play are the value of the evidence (i.e., quality, quantity, clarity and limitations) and the examiner’s education, training and experience. As such, a degree of variation in opinion is expected. The results of this research would provide a better understanding of factors that influence the comparative and decision-making process. Those factors include: the quality of the evidence; class characteristics and randomly acquired characteristics (quality, quantity, clarity, complexity, number and size); the examiner’s education, training and experience; examiner certification and laboratory accreditation; and peer review.

3c. In what ways would the research results improve services to the criminal justice system?

The research results would provide the ability to understand (and if possible, quantify) the conditions/factors that influence the examiner’s ability to analyze footwear/tire impression evidence and accurately interpret their findings. Further, the results would be extremely valuable in promoting transparency, objectivity, and the communication between experts and laypersons, particularly within the criminal justice system.
4. Status assessment (I, II, III, or IV):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status assessment</th>
<th>Major gap in current knowledge</th>
<th>Minor gap in current knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No or limited</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current research</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is being conducted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing</strong></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conducted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This research need has been identified by one or more subcommittees of OSAC and is being provided as an informational resource to the community.*
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