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Background information:

1. Description of research need:

Bias from domain irrelevant data has the potential to cause errors in the scientific determination of origin and cause. Separating the duties of examining the physical evidence and credible eyewitness statements from the law enforcement functions of identifying suspects and motives and other data not relevant to determination of origin and cause has the potential to eliminate some of this bias. Effectiveness of methods and protocols to reduce bias should be evaluated.

2. Key bibliographic references relating to this research need:


3a. In what ways would the research results improve current laboratory capabilities?

NFPA 921 recognizes bias as an issue, but additional research in methodology for reducing bias would be beneficial for the document and the profession.
3b. In what ways would the research results improve understanding of the scientific basis for the subcommittee(s)?

The research would provide the scientific underpinnings to the subcommittee to allow a credible proposal to be submitted to the NFPA 921 technical committee to enhance a future edition of that document.

3c. In what ways would the research results improve services to the criminal justice system?

Previous examples in fire investigations have led to erroneous conclusions and convictions owing to bias (*Texas v. Willingham*; *Texas v. Willis*). In at least one documented case, protecting the scene investigator from domain irrelevant data by using a second investigator to manage the investigation was shown to eliminate any challenge of the expert based on bias (*NV v. Valerie Moore*).

4. Status assessment (I, II, III, or IV):  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major gap in current knowledge</th>
<th>Minor gap in current knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No or limited current research is being conducted</strong></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing current research is being conducted</strong></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This research need has been identified by one or more subcommittees of OSAC and is being provided as an informational resource to the community.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subcommittee</th>
<th>Approval date: 1/28/2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(Approval is by majority vote of subcommittee. Once approved, forward to SAC.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Does the SAC agree with the research need?  
   Yes ✗   No ○

2. Does the SAC agree with the status assessment?  
   Yes ✗   No ○

If no, what is the status assessment of the SAC: [ ]

Approval date: 5/6/2016

(Approval is by majority vote of SAC. Once approved, forward to NIST for posting.)