The Personal Armour Systems Symposium (PASS) is a technical conference and offers a unique opportunity for the exchange of views and experiences in the field of personal armour systems between academia, users, and manufacturers. The Symposium is focused on all aspects relating directly to personal protection, e.g., military and police body armour, helmets, and EOD protection. In conjunction with the symposium, there will be an industry exhibition area to allow the discussion, illustration, and promotion of ideas and equipment from sponsors and exhibitors.
Symposium

The entire symposium will be held in the National Ballroom Level of the Westin Washington DC.
Sponsors

IPAC and the organisers of PASS 2018 would like to extend our sincere thanks to the sponsors who have helped make this event possible.

Silver Level Sponsor
Revision Military

Welcome Reception Sponsor
DSM Dyneema

Bronze Level Sponsors
TNO Defence
DuPont

Lanyard Sponsor
Busch GmbH
Exhibition

As in previous years there will be an exhibition running throughout the event on the Monticello Level of the Westin Washington DC. Exhibitors as of the date of publication are listed on the following page.
Exhibitors*

TNO Defence
Sydor Technologies
Leading Technology Composites
TenCate Advanced Armors
Busch PROtective USA
Revision Military
National Technical Systems
Med-Eng (brand of Safariland group)
Source Tactical Gear
Vision Research

*current as of date of publication, August 31, 2018
Reception

A reception will be held on Tuesday, October 2nd at the Westin Washington, DC from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm. Only registrants who have purchased a catering registration may attend the reception.

Banquet

The conference banquet will be held on Thursday, October 4th at the historic Willard InterContinental Hotel, within walking distance of the conference venue, from 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm. Only registrants who have purchased a catering registration or a separate banquet ticket may attend the reception.

The Willard InterContinental Hotel
1401 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004
### Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Monday October 1, 2018 - Afternoon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:00-1:00</td>
<td>Registration, Lunch, Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00-1:10</td>
<td>Welcome and Practical Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:10-1:25</td>
<td>Dr. Stephanie Hooker, “Welcome from NIST”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:25-1:40</td>
<td>Dr. Mark Van Landingham, “Welcome from Department of Defense”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:40-2:10</td>
<td>Keynote 1: Lieutenant General Paul A. Ostrowski, USA, Principal Military Deputy to the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology) and Director of the Army Acquisition Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:10-2:40</td>
<td>Keynote 2: Dr. Brian Holmes, National Intelligence University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:40-3:15</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15-3:45</td>
<td>Mark Greene, “The Next Revision of the NIJ Performance Standard for Ballistic Resistance of Body Armor, NIJ Standard 0101.07: Changes to Test Methods and Test Threats”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15-4:45</td>
<td>Michael Riley, “Practical Estimation of Uncertainty in Test Velocity Measurements”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45-5:15</td>
<td>Casandra Robinson, “Reducing Law Enforcement Officer Vulnerability by Closing the Gap in Body Armor Coverage and Fit”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15-5:45</td>
<td>Morgan Trexler, “Development of a Modular Load Sensing Headform”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00-8:30</td>
<td>Registration and Continental Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30-9:00</td>
<td><strong>Session 2: Standards</strong>&lt;br&gt;Moders: Hein Jager, Peter Matic&lt;br&gt;James Thomas, &quot;Pressure Sensing in Clay: Potential New Helmet Testing Metric for Backface Impact Severity&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:30</td>
<td>Matthew Bevan, &quot;Correlation between Round Impact Energy and Displaced Clay Volume for Three Classes of Armour&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:00</td>
<td>Xin-lin Gao, &quot;Constitutive Modeling of Ballistic Roma Plastilina No. 1 Clay&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:15</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Cindy Bir, Aaron Forster, Ran Tao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-10:45</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-11:15</td>
<td><strong>Session 3: Standards</strong>&lt;br&gt;Moders: Hein Jager, Peter Matic&lt;br&gt;Mohamed Latreche, &quot;Ballistic and Non-Ballistic Helmet Requirements and their Effect on PE Helmet Weights&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15-11:45</td>
<td>Marjolein Van Der Jagtdeutekom, &quot;Assessment of the secondary fragments from buried explosives&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45-12:15</td>
<td>Ian Crouc, &quot;A review of ballistic testing methodologies for Hard Armour Plates in conjunction with, and without, Soft Armour Inserts&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15-12:30</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Ashok Bhatnagar, Subramaniam Rajan, Leigh Phoenix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-1:30</td>
<td>Lunch/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Programme

### Tuesday, October 2, 2018 - Afternoon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session 4: Armour Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:30-2:00</td>
<td>Kirk Rice, &quot;Evaluation of Degradation Models for High Strength p-Aramid Fibers Used in Body Armour&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00-2:30</td>
<td>Naresh Bhatnagar, &quot;Effect of matrix variation on the high strain rate performance of UHMWPE composite&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30-3:00</td>
<td>Mark Hazzard, &quot;Finite Element Modelling of Impact on Composites made with Dyneema&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00-3:15</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Rob Kinsler, Harald Buchmann, Riyad Ratrout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15-3:45</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session 5: Armour Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session Chairs: Manon Bolduc, Aaron Forster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:45-4:15</td>
<td>A Ramezani, &quot;Improvement of Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene Armour Structures with Numerical Solutions&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15-4:45</td>
<td>Neelanchali Asija Bhalla, &quot;Effect of STF packaging method on the impact resistance of UHMWPE ballistic composite&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45-5:15</td>
<td>Andrew Geltmacher, &quot;Evaluation of Prior Dents on Ballistic Performance of High Density Polyethylene Specimens&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00-8:00</td>
<td>Reception at Westin, Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Wednesday, October 3 - Morning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00-8:30</td>
<td>Registration and Continental Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30-9:00</td>
<td><strong>Session 6: Personal Armour/BABT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Session Chairs: Frederik Coghe, Adam Fournier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30-9:00</td>
<td>Justin McKee, &quot;Finite Element Simulation to Determine the Effect of Knit Architecture on Ballistic Response&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:30</td>
<td>Timothy Zhang, &quot;Indent Depth in the clay backing for soft and hard armour&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:00</td>
<td>Karin Rafaels, &quot;Can Clay Tell Us More Than Deformation&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:00</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Thuy-tien Nguyen, Karin Rafaels, Angel Miranda-Vicario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:15</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-10:45</td>
<td><strong>Session 7: Personal Armour/BABT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Session Chairs: Frederik Coghe, Adam Fournier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-11:15</td>
<td>Kathryn Loftis, &quot;Estimating Obliquity of Ballistic impacts from Residual Damage to Hard Armour Plates&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15-11:45</td>
<td>Anan Azevedo, &quot;Experimental assessment of the effect of damage on the ballistic resistance of ceramic inserts&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45-12:15</td>
<td>Jason Lo, &quot;Carbon Nanotube Reinforced Alumina&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15-12:30</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Anthony Bracq, Peter Matic, Aris Makris,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-1:30</td>
<td>Lunch/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Session Chairs: Thomas Payne, Michael Riley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Session 8: Standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30-2:00</td>
<td>Manon Bolduc, &quot;Tactical ballistic shields operational testing protocol definition&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00-2:30</td>
<td>Mark Begonia, &quot;Influence of flexible neck on head kinematic response during military helmet impact testing&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30-3:00</td>
<td>Alan Hywel Jones, &quot;Determination of the Cause of the Differing Ballistic Performance of 9 mm DM11 Bullets from Two Manufacturers&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00-3:20</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Courtney Cox, Joe Andrist, Aris Makris, Daniel Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:20-3:45</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Session 9: Standards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45-4:15</td>
<td>Erik Carton, &quot;Role of inertia in armour ceramics&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15-4:45</td>
<td>Mohamed Latreche, &quot;Using Material Combinations to reduce the effective Risk of Penetration from High Velocity Rounds in a Helmet&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45-5:15</td>
<td>Caroline Deck, &quot;Assessment of Behind Armour Blunt Trauma risk for a combat helmet by a combined experimental and numerical method&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15-5:45</td>
<td>Steffen Grobert, &quot;Comparative Tests with a modified &quot;PK17dynA&quot; for an Integrated Assessment of Ballistic Backface Deflections on Combat Helmets&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Thursday, October 4- Morning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00-8:30</td>
<td>Registration and Continental Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30-9:00</td>
<td><strong>Session 10: BABT</strong>&lt;br&gt;Session Chairs: Daniel Bourget, Karin Rafaels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyril Robbe</td>
<td>&quot;Sensitivity studies of the BTTR surrogate and comparison between NLW and BABT applications&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:30</td>
<td>Anthony Bracq, &quot;Behind armor blunt trauma assessment by means of experimental and numerical approaches&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:00</td>
<td>Eluned Lewis, &quot;The virtues of VIRTUS: Development and introduction of the new VIRTUS body armour, load carriage and helmet system for UK Armed Forces personnel&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:15</td>
<td>Poster Intros: Gary Tan, Yungchia Chen, Nicolas Prat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-10:45</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-11:15</td>
<td><strong>Session 11: Human Factors/Personal Armour</strong>&lt;br&gt;Session Chairs: Daniel Bourget, Karin Rafaels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard Lombardo</td>
<td>&quot;Two Sample Statistical Interference for Ballistic Limit Testing of Body Armour&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15-11:45</td>
<td>James Davis, &quot;An analysis of the fit and function of the UK OSPREY body armour system for female users on combat operations&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45-12:15</td>
<td>Christopher Eckersley, &quot;A Real Pain in the Neck: Design Limits on Magnitude and Location of Head Supported Mass&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15-12:30</td>
<td>PASS 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-1:30</td>
<td>Lunch/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Session 12: Human Factors/Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30-2:00</td>
<td>Aernout Oudenhuijzen, &quot;Digital Head Avatars for combat helmet fit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00-2:30</td>
<td>Jean-Phillippe Dionne, &quot;Use of Hybrid III mannequins for a blast overpressure standard test methodology&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30-3:00</td>
<td>Joost Op'Teynde, &quot;The Lessons of History: Helmets and Primary Blast&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00-3:30</td>
<td>Jean Phillippe Dionne, &quot;Realistic bomb suit blunt impact protection vs. standards requirements&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30-4:00</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session 13: Injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4:00-4:30</td>
<td>Thomas O'Shaughnessy, &quot;Influence of helmet system on blast overpressure transmission into the brain&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30-5:00</td>
<td>Vanessa Alphonse, &quot;Effect of helmet and eyewear on headform kinematic and kinetic response to primary blast overpressure exposure&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00-5:30</td>
<td>Marina Seidl, &quot;Investigations on projectile ricochet off body armour&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30-6:30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30-8:30</td>
<td>Conference Banquet at Willard Intercontinental Hotel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Friday October 5, 2018- Morning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00-8:55</td>
<td>Continental breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:55-9:00</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Session 14: Vulnerability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Session Chairs:</strong> Michael Maffeo, Janet Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:30</td>
<td>Sofia Hedenstiema, &quot;Methodology for small arms vulnerability analysis of protected and unprotected man&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:00</td>
<td>Sheridan Liang, &quot;A comparison of the thoraco-abdominal anatomical data of the Visible Human Project Female to three living females&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:45</td>
<td>Coffee break/Poster Session/Exhibits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Session 15: Vulnerability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Session Chairs:</strong> Michael Maffeo, Janet Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-11:15</td>
<td>Mathieu Philippens, &quot;Combat Helmet Blunt Impact Performance and Test Method and Head Injury Criteria&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15-11:45</td>
<td>Christophe Marechal, &quot;Development of physical and numerical head substitute used for wound ballistic assessment&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45-12:00</td>
<td>Wrap Up and Adjourn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00-1:00</td>
<td>Lunch to go</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstracts and Presenters’ Bios
The Next Revision of the NIJ Performance Standard for Ballistic Resistance of Body Armour, NIJ Standard 0101.07: Changes to Test Methods and Test Threats

Mark E. Greene¹, Jeffrey Horlick², Daniel A. Longhurst³, Lance L. Miller³, Michael O’Shea¹, David Otterson³, Cassandra Robinson², Debra A. Stoe¹, and Richard A. Sundstrom³

¹National Institute of Justice, 810 7th Street NW, Washington, DC, 20531, USA, mark.greene2@usdoj.gov
²National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA
³Leidos Innovations Corporation, 700 North Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, MD 20879, USA

Abstract. The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) develops performance standards for the unique equipment used by law enforcement and corrections agencies to test that equipment to provide confidence that it is safe and effective. NIJ published the first performance standard for ballistic-resistant police body armour in 1972 and established body armour compliance testing several years later. Today, the NIJ Compliance Testing Program provides confidence that body armour for use by law enforcement in the United States (U.S.) meets minimum performance requirements through standardized ballistic testing to the current NIJ Standard 0101.06, Ballistic Resistance of Body Armour, published in 2008. NIJ is currently working on the seventh revision to this performance standard anticipated to be published in 2018 as NIJ Standard 0101.07, which will include improved test methods for female body armour and updated body armour protection levels that incorporate additional rifle threats faced by U.S. law enforcement. NIJ and the U.S. Army have been cooperating to harmonize laboratory test procedures and practices in ASTM International (ASTM). Unlike the current and previous versions of the NIJ body armour standard which have been comprehensive, standalone documents, NIJ Standard 0101.07 will incorporate by reference a suite of standardized test methods and practices developed in ASTM. Incorporation of relevant ASTM standards by reference into NIJ standards and U.S. Army requirements and testing documents affords the opportunity to harmonize laboratory test procedures and practices for both law enforcement and military ballistic-resistant armour and other ballistic-resistant equipment while allowing those end user communities ultimate control over product specifications, such as the specific threats against which their equipment must protect. NIJ has also developed a standalone specification of ballistic threats to test ballistic-resistant equipment for U.S. law enforcement applications, which will be incorporated into NIJ Standard 0101.07 for body armour as well as anticipated NIJ standards for ballistic-resistant helmets and ballistic-resistant shields.

Curriculum Vitae: Mark E. Greene, Ph.D.,
Presentation 1 Monday, October 1 3:15-3:45 pm

Mark Greene is the Policy and Standards Division Director in the Office of Science and Technology at the National Institute of Justice (NIJ). His unit covers issues of technology policy, standards, and conformity assessment for equipment used by law enforcement and criminal justice practitioners and manages the NIJ Compliance Testing Program for ballistic-resistant body armor, stab-resistant body armor, and autoloading pistols for law enforcement. Previously, Mark was a program manager at NIJ where he managed research, development, test, and evaluation projects in emerging technology for criminal justice applications, such as facial recognition, video analytics, and noncontact fingerprint devices. The program also included the NIJ Sensors, Surveillance, and Biometrics Center of Excellence which focused in part on testing and evaluation of completed R&D projects supported by NIJ in biometrics, sensors, and surveillance technologies. Earlier in his career, Mark was a staff scientist at a high-tech firm that manufactured scientific instruments and was a researcher at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). He holds a Doctorate and Bachelor degree in Materials Science and Engineering and a Bachelor degree in Communications from Northwestern University.
Home Office Body Armour Standard (2017) - Scientific Rationale

T. Payne¹, S. O’Rourke¹, C. Malbon¹, G. Smith¹, E. Ollett¹
¹Home Office Centre for Applied Science and Technology, Woodcock Hill, Sandridge, St Albans, Hertfordshire, AL4 9HQ
tom.payne@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

Abstract. The Home Office Body Armour Standard (2017) provides the minimum performance requirements and test methods for the assessment of ballistic and/or stab resistant body armour intended for UK law enforcement. This standard supersedes the internationally-recognized Home Office Scientific Development Branch (HOSDB) Body Armour Standards for UK Police (2007) and includes a series of notable changes in test methods and specifications to both reflect the current requirements of UK law enforcement and provide more representative assessments. Key differences from the HOSDB (2007) standard include, but are not limited to: protection levels for ballistic, knife and spike armour; female body armour test methods; rifle plate test methods; critical perforation analysis as a quality assurance tool; and in-life monitoring.

In the development of the 2017 standard, as well as conducting internal research, the Home Office Centre for Applied Science and Technology (CAST) partnered with academic institutions and other government agencies to provide tools and evidence to support test specifications. This paper introduces each of the elements of the body armour standard and outlines the scientific rationale and evidence supporting their inclusion. Furthermore, outlined within are a series of recommendations for research to focus developments in the support of future iterations of body armour standard.

Curriculum Vitae: Tom Payne, Ph.D.
Presentation 2 Monday, October 1 3:45-4:15 pm

Dr Tom Payne is a senior scientist at Home Office CAST, which is now integrated with the Defence Science & Technology Laboratory, and subject-matter lead on impact aspects of personal protective equipment. Dr Payne was the lead author on the Home Office Body Armour Standard (2017).

Prior to this, Dr Payne completed his PhD at Loughborough University in the field of human surrogates for personal protective equipment assessment.
Practical Estimation of Uncertainty in Test Velocity Measurements Using Optical Screens

Michael A. Riley\(^1\), Kirk D. Rice\(^1\) and Nicholas G. Paulten\(^1\)

\(^1\)National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Dr., Mail Stop 8102, Gaithersburg, MD, 20899, USA
michael.riley@nist.gov

Abstract. The test projectile velocity is one of the critical measurements when testing armour and other protective equipment for ballistic resistance. Good laboratory practice requires estimating the uncertainty of these measurements, and understanding the sources of that uncertainty is essential to ensuring that test results are correct and repeatable. Velocity measurements, however, are particularly complicated, because they require two independent measurements: the time of flight of the projectile between two measurement planes and distance between those planes. These measurements may appear to be relatively simple to make, but nuances in how the measurements are made and small variations from ideal test conditions can significantly influence the resulting velocity measurement. In addition, the uncertainty of the velocity measurement will be dependent on both the measurement equipment and how that equipment is used. This work is focused on understanding what factors significantly influence the uncertainty of projectile velocity measurements made with commercial systems of the types that are common in test laboratories. Common sources of measurement errors are considered and their likely influence on the estimated measurement uncertainty is evaluated. The results are intended to provide laboratory personnel with a practical framework in which they can determine what factors they need to consider when assessing the uncertainty of their velocity measurements.

Curriculum Vitae: Michael Riley, Ph.D.

Presentation 3 Monday, October 1 4:15-4:45 pm

Dr. Riley is a Research Engineer in the Security Technologies Group of the Material Measurement Laboratory at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. He leads research efforts to improve the understanding of the response of impact mitigating materials and high strength ballistic resistant materials. His work includes developing improved test methods for impact mitigating materials, which have the potential to reduce the occurrence of mild traumatic brain injuries and sporting injuries. He is also involved in the testing and modelling efforts, and the standards development process, to improve the testing and evaluation of ballistic resistant body armour and other personal protective equipment. His past research work has included experimental testing and modelling of structural systems, disaster investigation, and failure analysis of materials and systems subjected to extreme loads. Dr. Riley is a member of ASTM Committee E54 on Homeland Security Applications and a member of the NIJ Special Technical Committee that is revising the NIJ body armor standard. Dr. Riley received his Ph.D. in engineering from the State University of New York at Buffalo, where he studied earthquake engineering and structural dynamics.
Reducing Law Enforcement Officer Vulnerability by Closing the Gap in Body Armour Coverage and Fit

C. Robinson¹, J. Horlick²

¹National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg MD 20899, USA (casandra.robinson@nist.gov)
²National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg MD 20899, USA

Abstract. Soft body armour is a vitally important item of personal protective equipment used by law enforcement, and proper fit and coverage are essential to that protection. A single bullet exploiting a gap in a vest that fits poorly and lacks proper coverage could have devastating results for the wearer. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Uniform Crime Reports show that, for the past 10 years, 28% of officers killed while wearing body armour were killed by bullets hitting unprotected areas of the torso. While FBI data only indicate the general area of the torso that was struck and do not indicate whether gaps in coverage were an issue, improved vest fit and coverage may provide a means to reduce that number of deaths in the future.

The authors’ efforts and findings reveal a shared concern among officers and agencies regarding body armour coverage and fit. Interviews, observations, workshops, and studies involving multiple law enforcement agencies and hundreds of individual officers indicate that most officers do not understand proper coverage or how armour should fit; they are wearing vests that do not fit or cover sufficiently; and they do not know what to do if their vests fit poorly. Insufficient coverage and poor fit not only result in more exposed areas of the torso but also reduce mobility and increase discomfort, resulting in a reluctance to wear the vest.

Our findings demonstrate the need for a systematic, large-scale study to fully understand the issues with armour coverage and fit and develop solutions to this problem. This paper describes the work to date, known and suspected factors contributing to the problem, and aspects of the armour procurement process (from specification through measurement and manufacturing to delivery and officer education) that must be considered in the study. The ultimate outcome of these efforts is guidance on how officers can purchase properly fitted body armour having as much coverage as possible while ensuring maximum range of motion and mobility.

Curriculum Vitae: Casandra Robinson
Presentation 4 Monday, October 1 4:45-5:15 pm

Casandra Robinson is a physical scientist in the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Standards Coordination Office. She is responsible for leading the development of documentary standards and coordinating with NIST technical units, other federal agencies, industry, and relevant stakeholders in the development of standards and conformity assessment systems. Prior to joining NIST in 2012, she was a Program Manager with the U.S. Department of Energy Savannah River National Laboratory. For the previous 5 years, she supported the National Institute of Justice’s Standards and Testing Program with development of performance standards and conformity assessment for public safety equipment. She serves as the ASTM International Vice Chair for the E54 Committee on Homeland Security Applications and as Vice Chair for E54.04, Personal Protective Equipment Subcommittee. She also serves as the Federal co-chair for the Standards Coordination SubGroup of the InterAgency Board for Emergency Preparedness and Response. She has a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from Clemson University and a Master of Science in Industrial and Systems Engineering from the University of Alabama.
Development of a Modular Load-Sensing Headform

M.M. Trexler1, Q.T. Luong1, V. Alphonse1, K. Perrino1, M. Athey1, S. Storck1, J. Paulson1, C. Bradfield1, E. Gienger1, Z. Xia1, M. Bevan1, C. Cameal1, D. Colanto2, B. Fasel2

1The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (JHU/APL), 11100 Johns Hopkins Rd., Laurel, MD 20723
2U.S. Army Natick Soldier Research, Development, and Engineering Center, General Greene Avenue, Natick, MA, 01760, USA

Abstract. Limitations associated with clay-based helmet test methodologies have led to interest in developing a more advanced and user-friendly sensor-based headform. To this end, JHU/APL has developed a modular and customizable load-sensing headform. After identifying a concept that best addressed stakeholders’ needs and system requirements, down selection of candidate materials and sensors, and optimization for the end-user were pursued. The headform is comprised of a single load cell surrounded by an additively manufactured polymeric head. This design supports the testing at five impact locations per current standards. The load cell, which is affixed to a stationary post, is overlaid with an impact cap of location-specific geometry, with an elastomeric skin pad that covers the impact cap. Load cell range requirements were determined via ballistic testing employing a 222 kN load cell with helmet-threat combinations of interest. Once the maximum expected load was determined for each impact location, several candidate load cells were evaluated, leading to down-selection. Impact cap size was dictated by each impact location’s damage zone size, or area over which forces were distributed, which was quantified using Fujifilm PreScale. Skin pad material was down-selected based on fabrication consistency, performance, and cost. Numerous features were included to improve overall usability and reduce operational costs. The stationary post design enables use of the same load cell for all impact locations, and eliminates the need to reposition or realign between tests, regardless of impact location. Magnetic couplings used to attach the head to the post and the impact cap to load cell enable quick transitions between tests.

This headform design enables rapid helmet evaluation based upon repeatable and dynamic force data, has potential for use in First Article and Lot Acceptance Testing, and can be tailored for research applications through customization of load cell arrays and varying impact cap sizes.
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Pressure Sensing in Clay: Potential New Helmet Testing Metric for Backface Impact

J. P. Thomas1, M. H. Merrill1, C. J. Kindle2, and C. Rudolf1

1 Multifunctional Materials Branch, Code 6350, US Naval Research Laboratory, 4555 Overlook Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20375, USA, james.p.thomas@nrl.navy.mil
2 Aerodyn Engineering Inc., 1919 South Girls School Road, Indianapolis, IN 46241 USA

Abstract. Helmet backface impact to the head during ballistic arrest can produce serious head injuries including brain trauma. Injury risk assessment requires quantification of the helmet-head impact characteristics. Current helmet test fixtures like the NIJ headform use ROMA Plastilina #1 (RP-1) clay to register the backface deformation (BFD) magnitude. The BFD is assumed to be correlated with head injury risk, but quantitative relations between them do not currently exist. Improvements in helmet testing are needed to: 1) quantitatively relate helmet-head interactions with blunt trauma injury risk; 2) tailor the dynamic mechanical response of the helmet test headform to match that of the human head for more realistic helmet-head interactions affecting the helmet’s backface response in ballistic impact; 3) improve the precision of the helmet response data; and 4) reduce testing complexity, time, and cost. This paper will report on the development of dynamic pressure measurements in clay and silicone rubber gel under shock and ballistic impact as a potential new metric for assessing risks for head injury by helmet backface impact. Shock-tube experiments and ballistic helmet testing with sensor-modified NIJ headforms will be described. Results include an evaluation of commercial pressure sensors and instrumentation, and pressure response for applied step, shock, and ballistic impact tests. Shock pressure waves in clay/gel are amplified, dispersed, and attenuate ~10-15% over a 50 mm propagation distance. Clay/gel peak pressures for 9 mm FMJ impact on two helmet types at V0 +/-30 m/sec ranged from ~70 kPa to 11 MPa (10-1600 psi) with sensitivity to impact velocity, helmet/pad type, backface stand-off, and sensor location/orientation relative to the headform surface and threat trajectory. Changes to shot aim and helmet mounting procedures are needed to reduce pressure/BFD measurement variations, and matched comparisons with biomedical model-testing and finite element modelling are needed to link peak pressures with head-brain injury risks.
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Correlation between Projectile Kinetic Energy and Displaced Clay Volume for Three Classes of Armour

M. Bevan$^1$ and Q. Luong$^1$

$^1$The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, 11100 Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD 20723, USA, Matthew.Bevan@jhuapl.edu

Abstract Data analyzed from non-penetrating ballistic tests of flat armour against a clay backing showed that the kinetic energy of the impacting round correlated well with the total volume of displaced clay. An energy-based model was created using the projectile characteristics (diameter, mass and impact velocity) and armour areal density to predict the total volume of clay displaced for the range of projectile characteristics and armour combinations tested. This correlation suggests that the maximum backface deformation (BFD) clay criterion is a measure of energy density.

The projectile and armour combinations tested were: 1) 9x19 mm NATO against 28-layer Kevlar shootpacks, 2) 7.62x39 mm and 7.62x51 mm lead-core projectiles against 14 to 16 kg/m² ultra high molecular weight polyethylene composite armour, and 3) Armour-piercing 7.62x63 mm projectiles against ceramic plate/shootpack armour. Projectile velocities ranged from 100 to 850 m/s. Drop tower and air cannon testing against clay was also conducted to compare with ballistic results.

In this model, the energy dissipated was partitioned into two components: energy dissipated in the clay and energy dissipated by the armour-projectile interaction. For the shootpack and composite armour, the clay dissipates the majority of the projectile energy. In the ceramic armour system, the armour dissipates the majority of the projectile energy.

For the range of armour and projectiles tested, these results imply for a given armour areal density, that the stiffness of the armour (varying from soft fabric to ceramic plate) does not affect the total volume of clay displaced, only the shape of deformation.
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Constitutive Modelling of Ballistic Roma Plastilina No. 1 Clay


1 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA
xlgao@smu.edu
2 Program Executive Office - SOLDIER, U.S. Army, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060, USA

Abstract. Roma Plastilina No.1 (RP # 1) clay has been widely used as a backing material in ballistic tests of body armour for over 40 years. However, the constitutive behaviour of RP # 1 clay is still not well characterized. In the current paper, a new constitutive model is proposed to describe the stress-strain relation of ballistic RP # 1 clay, which accounts for the coupled effects of strain hardening, strain rate hardening and temperature softening. The model is phenomenological and can be regarded as an extended Johnson-Cook model. The model contains nine parameters, all of which are calibrated using six true stress-strain curves at two deformation temperatures and three strain rates recently obtained at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) based on compression tests. The newly proposed constitutive model with the calibrated parameters is applied to predict six other true stress-strain curves at another two temperatures, which are compared to the experimental curves that were also generated at the ARL. The non-uniqueness of the fitting parameter values is discussed, and an error analysis is conducted. The results of the error analysis show that the new constitutive model provides a fairly accurate prediction of the stress-strain behaviour of ballistic RP # 1 clay.
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Comparison of Various Gelatine Surrogates for Wound Track Assessment

C. Bir¹, R. Villalta and M. Bodo²

¹The University of Southern California, 1333 San Pablo St, Los Angeles, CA, USA
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²Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire Carnot de Bourgogne UMR, CNRS 6303, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comte, UTBM, F-90010 Belfort, France

Abstract. Ordnance gelatine has been used extensively in the ballistic community for the evaluation of bullet-soft tissue interaction. Techniques for its use have been established to produce both 10% and 20% mixtures. However, this process is time consuming and requires precise techniques in order to produce a final mould that is within specifications. In addition, there is a requirement of temperature control post-production and limitation on the length of use. Therefore, several manufacturers have produced room temperature stable, pre-mixed “gelatine” that does not require a substantial amount of time to use. Although there is evidence that these new products pass the simple BB pellet calibration, it is unclear how they compare to the gold standard of ordnance gelatine in terms of live fire ammunition. A total of five (5) different types of blocks were used in this study: 10% and 20% ordnance gelatine, 10% and 20% Clear Ballistics and Perma-Gel (10%). Blocks were tested using a 9 mm and 380 Auto round fired from a universal receiver. Chronographs were placed prior to and immediately after each block tested to determine the delta-V and total energy dissipated. The blocks were examined post-test along with the high-speed video of the event. Data indicate differences in the energy dissipation throughout the block and overall fissure formation in the gelatine with higher energies and more fissure formation in the ordnance gelatine. In addition, based on the high-speed video, a secondary combustion appears to occur within the premixed gelatines.

Curriculum Vitae: Cynthia Bir, Ph.D.
Poster 1 Tuesday, October 2 10:00-10:05 am

Dr. Cynthia A. Bir is a Professor in the Emergency Department at the Keck School of Medicine at the University of Southern California. She has extensive research experience in the area of human injury tolerances. Her research interests include sports injury biomechanics, ballistic impacts, blast injury, and forensic biomechanics. She has studied the effects of impacts to all regions of the body and is known world-wide for her work in this area. Dr. Bir currently has funding for various research efforts in the area of injury biomechanics. Investigating the effects of ballistic impacts to the human body, her research includes Behind Armor Blunt Trauma (BABT) and the assessment of personnel protective gear. She also has funding to assess stab/slash wounds to correctional officers, the effects of Tasers on vulnerable populations, and how body armour affects the core body temperature of the end users. She has been funded by the Department of Defense to study both blast neurotrauma and lower extremity injury research. Her sports related research includes the development of a new thoracic surrogate to evaluate chest protectors, a cumulative concussion model and real-time data collection of head impacts in boxing.
New Metrologies to Assess the Dynamic Response of Soft Protective Materials used in Helmets and Pads

A. M. Forster and M. Riley

Material Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, MS 8102, 100 Bureau Dr., Gaithersburg, MD 20899
aaron.forster@nist.gov

Abstract: Blunt trauma and brain injury can occur during impacts at energies and velocities below those observed in ballistic and blast events. During low to medium velocity impacts, the shell prevents helps to prevent bone fractures and the padding helps limit the maximum linear or rotational acceleration of the head. Current test methods focus on the helmet and padding as a system (pad + shell) to quantify protective levels the equipment provides for the user. System level tests involve complicated loading paths, that make it difficult to determine the performance of the padding material within the helmet system to reduce the effects of impact. This lack of information complicates the process for improving helmet materials. NIST has recently developed a set of metrologies to quantify the impact mitigating properties of soft, non-linear materials under a broad range of impact energies and loading scenarios. These measurements can provide a more complete picture of material performance in order to guide incorporation of new materials into the helmet or padding system. This presentation will describe these metrologies and demonstrate their usefulness on several common energy absorbing materials.
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Thermo-Rheological Characterization on Next-Generation Backing Materials for Body Armour Testing

Ran Tao¹, Aaron M. Forster², Kirk D. Rice¹, Randy A. Mrozek², Shawn T. Cole², Reygan M. Freeney³

¹Materials Measurement Science Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA
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²U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA
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Abstract. The current standard backing material used for ballistic resistance testing of body armour, Roma Plastilina No. 1 (RP1), is known to exhibit complex thermomechanical behaviour under actual usage conditions. Body armour test standards that specify RP1 often establish a performance requirement for the RP1 before it can be used. To meet this requirement, RP1 most likely must be temperature conditioned. This conditioning step adds to the complexity of the test and introduces temperature-time variables into the test, which serve as incentives for finding potential alternative materials that perform similarly at room temperature. To achieve the goal of replacing the current standard backing material, the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) and U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) have taken the lead to develop a family of room-temperature backing materials that exhibit dynamic properties that are consistent and similar to temperature-conditioned RP1. These candidate materials, named ARTIC, have fewer components than the RP1 clay. The research at NIST focuses on rheological characterization and thermal analysis of these next-generation backing materials to understand structure-property relationships and compare to the current RP1. In this work, we show that ARTIC materials exhibit minimal temperature dependence of mechanical properties and consistent thermal properties over a wide temperature range.
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Ballistic and Non-Ballistic Requirements and their Effects on PE Helmet Weights

M. Latreche, S. Samborsky, G. Czeremuszkin, Justin L'Archeveque, and V. Lucuta

Revision Military Inc., 3800 Saint Patrick, Suite 200, Montréal, Québec, H4E 1A4, Canada

Abstract. It is recognized that the modern helmet is not only a device designed to prevent head injury from fragments and blunt impact, but also a platform to provide the soldier with new capabilities to enhance their survivability and operational capability. The design of the modern helmet system involves multiple trade-offs, but at the heart of the system is the composite shell that requires trade-offs between weight, performance, and cost. New materials and processing techniques allow for helmets with increased ballistic protection at the same weight, or the same protection levels at reduced weight, or some combination in between. However, with the latest in materials and processing, the ballistic performance requirements may no longer be limiting the maximum weight reduction. Instead, non-ballistic requirements such as blunt impact, indentation, and compression might provide the limit on weight reduction. This paper will identify the trade-offs to be made between weight reduction and non-ballistic requirements, and verify any effect on the \( V_{50} \) performance. Flat panels, which can be correlated to helmet designs, are used for ballistic and mechanical testing to better understand how current measurements specified are affecting lightweight UHMWPE materials. For mechanical testing, established standards ASTM D790 and ASTM D5420 are utilized. All test data will be used to assess how modifying mechanical specifications can be utilized to provide further reduction in weight burden to the end user if certain additional specifications can be traded.
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Assessment of the secondary fragments from buried explosives

M.J van der Jagt-Deutekom and T.A.T. Westerhof

TNO, P.O. Box 45, 2280 AA Rijswijk, The Netherlands
marjolein.vanderjagt@tno

Abstract. Different test methods are used to assess the performance of personal protection equipment (PPE) against secondary debris, such as soil, grid and stones, ejected when a buried improvised explosive device (IED) detonates. However, no standard scientific test and evaluation methodology exists for this type of threat. One of the reasons is the difficulty in defining the proper threat characteristics. The velocity, mass and distribution of the ejected secondary fragments resulting from a buried explosive are depending on the type, shape and mass of the explosive charge, the material type, size and the mass of the secondary fragments, the depth of burial and the distance from the explosive. This paper describes the results of an arena test series to determine the threat characteristics from a buried IED. These results consist of the secondary fragment properties (velocity, mass and distribution) and the pressure and impulse at different distances from two specified buried explosive charges buried in two soil types. This data will be used by TNO to draft a first standard test methodology.
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A review of ballistic testing methodologies for Hard Armour Plates in conjunction with, and without, Soft Armour Inserts

Ian G Crouch1, James D Sandlin2 and Deepak Ganga2

1 Armour Solutions Pty Ltd, Hollyoak House, Trentham, Victoria 3458, Australia
2 Defence Materials Technology Centre, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia

Abstract: The ballistic testing of ceramic-based, lightweight armour systems like Hard Armour Plates (HAPs) is always extremely challenging because of the brittle nature of ceramics and the limited size and reproducibility of the products in question. This paper reviews alternative methods of determining V50 values in situations where either military or civilian standards leverage off existing test methodologies from organisations like the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) in the USA. In particular, results of two parallel V50 assessments involving more than 100 Level IV HAPs, tested as Stand Alone plates, will show that a favoured method is flawed whilst an alternative approach is highly recommended, especially for inclusion in future Test Standards. This new approach is based upon recent understanding of the evolution of damage during the testing of multi-strike plates with up to six rounds. Whilst it is well recognised that shot spacing strongly influences penetration resistance, variables such as shot location and shot sequence are less well understood. This new suite of impact data is supported with high-resolution, digitised X-ray images of damaged HAPs. Two other critical aspects will also be included. First, when testing HAPs in conjunction with Soft Armour Inserts (SAIs), both the size and shape of the supporting SAI have been found to affect the ballistic performance of the total ensemble. Second, data on the decrease in ballistic performance, as the Point of Strike (PoS) approaches a free surface, will also be reported. This information provides the User with valuable data on effective coverage, which can range from 70-90% of the surface area of the HAP.
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**Next Generation of Lightweight Materials for Military and Police Applications**

A. Bhatnagar¹, David Hurst, Greg Davis, Gary Kratzer, Dave Steenkamer, and Lori Wagner  
Honeywell International Inc. 15801 Woods Edge Road, Colonial Heights, VA, 23834 USA  

**Abstract.** Since the introduction of UHMWPE fibres, coupled with cross-ply technology and improved moulding processes¹, the weight of military and police vests, breast plates, helmets and panels for military vehicles has been continuously reduced by about 1-2% per year². After extensive research and development, new lightweight materials and laminated materials have recently been commercialized. These materials enable a major step change in reduction of the overall weight of the vests, increased ballistic performance of composite helmets, and weight reduction of stand-alone and In Conjunction (IC) breast plates.  
This paper will review material development and testing phases of these new materials while keeping in mind the military and police need for reducing weight and improving protection against increased threats. Examples of vest design and moulded breast plate are presented.

---
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Failure Analysis of Cross-ply Ballistic Materials used in Law Enforcement Vests

Dr. S. D. Rajan

Professor, School of Sustainable Engineering and the Built Environment, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA
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Abstract. The objective of armour systems is to provide the requisite protection against identified threats at the lowest possible add-on weight and cost. A number of criteria must be considered when selecting materials for use in an armour system. These include the characteristics of the specific threats to be defeated, the allowable volume and weight parameters of the system, and the system cost. For example, a material that provides adequate protection against specific threats in one system configuration may become inadequate if the permissible system weight is reduced [1]. For this reason, a number of different materials have been used in armour systems. Historically, development of armour materials has been a trial and error process. Optimization of armour design with a set of available materials (or the invention of new materials) in a cost effective way is feasible only if a systematic process is followed to understand the mechanism of ballistic protection. Therefore, computer modelling of material behaviour is critical in understanding the effect of various parameters and, in turn, optimizing the material design [2, 3]. In this paper, we discuss the systematic development and use of a computer modelling system for the optimal design of personal protection systems specifically to defeat handgun bullets such as 9 mm FMJ, 357 Magnum and 44 Magnum. The design framework illustrates how accurate material and finite element (FE) models can be developed and used to simulate the deformation, damage and failure of composites under impact conditions. With increasingly sophisticated experimental and modelling techniques, it may be possible to design the next generation armour protection with minimal add-on weight and cost in a shorter design cycle time.
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A numerical model of oblique impact of an RCC projectile into a flexible fibrous composite mimicking 0°/90° UD construction

S.L. Phoenix¹ and A. K. Yavuz²

¹,² Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Cornell University, 321 Thurston Hall, Ithaca, 14853 NY, USA.
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Abstract. For the past several years a group at Cornell University has been developing numerical models for ballistic impact into layered fibrous systems consisting of orthogonal, UD tapes and plain weave fabrics. The projectiles have included hard right circular cylinder (RCC) and spherical shapes, and viscoelastic interactions and slip between the projectile and target and between target yarns and layers have been modelled. Besides exploring new phenomena, these models have been useful for validating previous analytical models where various simplifying assumptions were necessary. Thus far the numerical models have had the limitation (though a computational advantage) of assuming a symmetric framework whereby numerical solution of only one quarter of the projectile and target region was necessary. Hence, only normal (perpendicular) impact could be studied. To address this limitation, attention has been devoted to modelling projectile impact into the full target plane thus making it possible to treat oblique impact at an arbitrary angle. This also makes it possible to investigate various boundary effects (clamped, free) where projectile impact occurs close to one boundary or near a corner. Such generalizations have resulted in formidable challenges arising from rapid projectile sliding on a target, and thus, it has been necessary to return to simpler discretization versions of angled impact by an RCC on a biaxial, plate-like structure. These challenges have motivated scrutiny of certain features in the results, initially suspected of being numerical artefacts, and establishing through well-understood test cases that the features observed are indeed legitimate. The most important findings involve a study that varies both angle of projectile incidence and coefficient of viscous sliding friction between an RCC projectile and fibrous target. It is shown that increasing the degree of viscous friction eventually leads to increasing fibre strain with increasing angle of incidence up to some angle were a maximum is achieved. Generally, oblique impact gives rise to important phenomena not captured by projectile impact perpendicular to the target.
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Evaluation of Degradation Models for High Strength p-Aramid Fibres Used in Body Armour

K.D. Rice¹, A. Engelbrecht-Wiggans², E. Guigues¹, and A.L. Forster¹
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kirk.rice@nist.gov
²Theiss Research

Abstract. To improve the reliability and design of armour, it is imperative to understand the failure modes and the degradation rates of the materials used in armour. Despite the best efforts of manufacturers, some vulnerability of armour materials to ageing due hydrolytic or oxidative environments is expected and may result in the degradation of material properties such as tensile strength. In this work, p-aramid yarns from two manufacturers were exposed to environmental conditions of various fixed temperature and humidity combinations. The maximum temperature and humidity condition was 70 °C, 76 % RH, to avoid introducing degradation mechanisms unlikely to be seen in use. Tensile tests were performed on samples extracted at several different timepoints over the course of at least one year to determine degradation in ultimate tensile strength and failure strain as a function of time, temperature, and humidity. These materials were found to be generally resistant to degradation at most conditions, only showing changes of less than 10 % at the highest temperature and humidity conditions.
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Effect of matrix variation on the high strain rate performance of UHMWPE Composite

Hemant Chouhan¹, N Asija¹, A. Yadav¹, A. Ahmed¹, and Naresh Bhatnagar²
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Abstract: The compressive high strain rate behaviour of ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fibres with two different matrix materials has been studied on split Hopkinson Pressure bar (SHPB), followed by ballistic limit ($V_{50}$) determination. The composite laminates were fabricated by compression moulding and a circular specimen of desired aspect ratio 0.5 ± 5% were produced using two matrices Kraton and polyurethane (PU) with UHMWPE fibres. High strain rate impact studies were carried out in the strain rate range of 2100 s⁻¹ to 4220 s⁻¹. In general, both the composites exhibited an increase in compressive strength, which rises to over double the initial value with increasing compressive rates of loading. Kraton based composites revealed a sharp stress rise followed by strain growth at constant stress till a limiting strain. Polyurethane matrix based composite attained higher peak stress for the given incident impact energy with a continuously rising stress curve. The peak stress attained by Kraton and polyurethane matrix based composite was 457 MPa and 675 MPa, respectively. These values served as the maximum values of stress for a given composite system with the initiation of macroscopic specimen damage in the form of delamination. The $V_{50}$ for both the composite systems with 17-grain non-deformable steel fragment simulating projectile (FSP) was determined as 528 m/s for Kraton and 536 m/s for PU based composite, respectively. The study reveals an effect of the matrix on ballistic performance of UHMWPE fibre based composites.
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Finite Element Modelling of Impact on Composites made with Dyneema®
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Abstract. This contribution provides a finite element methodology to capture the behaviour of Dyneema® fibre UD composites at quasi-static rates of deformation, under low velocity drop weight impact, and high velocity ballistic impact. A homogenised sub-laminate approach separated by a cohesive tied interface using fracture mechanics was employed to capture the behaviour of panels made with Dyneema® HB26. The modelling approach uses readily available material models within LS-DYNA, and validates material behaviour against experimental observations in literature. Plane-strain beam models were in good agreement with literature, providing accurate mechanisms of deformation largely controlled through Mode II cohesive zone properties and kink band formation. Ballistic impact models utilising rate effects and damage showed similar modes of deformation and failure to that observed in literature, and provide a good approximation for ballistic limit and back face deformation under 600 m/s impact speed. The mechanisms of failure in the model were also investigated, which highlight the transition zone between progressive failure on the strike face to bulge formation at the rear. A numerical study highlights the effect of varying material parameters such as fibre tensile strength, which provide benefit in terms of ballistic limit and back face deformation.
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Ballistic Limit Used Body Armour Study of Select NIJ 0101.06 Certified Armour - Levels II and IIIA

R. Kinsler
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Abstract: Fifty used body armour vests consisting of six (6) different models selected from more than 300 donated by law enforcement agencies around the USA were ballistically evaluated to determine if known life-cycle conditions influence the ballistic response. The down-select criteria were; vests originally certified to the NIJ 0101.06 standard, approximately five (5) years old, and provided the largest sample quantity within a specific model. Eligible models consisted of a sample quantity of at least five (5) vests allowing for a minimum of 10 12-shot tests. The models meeting the criteria originated from the eastern half of the United States. For testing, each vest was separated into front and back panels and each panel was subjected to a 12-shot V_{50} test following the up-and-down methodology. The resultant V_{50}S were determined using logistic regression. These V_{50}S were analysed with the known variables to attempt to determine which variables had the most influence on the ballistic response. As there were a limited number of vests tested, the results of this study only provide an indication of how used body armour vests may respond. Results indicate that mass, size and visual condition may influence the resultant V_{50} while none of the other known variables produced a statistically significant difference within a given model. When evaluating the published versus the resultant V_{50}S, it appeared that some models had a slightly higher and some had a slightly lower V_{50} than the published results.
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A new contact free scanning procedure for personal armour

H. Buchmann¹, H. Gedon² and T. Krell²
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Abstract. Ceramic plates in personal armour are primarily built to resist ballistic load, but they are also subject to serious mechanical load during the regular service. Because of their specific construction with a ceramic plate in front and a glue fixed backing, consisting of high modulus fibres like aramid or UHMWPE in the back, the plates seem to be very stable against mechanical loading. Nevertheless due to overload the ceramic plates may crack, but not only for example by using these armour plates as a hammering device or as an off-road driving support, but also during mission or regular exercises. Caused by the typical ceramic failure, occurring cracks always extend the whole thickness of the plate. Using a glued construction cracks normally show a hair crack character in the beginning, but during use with mechanical and thermal stress these cracks may open and cause a so-called ballistic hole. To avoid diversifiable risks, in consequence ballistic plates are subjected to non-destructive radiographic testing, with x-rays as the preferred method. But independent of using x-rays or alternative methods, all current procedures either need to take the ballistic plate out of the personal armour or at least to contact the plate with an electrical device or a tapping one. Using a modified RFID-technology it can be shown that it is possible to check ballistic plates in the assembled condition effectively both very cheap and very easily worldwide and without any specialized professional operator. In consequence a daily check of the personal armour can be done without the only restriction that you need an electrical source. The new technology with the technical details will be presented and also the range of the electromagnetic response of the transponders in dependence of different temperatures.
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Investigating the Contribution of Different Suspension Systems to the Ballistic Protection of Combat Helmets (Peak Acceleration & Back Face Signature)

R. Ratrout\textsuperscript{1}, H. Al-Ta’amneh\textsuperscript{1}, M. Al Afifi\textsuperscript{2}, S. Al Majali\textsuperscript{1}, M. Alassi\textsuperscript{2}, I. Rawashdeh\textsuperscript{1}, A. Aldaratkeh\textsuperscript{1}, A. Al Sardyah\textsuperscript{1} and S. Obeidat\textsuperscript{1}
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\textsuperscript{2}First Armour, Amman 11192, Jordan

\textbf{ABSTRACT.} Combat helmets play a vital role in protecting the soldier’s life; whether by resisting the penetration of kinetic threats (Bullets, Fragmentation) or by protecting the neck and brain from the behind helmet blunt trauma (BHBT) and rapid acceleration induced upon impact. The suspension system in combat helmets consists mainly of a liner that covers the interior of the helmet shell. These pads are designed from materials that absorb and dissipate the excessive energy exerted on the neck and brain upon impact. This study was conducted to investigate the difference in performance of different suspension systems used in combat helmets and to investigate the effect of adding a suspension system on the peak acceleration and back face signature. However, this study serves more as a learning exercise for the generation of researchers to guide them through the test methods and standards that allows them to study this topic in greater depth.

Six helmets with different suspension systems were tested according to NIJ Standard 0106.01 for ballistic impact attenuation and HPW-TP-0401.01B for back face signature (BFS) against 9 x 19mm Luger FMJ copper alloy bullets. Results showed that the six designs have recorded peak accelerations of less than 400g while the helmet with the Logistic Liner had the lowest value of BFS making it the superior helmet suspension system of all six.
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Improvement of Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene Armor Structures with Numerical Simulations

Arash Ramezani and Hendrik Rothe

Chair of Measurement and Information Technology, University of the Federal Armed Forces Hamburg, Germany, ramezani@hsu-hh.de

Abstract. In the security sector, the partly insufficient safety of people and equipment due to failure of industrial components is an ongoing problem that causes great concern. Since computers and software have spread into all fields of industry, extensive efforts are currently made in order to improve the safety by applying certain numerical solutions. A fibre-reinforced composite is a promising material for ballistic protection due to its high strength, stiffness and low density. The use of ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMW-PE) composite as part of the personal armour system has the potential to provide significant weight savings or improved protection levels over traditional metallic materials. Although already used in different applications, both as spall liners and within complex multi-element/multi-material packages, there is a limited understanding of the mechanisms driving ballistic performance. Existing analysis tools do not allow a good approximation of performance, while existing numerical models are either incapable of accurately capturing the response of thick UHMW-PE composite to ballistic impact or are unsuited to model thick targets. In response, this paper aims to identify the key penetration and failure mechanisms of thick UHMW-PE composites under ballistic impact and develop analytical and numerical models that capture these mechanisms and allow accurate prediction of ballistic performance to optimize modern armour systems. An analysis methodology is proposed to model the behaviour of thick UHMW-PE composite panels under ballistic impact using inhomogeneities on the macroscale. A sub-laminate approach for discretisation of the target is proposed to overcome the problems of premature through-thickness failure in the material model. The methodology was extensively validated against existing experimental ballistic impact data and results for UHMW-PE targets. Finally, a numerical modelling methodology was developed for the analysis of thick UHMW-PE composite under ballistic impact.
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Effect of STF packaging method on the impact resistance of UHMWPE ballistic composite

N. A. Bhalla1, H. Chouhan1, A. Ahmed1, A. Yadav1 and N. Bhatnagar
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Abstract. Shear thickening fluids (STFs) are a special class of field responsive non-Newtonian fluids which exhibit transition from low to high viscosity state when these are subjected to shearing deformation, particularly when the shear rate exceeds a critical value termed as the critical shear rate (CSR). Due to this unique characteristic of STFs, they are used in the development of special class of STF-treated armours called Liquid Body Armours (LBAs). These new age armours are lighter in weight and more flexible as compared to conventional heavy armours. Although, exhaustive studies are available which show the improvement in impact resistance of STF-treated high performance fabrics, but there are limited studies which explore the efficacy of STF treatment method on their ballistic performance. In this study, an attempt is made to understand this aspect. Three different methods were adopted for treating UHMWPE (Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene) composite panels with STF. In the first method, extrusion foamed polymer sheets were impregnated with STF and kept between UHMWPE Gold Shield® specimens. This sandwich construction was then subjected to high strain rate testing on SHPB (Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar). In the second method, liquid STF was kept between Gold Shield® composite specimens and in the third method the UHMWPE Gold Shield® composite was itself treated with STF and then subjected to SHPB testing. The experimental strain rates attained in UHMWPE specimens during SHPB testing were of the order of 3120 to 15600 s⁻¹. From the test results it was observed that in order to enhance the ballistic resistance, the STF must be impregnated into a ballistic material rather than keeping the STF in liquid form in a sandwich construction. Also, the impregnation of STF in a weak and fragile material like foamed polymeric sheet will further weaken the structure instead of improving its impact resistance.
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**Evaluation of Prior Dents on Ballistic Performance of High Density Polyethylene Helmets**
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**Abstract.** The high density polyethylene (HDPE) helmets provide better resistance to penetration against higher energy ballistic impacts compared to the Aramid panels. One potential issue with HDPE is the ease of creating blunt impact damage or denting due to the increased compliance of HDPE when compared to Aramid materials. The key question is can the presence of prior dents compromise the ballistic impact protection capability of HDPE. The objective of this project is to determine the level of blunt impact damage at which the ballistic performance of HDPE is compromised. This paper addresses: a) effect of dents from blunt impacts on subsequent ballistic performance of HDPE, and the level of such damage at which ballistic performance starts to degrade for different ballistic threats; b) the effect, if any, of blunt impact damage on ballistic performance for different specimen geometries; and c) effect of indenter geometry on the subsequent ballistic performance.

A total of 73 specimens both with and without dents created by blunt impacts at multiple impact velocities and with different indenter shapes were tested for two different ballistic threats. In combination with previous first article testing on the helmets, the testing for this paper is sufficient to describe the above effects; however additional testing would be required for a complete statistical evaluation of the helmet response. The experimental data and the computer tomography based imaging data were used to assess the HDPE ballistic impact performance. The results from the different dent energies and ballistic threats show that the performance of the HDPE material is not degraded at lower dent energies or low velocity threats. However, for higher energies dents and high velocity threats, the ballistic performance of HDPE can be compromised. Additionally, the different indenter geometries produced different severity of blunt damage in the specimens. For standard blunt impact conditions, a large hemispherical indenter, which spreads out the deformation and damage, did not degrade the subsequent ballistic performance. However, a narrow cylindrical indenter, which produces localized deformation and damage, did degrade the ballistic performance of the HDPE specimens.
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Finite Element Simulation to Determine the Effect of Knit Architecture on Ballistic Response
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Abstract: Knitted textiles are constructed from interlocking loops of yarn. Curvature in the yarn allows for bending to provide additional stretchability compared to woven textiles constructed from the same high-performance fibres. While woven textiles have been shown to have a higher ballistic performance, their lack of stretchability means they are not ideal for some specific applications such as extremity protection. The stretchability of knits allows them to move with the body to provide additional comfort and improved ballistic performance for applications where textiles made from commodity fibres would typically need to be used. However, the ballistic performance of knits has not been as well characterized as woven textiles. The goal of this work is to investigate how geometric parameters affect the response of knit textiles under ballistic loading to guide design of textiles for extremity protection. A finite element model is developed at the yarn level where the path of the yarn can be modified by input of geometric parameters. The goal of this work is to study the influence of changes to geometric parameters when a 76.2 mm single layer knit target is impacted by a 6 mm diameter spherical silica projectile. An increase in the width or height of knit loops results in an increased rate of stress propagation and a change in stretch response, which reduces the simulated maximum stress seen in the knit.
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Indent depth and volume in the clay backing for soft and hard armour
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Abstract. Ballistic impact tests were conducted on soft armour (shoot-pack) to characterize its material response. A laminate model and a yarn model were used to model the soft armour. Numerical analysis was carried out to understand the load transfer to a clay block behind the soft armour (Kevlar shoot-pack) and hard armour (Ultra-High-Molecular-Weight-Polyethylene composite). The depth, width and volume of the indentation in the clay were correlated to the impact kinetic energy for both soft and hard armours.
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Can Clay Tell Us More Than Deformation?

K. A. Rafaels\textsuperscript{1}, K. L. Loftis\textsuperscript{1}, and C. A. Bir\textsuperscript{2}  
\textsuperscript{1}United States Army Research Laboratory, 328 Hopkins Rd., APG, MD 21005, USA  
karin.a.rafaels.civ@mail.mil  
\textsuperscript{2}University of Southern California, Keck School of Medicine, 1333 San Pablo Street, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA  

Abstract. The certification of body armour in many countries involves, in part, the evaluation of backface deformation into a clay medium. A tolerance level related to the maximum deformation in the clay is the current metric, however its relationship to injury has not been well established. This study investigates other potential metrics that can be obtained using the current clay medium to estimate the potential for injury from backface deformation. Reconstructions of several law enforcement survivor cases of behind-armour blunt trauma were performed to obtain the backface signature in clay for these events. The clay depth, volume, and an estimated Blunt Criterion (BC) were evaluated in terms of their ability to predict the corresponding injury. The estimated BC included target parameters such as chest depth, weight, areal density of armour and the contact area. Given these case studies, the BC has the best correlation with classifications of injuries with an R\textsuperscript{2} of 0.84, whereas the depth had an R\textsuperscript{2} of 0.33, and the volume was 0.11. Continued work is underway to fully understand the relationship between clay testing results and law enforcement injury cases.
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Fragment Penetrating Injury to the Tibia
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Abstract. Penetrating injuries to the extremities, especially the tibia, caused by fragments produced in explosions have been common in recent conflicts. These injuries are disabling, and involve high infection rates, slow recovery, and high risk of amputation. This study aims to develop an experimental model to identify the threshold of fragment penetrating injury through a series of tests on cadaveric ovine specimens. A 32-mm-bore gas gun was used to launch cylindrical fragment simulated projectiles (FSPs) of mass 0.78 ± 0.1 g which can achieve an impact velocity up to 600 m/s. The ovine tibiae were either set in ballistic gelatin to represent the surrounding soft tissue or remained without any tissue simulant to investigate the importance of such simulant in this model. The tibiae were also either pre-compressed or suspended to reproduce differing plausible boundary conditions during gait. High-speed imaging was used to record the penetration event and calculate the velocity of the FSPs. The recovered samples underwent radiography and post-impact dissection to quantify the injury. Preliminary tests showed that 205 ± 10 m/s of impact velocity can produce the same fracture patterns in the mid-diaphysis of the ovine tibia across different boundary conditions. In addition, the effect of soft tissue simulant in the outcome of injury can be considered separately. An injury risk curve for fractures classified as OTA 42-B1 type or higher was produced with the impact velocity at 50% risk to be 147 ± 12 m/s. Appropriate scaling needs to be carried out in order to determine the injury risk to a human adult male.
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The Effect of Handgun Threat Flight Dynamics on Backface Deformation in Soft Armour
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Abstract. There are several factors that contribute to the ballistic performance of body armour including the flight dynamics of the incoming round. Studies have shown that differences in the impact yaw of rifle rounds can affect the response of armour systems. The level of backface deformation behind armour is one measure of this response, but the effect of impact conditions on this measure is not fully understood. The goal of this study is to investigate the effects of velocity and yaw of two different handgun rounds on body armour as measured by the resulting backface deformation in clay. Soft armour shoot packs were used to evaluate two rounds (9 mm and 0.45 ACP), two velocity conditions and two positions within the yaw cycle. For both rounds, the degree of backface deformation was dependent on the velocity of the incoming round with an R² of 0.61 for the 9mm and 0.84 for the 0.45 ACP, but not on the yaw angle as the R²-value for both the 9mm and 0.45 ACP were less than 0.05. In conclusion, the yaw cycle for the 9mm or 0.45 ACP was not shown to be a factor affecting clay backface deformation.
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Experimental and numerical comparison of methods for studying the deformation of a ballistic helmet impacted with pistol ammunition
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Abstract. Currently, ballistic helmets are mostly designed to stop fragments from diverse explosive devices. Nowadays, new requirements have emerged for helmets, such as stopping direct impacts from rifle and pistol threats, and newest helmets are able to stop these threats. The probability of these types of impacts on helmet systems is increasing due to the changes in warfare and military operations. Although it is possible to stop these kinds of projectiles with certain helmet systems, there is a lack of studies regarding the possible injuries suffered by the user. Much of the research done in this field is at least partially based on experimental testing involving head surrogates. In this research, a comparison between the results obtained with a clay head form and a head surrogate with force sensors was done to estimate the load on the skull during a small calibre impact event. 9 x 19 mm FMJ projectiles were fired at a typical ballistic helmet to study the indentation and the force generated by the back face deformation against the two different head forms, and compared with a numerical simulation to try to establish a link between both values.
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Estimating Obliquity of Ballistic Impacts from Residual Damage to Hard Armour Plates
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Abstract. A knowledge gap exists between hard body armour test and evaluation metrics and body armour impacts from military combat events, as different parameters are captured in each. In laboratory testing, the potential for behind armour blunt trauma (BABT) injury is assessed by measuring the backface deformation signature in clay following a controlled ballistic impact under set conditions that include known projectile, velocity, yaw, and obliquity. This contrasts with combat events where projectiles and impact conditions are generally unknown, and armour performance information arises from either complete or partial penetration of the armour or measurement of the permanent plate deformation. To reconcile the differences between test and theatre impacts, we developed a novel method to estimate obliquity from permanent damage on hard armour using computed tomography (CT) analysis. Verifying this methodology with test impacts under known conditions allows us to use this approach to study body armour impacts from theatre. To determine if obliquity could be accurately estimated from ballistic damage, ceramic plates were impacted in multiple locations at prescribed obliquities, then CT-imaged on a medical-grade scanner, and analysed using Materialise Mimics software. Obliquity estimates were conducted blindly to verify this methodology, which showed estimates having a mean difference of 5.3° from the actual test impact obliquities for impacts to the middle of the plates. Corner and edge impacts showed higher differences between the actual and estimated obliquities due to increased ceramic damage. This work now allows us to link deformation metrics between laboratory and combat events, enabling a better understanding of the impact conditions in which the plates were struck and permits more accurate reconstruction of impacts of interest.
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Experimental assessment of the effect of damage on the ballistic resistance of ceramic inserts
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Abstract. A military bullet-resistant vest typically consists of a soft body armour base vest (offering protection against handgun threats) with an additional front and back insert made of a high-hardness ballistic ceramic tile glued to a fibre-reinforced composite backing in order to offer protection against high-velocity rifle threats. Since the introduction of these ceramic armour plates in the Belgium Army, regular X-ray tests are made to check the condition of these plates. Due to the high quantity of ceramic plates, it is however not possible to check all plates every year, as stipulated initially, and hence a risk seems to exist that a number of end-users is equipped with damaged plates. In order to assist in evaluating this risk, this research has investigated different related topics. Firstly, a study was done on how damage to the ceramic insert affects its ballistic resistance. Two different approaches were used to this purpose: a multi-hit impact test and a ballistic resistance test on pre-cracked plates. The idea of the multi-hit impact test was to quantify how much the ballistic resistance drops when the damage increases in the plate. Up to four shots were done on each plate showing that the ballistic resistance drops considerably, even after a single impact. For this reason, a second batch of experimental tests was done with pre-cracked armour plates. Ballistic tests were performed on the cracked areas and also 2cm away from the cracked areas, showing that in both cases the damage was detrimental to the ballistic resistance of the plate. In order to reconcile the lack of non-destructive inspection capability (X-rays) and the necessity to assure the integrity of the plates, a novel technique was introduced that will possibly allow to reduce the number of plates to x-ray. This novel technique consists of using a shock impact indicator as an easy and straightforward way to detect the occurrence of incidents (typically drops) that might lead to damage in the plates. It consists in placing one sticker per plate, which turns red when a potentially damaging impact occurs.
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Carbon Nanotube Reinforced Alumina
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Abstract. Alumina is a common armour ceramic used mainly for its reasonable hardness and lower cost compared to other armour ceramics such as silicon carbide and boron carbide. However, to defeat similar rounds, alumina is heavier. Therefore, to optimize the mass of the armour, the improvement of the ballistic performance of alumina is needed. In this paper, various aspects on the development of multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) reinforced alumina composites, which are meant to provide improved ballistic performance, are described. The fabrication of MWCNT reinforced alumina composites involves first the deposition of the MWCNT onto alumina powder by a catalytic chemical vapour deposition (CVD) process. The bare alumina powder is stained with iron nitrate catalyst in a slurry process, dried, and processed in the CVD reactor under ethylene at 650 °C to deposit the MWCNT onto the powder. The processing conditions are optimized so as to control the composition and the morphology of this hybrid powder, as this will affect the microstructure of the resulting composite. Second, the MWCNT coated alumina powder is hot pressed into composite tiles. In parallel, unreinforced alumina tiles fabricated with the similar hot pressing conditions as the alumina composites were also produced. Third, both alumina and alumina composite tiles were evaluated for mechanical properties. Lastly, the ballistic performance of alumina and alumina composite was assessed using the Depth of Penetration test. This was followed with an extensive failure investigation conducted on the tested tiles using scanning electron microscopy, to elucidate the impact of MWCNT addition to alumina in modifying the ballistic performance of alumina.
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Risk of rib fractures assessment during kinetic energy projectile impact through experiments and modelling on a human torso FE model
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Abstract. The past decade has seen the rapid increase in ballistic impact studies, especially to understand the human body response, as well as to improve protective equipment. Indeed, the literature refers to severe blunt trauma caused by Less-Lethal Kinetic Energy (LLKE) projectiles but also by the body armour deformation called Behind Armour Blunt Trauma (BABT). Various materials have been employed as ballistic testing media such as ballistic gelatin and clay. Among these, a synthetic gel named SEBS is adopted by the French Ministry of the Interior as ballistic testing medium for impact interpretation. Although gel transparency provides a direct impact analysis using high-speed camera and provides information on the dynamic gel wall displacement, macroscopic data do not allow a direct evaluation of the risk of blunt trauma. Hence, the authors focus their research on the use of a biofidelic human torso finite element (FE) model named HUByx for impact modelling. The study of C. Bir about the impact of rigid LLKE projectiles on Post-Mortem Human Subjects’ sternum (PMHS) are exploited by reproducing impact conditions on both a gel block and a human torso FE model. This study results in the establishment of a probability of rib fractures as a function of the viscous criterion. On the one hand, these tests are employed to validate the torso model by comparing with biomechanical corridors. On the other hand, it allows the development of a methodology to obtain the risk of skeleton injuries based solely on experimental gel block data. Indeed, a statistical approach based on twelve impact tests is employed to define a suitable transfer function between results from a gel block test and the risk of rib fractures. A perspective of this study would be to apply and extend this strategy to the study of BABT only caused by body armour deformation.
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Characterization of combat helmet design trade spaces accounting for ballistic threats, brain functional areas and injury considerations
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Abstract. Combat helmet design requires trade-offs between anticipated threats, helmet geometry, ballistic materials and human factors. In this paper, we add helmet trade space analysis and optimization considerations to a previously developed helmet CAD software application that integrated engineering, biomechanical and medical considerations. The software application analyses individual helmet performance protecting 104 brain points, subjected to a set of 3000 omnidirectional ballistic threats, against skull fracture and nine fundamental focal and diffuse brain injuries. Helmet performance and the ten head injuries are predicted from helmet back face deflection and motion based injury criterion, using a combination of direct calculations, first-order models and tabulated empirical data. Baseline optimization strategies are developed for an illustrative small arms operational engagement scenario. Design parameters in terms of range to the adversary, affecting ballistic projectile impact velocity, and head-to-helmet spacing, affecting helmet impact injury severity. Optimization cost factors for human factors, operational effectiveness and head injury severity and treatment costs are constructed from available data. The software executes on a desktop computer in a few minutes for one helmet configuration and a few hours for trade space mapping, identifying useful paths to optimization strategies.
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Fragmentation testing of bomb suit using pipe bombs
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Abstract: The most prevalent domestic terrorist threat in the United States is currently the pipe bomb. As such, the US Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) led an investigation of the performance of legacy bomb suits against representative pipe bomb threats, from both fragmentation and blast overpressure points of view, to identify any potential gaps and to assist with recommendations on standard operating procedures.

Full-scale pipe bomb blast tests were thus conducted using human surrogates donning retired legacy bomb suit ensembles. A Hybrid II mannequin instrumented with accelerometers in its head and pressure gauges at its ear and sternum was used to gather blast overpressure protection data. The mannequin was located 1 meter away from 30 cm long pipe bombs made from standardised, off-the-shelf parts, filled with approximately 670 g of one of two different smokeless powders. The pipe bombs generated a wide array of fragment sizes and velocities, as observed through high speed video.

The bomb suits were shown to provide excellent protection, whereby no complete penetrations were noted in the critical areas of the body (head, neck & torso). Numerous fragments also hit the extremities of the suit, with the vast majority being stopped within the soft ballistic protection. While quantitative results showed that no direct blastwave-induced injuries would be expected from the pipebombs, substantial blunt impacts, yet not injurious, can arise from large pipe bomb casing parts.

Finally, an attempt was made to model the smokeless powder as an explosive to drive fragment velocity and mass-distribution analyses. Using the Gurney and Mott methodologies, the velocity of the fragments and their mass-distributions were estimated.

Curriculum Vitae: Aris Makris, Ph.D.
Poster 14 Wednesday, October 3 12:25-12:30 pm

Dr. Aris Makris (Ph.D. Mechanical Engineering - McGill University) has over 30 years of expertise in the fields of shock waves, detonation, and protection technologies related to these threats. Since joining Med-Eng in 1994, Dr. Makris has led numerous R&D programs geared towards the development of highly advanced personal protective suits, helmets, footwear, hand protection and related tools, for Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD), Demining and soldier protection. Dr. Makris has participated in the design and prototyping of several modular components, as well as, integrated body armour solutions for dismounted soldiers. Dr. Makris holds a number of patents in personal protective armour countering the effects of IEDs.
Tactical ballistic shields operational testing protocol definition
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Abstract: CANSOF has requested the assistance of DRDC to develop an operational testing protocol to evaluate tactical ballistic shields against multiple shots, from 7.62 mm unhardened steel core projectiles. This test protocol is the main core of a procurement contract for CANSOF to add a new item into their personal armour equipment inventory. The test protocol addresses the level of shield protection to prevent forearm and hand bone fractures, which are as a consequence of back-face transient deformation caused by impact of the projectile. The forces associated with these impacts may result in fractures or they may result in the operator momentarily dropping the shield out of the intended position. Furthermore, this protocol addresses the requirement to use the shield as an emergency ladder. The intent of this new test protocol is to address the worst case multi-shot grouping situation. A realistic scenario representing a barricaded shooter during a hostage rescue operation was reproduced. Highly skilled marksmen were asked to shoot stationary targets using both a carbine and a pistol. The shots were fired at distances of 5, 10, and 20 m and with 2 modes of fire: single shot and full automatic burst. The results were used to define the shot-to-shot distance for perforation performance evaluation requirements. To assess the risk of fracture to the forearm and hand during ballistic impact, a force sensor was positioned in the zone of interaction between the forearm and the hand and the back-face of the shield. Data collection includes impact duration, peak force and impulse. Finally, to address the control evaluation of the ‘ladder’ usage, a 2-stage compression test on the handle was developed taking into consideration the requirement for the handle to support up to 113 kg in static and dynamic loading conditions. To validate the protocol, 2 models of shield designs were used. This paper describes the steps followed to develop the protocol.
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Influence of flexible neck on head kinematic response during military helmet impact testing
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Abstract. The Advanced Combat Helmet (ACH) provides Soldiers with head protection against ballistic threats and blunt trauma. The ACH blunt impact performance standard is based on automotive helmet standards that assess the linear head motion associated with severe head injuries. Previous studies have shown that mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI), including diffuse brain injuries and concussion, is also linked to angular head motion. Certain helmet standards have incorporated a mechanical neck surrogate to account for rotational head kinematics. However, some standards only require a Hybrid III neck, which was designed specifically for frontal motor vehicle crashes. This study investigated the role of the neck in blunt impact performance assessments of the ACH using a pendulum-based test rig. The ACH was secured onto a National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment (NOCSAE) head, which was attached to a Hybrid III or EuroSID 2 (ES-2) neck. The ACH was impacted at the front, side, rear, nape, and ear cup at 3.0-6.0 m/s. Head kinematics and neck kinetics were compared based on the neck, impact location, and velocity. Experimental outputs served as inputs for the Simulated Injury Monitor (SIMon) computational model to calculate the Cumulative Strain Damage Measure (CSDM). As the impact velocity increased, head kinematics increased by factors of 2.0-5.4 and neck kinetics increased by factors of 1.7-2.9 based on neck type and impact location. A higher velocity also produced higher CSDMs at different strain thresholds with CSDMs at the 10% strain threshold increasing by factors of 6.0-30 based on neck type and impact location. Head kinematics, neck kinetics, and CSDM thresholds were influenced by the dummy neck used. Incorporation of a neck surrogate in blunt impact assessments of the ACH and potentially other military helmets would enable further analysis of injury metrics that correlate to angular head motion and brain strain.
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Determination of the Cause of the Differing Ballistic Performance of 9mm DM11 Bullets from Two Manufacturers
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Abstract. In London, firearm threats faced by police during criminal activity include 9mm handguns and sub-machine guns. The UK Home Office body armour standards have included 9mm DM11 A1B2, manufactured originally by Dynamit Nobel under RWS branding, for over a decade. The recently published 2017 UK Home Office body armour standard continues to specify the 9mm DM11 A1B2, however, the specified manufacturer has changed to Metallwerk Elisenhütte GmbH (MEN). The DM11 A1B2 bullet comprises a copper coated steel full metal jacket with a lead core and bullets from both are specified to the same drawings and dimensional tolerances. However, during empirical testing against soft armour systems differences have been observed in the V mean measured by CPA for the 2 bullets. As a result, body armour systems designed to pass the standard tests using the RWS 9mm DM11 A1B2 bullet manufactured may have a lesser safety margin when subject to impact with the equivalent MEN bullet. This paper reports on the results of an investigation into the causes of the differing performance of the two sources of 9mm DM11 A1B2 bullets. It includes a study of the metallurgy of the steel jacket, dimensional and mass comparisons and a range of high strain rate testing to compare the properties and deformation behaviour of the two bullet types. Ballistic tests have been performed to demonstrate how the difference in performance may be related to the observed differences in the steel jacket metallurgy and the resulting differing deformation behaviour. The study has shown that the root cause of the differing performance is due primarily to differences in the steel used for the jackets by the different manufacturers. This work has important consequences for the UK body armour industry and others testing with the 9mm DM11 round.
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Men and Women and Helmets and Necks
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Abstract. Discussions of the desirable limits on head-supported mass such as helmets and night vision equipment often lead to the observation that the Luo women of East Africa carry loads up to 70% of their body mass balanced on the top of their heads. On the other hand, it is difficult to imagine an effective combat force carrying 50 kg on their heads. Clearly, ergonomic and mobility constraints limit the desirable head supported mass. Higher mass and unbalanced loading increase the risk of injury or fatigue; however, while there are guidelines for centre of gravity targets for men such as the USAARL curves, these have never been fully assessed for use in helmet design for women, especially with respect to acutely injurious conditions. Further, the comparative sex-based anthropometric tradeoffs of muscle area/fatigue against head supported mass are not available. Women are not small men – they are two anthropometrically and physiologically distinct populations. For example, in size matched young men and women, men have larger necks relative to head size, implying different fatigue and injury tolerance bounds for men and women, and the kinematics of head supported mass is different between men and women. This study assesses the sex-based tradeoffs for helmet design for men and women for military protective helmets based on anthropometry and a computer neck model that includes active muscle response developed at Duke University. We provide guidelines for scaling equivalent head supported mass from men to women and assess the effect of head supported mass on compressive loading. These guidelines are intended to be used in assessing mass and moment of inertia tradeoffs for fatigue and injury in helmet design.
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Effects of Diaphragm Material and Rupture Patterns on Downstream Shock-wave Profile in a 91 cm x 91 cm Advanced Blast Simulator
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Abstract. The use of explosives as weapons in recent military conflicts has created a need to better understand the injury mechanisms produced by these explosive events, specifically the overpressure generated during a blast. To effectively study this, large scale blast simulation systems have been developed, but generating pressure magnitudes similar to those observed in free-field explosive events is difficult. This function is directly linked to the diaphragm used in these systems. High speed video was employed to record diaphragm ruptures and to study the effects of membrane rupture patterns on the downstream shock profile. Diaphragm pulling, or slipping, positively correlated to higher peak overpressures and total impulses. The exact location of the rupture (right, centre, or left) had no influence on the peak pressure, positive phase duration, impulse, or planarity. The maximum achieved percentage of the diaphragm opening created from the rupture did not correlate to the downstream shock profile. However, some weak trends were observed between percent open at 1.5 ms post rupture initiation and peak overpressure, as well as impulse. Based on the configuration of the blast simulator system used in this study, diaphragm failure is a primary predictor of the shock profile at the test section. Further research, especially with different diaphragm materials and blast simulator systems, is needed to better understand the scope to which these results are applicable.
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Accommodating the target population of single-sized EOD helmet models through the use of a multi-fit inflatable sizing system

M. Keown1, J.P. Dionne1 and A. Makris1
1Med-Eng, 2400 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, ON, Canada, K1G 6C4
jean-philippe.dionne@safariland.com

Abstract. The fit and comfort of a helmet influences the likelihood that it will be donned by its wearer and the willingness of that wearer to continue using the helmet for the duration of the activity. Helmet fit also influences the level of protection afforded by the helmet and its ability to provide this safety function, e.g. optimized coverage. The helmet of a bomb suit ensemble presents a fit challenge because it is typically offered in only one size and is expected to accommodate a large target population due to shared equipment within a bomb squad. In previous generation helmets, this challenge was addressed through the use of foam padding of various thicknesses, used in conjunction with the helmet comfort lining, and required a user to iterate sizing options through a trial and error process putting the helmet on and off. Inspired by inflatable helmet fit liners from other activities that allow a wearer to optimize the fit while wearing the helmet (e.g. American football), an inflatable liner was developed as a retrofit option to replace legacy fit systems. Responding to an end-user need to improve the fit of fielded equipment, the US Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office (CTTSO) funded this development program including the current study to compare the fit accommodation between legacy fit systems of several helmet models and an inflatable fit system. Volunteers were recruited to subjectively assess the quality of fit using each fit system optimized to their head size and shape. It was found that a novel inflatable system consistently provided an improved fit over legacy EOD helmet systems and participants had a preference for one material type, i.e. manufacturer, over the other.
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Automatic Body Characteristics Estimation From Body Armored Scans for Improved Body Armor Design

B-K.D. Park¹, B.D. Corner², and M. P. Reed¹
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² Ground Combat Element Systems, Marine Corps Systems Command, Quantico, VA

Abstract. Many studies have shown that body characteristics including standard anthropometric data can be effectively captured with three-dimensional (3D) body surface scans of a minimally clad individuals, and commercial systems are available that perform these measurements. Such approaches may facilitate the design of protective body-borne equipment, including body armor design, by allowing improved sizing and fit. However, participants are generally required to change into close-fitting garb to obtain an accurate measurement of body shape, and this requires a fair amount of additional time to take scans with and without clothes and equipment and raises logistical and privacy issues. In this paper, we present a rapid and automated body shape estimation method, called inscribed fitting, to efficiently capture estimate body characteristics for individuals wearing a wide range of ensembles, including protective equipment. For our equipped condition, we focus on scanning individuals wearing body armor and related gear. The inscribed fitting method utilizes a statistical body shape model that is based on hundreds of laser scans from minimally clad individuals with a wide range of body size and shape. The inscribed fitting method uses an iterative process to estimate the body shape underlying the body armor, based on the observation that the correct body shape can be approximated by largest feasible body shape that does not protrude through the clothed scan. The volumes between the estimated body shapes and the original armored scans are computed to analyze armor geometries relative to the body shape. The results demonstrate that body characteristics and armor fit can be estimated with good accuracy without the additional steps of scanning in a minimally clad condition and superimposing minimal and equipped scans. Our approach provides detail on armor fit and placement relative to the given body shape. Along with obtaining surface geometry, anthropometry, joint centers, and body landmarks, the inscribed scan may be linked to morphable models of internal anatomy. This paper is approved for public release: #MCSC-PRR-2353
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Role of inertia in armour ceramics

E. Carton and G. Roebroeks
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Abstract. At TNO a series of alternative experimental test set-ups and diagnostic tools for the ballistic behaviour of ceramic materials has been developed. Use of these methods for different ceramics, ceramic thicknesses, different projectiles at different impact velocities also including a wide range of backing materials at different thicknesses, has highlighted the large role of inertia (mass) during the projectile-target interaction process. All these experimental results can be well understood from an inertia point of view. This includes the widely accepted (increasing) order in ballistic efficiency of the most used armour ceramics when compared at equal areal density: Alumina, SiC, B₄C. This role of inertia can also be derived from the early work (end sixties, early 70's) on armour ceramics by M. Wilkins. TNO has generated an engineering model for ceramic based armour (ceramic strike face with/without a backing material). It quantifies the projectile-target interaction with quite accurate calculations of the mass loss and deceleration of the projectile during the interaction with ceramic, in a time-resolved manner. Based on the understanding of the large role of inertia on ceramic armour performance and using the engineering calculation model, TNO has identified new directions for the development of advanced armour ceramics.
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Using Material Combinations to reduce the effective Risk of Penetration from High Velocity Projectiles in a Helmet


Revision Military Inc. 3800 Saint Patrick, Suite 200, Montreal, Quebec H4E 1A4 Canada

Abstract: In helmet shell testing, the ballistic test protocols assume that the angle of impact dictates the effective thickness of the ballistic materials. It is generally accepted that an impact at 0 degrees obliquity presents the least thickness of material, and more oblique angles providing a greater effective depth. While the thickness, and effective thickness, of the ballistic material is directly related to protection levels in threats such as fragments, the same may not be true for high velocity rounds. There is evidence from the battlefield of helmets deflecting or stopping high velocity (HV) rounds that theoretically should have penetrated. Revision Military, as part of a Natick Soldier Research Development and Engineering Centre advanced helmet effort, hypothesized that by combining materials of different densities, the material system could be designed to capture a HV round, striking at an oblique angle or deflect it away from the user when penetration was highly likely at normal impact. The intent of the study was to verify whether material combinations could decrease the risk of a HV round penetration at oblique angles, and therefore that certain material combinations could be inherently ‘less risky’ than others. Evidence was collected indicating that specific material combinations that produce a predictable V50 for fragments and HV rounds can also significantly deflect HV rounds impacting at an oblique angle. The results may indicate that a battlefield risk based assessment methodology to helmet ballistic penetration could have merit after further investigation.
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Comparative Tests with “Pk17dynA” for an Integrated Assessment of Ballistic Backface Deflections on Combat Helmets

Steffen Grobert1, Heiner Gedon2, Steffen Peldschus1, Oliver Peschel1
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Abstract: The present study continues a scientific project dealing with experimental investigations on dynamic back face deflection caused by ballistic impact on combat helmets and the effect to the human skull. Following the presented results, functional and comparative test series with the measurement system “Pk17dynA” were conducted. The present paper provides total force values determined by firing 9 mm Luger on aramid and polyethylene helmets as well as 1.1 g FSP on aramid helmets on 4 different impact locations. To compare measurement values with the commercially available BLSH, an additional test series using a modified version of the PK17dynA, including PU-cover, is presented. The Pk17dynA system delivered suitable measurement results. Differences of curve progressions are pointed out by using an improved version of the analysis software. The interpretation of the results presented in this paper reconfirmed theories regarding the differences of the material behaviour. The detected variabilities are mainly depending on the helmet type, the chosen type of ammunition and the alignment of the whole test setup. A disadvantage was the lack of precise alignment possibilities of the headform to the line of fire, which lead to additional deviations. The positive results of the comparative tests with Pk17dynA and BLSH show the suitability of the presented modified headform for potential investigations on different defined boundary layers between helmet and head.
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Assessment of Behind Armour Blunt Trauma risk for a combat helmet by a combined experimental and numerical method

C. Deck¹, E. Van Daelen², N. Bourdet¹, M. M.G.M. Philippens² and R. Willinger³

¹Strasbourg University, UMR 7357-CNRS, 2 rue Boussingault, 67000 Strasbourg, France
²TNO Defence Security and Safety, P.O.Box 45, 2280 AA Rijswijk, Netherlands
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Abstract. The objective of this research is to develop a method to assess the skull fracture and mild traumatic brain injury risk for so called Behind Armour Blunt Trauma (BABT) using a state of the art Finite Element (FE) model of the human head for which tolerance limits for these specific injury mechanisms are available. The back face deformation, resulting from a 9 mm full metal jacket bullet, of a military combat helmet is measured experimentally in 3D by a high speed photogrammetric technique. The experimental recordings of the dynamical back face deformation (BFD) have been digitized and coupled to an existing predictive human head FE model. Head injury risk in terms of skull fracture and AIS2+ brain injury for a given dynamic BDF is assessed by numerical simulation. Moreover a numerical parametric study has been conducted, focusing on the stand-off of the helmet relatively to the head, by varying this distance from 19 mm to 24 mm. It is concluded that BABT results in serious risk on skull fracture or AIS2+ and is strongly sensitive for the helmet stand-off.
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Sensitivity studies of the BTTR surrogate and comparison between NLW and BABT applications

Cyril Robbe¹, Nestor Nsiampa¹, and Alexandre Papy¹
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Abstract. The study of the Behind Armour Blunt Trauma (BABT) is quite similar to the study of the impact of a Kinetic Energy Non-Lethal Weapon (KENLW). One can find in the literature several attempts to compare both phenomena. For KENLW applications, the method to assess the thoracic impact consists in measuring the $(V_C)_{max}$, which is a well-established injury criterion that could also be applicable to BABT studies. The proposed article will further investigate the suitability of the Blunt Trauma Torso Rig (BTTR) to study both domains. The BTTR consists of measuring the back-face displacement of a soft biofidelic membrane, ultimately computing the $(V_C)_{max}$ criterion. It has been validated for rigid KENLW projectiles. Four steps are followed in this article. Firstly, sensitivity studies are carried out concerning the location of the impact of a KENLW projectile, the location of measurement, the angle of impact and the local curvature of the membrane. Secondly, validation of the BTTR laser based displacement measurement is performed by using high-speed camera and comparing both measurements when undergoing a KENLW projectile impact. Thirdly, results of KENLW impacts are presented and an appropriate scaling coefficient is determined. Fourthly, BABT impacts of 9mm parabellum projectiles and 7.62x51mm NATO projectiles impacting the BTTR protected by an adequate body armour are presented and are compared to KENLW loadings. Conclusions and perspectives are then drawn.
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Behind armour blunt trauma assessment by means of experimental and numerical approaches
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Abstract. The risk of Behind Armour Blunt Trauma (BABT) has become a primary concern for the law enforcement officers, soldiers and armour manufacturers. Indeed, the need for body armour weight reduction and the enhancement of projectile efficiency may result in a higher body armour deformation and therefore, an increasing risk of blunt trauma. This study focuses on the soft body armour deformation where blunt trauma is supposed to be mainly due to the dynamic deformation of the protective equipment. Indeed, for the velocity range considered, it is assumed that trauma linked to shock waves may be neglected. The transparent synthetic gel SEBS is employed by the French Ministry of the Interior to capture the dynamic deformation of the body armour and interpret the impact scenario. In order to replicate impact conditions on a biofidelic human torso Finite Element (FE) model, it is necessary to develop a procedure for the modelling of various projectiles and soft body armours. Indeed, the complex material behaviour of the projectile and the body armour during dynamic loading makes difficult the numerical study. Firstly, the experimental backface deformation is exploited to build the geometry of an equivalent rigid projectile. Secondly, an inverse iterative approach using both experimental and numerical model of the gel block leads to the identification of the body armour material model. The maximum backface deflection is used as objectives to reach in the identification procedure, along with the shape of the deformation. This method is validated by comparing experimental tests with different projectiles and body armours with their corresponding FE modelling.

Then, the impact conditions are replicated on the torso model and the viscous criterion is calculated. Finally, a previous study about the risk of rib fractures evaluation during the impact of less-lethal kinetic energy projectiles is applied and extended for body armour assessment.
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The virtues of VIRTUS: Development and introduction of the new
VIRTUS body armour, load carriage and helmet system for UK Armed
Forces personnel.

E.A. Lewis and B. Clarke
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Abstract In 2015, the UK Ministry of Defence introduced a new, improved, integrated body armour, load carriage and helmet system to UK Armed Forces personnel called VIRTUS. Building on lessons from previous combat operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, VIRTUS evolved from the OSPREY body armour system that was developed and procured through an Urgent Operational Requirement (UOR). The OSPREY body armour system was continually modified and improved throughout its service life in response to medical evidence and user feedback. This resulted in piecemeal acquisition of components to enhance the coverage and protection; that whilst fully integrated with the OSPREY system, were not fully optimised. Whereas, VIRTUS was procured as a system; and includes a torso sub-system and a head sub-system. The VIRTUS torso sub-system is lighter, less bulky and more streamlined than OSPREY. VIRTUS has a novel sizing and fitting regimen based on medical coverage and anthropometric data and incorporates an innovative weight distribution system, a quick release function and utilises OSPREY plates. Currently, Defence Equipment and Support is in the process of procuring new lighter-weight, anthropometrically optimised plates, which will significantly reduce the mass of the plate, particularly for the smallest users. The VIRTUS head sub-system includes a helmet, mandible guard and visor which all integrate with the VIRTUS torso sub-system. The helmet was designed using medical coverage requirements and utilises lightweight innovative materials, making it lighter than the UK in-service MK7 combat helmet. This paper will provide the opportunity to describe the recent developments in personal body armour and load carriage systems procured and fielded to U.K. Armed Forces personnel. The paper will also describe the development of the technical and medical requirements as well as the innovative elements of the VIRTUS system itself.
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Computational analysis of performance of combat helmet to mitigate blast induced traumatic brain injury

X. G. Tan¹, R. N. Saunders¹ and A. Bagchi¹
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Abstract. Current understanding of blast wave transmission and mechanism of primary traumatic brain injury (TBI) and the role of helmet is incomplete thus limiting the development of protection measures. We explore the helmet configuration to investigate blast induced brain biomechanics and understand the role of helmet by utilizing an integrated experimental and computational method. Experimental shock tube tests of the head surrogate provide benchmark quality data and were used for the validation of computational models. The full-scale computational head-neck model with a combat helmet provides physical quantities such as acceleration, pressure, stress, strain, and energy thus provides a more complete understanding of conditions that may contribute to the TBI. This paper will discuss possible pathways of blast energy transmission to the brain and the effectiveness of helmet systems under the blast loads. The anatomically accurate finite element (FE) head model was applied to investigate the influence of helmet configuration and suspension pads. The blast wave loading on the helmet and head was generated by simulating a free-field explosion in the shock tube, via a two-phase computational fluid dynamics (CFD) formulation. By employing the Eulerian-Lagrangian fluid structure interaction (FSI) approach we solved the coupled problem of helmet and head dynamics exposed to the blast. Response metrics including head acceleration and intracranial pressure (ICP) were used to assess the protection performance of helmet while comparing with the unprotected head. The main contribution was the elucidation of blast wave brain injury pathways, including wave focusing in ocular cavities and the back of head under the helmet, the effect of neck, and the pressure entering the brain through the helmet and head. The helmet and suspension were seen to significantly affect the ICP results and energy transmission. These findings can be used to design future helmets including helmet shape, suspension systems, and eye protection.
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Shockwave pressure transmission through the ear canal with hearing protection
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Abstract. There have been a large number of studies relating blast overpressure exposure to hearing loss and the determination of the effectiveness of various forms of hearing protection to mitigate this loss. However, less effort has been focused on measuring overpressure penetration into the middle ear, particularly outside of standard auditory frequencies. Repeated exposure to low level overpressure events leads to complaints of dizziness and nausea in some people. One hypothesis is that overpressure penetration into the middle ear is directly affecting the vestibular organs. To determine overpressure penetration into the ear, we developed a human head surrogate with an anatomically accurate ear and ear canal. Pressure sensors of varying capabilities were placed at the location of the ear drum and the head and eye sockets filled with a tissue simulant gel. The surrogate was placed near various breaching situations to determine the portion of pressure, compared to free field, entering the ear canal and impinging on the eardrum. The surrogate was also used in conjunction with a shock tube to determine the effect of directionality on the pressure transmission to the eardrum. The presence of hearing protection not only decreased the peak amplitude of pressures into the ear but also changed the frequency spectrum from the pressures as measured at the eardrum. External versus internal pressures were compared to determine what portion of external pressure actually transmits into the ear canal and into auditory organs with and without the hearing protection.
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Physical, clinical and numerical approaches of the risks for a dismounted soldier facing IED explosion
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Abstract. Combat suits including ballistic proof vests and combat helmets have considerably been improved over the last decades to protect soldiers from bullets and shrapnel. However, explosion of charges most often improvised especially in urban areas or confined spaces, causes new patterns of blast-related injuries in dismounted soldiers and interaction between blast overpressure and ballistic protections brings new avenues of research. Under specific conditions, a possible amplification of the pressure behind the soft layers of ballistic protection cannot be excluded, causing more internal injuries than in unprotected soldier. Additional hard plate seems to locally prevent the injuries but restricts mobility of the soldier. To clarify these phenomena, a new French program on blast interaction with dismounted soldier has been ran. The aims are to investigate physically, clinically and numerically the risks of physiological dysfunctions and life threatening injuries during primary blast exposure, to later gain understanding on the cascade of events in protected or not conditions.

This paper describes the specific experimental setup, putting together anthropomorphic instrumented dummies and monitored anaesthetized swine. Correlations between physical parameters of the loading and levels of injury are investigated. The threat sizing (height of burst, mass and distances), the positioning of the biological reactor are discussed and the first trends are given.

On unprotected animals, an average side-on pressure of 450 kPa and 2.25 ms positive phase duration induces diffuse hematoma of the right exposed lung with an increase of the ratio lung/body weights by 41%. Moreover, numerous intestinal hematomas are noticed with possible multiple sites of intestinal wall rupture. No immediate signs of a tangible effect of primary blast on haemostasis are observed. Current and next objectives will be an assessment of different ballistic protection levels both on dummies and animals.
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Two-Sample Statistical Inference for Ballistic Limit Testing of Body Armour
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Abstract. Ballistic limit testing is a type of sensitivity testing where the velocity at which a kinetic energy threat impacts an armour sample is varied and the binary penetration result (partial or complete) is recorded. The data is then analysed to estimate the probability of penetration as a function of threat velocity assuming some probability distribution using a generalized linear model. As the body armour community continues to develop lighter armour solutions, it is desirable to know if the protection afforded by these lighter systems remains comparable to the protection provided by legacy systems. Typical protection levels of interest include the V\(_{50}\) (velocity at which there is a 50% probability of complete penetration) and the V\(_{10}\) (velocity at which there is a 10% probability of complete penetration). Traditional model-building strategies involve likelihood ratio tests on the linear parameters of the model and do not directly test for differences in the protection levels of interest. These statistical tests can be adapted, however, to test for significant differences in the V\(_{50}\) or in an extreme quantile, such as the V\(_{10}\). Lastly, since proper test planning involves sample size determination, it will be shown how Monte Carlo simulations can be used to estimate power for a given sample size and test method. Power tables are provided for various sample sizes using both the Neyer D-optimal and c-optimal test methods for the probit link function.
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An analysis of the fit and function of the UK OSPREY body armour system for female users on combat operations

J.I. Davis¹, E.A. Lewis¹ & J.R. Ellett²
¹Defence Equipment and Support, UK Ministry of Defence, Abbey Wood, Bristol, BS34 8JH, UK
James.Davis126@mod.gov.uk
²Army Engagement Group, The Cottages, Royal Military Academy Sandhurst, Camberley, Surrey, GU15 4PQ.

Abstract. In 2016, the ban on women in ground close combat roles throughout the UK’s Armed Forces was lifted. In anticipation of this, work has been on-going to prepare future ballistic protection programmes for a potential increase in the number of female users. A human factors study on the fit and function of the OSPREY body armour system was therefore carried out with female users whilst on combat operations. A questionnaire was designed and provided to 150 female users of OSPREY body armour to complete whilst on combat operations in Afghanistan. The questionnaire asked the users to rate the comfort of their OSPREY body armour along with their ability to carry out basic tasks. It also asked for other background data such as size of body armour worn and bra size to supplement the quality of the data. The questionnaire responses were analysed and the results demonstrated that survey participants reported various types of discomfort when wearing their OSPREY body armour, with 135 instances of discomfort experienced in the hip region, for example. Challenges were also reported in the respondent’s ability to carry out basic movements, with the tasks being rated on a Likert scale as difficult or very difficult by between 29% and 59% of respondents. In addition, a restriction in ability to access to personal equipment worn on the person (including pouches, trouser pockets) was commented on by 39% of respondents. The analysis demonstrated that female users reported challenges relating to the fit and function of their body armour. Using data from this work, current and future programmes can address some of these challenges by optimising the fit of body armour for all users. The VIRTUS body armour system for UK Armed Forces Personnel has already addressed some of the reported issues and further work is on-going.

Curriculum Vitae: James Davis
Presentation 35 Thursday, October 4 11:15-11:45 am

James joined the UK MoD in 2014 on the Defence Engineering and Science Graduate scheme and has been working on projects in the area of personal protection for the past year. James currently works in the Technology Office in Defence Equipment and Support, where he has worked on projects including the Army Warfighting Experiment, the female fit of current Body Armour systems and Additive Manufacturing. He has a Master of Engineering in Mechanical Engineering.
Abstract. Head supported mass, including helmets, night vision, communications, and other attachments, is a two-edged sword. Though such technologies generally increase soldier survivability, there are functional occupational limits to how much mass may be borne effectively and safely. The chronic effects of an increased head supported mass include acute and degenerative cervical spine injuries. To understand the role this increased mass plays in chronic cervical spine injuries, the sensitivity of intervertebral stresses to the location and magnitude of the head supported mass was assessed using the Duke University Human and Neck Model (DUHN). The DUHN is a hybrid multibody and finite element model equipped with active musculature and anatomically accurate stiffness of spinal units and has been validated against human response in the mid-sagittal plane for neck tension, compression, flexion, and extension. The region of interest included head supported mass from 0 to 5 kg at locations 0-100 mm from the head centre of gravity in the vertical and horizontal directions. Simulations include the effects of running (~1 g -1 Hz sinusoidal input), jumping from low height (4 g -100 ms half sine input), and parachute drops (~10 g -50 ms half sine input) on maximum neck forces and moments. Extreme scenarios show increasing mass as well as the distance anterior to the centre of gravity increase the maximum moment and force in the neck by nearly an order of magnitude. It has been shown that tensed cervical muscular alone can reach 40-45 percent of compressive neck tolerances. Incorporating both repeated impact response from occupational loads (jumping, walking, etc.) and increased head supported mass can increase the compressive loads beyond muscular response. Based on these simulations, we provide initial contours for design guidance envelopes for head supported mass and centre of gravity location in terms of career longevity and assumed occupational scenarios for head supported mass under repeated impact loading.
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Digital Head Avatars for combat helmet fit

A.J.K. Oudenhuijzen\textsuperscript{1} and F.B. ter Haar\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{1}TNO Training and Performance Innovations, Kampweg 5, Soesterberg, 3769 DE, Netherlands (presenting author), aernout.oudenhuijzen@tno.nl

\textsuperscript{2}TNO Intelligent Imaging, Oude Waalsdorperweg 63, 2509 AK, Den Haag, Netherlands frank.terhaar@tno.nl

Abstract. Today's combat helmet is becoming more than only a means to protect the warrior's head. It is more and more used as a platform for sensors and has to integrate with other protection devices. As such, the combat helmet is becoming an integrated system with higher demands on combat helmet fit and stability. Combat helmet fit is usually tested in time consuming field trials. This paper describes a study on the use of digital head avatars for combat helmet fit testing in the quest for less time demanding trials. A family of seven digital head avatars with various head dimensions was selected from our database of 3D whole body scans of the Dutch Military Forces. Multiple head dimensions were used to select these seven head avatars which were then printed in 3D. Different helmet configurations were placed onto the digital head avatars as well physically on a set of subjects (male, n = 29) with comparable head dimensions, following the manufacturers guidelines. Each subject and head avatar were scanned with and without the helmet. After digitizing these helmet configurations, the orientation and position of each helmet was analysed using 3D CAD. The results revealed that the helmet orientation and position was the same for the real subjects and for the digital head avatars. Hence, the use of helmet fit testing on digital head avatars has been validated, which opens the path to use digital head avatars for combat helmet fit testing. Currently this method is applied in the replacement of the Dutch combat helmet. A family of high resolution Digital Head Avatars (DHAs) was constructed using the 3D head scans from subjects. The family of seven DHAs represent 95\% of the Dutch soldier population. These DHAs are used to analyse each helmet fit in a digital manner. Thus, it partly replaces field trials with the focus on helmet fit, other aspects such as thermal comfort, pressure points, compatibility with government furnished equipment still has to be evaluated in field trials.
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Use of Hybrid III mannequins for a blast overpressure standard test methodology

J.P. Dionne, J. Levine, and A. Makris

Med-Eng, 2400 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, ON, Canada, K1G 6C4
jean-philippe.dionne@safariland.com

Abstract. The currently released version of the NIJ standard for public safety bomb suits (NIJ 0117.01) only includes a qualitative blast overpressure assessment (bomb suit integrity), without any quantitative measurement. NIJ’s rationale for the omission of quantitative requirements is that at the time of publishing the standard, research and data related to the effects of blast overpressure was limited. However, end users identify this as major gap, and as such an ASTM working group (WK57359) is developing a quantitative blast overpressure standard, to be used as a complement to the NIJ 0117.01. One of the challenges faced in the development of this ASTM standard is the selection of a human test surrogate. A test surrogate for a standardized test methodology should be readily available by any test laboratory, have a realistic human shape for appropriate donning of the bomb suit and helmet, allow for standard instrumentation, survive a large number of blasts, be configurable in different positions (e.g. standing, kneeling), and provide repeatable data. Ideally, the test surrogate should also provide quantitative data that can be correlated to blast injury. In the context of the development of this ASTM standard, the current paper investigates the appropriateness of the Hybrid III mannequin for blast overpressure testing of bomb suits. Based on historical data collected through bomb suit testing, it is concluded that despite the indirect link between Hybrid III data and potential for blast injury, this mannequin is suitable towards a quantitative blast overpressure standard. Suggestions are provided in terms of the minimum number of tests to be conducted to achieve some minimal statistical significance for bomb suit blast overpressure performance, in the view of costs associated with blast testing of such expensive personal protective equipment, and the inherent variability of blast.
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The Lessons of History: Helmets and Primary Blast

J. Op 't Eynde\textsuperscript{1,2}, A. W. Yu\textsuperscript{2}, C. P. Eckersley\textsuperscript{2} and C. R. Bass\textsuperscript{2}
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Abstract. Since World War I, helmets have been used to protect the head in warfare. They have been designed primarily for protection against artillery shrapnel. More recently, helmet requirements have included ballistic and blunt trauma protection, but neurotrauma from primary blast has not been a key concern in helmet design. This study compares the blast protective effect of historical (WWI) and current combat helmets, against each other and the ‘no helmet’ case, for realistic shock wave impingement on the helmet crown. Helmets included WWI helmets from the UK/US (Brodie), France (Adrian), Germany (Stahlhelm), and a current US combat helmet (ACH). Helmets were mounted on a Hybrid III® (Humanetics) dummy head and neck and faced towards the ground with a cylindrical blast tube (30.5 cm diameter) aligned along the crown of the head to simulate an overhead blast. Primary blast waves of different magnitudes were generated. Peak reflected overpressure at the open end of the blast tube was compared to peak reflected overpressure at the crown of the head. A general linear model was used to assess the effect of helmet type and tube pressure on the resultant crown pressure response. The interaction between helmet type and tube pressure was found to have a significant effect on the outcome. ‘No helmet’ and the Adrian helmet were each found to be statistically significantly different from all other helmets. The peak crown pressure was lowest in the Adrian helmet and highest in the ‘no helmet’ case. The Stahlhelm, Brodie, and ACH were not found to be statistically different from each other. The study demonstrates that both the historical and current helmets have some primary blast protective capabilities, and that simple design features may improve this capability for future helmet systems.
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Realistic helmet blunt impact protection vs. standards requirements

J. Levine, J.P. Dionne, D. Bueley and A. Makris

Med-Eng (Safariland), 2400 St. Laurent Blvd., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1G 6C4
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Abstract. While bomb suits typically focus on blast overpressure and fragmentation protection, head and spine impact protection are essential to protect from falls and blunt impacts against rigid surfaces after a blast. As such, the Public Safety Bomb Suit Standard from the US National Institute of Justice (NIJ -0117.01) includes head and spine impact protection requirements based on drop tower test methodologies. However, drop towers do not necessarily appropriately replicate typical blunt impacts from blast, and as such, the current study was aimed at evaluating the protection provided by bomb suit helmets in more representative scenarios involving anthropomorphic mannequins. More specifically, Hybrid III mannequin free falls were investigated, to generate two types of representative impacts, for comparison with the drop tower tests. Impact velocities were selected based on the NIJ Standard as well as human surrogates propelled by the force of a blast. The present study concluded that the impact energy levels from the existing drop-tower based head protection standard requirements are significantly higher than realistic EOD threats. This study highlights the fact that standards requirements must only be perceived as minimum requirements for head protection, keeping in mind that realistic incidents are likely to yield much more severe impacts for which a higher level of protection may be required.
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Influence of helmet system on blast overpressure transmission into the brain

T.J. O'Shaughnessy¹, Y. Chen¹, D.M. Horner², M.J. Doherty³, G.H. Kamimori³ and A. Bagchi³
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Abstract. Exposure to blast overpressures is a reality of the modern military experience, whether it be on the battlefield or during training. While exposures during training are controlled and maintained within safety standards, there is still concern over the effects of repeated exposure, even to these low-level overpressures. While quantifying the overpressure of a blast event in air is relatively straightforward, the challenge is to determine the amount of energy that penetrates into the brain, potentially causing damage. To this end, we have constructed several gel-based brain surrogates with integral pressure sensors and accelerometers and mounted these 'brains' within surrogate heads. During several military training exercises, these surrogate systems were exposed to different levels of blast overpressure from low (considered safe) through high (expected to produce significant injury). Pressures within the brain were measured both with and without helmets present. At low overpressures, the pressure measured under the helmet was close to the reflected pressure measured at the eye. As the overpressure exposures increased, however, the underhelmet pressure relative to the eye measurements decreased. The presence of a helmet resulted in a 20-60% increase in measured brain pressures relative to no helmet. The extent of the increase depended on the size of the peak overpressure at the eye. It is clear that the interaction of blast overpressure events with the human head, brain, and armour systems is complex and likely varies depending on the blast magnitude.
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Effect of Helmet and Eyewear on Headform Kinematic Response to Primary Blast Overpressure Exposure

V. Alphonse1, C. Camae1, Q. Luong1, J. Clark1, J. Andrist1, K. Townsend1, M. Maffeo2, M. Carboni2, and J. Cyganik2,3
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Abstract. The Blast Overpressure Simulation System (BOSS), a passive diaphragm-driven Advanced Blast Simulator at the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory has been used to establish requirements for a standardized test methodology for headborne protective equipment. An advanced instrumented headform mounted on a Hybrid III neck was fitted with and without a helmet and/or eyewear and was tested inside the BOSS in four orientations with respect to the propagation of the shock wave (forward, rearward, inclined, side) to model various operational scenarios. Overpressure was measured along the walls of the BOSS as well as on the surface of the headform at 18 locations. Data from an accelerometer and angular rate sensor inside the headform, combined with high speed video of each event, was recorded to quantify the kinematic response of the head/neck. Characteristic shock wave metrics including peak overpressure magnitude, positive phase duration, and positive phase impulse were calculated for each sensor location for every test. The addition of the helmet altered the overpressure response recorded by the sensors compared to the bare headform by itself; the significance of these changes relied largely on sensor location and headform orientation. Generally, positive phase duration remained statistically similar; however, peak overpressure and positive phase impulse were statistically different for the bare and helmeted headform for some sensor locations and headform orientations. The data reported herein indicates the addition of a helmet affects the characteristic metrics that may be used to evaluate personal protective equipment and that the orientation of the headform affects many of the changes in these metrics. In the absence of well-understood pressure-related injury criteria to interpret the significance of these changes, it is recommended that testing of personal protective equipment includes multiple test conditions and orientations to establish a standard test methodology.
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Investigations on projectile ricochet off helmets

M. Seidl
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Abstract: The ricochet effect is a threat and ballistic protection. A ricochet occurs when the projectile rebounds off a surface and deflects from its original trajectory after striking the target at an obliquity angle. Such deflected projectiles are known to be a potential threat. However, projectile deflection means avoidance of penetration through the target. This study discusses the ricochet effect off body armour, particularly helmets, to avoid penetration and increase survivability. The complex helmet surface poses challenges to determining impact conditions, such as the obliquity angle of a projectile. These imprecise initial conditions result in limitation of measurement precision during experiments. Numerical simulations — defined in the explicit Lagrangian solver in the commercial LS-DYNA program — are used to accompany the experimental data and support a better interpretation of the obtained results.
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Methodology for small arms vulnerability analysis of protected and unprotected man

S. Hedenstierna¹, M. Hartmann¹ and Peter Alvå¹
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Abstract. In order to evaluate the vulnerability of the protected and unprotected man for different small arms systems there is a need for a lethality and survivability analysis tool that consider hit probability, penetration of body armour and wound ballistics. Many nations have their own tool for vulnerability analysis, often developed for fragments with straight wound paths and not for tumbling projectiles. A methodology has been developed that combines algorithms in the Swedish vulnerability/lethality (V/L) assessment tool AVAL with vulnerability computations from the ComputerMan code developed by ARL in USA, and a newly developed model for projectile tumbling. This new methodology can be used to analyse the vulnerability of soldiers with or without modern body armour, subjected to small arms projectiles. The tumbling model describes how the projectile’s projected area changes as the projectile tumbles in the body. The model is empirical with input from experimental data of projectile interaction with gelatine. It gives the depth where the tumbling starts (neck length) and tumbling velocity as primary parameters of the wounding potential. The vulnerability is computed with the tumbling model in a call routine to ComputerMan. The tissue wound models and incapacitation computations are used as they are described in the ComputerMan 1994 version. The body armour penetration is computed with AVAL. In cases when the projectile fragments during perforation of the body armour, AVAL also computes the residual velocities, directions and masses of the fragments, which are then provided as input to the vulnerability computations in ComputerMan. The results from ComputerMan are returned to AVAL for further assessments and graphical presentation. In a scenario context a tactical hit point distribution computation can be included in the analysis, shifting the focus from vulnerability to lethality and survivability.
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A pilot study comparing the thoraco-abdominal anatomical data of the Visible Human Project Female to three living females
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Abstract. Several human computational models have been developed to assess anatomical vulnerability to ballistic threats, particularly for the thoraco-abdominal region. The internal anatomy of such models is typically based on that of a single male individual, and this is often the Visible Human Project (VHP) dataset. The degree to which the positions and dimensions of the internal organs and anatomical structures within such models are representative of those of a broader population, such as male soldiers, has seldom been assessed. Further, when females are the population of interest, the use of the female VHP female data set (n=1 cadaver) might seem more appropriate. However, the age (59 years) and various anthropometry measurements of this individual are not representative of a typical female soldier, suggesting the representativeness of this data to a broader female military population for the purposes of predicting internal anatomical positions may also be questionable. This pilot study compared the external anthropometry and relevant internal thoraco-abdominal anatomical positions and dimensions of the VHP female with a small group of three living females (aged 27-31 years). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of three living females was performed using conventional recumbent MRI and anatomical structure positions and dimensions were derived from these images, as well as from the images of the VHP female. The effect of breathing on the dimensions and positions of organs and structures of the three living females was also investigated. A larger cohort of living females is required to develop a suitably representative data set for application to a broader female military population.
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Combat helmet blunt impact performance and test method and injury criteria.
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Abstract. Head injury criteria commonly used for the assessment blunt impact protection are applied for combat helmet. Impact velocities and the expected injury severity are compared for operational conditions. The only proposed requirement applied until now is a maximum of 150 g for a guided headform drop tests. However, this exceeds the concussion tolerance of the Abbreviated Injury Scale injury severity score 2 (AIS2) for humans. A series of free fall drop tests in line with the United Nations Economic Commission regulation 22.05 (ECE 22.05) helmet standard shows that peak g and the Head Injury Criterion (HIC) are close to or above the AIS3 injury severity. Application of a Finite Element (FE) head model with non-isotropic characteristics, includes tolerances for diffuse axonal injury and skull fracture confirms the HIC based conclusion. Tests according to a standard for industrial safety show results close or just over the 5 kilonewton (kN) skull base force. It is suggested that blunt impact performance should be addressed more strictly in future combat helmet design to come more in line with operational conditions and military requirements. Especially FE models and rotational acceleration based criteria provide better resolution for the military operational conditions and requirements.
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Development of physical and numerical head substitute used for wound ballistic assessment
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Abstract. The field of wound ballistics is as old as the use of firearms on the battlefield. Since the 19th century, researchers have worked to understand the interaction between projectiles and the human body. We propose here to study and design simultaneously a material and a numerical model of the human head for ballistic experiments. The dummy head should give quantitative predictions about ballistic wounds whenever a real head suffers injury under the same experimental conditions. The application fields are war surgery, protection against ballistic threats and forensic science. The experimental range will first be limited to handgun. The construction of a dummy head is the main goal of this study. To achieve it we will rely on the knowledge acquired by mechanical characterization of parts of the human head (skull, brain and skin). The physical study will be coupled to a numerical study of the proposed structure. A numerical dummy head would provide a powerful alternative to ballistic experiments. Coupled with the knowledge gained on stiff targets, it could fully simulate rather complex cases as those encountered in the surgical and forensic fields. The simultaneous development of a physical and a numerical model is a strong scientific choice. We believe that it will prove its value to the specialists involved in the field of wound ballistics.
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