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Background Information:

1. Description of research need:

“Big data” is a term that equates with data analytics or informatics. Industries worldwide are using analytics in a multitude of ways to determine everything from geographical flu outbreaks to cancer treatments. In forensic toxicology, laboratories accumulate large amounts of data, but rarely have the time or capability to use those data in a fashion that facilitates smart analytical design and data interpretation. In respect to analytical design, data can be tied to case history (circumstances of death, known medications, pathologies, etc.) to design what testing needs to be performed. By performing such “smart design” of testing, cost-savings to laboratories would be expected to be substantial compared to the general unknown approach. Additionally, such a process would be capable of being automated and tied into a LIMS for test ordering. In respect to data interpretation, a synthesis of case history and analytical findings in relation to informatics derived from data mining would provide data interpretations with much greater clarity and statistical significance compared to the empirical method of data interpretation performed today. Only through analysis of large amounts of data can either goal be realized. Such analysis requires skilled individuals in data analysis, high-level computing capabilities and individuals capable of integrating the information gleaned into practical applications. Such tools are already being used in clinical laboratory testing.

2. Key bibliographic references relating to this research need:

3a. In what ways would the research results improve current laboratory capabilities?

Current laboratory capabilities in forensic toxicology have not made significant advancements in the use of critically collected data over years. These data are of remarkable value in guiding the assignment and interpretation of toxicological testing. An additional benefit is economical savings by providing smart test assignment as opposed to the general unknown approach. Laboratories will be able to automate case-specific testing requirements in forensic toxicology. Additionally, forensic toxicologists will be able to provide interpretation of findings with greater confidence and in a statistically-sound fashion.

3b. In what ways would the research results improve understanding of the scientific basis for the subcommittee(s)?

This particular research would allow the subcommittee to develop a scientific basis for standard or guideline production in the specific areas of the use of analytics in forensic toxicology. This area is virtually unexplored in forensic toxicology. Without such research, the huge amounts of data collected by forensic toxicology laboratories will continue to go unused in a codified, practical means to facilitate both economical, analytical and interpretive benefits.

3c. In what ways would the research results improve services to the criminal justice system?

Data analytics will provide better case-specific testing in forensic toxicology. Cost-savings will accrue based on focused testing as opposed to performing multiple testing protocols used in the general unknown analysis as it occurs today. More importantly, data mining would provide greater confidence in interpretation of the role of toxicants in human performance and death investigation.

4. Status assessment (I, II, III, or IV):  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major gap in current knowledge</th>
<th>Minor gap in current knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No or limited current research is being conducted</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing current research is being conducted</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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