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Question 1: What studies have been published in the past 5 years that support the foundational aspects of each of the pattern-based forensic science methods, including (but not limited to) latent print analysis; firearms/toolmarks; shoe/tire prints; bitemark analysis; questioned documents? What studies are needed to demonstrate the reliability and validity of these methods?

The following are literature citations for studies published in the past five years that provide foundational support to the discipline of firearm and toolmark comparison. Although these citations respond specifically to this Council’s focus within the last five (5) years, it should be noted that a plethora of important literature has been generated outside this time constriction, which was reported in 2011 to the Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation subcommittee on Forensic Science Interagency Working Group (RDT&E IWG).

Scientific practice demands that possible exceptions be researched and published (efforts to test or falsify), and that a large body of confirmatory evidence from training programs, experimentation, etc., will forever remain unpublished.

It is the opinion of The Firearms/Toolmarks subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) that the profession and science of firearm and toolmark comparison rests on a solid scientific foundation. The citations below represent a minor selection from a much larger body of work that encompasses nearly a century of research and experiential knowledge. Despite this confidence, the professional community continues to perform new research and welcomes the scientific method of vigilant and rigorous testing of the underlying principles of the discipline. New studies using three dimensional measurement instruments and comparison software have provided objective data that supports the range of conclusions used by the profession.

A short summary or abstract follows each citation.

Firearms Identification, Bullets

This was a study of marks on fired bullets by a topography based (3D) automated system. This study continued the analysis of a previous 2005 NIJ bullet study and validated the original premise of Firearm/Toolmark ID. This study also concluded that 1) the ability to determine that a given bullet was fired from a specific barrel depends on the individual barrel itself and not only on the brand of its manufacture, and 2) the performance of the automated analysis system used in this study is not representative of that of a trained firearms examiner as humans have a remarkable ability to perform pattern matching that is difficult to be replicated in any automated system.


This paper describes an empirical study of ten consecutively manufactured Glock barrels containing the Enhanced Bullet Identification System (EBIS). Study consisted of test sets sent to 238 examiners from 150 laboratories in 44 states and 9 countries that were designed to test the examiner’s ability to correctly identify fired bullets to the barrel that fired them. The results from 183 of these examiners produced an error rate of 0.4%. This study validated the repeatability and uniqueness of striated markings in gun barrels, as well as the ability of a competent examiner to reliably identify fired bullets to the barrels that marked them.


This article discusses the reproducibility of toolmarks on 7.62mm high velocity bullets fired through a single M240 machine gun barrel. Over the years, there have been several research studies and published articles pertaining to consecutively manufactured rifled barrels and the ability to microscopically identify bullets as having been fired through the same barrel of a firearm; however, to the knowledge of the authors, there has not been any in-depth microscopic study pertaining to 20,000 bullets being fired through a single rifled barrel and subsequently identified to that particular barrel. This study was designed to provide credible evidence in regards to the reproducibility and uniqueness of striations on the bearing surfaces of fired bullets. Despite changes to the reproducibility of some of the individual markings over the course of the study, the authors were able to correctly identify the barrel of origin for each of the collected fired bullets. See subsequent related article:


This paper described a study of fired bullet markings from ten consecutively manufactured firearm barrels by an automated 3D signature analytic method. This study used 3D topography image capture technology with acquisitions that were cross-correlated to existing firearm Consecutive Matching Striae (CMS) identification criteria. Results provided a fairly objective test that demonstrated support for these firearm CMS criteria.


The validity and reliability of firearm and toolmark analysis has been debated, often revolving around the subjectivity of the methods examiners use. This study attempts to evaluate examiners’ conclusions through objective computer analysis. Bullets, knowns and unknowns, fired through ten consecutively rifled barrels were used for the study. Unknown bullets were identified to the barrels from which they had been fired using traditional comparison techniques. Each land impression (LI) was photographed, and the distances of the prominent striae to one shoulder of the LI were measured using computer software. Two methods of selecting measurable striae were used. The data from these measurements was then converted into a barcode representative of the LI from which it was taken. Barcodes were subjected to Principle Component Analysis (PCA), and a Support Vector Machine (SVM) was employed to evaluate the computer’s ability to correctly identify which LI was represented by the barcode, based on SVM analysis error rate (ideal error rate =5%). Optimal error rate varied based on selection technique, with 19.444% and 1.149% being the optimal values obtained by each method. The second result, generated by the majority of bullets analyzed, indicated the computer was able to adequately group barcodes according to their common origins, supporting the examiner’s identifications. This research and described methodology may provide support for the reliability of firearm and toolmark analysis.

Wong, C., “The Inter-Comparison of 1,000 Consecutively-Fired 9mm Luger Bullets and Cartridge Cases from a Ruger P89 Pistol Utilizing both Pattern Matching and Quantitative Consecutive Matching Striae as Criteria for Identification”, AFTE Journal, Volume 45, Number 3, Summer 2013, pp. 267-272.

Previous studies have investigated the effect of consecutive firing of firearms to determine how the wear on barrels and breechfaces would affect the identification of fired bullets and
cartridge cases. This study was conducted to determine if the toolmarks on fired bullets and cartridge cases would change significantly after firing 1,000 cartridges through a Ruger P89 9mm Luger semiautomatic pistol, while using both pattern matching and quantitative consecutively matching striae (QCMS) as identification criteria during the comparison process. While there were some differences between the toolmarks on the bullets and cartridge cases throughout the firing sequence, each bullet and cartridge case was successfully identified to the first bullet or cartridge case.


This article is a follow-up to an article that was published in the AFTE Journal-Volume 44, Number 3-Summer 2012, titled “Reproducibility of Toolmarks on 20,000 Bullets fired through an M240 Machine Gun Barrel”. Using a second M240 Machine gun with its original barrel, along with a new spare barrel assembly, thirty (30) additional bullets were test fired through both barrels and subsequently inter-compared blindly by four firearm and toolmark examiners, one of which had just completed his formal two-year training period. Additionally, the recovered (60) test fired bullets from both barrels were also mixed with the 127 bullets recovered during the test firing of 20,000 bullets in the reproducibility study and examined by the four firearm and toolmark examiners in a blind test study, in order to determine whether or not the examiners could correctly identify or eliminate the bullets as being fired through the correct barrel. A total of 164 questioned fired bullets were examined, which resulted in 164 correct answers from the participants in the study (zero percent error rate).


The basis of an expansive database and electronic comparison system (Evofinder) used by the BKA in Germany is evaluated and a mathematical value is proposed to rate the correlation quality. This effectiveness criterion can be valuable to give an objective assessment of different electronic comparison systems. Additionally, the applicability of the system on different calibres and land engraved area (LEA) width is discussed. The so called scores are also on disposition and their benefit to a decision-making is debated. The article also shows results for cartridge cases.

Firearms Identification, Cartridge Cases

An empirical study was conducted using ten (10) consecutively finished Hi-Point model C9 slides and one frame acquired from the Hi-Point Manufacturing Company in Mansfield, Ohio. The ten (10) slides were mounted on the frame and test fired to obtain cartridge cases for comparison. The test fired cartridge cases were microscopically examined, evaluated and compared for class and individual characteristics that resulted from the manufacturing process. Prominent striations were evident on each test-fired cartridge case. These resulted from sanding of the breech face. The variations that occur during the manufacturing process of sanding result in unique, identifiable, individual breech face marks devoid of subclass influence. A limited validation study was conducted after the empirical study. Correct associations were made during this limited study.


A comparison microscope employing the standard optical comparison method and confocal microscopy, with subsequent cross-correlation topography analysis, were used to correctly identify cartridge cases fired from ten consecutively made pistol slides.

Subsequent cross correlation function analysis and statistical analysis of match and non-match scores correctly identified the fired cartridge cases back to their respective known slide source in 19 of 20 occasions with one inconclusive result. Results of the mathematical determination of slide source were compared to the validated results from the microscopic comparisons.


This was a statistical study that evaluated 3D quantitative surface topographies of toolmarks, consisting of fired cartridge cases, screwdriver and chisel striations, generated using confocal microscopy. Principal component and canonical variate analysis, as well as support vector machine methodology, was used to objectively associate these toolmarks with the tools that produced them. Estimated toolmark identification error rates were approximately 1% using these algorithmic methods. The findings of this objective and quantitative scientific research support the general conclusions codified in the AFTE Theory of Identification.

This study of fired cartridge cases from ten consecutively manufactured firearms was conducted to determine the reproducibility and reliability of obturation marks from reamed chambers for identification purposes. Results of this empirical study, which consisted of sixty-four (64) participants from nineteen (19) national laboratory systems, effected a sensitivity rating of 0.927.

These results demonstrate that obturation markings imparted on fired cartridge cases can be used as a reliable means of identification to the firearm that marked them.


A Browning Hi-Power semiautomatic pistol and a Hi-Point model C semiautomatic pistol were test fired a total of 1,440 times each, and the chamber marks imparted to the fired cartridge cases were examined. Ammunition used included cartridges with cases made of aluminum, brass, and nickel-plated brass. Microscopic comparison of the chamber marks revealed that they were reproducible and identifiable up to 960 firings and that the metallic composition of the cartridge case does affect the reproducibility of the chamber marks.


This study examines the capability of the IBIS system to find known matching fired cartridge cases that have been produced after the moderate use of a Glock firearm. A total of 500 cartridges were fired from a Glock pistol. The individual characteristics of the breech face and firing pin persisted throughout the firings, and IBIS was able to properly correlate the known match within the top twenty results for each cartridge case entered.


This was a study of 90 test fired cartridge case specimens from ten consecutively manufactured pistol slides. A total of 8010 comparisons were conducted by using confocal microscopy with a 3D cross-correlation analysis logarithm. The average match scores were 0.82 with the average non-match scores 0.20. There was no overlap of scores between matching and non-matching test scores. This study provided objective data that supports the AFTE Theory of Identification.
Cazes, M. and Goudeau, J., “Validation Study Results from Hi-Point Consecutively Manufactured Slides”, AFTE Journal, Volume 45, Number 2, Spring 2013, pp. 175-177.

This study was designed to determine whether trained firearm and tool mark examiners could identify eight unknown fired cartridge cases to one of five consecutively manufactured 9mm Hi-Point model C-9 pistol slides. The five slides were used to create a total of twenty-six (26) test sets, each containing a known/control set and an unknown set of fired cartridge cases. The participants were informed that the firing pin impressions, extractor marks, and ejector marks should not be used for identifying purposes, as the frame of the firearm (including the firing pin) was the same for all test sets. A total of sixty-nine (69) responses were received from participants that took part in the study. Over three-fourths of the participants used the technique of pattern matching only to complete this study, while the remainder used both pattern matching and consecutive matching striae (CMS). All of the participants reported correct results. There were no inconclusive responses and no incorrect responses validating the hypothesis that firearms examiners could differentiate between consecutively manufactured Hi-Point slides.


This was an empirical study of marks produced from 10 consecutively Ruger brand manufactured pistol slides by 217 firearm examiners from 46 states and the District of Columbia. Results of this study established an error rate of less than 0.1%, and validated toolmark durability as these slides maintained their individual signature after multiple firings.


This report describes the permanence of the toolmarks on cartridge cases discharged from 9 mm calibre Walther P99 pistols. Three weapons that were subjected to extensive firing in the years 2010 and 2012 were used for the study. The cartridge cases expended from the firearms in these two years were examined in order to verify whether the marks on them have been persistent. Results have shown that breechface recess marks, firing pin impression and firing pin aperture shear marks showed reproducibility. In addition, all the marks above except the breechface impression held sufficient individual characteristics for identification. Thus, the identity of the weapon from the expended cartridge cases from Walther P99 pistols after extensive firing could be determined. Significantly, the breechface recess marks presented themselves in all the three weapons as useful for comparison.
This paper describes a no-gun empirical study of fired cartridge cases to determine the frequency of error in firearms identification using a declared double-blind testing format; i.e., a declared test containing blind elements. Seventy-four of seventy-five examiners accurately identified the questioned fired cartridge cases to the respective known specimens with no false positives. This study also demonstrated that examiners were able to accurately evaluate breechface markings avoiding mis-identifications from substantial subclass marks borne by the cartridge cases.


This report provides the details for a study designed to measure examiner error rates for false identifications and false eliminations when comparing an unknown to a collection of three known cartridge cases. Volunteer active examiners were provided with 15 sets of 3 known + 1 unknown cartridge cases fired from a collection of 25 new Ruger SR9 handguns. The ammunition was all Remington 9-mm Luger. Responses were received from 218 participating examiners. The rate of false negatives was estimated as 0.367%. The overall rate of false positives was estimated as 1.01%. However, most of the errors were reported by a small number of examiners; that is, individual examiners have varying error rates. Laboratory error rates may be significantly lower than these individual rates if quality assurance procedures are applied that can effectively reduce or eliminate the propagation of false positives reported by individuals.

Song, J., “Proposed ‘Congruent Matching Cells (CMC)’ Method for Ballistic Identification and Error Rate Estimation,” AFTE Journal, Volume 47, Number 3, Summer 2015, pp177-185

Based on the concept of correlation cells, a Congruent Matching Cells (CMC) method is proposed for ballistic identification and error rate estimation using three sets characteristic parameters of the paired correlation cells: cross correlation function maximum CCFmax, spatial registration positions in x-y and registration phase angle θ. The proposed CMC method can be used for correlation of both geometrical topographies and optical images. The CMC parameters and algorithms are in the public domain and subject to open tests. Based on the CMC method, an error rate procedure for ballistic identifications is described, which uses binomial distributions to model correlation results for both matching and non-matching image pairs.

This was a study of ten (10) consecutively manufactured slides using 3D topography technology with correlations of paired breech marking correlation cells to establish firearm identifications. Test results showed significant separation between KM and KNM distributions without any false positive or false negative identification.

Firearm and Toolmark Identification Theoretical


In this article, a potential model for increasing the objectivity in the interpretation of toolmarks was explored using consecutively matching striae (CMS) and Bayesian inference. Given the nature of the data, standard statistical thinking suggests that Bayesian inference is likely to be the most powerful method of interpretation. The unavoidable paucity of data for high CMS runs for the known non-match condition was handled using a small advance in modelling. The resulting likelihood ratios showed some, but incomplete, separation between the known match and known non-match conditions. Although promising, the resulting incomplete separation between known matches and known non-matches was thought to represent limitations of the CMS summary of the complete pattern and limitations of the modelling used.


Toolmark test specimens from nine slotted screwdrivers were encoded into high-dimensional feature vectors and analyzed by multiple statistical pattern recognition methods. The statistical methods used, which are widely known and accepted in academic applications, rely on few assumptions of the data’s underlying distribution, can be accompanied by standard confidence levels, and are falsifiable. Correct classification rates of at least 97% were achieved.


Historically firearm and toolmark examiners have rendered categorical or inconclusive opinions and eschewed probabilistic ones, especially in the United States. The authors of this article suggest this practice may no longer be necessary or desirable, and outline an alternative approach that is within a comprehensive logical/Bayesian paradigm. Hypothetical forensic and
non-forensic examples are provided for readers who are practicing firearm and toolmark examiners, and the strengths and weaknesses of both approaches are considered.

Kerkhoff, W., et al., The Likelihood Ratio Approach in Cartridge Case and Bullet Comparison,” AFTE Journal, Volume 45, Number 3, Summer 2013, pp 284-289

This article summarizes the different aspects of the discussion that led to the implementation of the likelihood ratio approach to firearms identification by the Firearms Section of the Netherlands Forensic Institute (NFI). The authors’ (three firearms examiners and a statistician) perspectives on the use of this approach in cartridge case and bullet comparison are shared.

Toolmark Identification


This study statistically validated the original premise of individuality in Toolmark Identification by analyzing statistical distributions of similar values resulting from the comparison of Known Matches (KM) and Known Non-Matched (KNM) pairs of striated toolmarks. This quantifiable analysis of KM and KNM toolmark similarity distributions showed nearly error-free identifications.


A statistical analysis and computational algorithm for comparing pairs of toolmarks by profilometry data was conducted. Toolmarks produced by 50 sequentially made screwdrivers, at selected fixed angles, were analyzed both empirically (by practicing examiners) and by established computational algorithms. The results of these comparisons, as well as a subsequent blind study with the participating examiners, showed scores of good agreement between the algorithm and human experts. It was also noted that in some of the examination phases, examiner performance was much better than the algorithm.


This was a computational study that used algorithmic methods of toolmark striation patterns produced by screwdriver tips and firearm firing pin apertures in determining error rates.
Multivariate analysis, as well as support vector machine methodology, was used to objectively associate these toolmarks with the tools that produced them. Estimated toolmark identification error rates were approximately 1% using these algorithmic methods. The findings of this objective and quantitative scientific research support the general conclusions codified in the AFTE Theory of Identification.


This paper described an automated blind study of toolmarks from consecutively made chisels and punches utilizing 2D and 3D topography analysis. These analytical comparative results were expressed as a maximum value of the normalized Cross Correlation Function (CCF). Based on the CCF metric, all of the toolmarks were correctly identified to the tool that produced them. This study provides additional objective scientific support for the validity of Toolmark Identification.


This was a follow-up study on Zhang and Chumbley’s research (See Emerging Research Section) regarding the development of virtual toolmarks by a 3-D computer simulation that would allow for the development of highly predictable toolmark characterizations. The initial study involved the production of test toolmarks by six screwdriver tips that were then compared by a previously developed statistical algorithm.

Preliminary experimental results indicate that the use of a manipulative, virtual tool could provide quantitative data for the characterization of tool marked surfaces that would improve the scientific basis of toolmark identification. These results support the present theory and conclusions held in Toolmark Identification.


The purpose of this study was to perform a validation study to determine if screwdrivers that are consecutively manufactured using the computer numerical control (CNC) process can be identified by trained forensic examiners after having their class characteristics reproduced by striated toolmark samples. The results were based on participation from seven members of the Scientific Working Group for Firearms and Toolmarks (SWGGUN) and yielded an error rate of 0.00%. This result provides support of toolmark identification in the scientific community, thus complying with the Daubert standard. These results further demonstrate the CNC consecutive-
manufacturing process did not eliminate the individual or class characteristics of the screwdrivers and does not interfere with the ability of examiners to correctly associate tools and the marks they leave on working surfaces.


In this study, an automated method was presented for objective comparison of striated marks of screwdrivers. The combination of multi-scale registration (alignment) of toolmarks, that accounts for shift and scaling, with global cross-correlation as objective toolmark similarity metric renders the approach robust with respect to large differences in angle of attack and moderate toolmark compression. The performance of the method was evaluated using 3D topography scans of experimental toolmarks of 50 unused screwdrivers. Known match and known non-match similarity distributions are estimated including a large range of angles of attack (15, 30, 45, 60 and 75) for the known matches. It was demonstrated that the system has high discriminatory power, even if the toolmarks are made at a difference in angle of attack of larger than 15 degrees. The probability distributions were subsequently employed to determine likelihood ratios.

Fracture matching


This study was a validation of a fracture matching method utilizing two consecutively-manufactured hacksaw blades fractured eleven times and inter-compared. Two hundred fifty-three (253) topical comparisons were conducted between forty-four (44) fractured edges. Additional fractured hacksaw blade test specimens were produced and sent to examiners around the world yielding three hundred-thirty (330) test results.

Emerging Research


This paper details a comparative pilot study of 3D (three dimensional) imaging technologies for potential application in forensic firearms and toolmark identification; as such it reviews the most up-to-date profiling systems. In particular, the paper focuses on the application of 3D
imaging and recording technology as applied to firearm identification, being a specialised field within the discipline of toolmark identification. Each technology under test employs a different technique or scientific principle to capture topographic data i.e. focus-variation microscopy, confocal microscopy, point laser profilometry and vertical scanning interferometry. To qualitatively establish the capabilities and limitations of each technology investigated, standard reference samples were used and a set of specific operational criteria devised for successful application in this field. The reference standard crucially included and centred on was the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 'standard bullet'. This was to ensure that evaluation represented the practical examination of ballistic samples i.e. fired cartridge cases and bullets. It is concluded that focus-variation microscopy has potentially the most promising approach for a forensic laboratory instrument, in terms of functionality and 3D imaging performance, and is worthy of further investigation.


This paper detailed a study on fired bullet markings using automated bullet identification systems that employ an edge detection algorithm and selection process that locates the edge points of significant toolmark features was conducted. Results of this study validated the differentiation ability of individual characteristics if a proper striation threshold length could be established.


Over the last several decades, forensic examiners of impression evidence have come under scrutiny in the courtroom due to analysis methods that rely heavily on subjective morphological comparisons. Currently, there is no universally accepted system that generates numerical data to independently corroborate visual comparisons. This research attempted to develop such a system for tool mark evidence, proposing a methodology that objectively evaluates the association of striated tool marks with the tools that generated them. In this study, 58 primer shear marks on 9 mm cartridge cases, fired from four Glock model 19 pistols, were collected using high-resolution white light confocal microscopy. The resulting three-dimensional surface topographies were filtered to extract all "waviness surfaces"-the essential "line" information that firearm and toolmark examiners view under a microscope. Extracted waviness profiles were processed with principal component analysis (PCA) for dimension reduction. Support vector machines (SVM) were used to make the profile-gun associations, and conformal prediction theory (CPT) for establishing confidence levels. At the 95% confidence level, CPT
coupled with PCA-SVM yielded an empirical error rate of 3.5%. Complementary, bootstrap-based computations for estimated error rates were 0%, indicating that the error rate for the algorithmic procedure is likely to remain low on larger data sets. Finally, suggestions were made for practical courtroom application of CPT for assigning levels of confidence to SVM identifications of tool marks recorded with confocal microscopy.


This was a systematic study of direct measurement and correlation of surface topography on fired bullet markings. Based on this on this system, a prototype for bullet signature measurement and correlation was developed that has demonstrated superior correlation results for bullet signature identifications.


A firearm leaves a unique impression on fired cartridge cases. The cross-correlation function plays an important role in matching the characteristic features on the cartridge case found at the crime scene with a specific firearm, for accurate firearm identification. This paper proposed that the computational forensic techniques of alignment and effective correlation area-based approaches to image matching are essential to firearm identification. Specifically, the reference and the corresponding cartridge cases are aligned according to the phase-correlation criterion on the transform domain. The informative segments of the breech face marks are identified by a cross-covariance coefficient using the coefficient value in a window located locally in the image space. The segments are then passed to the measurement of edge density for computing effective correlation areas. Experimental results on a new dataset show that the correlation system can make use of the best properties of alignment and effective correlation area-based approaches, and can attain significant improvement of image-correlation results, compared with the traditional image-matching methods for firearm identification, which employ cartridge case samples. An analysis of image-alignment score matrices suggests that all translation and scaling parameters are estimated correctly, and contribute to the successful extraction of effective correlation areas. It was found that the proposed method has a high discriminant power, compared with the conventional correlator. This paper advocates that this method will enable forensic science to compile a large-scale image database to perform correlation of cartridge case bases, in order to identify firearms that involve pairwise alignments and comparisons.

Research on the development of virtual toolmarks by a 3-D computer simulation that would allow for the development of highly predictable toolmark characterizations. The initial study involved the production of test toolmarks by six screwdriver tips that were then compared by a previously developed statistical algorithm. Preliminary experimental results indicate that the use of a manipulative, virtual tool could provide quantitative data for the characterization of tool marked surfaces that would improve the scientific basis of toolmark identification.


In this paper, experimental results from a statistical analysis algorithm for objectively comparing toolmarks via data files obtained using optical profilometry data were described. The algorithm employed has successfully been used to compare striated marks produced by screwdrivers. In this study, quasi-striated marks produced by the cutting surfaces of slip-joint pliers were examined. Marks were made by cutting both copper and lead wire. Data files were obtained using an optical profilometer that uses focus variation to determine surface roughness. Early efforts using the comparative algorithm yielded inconclusive results when the comparison parameters used were the same as those employed successfully for screwdriver marks. Further experiments showed that the algorithm could successfully be used to separate known matches from non-matches by changing the comparison parameters. Results are presented from the analysis of the copper wires.


This paper reported on an automated study of marks contained on fired cartridge cases from seventy-nine (79) 9mm Luger caliber pistols were conducted using 3D surface topography analysis and coupled to a bivariate evaluative model to assign likelihood ratios. The purpose of this analytic system was to conduct an objective comparative analysis with a robust statistical evaluation basis to the results. The system reflected a very high discriminating ability between the known and non-known specimens. This study also reflected very low rates of misleading evidence depending on the firearm considered.

The Alabama Department of Forensic Sciences (ADFS) procured a confocal microscope for the purpose of incorporating three-dimensional (3D) topographical analysis into routine casework. The purpose of employing such a technique was to assist the firearm and toolmark examiner by complementing routine analysis with an independent objective analysis. This article covered the research procedures conducted using confocal microscopy at the ADFS.


This paper presents a set of matching experiments conducted using a novel 3D imaging and analysis system for cartridge cases, TopMatch. The system utilizes the GelSight photometric stereo sensor to measure micron scale surface geometry and a novel feature-based matching algorithm to score the geometric similarity between measured surfaces. The matching algorithm separately considers the impressed breech face impression and the striated aperture shear and then combines their similarity into a single confidence score. The system demonstrates excellent recall rates with no false positives across a set of experiments involving 290 firearms and 700 cartridge cases from 24 firearms manufacturers. This was the first publication describing this new technology and the first round of matching results. Improvements to the imaging and matching algorithms are already underway.


A blind study was conducted to determine whether virtual toolmarks created using a computer could be used to identify and characterize angle of incidence of physical toolmarks. Six sequentially manufactured screwdriver tips and one random screwdriver were used to create toolmarks at various angles. An apparatus controlled the tool angle. Resultant toolmarks were randomly coded and sent to the researchers, who scanned both tips and toolmarks using an optical profilometer to obtain 3D topography data. Developed software was used to create virtual marks based on the tool topography data. Virtual marks generated at angles from 30 to 85° (5° increments) were compared to physical toolmarks using a statistical algorithm. Twenty of twenty toolmarks were correctly identified by the algorithm. On average, the algorithm misidentified the correct angle of incidence by −6.12°. This study presents the results, their significance, and offers reasons for the average angular misidentification.

Over a period of 21 years, a number of fired GLOCK cartridge cases have been evaluated. A total of 1,632 GLOCK firearms were used to generate a sample of the same size. Our research hypothesis was that no cartridge cases fired from different 9-mm semiautomatic GLOCK pistols would be mistaken as coming from the same gun. Using optical comparison microscopy, two separate experiments were carried out to test this hypothesis. A subsample of 617 test-fired cases were subjected to algorithmic comparison by the Integrated Ballistics Identification System (IBIS). The second experiment subjected the full set of 1632 cases to manual comparisons using traditional pattern matching. None of the cartridge cases were "matched" by either of these two experiments. Using these empirical findings, an established Bayesian probability model was used to estimate the chance that a 9-mm cartridge case, fired from a GLOCK, could be mistaken as coming from the same firearm when in fact it did not (i.e., the random match probability).


Historical and recent challenges to the practice of comparative forensic examination have created a driving force for the formation of objective methods for toolmark identification. In this study, fifty sequentially manufactured chisels were used to create impression toolmarks in lead (500 toolmarks total). An algorithm previously used to statistically separate known matching and nonmatching striated screwdriver marks and quasi-striated plier marks was used to evaluate the chisel marks. Impression toolmarks, a more complex form of toolmark, pose a more difficult test for the algorithm that was originally designed for striated toolmarks. Results show in this instance that the algorithm can separate matching and nonmatching impression marks, providing further validation of the assumption that toolmarks are identifiably unique.

Reviews


This paper provides a review of recent investigations on the image processing techniques used to match spent bullets and cartridge cases. It is also, to a lesser extent, a review of the statistical methods that are used to judge the uniqueness of fired bullets and spent cartridge cases. The authors reviewed 2D and 3D imaging techniques as well as many of the algorithms used to match these images. They also provided a discussion of the strengths and weaknesses of these methods for both image matching and statistical uniqueness. The goal of this paper was to be a reference for investigators and scientists working in this field.
The application of surface topography measurement methods to the field of firearm and toolmark analysis is fairly new. The field has been boosted by the development of a number of competing optical methods, which has improved the speed and accuracy of surface topography acquisitions. The authors describe some of these measurement methods as well as several analytical methods for assessing similarities and differences among pairs of surfaces. They also provide a few examples of research results to identify cartridge cases originating from the same firearm or tool marks produced by the same tool. Physical standards and issues of traceability are also discussed.

Question 2: Have studies been conducted to establish baseline frequencies of characteristics or features used in these pattern-based matching techniques? If not, how might such studies be conducted? What publicly accessible databases exist that could support such studies? What closed databases exist? Where such databases exist, how are they controlled and curated? If studies have not been conducted, what conclusions can and cannot be stated about the relationship between the crime scene evidence and a known suspect or tool (e.g., firearm)?

Creating baseline frequency studies is a difficult proposition in the field of Firearms and Toolmarks Examination due to the dynamic nature this type of evidence presents. Given there can be no degree of control over the absence or presence of affected surface areas that may
contain baseline marks makes the use of a standard frequency database difficult. However, in recent years research has been and continues to be conducted using computer technology to begin formulating criteria and to assist in creating objective, measurable standards for identification within the field.

There are published papers and books examining the relative frequency of toolmark evidence (Question # 2 References, #’s 1-11). These studies concluded the chance of a coincidental match to be low, and that a high degree of similarity between two toolmarks provides a strong basis for a conclusion of common origin. These studies remain theoretical in nature and are not applied to toolmark casework in the forensic laboratory. There are a large number of random and changing factors in tool (and firearm) manufacturing. Therefore the goal of producing a statistical model or mathematical equation that can accurately predict toolmark variance remains elusive. The marks used by toolmark examiners are random in nature, and thus establishing a probability model requires an empirical statistical approach. This is a stark contrast to DNA that uses a generative model (the Hardy-Weinberg equation). Despite these difficulties, scientists continue to research the concepts of frequency, probability, likelihood ratios and automated comparisons in field of toolmark identification (see Question 2 References, #’s 12-28).

NIST in collaboration with the FBI and crime labs across the U.S. is currently compiling a database of known test fired bullets and cartridge cases, and will be the curator of this set of reference samples. The purpose of the database, as outlined by NIST at http://www.nist.gov/forensics/ballisticsdb/, is to foster the development and validation of measurement methods, algorithms, metrics, and quantitative confidence limits for objective firearm identification. Furthermore, the database is intended to improve the scientific knowledge base on the similarity of marks from different firearms and the variability of marks from the same firearm, and ease the transition to the application of three-dimensional surface topography data in firearms identification. This database will serve as a useful set upon which different search and analysis software can be compared.

Additionally, the lack of frequency data or the ability to express an opinion as a likelihood ratio does not automatically lessen a scientific conclusion. Many of humankind’s greatest scientific discoveries did not enjoy the benefit of a probability distribution but rather utilized detailed observations from clearly reasoned experimental design. It has historically been, and remains, a primary goal of the firearm and toolmark profession to support practitioners’ conclusions with objective or statistical criteria. However, the fact that work remains does not make the current state of toolmark comparison bad science.
Question 3: How is performance testing (testing designed to determine the frequency with which individual examiners obtain correct answers) currently used in forensic laboratories? Are performance tests conducted in a blind manner? How could well-designed performance testing be used more systematically for the above pattern-based techniques to establish baseline error rates for individual examiners? What are the opportunities and challenges for developing and employing blind performance testing? What studies have been published in this area?

In firearms and toolmark identification, performance testing (as defined above) is determined by a series of different tests and experiments. First, the overall reliability of a trained examiner to correctly differentiate and associate items based on the comparison of microscopic toolmarks has been demonstrated through nearly a century of empirical research, validation tests, and proficiency test data. Furthermore, over the past decade, research using 3D topographical data and comparison algorithms provides strong, statistical support for the firearm and toolmark examiners experiential knowledge.

Within the laboratory, firearm and toolmark examiner training is often the most rigorous and time-intensive of all the forensic disciplines. A typical trainee will train for at least two years prior to performing any casework. Once the trainee has completed their training, they will be presented a series of competency tests. Following successful completion of these tests, they will advance onto performing monitored/supervised casework, after which they advance to journeyman level status and are qualified to perform full casework. Typically, post training, examiners are required to complete (at least) one proficiency test a year in each discipline they are qualified. Data has been collected from published results from commercial proficiency tests providers. This data has been used to evaluate potential error rates within the field. However, this data must be used with caution as the commercial providers do not control for the level of training or prior competency before issuing a test and recording the results. The evaluation of an individual examiner’s performance on proficiency tests is often monitored by a laboratory quality assurance manager (Question #3 References, [1]).

The proficiency test is generally not blind; however, the correct answer is not known by the examiner. In order to combat some of the challenges in providing a truly blind test, some laboratory systems do periodically test examiners blindly through a re-examination process or a blind verification process. However, these practices anticipate a consensus opinion, and the answer is not one grounded in truth like the current proficiency test method. It would be extremely difficult to produce a truly blind test in a forensic laboratory. These considerations and complications of implementing blind forensic proficiency tests are well outlined in the articles by Peterson, et al (Question #3 References, [2,3]). To highlight some of the difficulties: The test provider(s) would have to produce fake reports, evidence, packaging, and all other
documentation in order to make the evidence appear “real”. Additionally, with many laboratory systems carrying case work backlogs, in order to not bias the examiner and treat the test blindly, it would have to be subject to the same timeliness criteria as other cases. This task alone is herculean given the patchwork nature of United States Forensic Laboratories. Furthermore, law enforcement investigators would have to submit requests to examine this evidence, and then the laboratory would have to ensure each examiner is provided a test, but do so in a “blind” manner. It is our opinion that this is not a practical use of laboratory resources (both cost and manpower). We are only aware of the studies referenced above (Peterson et al).

Question 4: What are the most promising new scientific techniques that are currently under development or could be developed in the next decade that would be most useful for forensic applications? Examples could include hair analysis by mass spectrometry, advances in digital forensics, and phenotypic DNA profiling.

The Firearms/Toolmarks subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) has established a Task Group to study and evaluate the research and development of instruments and software that can accurately measure and compare microscopic toolmarks and provide statistical weight to the comparison. This technology has the potential to provide greater objectivity and statistically-supported conclusions to the science of firearms and toolmark comparison.

Question 5: What standards of validity and reliability should new forensic methods be required to meet before they are introduced in court?

In anticipation of the role that technology will play in the near future for Firearm and Toolmark Examination, the Firearms/Toolmarks subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) is in the process of writing and publishing validation standards for the implementation of new technology in the firearms and toolmark laboratory.

Question 6: Are there scientific and technology disciplines other than the traditional forensic science disciplines that could usefully contribute to and/or enhance the scientific, technical and/or societal aspects of forensic science? What mechanisms could be employed to encourage further collaboration between these disciplines and the forensic science community?
The Organization of Scientific Area Committees, established by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has as a primary goal to answer this very question. The majority of forensic science disciplines have now been brought together within one entity with a purpose of establishing scientifically sound standards of practice within each discipline. The ability to share knowledge and research and to collaborate between like disciplines is now a greater possibility which will only serve to enhance the technical and societal impacts of forensic science.

Specifically within the discipline of firearms and toolmarks comparison, our profession has begun collaboration with computer scientists utilizing machine learning algorithms. Machine learning is a subdiscipline of computer science that utilizes probability and statistics to develop algorithms for pattern recognition. Since the comparison of toolmarks is the comparison of patterns, the collaboration between firearms and toolmark examiners and computer scientists is a collaboration that has started to produce interesting research papers (examples cited in Question #6 References [1-10]).

Metrology is a second discipline that has enhanced the science of firearm and toolmark identification. Metrology is the science of measurement. In order to use computer pattern recognition algorithms to compare toolmarks, the toolmarks must be accurately measured. This is where the metrology scientists have (and will) help the forensic community evaluate and implement the best technology for the task at hand (examples cited in Question #6 References [11-18]).

We believe the firearms and toolmark examiner community, in collaboration with the disciplines above, has a good understanding of the problems and potential solutions facing our profession. The problem is finding time and funding to conduct the necessary research. The vast majority of the research published in forensic science journals is based on volunteered time and conducted by a few dedicated individuals. This country would be wise to implement a broader source of forensic science research funding (e.g. NSF and NIH). One way to accomplish this task would be to increase the research funding already provided by the NIJ. If the goal is to have forensic science research move forward faster, forensic science research needs to be a viable full time career option.
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Sincerely,

[Signature]

Andy Smith
Chair
OSAC Firearm/Toolmark Subcommittee