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Sponsors

- Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
- Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)
- Intelligence Technology Innovation Center (ITIC)
- National Institute of Justice (NIJ)
- Technical Support Working Group (TSWG)
Participation

- Results received from ver1.0a by deadline
  - 10 Participants
  - 32 Experiments
- Results received from ver2.0 by deadline
  - 17 Participants
  - 53 Experiments
3rd FRGC Workshop Agenda

- FRGC Overview and Experiment Results
- Introduction to FRVT 2005
- Break
- Guest Speakers
  - Ross Beveridge, Colorado State University
  - David Kriegman, KBVT
  - Alice O’Toole, University of Texas at Dallas
  - Klaus Keus and Christoph Busch, German Face Recognition Testing
- Lunch
- Technical Presentations
- Adjourn
FRGC and FRVT 2005
Grand Challenge Team

- P. Jonathon Phillips—Director, FRGC
- Patrick Flynn—Notre Dame
- Todd Scruggs—SAIC
- Joe Marques—Mitre
- Kevin Bowyer—Notre Dame
- Jin Chang—Notre Dame
- Kevin Hoffman—SAIC
- Jaesik Min—Notre Dame
- William Worek—SIAC
Outline

• Overview of Face Recognition Grand Challenge (FRGC)

• Overview and Results of FRGC ver2.0

• Introduction to the Face Recognition Vendor Test (FRVT) 2005
FRGC and FRVT 2005

• What is the difference between FRGC and FRVT 2005?
  - FRGC (May 2004 – August 2005)
    - Still and 3D face recognition algorithm development project
  - FRVT 2005 (August/September 2005)
    • Independent government evaluation of face recognition systems
    - Measure progress since FRVT 2002
FRGC Background

- Renewed interest in developing new methods for automatic face recognition
  - Fueled by advances in
    - Computer vision techniques
    - Computer design
    - Sensor design
    - Interest in fielding face recognition systems

- New techniques have potential to significantly reduce error rates
FRGC Goal

• The primary goal of the FRGC is to:

  Promote and advance face recognition technology designed to support existing face recognition efforts in the U.S. Government
FRGC Primary Objective

Develop still and 3D algorithms to improve performance an order of magnitude over FRVT 2002.
Select Point to Measure

• Verification rate at:
  - False accept rate = 0.1%

• Current:
  - 20% error rate (80% verification rate)

• Goal:
  - 2% error rate (98% verification rate)
Measuring Accuracy w/Error Rate of 2%

- Non-match scores:
  - Sufficient
- Match scores:
  - Need to design collection for sufficient number

1,000 match scores = ~ 20 errors
10,000 match scores = ~ 200 errors
50,000 match scores = ~ 1,000 errors

- Allows for error ellipses
- Minimal demographic analysis
Data Collection

Fall Semester
(Gallery)
15 Weeks
200 People

Spring Semester
(Probes)
15 Weeks
200 People

All match scores ~ 50,000
Modes Examined

Single Still

Outdoor/Uncontrolled

3D Full Face

Multiple Stills

3D Single view
3D Images

3D Sensor

3D Image

Shape

Texture

3D Face Recognition Algorithm

MATCH
Measure Progress on:

- Indoor cooperative face recognition
- Outdoor cooperative face recognition
- Comparison of still & 3D face recognition
- Effect of multiple images
- Effect of High Dynamic Range cameras on outdoor face recognition
- Comparison between human and machine performance
Grand Challenge Architecture

Accuracy of:
3D Sensors
3D from stills

Comparison
Algorithms/Systems
Modes

Preprocessing/Reconstruction
Compression

Image Quality Measures

Meta data
- eye coordinates
- pose
- gender

Human Performance
Advanced Statistical Analysis
## Grand Challenge Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug-05</td>
<td>Face Recognition Grand Challenge Completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-Jun-05</td>
<td>FRGC Workshop at IEEE CVPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-05</td>
<td>Release Challenge Problem v2.0.X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-05</td>
<td><strong>Third FRGC Workshop</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Participants Present Results from v2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Announce Challenge Problem v2.0.X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Introduce FRVT 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-Jan-05</td>
<td>Results to Challenge Problem v2.0 Submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Sep-04</td>
<td>Release Challenge Problem v2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Sep-04</td>
<td><strong>Second FRGC Workshop</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Participants Present Results from v1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Explain Challenge Problem v2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-May-04</td>
<td>Release Challenge Problem v1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-May-04</td>
<td><strong>First FRGC Workshop</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Explain challenge problem v1.0 in detail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenge Problem

• Experimental Data set
  - Training set
  - Validation set
• Set of Experiments
  - Target & Query sets
• Biometric Experimentation Environment (BEE)
  - Infrastructure for Experiments
• Scoring Routines
• Baseline Algorithms
Three Challenge Problems

• Ver1.0a
  - Released 5 May 2004
  - 275 Subjects; 943 Subject sessions; 7544 Recordings

• Ver2.0
  - Released 27 September 2004
  - 466 Subjects; 4,007 Subject sessions; 32,056 Recordings

• Ver2.X
  - To be released April 2005
FRGC Challenge Problems

- FRGC consists of a series of three progressively difficult challenge problems
  - **Ver1.0a**: small data set to introduce problem area
  - **Ver2.0**: large data set designed for improving face recognition
  - **Ver2.X**: Additional data and experiments
FRGC Challenge Problems

• **Ver2.X:**
  - Additional data
    - Samples from AY 2004-05 data collection
    - Compression
  - New Experiments
  - Covariate analysis
  - Normalization
How to Participate

• To participate in the FRGC:
  - Send email request to: jonathon@nist.gov
  - Once approved, obtain the two parts of ver1.0a
    • Part 1 is the data
      - Obtain data by contacting Pat Flynn at: flynn@nd.edu and signing the data license agreement
    • Part 2 is the Biometrics Experimentation Environment (BEE), which includes the 6 experiments
      - Obtain BEE by contacting Todd Scruggs at wendell.t.scruggs@saic.com and signing the BEE license
  - Register on bulletin board for FRGC updates at http://bbs.bee-biometrics.org
Getting the FRGC v2 Data

- Roughly 50 K files, 70 GB storage
  - Submit ver1.0 results to Jonathon
  - Receive OK from Jonathon

- Get new license from FRGC ver2.0 topic Sign v2 release form obtained from www.bee-biometrics.org
- Obtain a 120GB or larger external drive with FireWire (IEEE1394) or USB 2.0 interface
- Send form and disk to address on form
- Receipt of disk will be acknowledged by e-mail
- Disks will be shipped 1 to 2 weeks after their receipt
- Data will reside on a Linux ext2 filesystem on the disk's first partition
- Disks will be return by UPS ground shipping cheap rate.
Overview and Results
FRGC ver1.0a
Goals of ver1.0a

- Introduce participants to FRGC
- Provide sample of data
- FRGC challenge problem
- BEE
  - Architecture
  - Baseline Algorithms
Ver1.0a Timeline

• 5 May ’05: ver1.0a released

• 10 Aug ’05: Results due for ver1.0a

• 10 Sept ’05: Second FRGC Workshop
  - Summary of results for ver1.0a
Example subject session

Controlled Still

Uncontrolled Still

3D Image
FRGC Core Experiments

- Exp 1: Controlled indoor still versus indoor still
- Exp 2: Indoor multi-still versus indoor multi-still
- Exp 3: 3D versus 3D
- Exp 4: Controlled indoor still versus uncontrolled still
- Exp 5: 3D versus controlled single still
- Exp 6: 3D versus uncontrolled single still
Experimental Results Summary

Verification Rates @ 0.001 FAR

Experiments

Submissions
MAX
MEDIAN
MIN
BEE

Verification Rates

Exp1  Exp2  Exp3  Exp4  Exp5  Exp6

15   4    5    13   1    1
Overview and Results of ver2.0
Outline

• Data and challenge problem

• Generalized verification protocol

• Baseline Performance

• Results from Ver2.0
Goals of ver 2.0

• FRGC challenge problem
  - Test ability to run experiments on very large data set
  - Challenge researchers to meet the FRGC performance goal
    • Increase FR performance levels by an order of magnitude
Ver 2.0 Timeline

• 27 September 2004: Release ver2.0

• 14 January 2005: Similarity matrices results due

• 16 February 2005: Third FRGC Workshop
  - Summary of Results
Training and Validation Partitions

- Academic Year 2002-03
  - 12,776 Large Still Training Set
  - 943 3D Subject Sessions

- Academic Year 2003-04
  - 16,028 Controlled Stills
  - 8,014 Uncontrolled Stills
  - 4,007 3D Scans
Three Data Sets

FRGC Challenge Problem

Training
Validation
Target Query

FRGC Evaluation Sequestered

Test
Target Query
Demographics
(ver2.0 Validation Partition—Final)

- Male: 43%
- Female: 57%

- Age Distribution:
  - 18 - 22: 18%
  - 23 - 27: 65%
  - 28+: 17%

- Ethnicity:
  - Asian: 10%
  - White: 22%
  - Other: 68%
# Size of Faces
*(ver2 On Validation)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Std</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Target / Query Sets
(ver2.0 Validation Partition—Final)

466 Subjects; 4,007 Subject sessions; 32,056 Recordings

Subject Sessions 2003-04
Large Still Training Set

222 Subjects; 100 Subject sessions; 12,776 Recordings
Generalized Verification Protocol

• Motivation
  - Increase number of match scores
  - Covariate analysis
  - Sampling of match and non-match distributions
### Generating Match Scores

#### Fall

- **1 Image per Person**
- **5 People**

#### Spring

- **5 Images per Person**
- **5 People**

\[1 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 = 25 \text{ Match Scores}\]
Generating Match Scores

Fall

6 Image per Person

5 People

Spring

5 Images per Person

5 People

6 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 = 150 \text{ Match Scores}
FERET & FRVT Verification Protocol

- Query
- Probe Set

Target

Gallery

- Match Scores
- Non-Match Scores
Old vs New Method

Old

New
Generalized Verification Protocol

Query
Generalized Verification Protocol

- Only for verification—NO identification
Similarity Matrix

Biobox / Algorithm / System

Query

Target

Target / Query Similarity Matrix

Compute ROC
Three ROCs

ROC I - Within Semesters
Exp 1: 173k
Exp 3: 11k

ROC II - Within Year
Exp 1: 346k
Exp 3: 22k

ROC III - Between Semesters
Exp 1: 173k
Exp 3: 11k
Similarity Score Normalization

- Post processing similarity scores
- Can improve verification performance
Classical Similarity Score Normalization

Probe

Similarity Scores (2.3, 4.0, ..., 3.3)

Gallery

Match

Normalization

Normalized Similarity Scores (-0.5, 1.1, ..., 0.7)
Generalized Verification Protocol

How do I normalize?
Normalization Set

Target

Normalization Set

Query

Match

Match

(3.9)

(3.3, 2.4, …, 4.1)

1.1

Normalized Similarity Score
Baseline Performance
FRGC Core Experiments

- Exp 1: Controlled indoor still versus indoor still
- Exp 2: Indoor multi-still versus indoor multi-still
- Exp 3: 3D versus 3D
- Exp 4: Controlled indoor still versus uncontrolled still
- Exp 5: 3D versus controlled single still
- Exp 6: 3D versus uncontrolled single still
## Size of ver2.0 Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Target set size</th>
<th>Query set size</th>
<th>No. Sim Scores (million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16,028</td>
<td>16,028</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16,028</td>
<td>8,014</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>16,028</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4,007</td>
<td>8,014</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Baseline algorithm—PCA

- Whiten Cosine distance for classifier
Baseline Algorithms

Still versus Still
Experiments 1 and 4

Still → PCA → Similarity Score
Baseline Algorithm

3D versus 3D Experiments 3
Multi-still versus Multi-still Experiment 2

Still  Still  Still  Still

PCA  PCA  PCA  PCA

PCA  PCA  PCA  PCA

PCA  PCA  PCA  PCA

PCA  PCA  PCA  PCA

Fusion

Similarity Score
Results of FRGC ver2.0 Challenge Problem
Participation

- Results received from ver1.0a by deadline
  - 10 Participants
  - 32 Experiments

- Results received from ver2.0 by deadline
  - 17 Participants
  - 53 Experiments

![Participants and Experiments Conducted](chart.png)
Ver2.0 Experiments

Grand Total Experiments Conducted: 53
Breakout for Exp. 3

Experiment 3 Breakout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Conducted</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3t</th>
<th>3s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experiments

- 3: 11
- 3t: 4
- 3s: 5
Ver2.0 Baseline FAR = 0.1%
Experimental Results Summary

Verification rate @ FAR = 0.001

Min Baseline Mean Median Max
Results Summary

Verification rate @ FAR = 0.001

Experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp 1</th>
<th>Exp 2</th>
<th>Exp 3</th>
<th>Exp 3t</th>
<th>Exp 3s</th>
<th>Exp 4</th>
<th>Exp 5</th>
<th>Exp 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9892</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.9728</td>
<td>0.9622</td>
<td>0.8655</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experiments 4 and 8
Effect of Expression on Exp 3

Exp 3 Performance by expression

Verification rate @ FAR = 0.001

- ALL
- Neutral vs Neutral
- Neutral vs NonNeutral
Effect of Expression on Exp 3

Exp 3 Texture Performance by Expression

Exp 3 Shape Performance by Expression
Summary of Expression

Verification rate @ FAR = 0.001

Expression Covariate

- All
- Neutral vs Neutral
- Neutral vs NonNeutral

Shape and Texture
Texture
Shape
Publication of FRGC Results

- Check with sponsors to determine if they want to be cited

- Please include FRGC reference:
Database of FRGC Results

• Enroll in FRGC archive when your paper is submitted or posted
  - Optional: check with sponsor(s)
Tentative Database Contents

• Figure and Graph Archive
  - Data used to plot figures and graphs
    • Points on a ROC and bar plot
  - Plotting instructions
Tentative Contents (Cont.)

- Similarity Score Archive
  - Similarity matrices
  - Signature sets
  - Mask matrices
  - Code for scoring
  - Limited access?
    - New results sent to authors
    - Scoring code contributed to archive
    - Full documentation of new results
Summary

• Face Recognition Grand Challenge
  - Order of magnitude increase in performance
  - Systematically investigate still and 3D
  - Formulate series of challenge problems
  - Face Recognition Grand Challenge Completion August '05
Introduction
FRVT 2005

• Latest in a series of large scale independent evaluations for face recognition systems
  - Previous evaluations in the series were the FERET, FRVT2000, and FRVT 2002

• Primary goal is to
  - Measure progress of prototype systems/algorithms and commercial face recognition systems since FRVT 2002
  - Conduct comparison across modalities
  - Compare performance with FRGC goals
Software Development Kit (SDK) Test

- Sequestered data
- Independent evaluation
- Evaluation modes module
- Starts in the August/September 2005 timeframe
Test Types

- **FERET**
  - Proctored Test

- **FRVT 2000 & 2002**
  - System brought to Government

- **FRVT 2005**
  - SDK Test
SDK Test

- Deliver software SDK with correct API
- API based on evolving ISO standard
- Consulting with Patrick Grother, NIST
- Tentative Platforms
  - Windows
  - Linux
SDK Components

- Read recordings (files)
- Create samples
- Preprocess samples
- Write preprocessed samples
- Create templates
- Match templates
- Similarity score normalization
- Write similarity scores
Preprocessing Experiment

- Read recordings
- Create samples
- Preprocess samples
- Write preprocessed samples
Preprocessing Example

1. **Read** -> **Create Sample**
2. **Preprocess** -> **Write**
3. **Read** -> **ROI Compressed Image**
4. **Preprocess** -> **Write**
Recognition Experiment

- Read recordings
- Create sample
- Create templates
- Match templates
- Similarity score normalization
- Write similarity scores
Recognition Experiment

Create Sample

Create Templates

Match Templates

Similarity Score Normalization

Write Similarity Scores

Read Recordings

Create Sample

Create Templates

Match Templates

Similarity Score Normalization

Write Similarity Scores

Read Recordings

Create Sample

Create Templates

Match Templates

Similarity Score Normalization

Write Similarity Scores

Read Recordings
FRVT 2005

- **SDK specifications**
  - Detailed specifications forthcoming
- **Example implementation**
- **SDK concerns**
  - Time to complete experiments
  - Especially for 3D algorithms
FRVT 2005

• There will be surprises....

• NOT a test on Notre Dame data
Summary

• FRVT 2005
  - Independent government evaluation of face recognition systems
  - Measure progress since FRVT 2002
  - Conduct comparison across modalities
  - Compare performance with FRGC Goals
  - August/September 2005 time frame
Next Steps

- **March/April 2005**
  - Planned release of v2.X
- **April 2005**
  - Planned release of SDK
- **10 April 2005**
  - Deadline for submission of IEEE Workshop papers on FRGC experiments
- **10 June 2005**
  - Final papers due for IEEE Workshop

- **21 June 2005**
  - IEEE Workshop, San Diego, CA

- **August 2005**
  - Submission of results from FRGC ver2.X
  - End of FRGC

- **August/September 2005**
  - Start of FRVT 2005
Reminder

• Permission to post today’s presentations on the bbs website
  - We need your permission to post your presentations
  - Please send your permission or the revised version of your presentations via email to Cathy Schott at cschott@schafer.md.com