GIAININIOIN TECHNOLOGIES GROUP



FLASH ID

Handwriting Derived Biometric Analysis Software June 4, 2013

NIST

Donald T. Gantz, PhD Mark A. Walch

Measurement Science and Standards in Forensic Handwriting Analysis Conference **FLASH ID:** *Introduction*

FLASH ID: Forensic Language Independent Analysis System for Handwriting Identification

We describe the workings of the FLASH ID system in the following steps:

- **1. Image Processing**
- 2. Writer Modeling
- 3. Writer Scoring

We then present the results of our tests of the system

FLASH ID has a well-defined frame for comparing handwriting; it combines

- a method for segmenting writing into graphs;
 he does yt answer their call
 an isomorphic classification for graphs;
 Isomorphism Class 4;112.0
- a meaningful shape definition for graphs.



This frame allows that writings be compared in a "like to like" manner. **FLASH ID:** *Image Processing*

Image Processing: FLASH ID takes a scanned image (300 dpi) of a document, segments it, and skeletonizes each segment, yielding a one pixel wide skeleton. The writing can be regarded as a combination of graphs with nodes and edges.

Original Word:

Original Word with skeleton exposed:

Extracted Skeleton (graph):



FLASH ID: Segmentation into *Proto-graphemes*



FLASH ID: Encoding Handwriting as Data

The connectivity pattern of the nodes and edges defines the isomorphism class of graphs.

Neither bending the edges, changing the length of the edges, reorienting the image, nor reflecting the image changes the isomorphism class.

Each graph has a *Feature Vector* of physical measurements.

The shape class of a graph is determined by the geometric relationships between parts of the graph.







Handwritten text

Isomorphism Class 4;112.0

Shape Class for handwritten text

FLASH ID: *Encoding Handwriting*



Class
Feature
Vector:

21	001	2.1	200	1.4.	1 📿	1005	4.2	_20	01_4	3	200	1.4.4	2001_8	1	2001_8_2	2	001	8_3	200	1.8	•	2001	16_1
	- 4	4721		20.271	81 🖂	2	2361	8 · ·	24.71	33	la de la composición de la composi Composición de la composición de la comp	2.2361	36.40	05	2.2361	ŧ£÷,	40	8044	1.1.	2.23	n ir	22	4939
		5.099		1.21	54	. 2	6352		16.31	44	E	2.5055		61	3.162	3	27	6586			2	. 13	.8339
1.1	. 4	1231	1.13	3.14	32	2.	1541	k ter	17,26	158	£	2.01	24.51	53	2.2361	Ft -	. 28.	6356	1.00		2	. 15	2845
1.2	22	4722	1	2.65	49	- 24	2389	£	30.12	:49	(· · ·)	0.6367	24,18	68	32.8024	4		-40	1 1	8.027	78	- 35	.0105
	- 4.	1231		2.65	49	2,	0881		16,61	82	j	2.0396	24.18	68	2.2361	1	28	0713	1 - ¹ - 1	6. Cart.	2	14	6227
	27	7849		7.13	63	15.	1691	£	31.56	91	1	4.5809	31.62	28	17.4925	3	40.	4475	. 1	5.033	33	. 25	.8871

FLASH ID contains proprietary software which automatically segments writing and assigns an isomorphism class and a shape class to each graph.

Handwritten Cursive English and Handwritten Arabic are segmented into graphemes by a common algorithm.

English Script:

English "Mirror Writing":

Arabic:

الين قد على محله عبه الحديد لحبولس

Modeling based on Writers' known writings:

The combination of an isomorphism class and a shape class defines a *Grapheme Type*.

Categorical Modeling at the document level: The Table classifying the Grapheme Types for a Writer's known writings characterizes that Writer, and it is used to identify the Writer of Questioned Writing.

Writing is also modeled at the *Grapheme Type level*.

Feature Space Modeling: The physical measurements within the Feature Vector of the graph are used to form pairwise comparisons of Writers whose known writings exhibit instances of the Grapheme Type.

Stored results from the pairwise comparisons of Writers are used to identify the Writer of Questioned Writing.

FLASH ID incorporates Feature Space Modeling at the Grapheme Type level.

The known writings for Writers in a database of interest are compared to writings from an unrelated Reference Set of Writers each of whom has a large known writing corpus.

The comparisons provide a stored scoring database that is used to evaluate potential writership of a questioned writing by members of the database of interest. **Scoring of Questioned Writing:**

The Questioned Writing is preprocessed yielding *Analysis Graphemes*.

Each Writer in the database of interest whose known writings contain instances of the Grapheme Type of the Analysis Grapheme receives a *Reward Score* for the Analysis Grapheme.

Writers' Reward Scores are accumulated for all Analysis Graphemes in the Questioned Writing.

Writers in the database of interest are *ranked* according to their cumulative Reward Scores for the Questioned Writing.

FLASH ID: Writer Scoring

The histograms on the next slide show the scores for two test documents written by the writer illustrated below. Languageindependent segmentation was used.

Note that the individual grapheme scores for the actual writer tend to be positive while the scores for the non-writers tend to center closer to zero.

When *pseudo documents* are created by sampling the graphemes, the scores over the pseudo documents move to the right for the actual writer (as the size of the pseudo-document increases) and do not for the non-writers.

Our London husiness to good, but Usina and Berlin one quiet. Mr. D. floyd has gone to Suitzerland and I hope for good news. He will be there for a week at 1496 Zermott St. and then goes to Turin and

FLASH ID: Writer Scoring

Actual Writer vs. Not for Individual Graphemes



Actual Writer vs. Not for Pseudo Documents of Size 5 Graphemes



FLASH ID: *Testing the Technology*

[AAFS 2009] Our testing results use writings from the "FBI 500." The FBI Lab acquired handwriting samples from about 500 writers (both script and print). We will give results for 449 writers for whom we have access to script samples. Each writer wrote about five "London paragraphs."

The first 300 writers formed the database of interest. We used three paragraphs for modeling and two for Closed Set testing. The remaining 149 writers were "not in the database of interest" and they were used in the Open Set testing.

In each study, we created pseudo-documents by random sampling from available graphemes. In the character-based studies, we created for each test writer 1000 pseudo-documents of sizes 10 to 40 characters and 500 of sizes 50 to 80. In the languageindependent studies, we created 500 pseudo-documents for each writer for each pseudo-document size. For each study, we computed an accuracy rate for the "closed set problem" which is to identify the writer in the database of interest most likely to have written the test document. (For our studies, "correct" means that the *actual writer was ranked first*.)

We also calculated an *Equal Error Rate* for the "open set problem" which is to decide whether or not the writer of a test document is in the database of interest. The equal error rate results from adjusting the *Inclusion Criterion* (in our case, the difference in score between the first and second ranked writers) so that the rates of false inclusion and false exclusion are the same.

The results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 below.

The Latin character-based studies used ground truth segmentation into actual Latin Characters as graphs. For testing, we used only graphemes of the "T" (4;112.0 code) isomorphism representing lower case letters *n*, *e*, *r*, *u*, *a*, *l*, *s*, *h*, *o*, *c*, *l*.

Table 1: Character-based Data								
Pseudo- document Size	Accuracy Rate	Equal Error Rate						
10 characters	89.49%	17.37%						
20 characters	98.20%	10.51%						
30 characters	99.56%	7.43%						
40 characters	99.84%	5.80%						
60 characters	99.94%	4.91%						
60 characters	99.97%	4.37%						
70 characters	99.99%	3.93%						
80 characters	100.00%	3.66%						

Different writers used different numbers of these characters to write their paragraphs, but on average the particular characters used in this study comprised less than 16% of the available characters in the London paragraph.

FLASH ID: *Testing the Technology*

The language-independent studies used all grapheme types.

Table 2: Language-independent Data								
	Including D	ocument 62	Excluding Document 62					
Pseudo- document Size	Accuracy Rate	Equal Error Rate	Accuracy Rate	Equal Error Rate				
50 graphemes	97.26%	12.86%	97.69%	12.63%				
100 graphemes	99.03%	7.51%	99.49%	7.24%				
200 graphemes	99.37%	4.86%	99.85%	4.58%				
300 graphemes	99.41%	4.10%	99.91%	3.82%				
400 graphemes	99.44%	3.63%	99.94%	3.35%				
500 graphemes	99.47%	3.34%	99.97%	3.06%				
600 graphemes	99.48%	3.16%	99.98%	2.90%				
700 graphemes	99.49%	3.06%	99.99%	2.80%				
800 graphemes	99.49%	2.98%	99.99%	2.71%				
900 graphemes	99.49%	2.92%	99.99%	2.66%				
1000 graphemes	99.50%	2.87%	100.00%	2.63%				
1500 graphemes	99.50%	2.79%	100.00%	2.55%				
2000 graphemes	99.50%	2.72%	100.00%	2.51%				

FLASH ID: *Testing the Technology*

[AAFS 2010] The Open Set Problem Revisited: We introduced a new inclusion criterion, that is, the score used to evaluate the difference between the first and second ranked writers in order to make the open set decision. We used our "Vector of Counts" methodology, which has demonstrated great potential to improve open set results.

The "Vector of Counts" (VOC) methodology requires a reference set of documents from writers neither in the database of interest nor likely to have written a questioned document.

We create a Grapheme Type level, Feature Space Modeling system from documents of writers in this new reference set. This modeled system is different than the modeled system first used to score the questioned document.

For each grapheme in a questioned document, the writer in the reference set most likely to have written that grapheme is recorded. A *frequency Vector of Counts* is thus created for the questioned document.

The pattern of proportions of graphemes assigned to writers in the reference set characterizes the writer of the questioned document.

FLASH ID: *Testing the Technology*

Our finding is that documents written by the same writer have similar (or at least "close") VOCs. We can measure closeness using a *chi-squared statistic*.

By treating the known writings for the database of interest writers as questioned documents, we create a VOC for each writer.

We take the difference between the closeness of the first place and second place VOCs to the questioned document VOC.

If the questioned document is actually written by the first place writer, the difference should be relatively large. If the document is not written by a writer in the database of interest, the difference should be relatively small.

[AAFS 2010] Results:

The equal error rate (EER) improved between 10% and 50% by using the VOC information in addition to the score difference information.

For instance, if the score difference EER had been 5 percent, the improved EER would have been between 2.5% and 4.5%.

FLASH ID: USACIL 1,000 Writer Blind Test

Reference match 868 and unknown image AAA

"Due Fondon huriness in good, but Vienng and Bulin Oue quist. Mr. D. Stayd han gone to Suitrenland and al hope for good menus. He will be there for a week of 1794 Zennet Street and then goes to Twein and Come and flow. I the or Die and a wire at Atlan, threey New. 19th or Die and . Letters for shall be addressed King Jones Rivel. 3550. We wyood Olen. F. Fuller Wurday. W. C. McDraid and Roh. Unight Eng. 14 on the Y. K. of Experses toight and paid ND. 34 Ja tights.

"On Sondon Durines is god, but Visure and Bulin in quit. Mr. D. Stad has you to Suitulad and I have to god news. It will be two for a work at 1390 South Stand and then one to Turin Almo, Succe, New Thon Our and arrive at Almo, Succe, New Thomas, D. L. Melusid and Pobl Una, E. Fuller Tauray. D. L. Melusid and Pobl Una, E. J. left on the 'Y. V. , Express tonight and paid is a 34 for Hellen.



USACIL Closed Set Blind Test: 56 London paragraphs had a match among a data base of 1,000 London paragraphs. 55 were top ranked by FLASH ID.

Reference match 892 and unknown image BBB

* Der Harber kunnen is gezet, het Vierme verd Bali om gint. The de Alf hege has gene, het Schlighe de de Alfre for gent some "To bill de Alen for de best et 1996 Janel Aud et de Gene gen To davis och fjor and wild gene de Balt De General Aud et Gene gene To davis och fjor and wild gene de Balt De Caldessed Het of the Schlight De Caldes and the Schlight De Albert De Caldessed Het of the Schlight De Schlight Dev En General Balt De Balt De Caldessed Het of Schlight Dev Schlight General Balt De Schlight at Deid # 1924 of about 5. The photon tension is good, but Vienne on their can give, the left for far you, to checked, as St day by good one. It will be done for a seal, at 15% Zoon Fa has a for a seal at the specific day of the spe

Reference match 360 and unknown image AA

"Jen Lada buinser in gud, but House ad bak as gist for Upp her gus to Guitget I, as I dog to gud sowe fix will be she for a reak at 124 Zennet Stort in the year to Tani, as love as well jin be bough ad surge at Arthur, Beer, (ad 17 m. Da 2. Letter she don't had doned hig Gran Shed, 550, he segare Can E. Latter Tools, D. L. Albert as latt lago, Fridge, Stor on the Wir, Frigoen Taife as good " as her a tribute. "Ose Lader having its good, but lines and betwee guilt Ar Dihlay her gae to Suitzahl, al I hope for god now the will be the for each at 1376 I benet street as al you to Taxin and Core and will give bel low) as anive at film, Dava, for 174 m Jon 24. Letter don shall be showed his Jone bld, 1570. He segret New 5 Table Taxaho ? In L Nelland as I halt, Clay, Frey, Seft on the T.H., Experie toget as fait 10.31 for the bet.

Rank	Results									
	Number	Percent	Cumulative							
1	55	98.2	98.2							
2	0	0	98.2							
3	0	0	98.2							
4	0	0	98.2							
5	0	0	98.2							
6-10	1	1.8	100.0							

FLASH ID: FBI End User Enhancement Final Report

FBI End User Enhancement Final Open Set Test: 1,025 Test Writer Documents were compared to a data base of 1,025 writers.

An Inclusion Criterion was used to decide if the top ranked data base writer was the writer of a test document.

Of the 525 writers with a match, the matching writing was ranked first for 523, but 5 of these were determined to be not a match by the inclusion criterion. (Error rate is 7/525 = 1.3%)

Of the 500 writers without a match, by the inclusion criterion 493 top ranked data base writers were declared not to have a match and 7 were declared to be a match. (Error rate is 7/500 = 1.4%)

FLASH ID: Implementation—the FDE Workstation



FLASH ID: Side-by-Side View



FLASH ID: *Identity Heat Map View*

FLASH ID Forensic Document Examiner workstation at FBI Laboratory

FLASHID								
File View Export								
Test Documents 👻 🕂	br0001b-0075.tif						▼ ×	Reference Set 🛛 👻 👎
+ - Recognize Read Clear Results Delete	Recognize Read			Les N/A			7	FBI15wRMS
▶ br0001a-0075.tif	Recognize Read			Loc: N/A		_		▲ Z FBI16
▷ Br0001B-0075.tif ▷ br0002a-0087.tif	-	I have a bo	mf. Put all it	the 20 10 and	50's ni a b	vg. Set	ń.	2, 0035 (Score: 62,1459)
▷	-	1 and a later	and everyone	ties.		0		3. 0023 (Score: -25.7689)
br0003a-0084.tif	c	ti ene cum	Ŭ,	·				🛛 🧕 4. 0011 (Score: -27.192)
br0014a-0013.tif								5. 0100 (Score: -64.2015)
br0014b-0013.tif								6.002/ (Score: -/3.3198)
br0016a-0121.tif								8. 0087 (Score: -79.1312)
br0018a-0029.tif							Ξ	9. 0029 (Score: -82.5325)
br0018b-0029.tif								10. 0123 (Score: -136.6195)
br0019a-0023.tif								11. 0080 (Score: -155.569)
br0020a-0021.tif								12, 0021 (Score: -160.3581)
br0020b-0021.tif								14. 0013 (Score: -168.461)
br0022a-0010.tif			·					▶ 🧕 15. 0121 (Score: -176.0943)
br0023a-0011.tif								16. 0010 (Score: -189.3386)
br0023b-0011.tif								
	90 th Percentile							
	80 th Percentile							
	70 th Percentile							
	70 Percentile							
	60 th Percentile							
	50 th Percentile						-	
			br0001b-0075+if-	Liria Tracashiite 🗸 - 50116				
					1			
	Key	IsoKey	Caddy	0075	0035	0023	-	
	8260	3;224	02	1.63914935632827	0.680667233748793	-2.93707213566976		
	8261	4;234.0	030					
	8268	4;98.0:64.0	020	2.26315781382732	-0.482016622134855	0.359968171297637		
	82/4	4;112.0	012	1.40142151226921	1.006408/3948794	-3.3016/900006315		
	8282	4;112.0	023	2.94976552289116	1.08066265464599	1.16017984385641		
	8283	6;112.96.0	02300	1.7344252045599	1.60906779389115	-4.80026357566898	Ţ	
Filter Test Documents	8284	4:112.0	023	1.67359011537821	1.63819567950408	1.7792398327486	Ť	V Filter Reference Set

FLASH ID: Trial Exhibit Support

Outline of writing characteristics showing strong "biometric signal"



FLASH ID: *Text Selection Capability*

Ability to select text of interest from within documents.

FLASHID		
File View Export Help		
Test Documents		Reference Set
Research Clear Percette Delete		A W RHV Master25v5vrEPI16 PTP Min1 May2 ElashID Dist 20120412a
Thereogenize Clear Results [Delete]	Recognize M 24% O D Loc: N/A	PIN_IWIASTER23X3VSFD110_DID_WIN1-IWIAX3_FIAShLD-DIST_201204158
Test Documents # -1: Resigning Class Result Dates	DECENT → DEC VIA	Feference Set P Feference Set P BHX, Master 25x5vsFB116_BTB_Min1-Max3_FlashID-Dist_20120413a P 0021 P 0021 P 0023 P 0003 P 0003 P 0003 P 00061 P 00061 P 00061 P 00061 P 00061 P 00061 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0005 P 00061 P 0005 P 00061 P 0005 P 00061 P 0005 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0023 P 00061 P 0005 P 0005 P 00061 P 0005 P 0005 P 00061 P 0005 P 005 P 005 P 005 P 005
0010E.tif		
Filter Test Documents	▲ Show Traceability ▲	V Filter Reference Set

FLASH ID: Language Independent

Works across multiple languages and scripts.

PLASHID		
File View Export Help		
Test Documents 👻 🖗	EG001-0001A1.tif	X Reference Set 🗸 🗸 🗸
+ - Recognize Read Clear Results Delete - br0001a-0075.tif - br0001b-0075.tif - br0001a-00075.tif - br0001a-00075.tif - Bc6001-0001A_1Ltf - br0002a-00087.tif - br0002a-0087.tif - br0002a-0087.tif	Recognize Read (7) Q = 57% 00 + Loci N/A	ArabicTestBHC 1. EG001-0001 (Score: 479,4953) 2. EG001-0014 (Score: 126.0272) 3. EG001-0023 (Score: 120.0009) 4. EG001-0023 (Score: 105.7375) 5. EG001-0022 (Score: 78.7355) 6. EG001-0013 (Score: 66.3429)
	Le L' eperade 2 1 per es	7. EG001-0007 (Score: 39.0677) 8. EG001-0032 (Score: 35.8629) 9. EG001-0050 (Score: 31.6059) 10. EG001-0033 (Score: 14.3668) 11. EG001-0042 (Score: 12.3722) 12. EG001-0002 (Score: 10.5195)
	الم ت المروحة معر الموتة	13. EG001-0026 (Score: 8.1413) 14. EG001-0019 (Score: 3.4273) 15. EG001-0030 (Score: -1.7949) 16. EG001-0021 (Score: -5.3717) 17. EG001-0048 (Score: -15.0886) 18. EG001-0005 (Score: -17.218)
	و مسادور لوجن الوجومي والمعدد	20. EG001-0020 (Score: -27.7292) 21. EG001-0036 (Score: -34.3044) 22. EG001-0088 (Score: -38.338) 23. EG001-0043 (Score: -40.4438) 24. EG001-0025 (Score: -44.5039) 25. EG001-0004 (Score: -50.8258)
	معل ماعی - وجل رکس العصار	26. EG001-0031 (Score: -53.0197) 27. EG001-0018 (Score: -54.5491) 28. EG001-0019 (Score: -60.7086) 29. EG001-0039 (Score: -61.1177) 30. EG001-0049 (Score: -62.6631) 31. EG001-0040 (Score: -72.6255) 32. E5001-0010 (Score: -79.9778)
(♥) Filter Test Documents	#	33. EG001-0028 (Score: -90.498) 34. EG001-0015 (Score: -99.5778) 35. EG001-0027 (Score: -107.3181) → Filter Reference Set

FLASH ID: Palantir Integration and Cluster View

Integrated as a "helper" in Palantir Analytical Platform.

Cluster view provides "big data" visualization perspective to handwritten document collections.



