

Reference Correlation of the Viscosity of n-Heptane from the Triple Point to 600 K and up to 248 MPa

E. K. Michailidou, M. J. Assael, M. L. Huber, I. M. Abdulagatov, and R. A. Perkins

Citation: [Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **43**, 023103 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4875930

View online: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4875930>

View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jpr/43/2>

Published by the [American Institute of Physics](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Reference Correlation of the Viscosity of n-Hexane from the Triple Point to 600 K and up to 100 MPa](#)

[Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **42**, 033104 (2013); 10.1063/1.4818980

[Reference Correlation of the Viscosity of Benzene from the Triple Point to 675 K and up to 300 MPa](#)

[Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **43**, 033103 (2014); 10.1063/1.4892935

[Reference Correlation of the Viscosity of Toluene from the Triple Point to 675 K and up to 500 MPa](#)

[Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **44**, 033101 (2015); 10.1063/1.4926955

[Reference Correlation of the Thermal Conductivity of n-Heptane from the Triple Point to 600 K and up to 250 MPa](#)

[Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **42**, 023101 (2013); 10.1063/1.4794091

[Reference Correlation of the Viscosity of meta-Xylene from 273 to 673 K and up to 200 MPa](#)

[Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **45**, 013103 (2016); 10.1063/1.4941241

[Reference Correlation of the Thermal Conductivity of n-Hexane from the Triple Point to 600 K and up to 500 MPa](#)

[Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data](#) **42**, 013106 (2013); 10.1063/1.4793335

Reference Correlation of the Viscosity of *n*-Heptane from the Triple Point to 600 K and up to 248 MPa

E. K. Michailidou and M. J. Assael

Laboratory of Thermophysical Properties and Environmental Processes, Chemical Engineering Department, Aristotle University, Thessaloniki 54124, Greece

M. L. Huber,^{a)} I. M. Abdulagatov, and R. A. Perkins

Applied Chemicals and Materials Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 325 Broadway, Boulder, Colorado 80305, USA

(Received 17 March 2014; accepted 28 April 2014; published online 5 June 2014)

This paper contains a new wide-ranging correlation for the viscosity of *n*-heptane based on critically evaluated experimental data. The correlation is valid from the triple point (182.55 K) to 600 K, and at pressures up to 248 MPa. The estimated uncertainty at a 95% confidence level is 3.5% over the whole range (with the exception of the near-critical region). Along the saturated liquid curve, the estimated uncertainty is 1% below 292 K, 0.6% in the region from 292 to 346 K, rising to 2% between 346 and 363 K, and 0.3% for the low-density gas at temperatures from 317 to 600 K and pressures to 0.3 MPa. © 2014 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United States. All rights reserved. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4875930>]

Key words: critical phenomena; *n*-heptane; transport properties; viscosity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2	4. Evaluation of the <i>n</i> -heptane viscosity correlation for the primary data.	8
2. Methodology.....	2	5. Viscosity values of the liquid along the saturation line, calculated by the present scheme.....	9
3. The Correlation.....	2	6. Evaluation of the <i>n</i> -heptane viscosity correlation for the secondary data.	9
3.1. The dilute-gas limit and the initial-density dependence terms	5	7. Sample points for computer verification of the correlating equations.....	10
3.2. The critical enhancement term	7		
3.3. The residual term.....	7		
4. Computer-Program Verification.....	8		
5. Conclusion	10		
Acknowledgments	10		
6. Appendix: Corrected Values for the Viscosity of <i>n</i> -Heptane from Abdulagatov and Rasulov ^{19,20} ...	10		
7. References	11		

List of Tables

1. Viscosity measurements of <i>n</i> -heptane.	3
2. Coefficients and parameters for Eqs. (2) and (3)–(7).	6
3. Coefficients for Eq. (8).	7

List of Figures

1. Temperature-pressure ranges of the primary experimental viscosity data for <i>n</i> -heptane.	5
2. Temperature-density ranges of the primary experimental viscosity data for <i>n</i> -heptane.	5
3. Percentage deviations of the experimental low-density viscosity, $\eta_0 + \eta_1\rho$, of <i>n</i> -heptane, from those calculated with Eqs. (2)–(7) with the parameters in Table 2, as a function of temperature.	6
4. Percentage deviations of the experimental low-density viscosity, $\eta_0 + \eta_1\rho$, of <i>n</i> -heptane, from those calculated with Eqs. (2)–(7) with the parameters in Table 2, as a function of density.....	6
5. Initial density dependence viscosity, η_1 , as a function of the temperature.	6
6. Selected isotherms showing viscosity in the near-critical region.	7

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: marcia.huber@nist.gov

© 2014 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United States. All rights reserved.

7. Percentage deviations of primary experimental data of *n*-heptane from the values calculated by the present model as a function of temperature. 8
8. Percentage deviations of primary experimental data of *n*-heptane from the values calculated by the present model as a function of pressure..... 8
9. Percentage deviations of primary experimental data of *n*-heptane from the values calculated by the present model as a function of density. 8
10. Viscosity of *n*-heptane as a function of temperature for different pressures..... 10

1. Introduction

In a series of recent papers, new reference correlations were reported for the thermal conductivity of a series of fluids^{1–8} and for the viscosity of *n*-hexane,⁹ covering a wide range of temperature and pressure. In this paper, the methodology adopted for the viscosity of *n*-hexane⁹ is extended to developing a new reference correlation for the viscosity of *n*-heptane.

The goal of this work is to critically assess the available literature data, and to provide a wide-ranging correlation for the viscosity of *n*-heptane that is valid over gas, liquid, and supercritical states and that incorporates densities provided by a short-form Helmholtz equation of state of Span and Wagner.¹⁰

2. Methodology

The viscosity η can be expressed^{9,11} as the sum of four independent contributions, as

$$\eta(\rho, T) = \eta_0(T) + \eta_1(T)\rho + \Delta\eta(\rho, T) + \Delta\eta_c(\rho, T), \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the molar density, T is the absolute temperature, and the first term, $\eta_0(T) = \eta(0, T)$, is the contribution to the viscosity in the dilute-gas limit, where only two-body molecular interactions occur. The linear-in-density term, $\eta_1(T)\rho$, known as the initial density dependence term, can be separately established with the development of the Rainwater-Friend theory^{11–13} for the transport properties of moderately dense gases. The critical enhancement term, $\Delta\eta_c(\rho, T)$, arises from the long-range density fluctuations that occur in a fluid near its critical point, which contribute to divergence of the viscosity at the critical point. Finally, the term $\Delta\eta(\rho, T)$, the residual term, represents the contribution of all other effects to the viscosity of the fluid at elevated densities, including many-body collisions, molecular-velocity correlations, and collisional transfer.

The identification of these four separate contributions to the viscosity and to transport properties in general is useful because it is possible, to some extent, to treat $\eta_0(T)$, $\eta_1(T)$, and $\Delta\eta_c(\rho, T)$ theoretically. In addition, it is possible to derive information about both $\eta_0(T)$ and $\eta_1(T)$ from experiment. In contrast, there is little theoretical guidance concerning the

residual contribution, $\Delta\eta(\rho, T)$, and therefore its evaluation is based entirely on an empirical equation obtained by fitting experimental data.

The analysis described above should be applied to the best available experimental data for the viscosity. Thus, a prerequisite to the analysis is a critical assessment of the experimental data. For this purpose, two categories of experimental data are defined: primary data, employed in the development of the correlation, and secondary data, used simply for comparison purposes. According to the recommendation adopted by the Subcommittee on Transport Properties (now known as The International Association for Transport Properties) of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, the primary data are identified by a well-established set of criteria.¹⁴ These criteria have been successfully employed to establish standard reference values for the viscosity and thermal conductivity of fluids over wide ranges of conditions, with uncertainties in the range of 1%. However, in many cases, such a narrow definition unacceptably limits the range of the data representation. Consequently, within the primary data set, it is also necessary to include results that extend over a wider range of conditions, albeit with a poorer accuracy, provided they are consistent with other more accurate data or with theory. In all cases, the accuracy claimed for the final recommended data must reflect the estimated uncertainty in the primary information.

3. The Correlation

Recently Sagdeev *et al.*¹⁵ measured the viscosity of heptane and provided a literature search for measurements in the liquid phase. We identified some additional data sources, included the vapor phase, and in Table 1 summarize, to the best of our knowledge, the experimental measurements^{15–137} of the viscosity of *n*-heptane including sample purity and the uncertainties ascribed by the original authors. 121 sets are included in the table. From these sets, 11 were considered as primary data. Table 1 shows that most of the secondary data sets consist of a few measurements over a limited temperature range near ambient pressure.

Absolute vibrating-wire instruments were employed by the group of Wakeham²¹ in London and the group of Assael²² in Thessaloniki. These data sets, backed by a full theoretical analysis, extend over a wide range of conditions with an uncertainty of 0.5%, and thus are primary data. The measurements of Vogel and Holdt²³ were performed in the very-low-density vapor range in an oscillating-disk viscometer designed exactly for that region. These measurements were obtained with an uncertainty of 0.3% and were also part of the primary dataset. Knapstad *et al.*²⁴ performed very accurate viscosity measurements at atmospheric pressure in an absolute oscillating-cup viscometer with an uncertainty of 0.3%–0.5%, and these measurements were also included in the primary data set. Capillary viscometers, but of slightly higher uncertainty, were employed by Abdulagatov and Azizov,¹⁶ Abdulagatov and Rasulov,^{19,20} and Zéberg-Mikkelsen *et al.*¹⁷ These three sets, performed with an uncertainty of 1.6%, 1.0%–2.0%, and 1.2%

TABLE 1. Viscosity measurements of *n*-heptane.

Ist author	Year publ.	Technique employed ^a	Purity (%)	Uncertainty (%)	No. of data	Temperature range (K)	Pressure range (MPa)
Primary data							
Sagdeev ¹⁵	2013	FB	99.997	1.5–2.0	52	298–470	0.09–245
Abdulagatov ¹⁶	2006	Cap	99.95	1.6	15	298–473	0.1–10
Zéberg-Mikkelsen ¹⁷	2006	FB/Cap	99.5	1.0–2.0	24	293–353	0.1–100
Pensado ¹⁸	2005	RB	99.5	2.0	84	303–353	0.1–60
Abdulagatov ^{19,20}	1992	Cap	99.98	1.2 ^b	148	298–548	0.1–50
Assael ²¹	1992	VBW-Abs	99.5	0.5	46	303–348	0.1–248
Assael ²²	1991	VBW-Abs	99.5	0.5	28	303–323	0.1–69
Vogel ²³	1991	OD	99.96	0.3	80	317–632	0.01–0.21
Knapstad ²⁴	1989	OCup-Abs	99.5	0.3–0.5	9	292–346	0.1
Kessel'man ²⁵	1976	Cap	na	1.0	7	211–302	0.1
Giller ²⁶	1949	Cap	Pure grade	0.5	15	183–293	0.1
Secondary data							
Guerrero ²⁷	2011	Cap	99.0	0.1	3	283–313	0.1
Rathnam ²⁸	2010	Cap	99.0	0.8	3	303–313	0.1
Yang ²⁹	2010	Cap	99.0	na	4	298–313	0.1
Zivkovic ³⁰	2010	VBT	99.5	1.5	5	303–323	0.1
El-Sayed ³¹	2009	Cap	99.0	0.1	2	303–313	0.1
Fang ³²	2008	Cap	99.0	0.8	5	288–308	0.1
Totchasov ³³	2008	Cap	na	0.1	4	293–313	0.1
Landaverde-Cortes ³⁴	2007	Cap	99.6	1.0	9	273–313	0.1
Reddy ³⁵	2007	Cap	99.5	0.3	1	303.15	0.1
Wang ³⁶	2007	Cap	99.98	0.1	5	293–313	0.1
Baragi ³⁷	2006	Cap	99.0	0.26	3	298–308	0.1
Iloukhani ³⁸	2006	Cap	99.0	0.5	1	298	0.1
Reddy ³⁹	2006	Cap	na	0.3	1	303	0.1
Al-Jimaz ⁴⁰	2005	Cap	99.0	na	3	293–303	0.1
Ma ⁴¹	2005	Cap	98.5	0.8	7	293–343	0.1
Yang ⁴²	2004	Cap	99.5	0.8	6	298–343	0.1
Yang ⁴³	2004	Cap	99.5	0.8	7	293–343	0.1
Ma ⁴⁴	2004	Cap	na	1–2	18	298–403	0.1, 5.1
Oswal ⁴⁵	2003	Cap	99.8	0.5	1	303	0.1
Queimada ⁴⁶	2003	RB	99.0	2.0	4	293–323	0.1
Peng ⁴⁷	2002	Cap	99.6	0.8	1	298	0.1
Matos ⁴⁸	2001	Ubb	99.8	0.2	4	283–313	0.1
Nayak ⁴⁹	2001	Cap	99.7	2.0	3	298–308	0.1
Sastry ⁵⁰	1999	Cap	99.8	0.3	3	298–318	0.1
Dandekar ⁵¹	1998	Cap	na	na	1	293	0.1
Jimenez ⁵²	1998	Cap	99.0	na	4	293–308	0.1
Wu ⁵³	1998	Cap	99.0	0.1	4	293–313	0.1
Aminabhavi ⁵⁴	1997	Cap	99.8	0.3	3	298–308	0.1
Baylaucq ⁵⁵	1997	FB	99.0	2.0	18	303–343	0.1–100
Baylaucq ⁵⁶	1997	FB	99.0	2.0	6	323	0.15–100
Nath ⁵⁷	1997	Cap	na	1.0	1	298	0.1
Orge ⁵⁸	1997	RB	99.0	1.0	1	298	0.1
Will ⁵⁹	1997	DLS	99.5	2.0	8	293–353	0.1
Abdulagatov ⁶⁰	1996	Cap	99.98	1.2 ^b	49	298–540	0.006–2.7
Aminabhavi ⁶¹	1996	Cap	99.5	0.3	3	298–308	0.1
Sastry ^{62,63}	1996	Cap	na	0.8	2	298–308	0.1
Sastry ⁶⁴	1996	Cap	na	0.8	2	303–313	0.1
Aminabhavi ⁶⁵	1995	Cap	99.6	0.3	4	298–313	0.1
Aucejo ⁶⁶	1995	Cap	99.0	0.6	1	298	0.1
Aminabhavi ⁶⁷	1994	Cap	99.5	0.3	5	298–318	0.1
Dymond ⁶⁸	1994	FB	na	0.4	1	298	0.1
Pandey ⁶⁹	1994	Cap	na	0.1	1	298	0.1
Papaioannou ⁷⁰	1994	FB	99.8	1.0–2.5	18	298	0.1–51
Blanco ⁷¹	1993	Cap	99.5	0.8	4	288–318	0.1
Cooper ⁷²	1991	Cap	na	na	1	293	0.1
Kanti ⁷³	1991	FB	99.0	1.0	15	313–353	0.1–40
Papaioannou ⁷⁴	1991	Cap	99.0	0.4	1	298	0.1
Zhang ⁷⁵	1991	FB	na	2.0	7	298	0.1–29.5
Chevalier ⁷⁶	1990	Cap	na	0.1	1	298	0.1
Fermiglia ⁷⁷	1990	Cap	99.0	na	1	298	0.1
Iwahashi ⁷⁸	1990	Cap	99.9	na	2	298–323	0.1

TABLE 1. Viscosity measurements of *n*-heptane.—Continued

1st author	Year publ.	Technique employed ^a	Purity (%)	Uncertainty (%)	No. of data	Temperature range (K)	Pressure range (MPa)
Brunson ⁷⁹	1989	DLS	99.0	1.0	14	292–348	0.1
Kouris ⁸⁰	1989	Cap	99.0	0.4	1	298	0.1
Schrodt ⁸¹	1989	Cap	na	na	1	297	0.1
Chandrashekar ⁸²	1988	Cap	na	1.0	1	303	0.1
Rao ⁸³	1988	Cap	na	0.5	1	298	0.1
Wakefield ⁸⁴	1988	Cap	99.0	0.5	2	303–308	0.1
Celda ⁸⁵	1987	Cap	99.0	0.3	1	293	0.1
Senanayake ⁸⁶	1987	Cap	99.9	na	1	298	0.1
Awwad ⁸⁷	1986	Cap	99.5	na	1	298	0.1
Awwad ⁸⁸	1985	Cap	99.5	na	1	298	0.1
Martin ⁸⁹	1983	Cap	na	na	5	303–343	0.1
Kashiwagi ⁹⁰	1982	TCr	99.0	2.0	48	298–348	0.1–110
Sreenivasulu ⁹¹	1982	Cap	na	0.5	1	303	0.1
Rabe ⁹²	1981	Cap	na	na	47	185–300	0.1–10
Dusart ⁹³	1978	na	na	na	1	298	0.1
Gouel ⁹⁴	1978	RB	na	5.0	52	293–392	0.1–40
Gunchuk ⁹⁵	1977	Cap	na	na	13	208–363	0.1
Öz ⁹⁶	1976	Cap	99.6	0.3	5	288–308	0.1
Badalov ⁹⁷	1975	Cap	na	na	73	290–540	0.1–49
Mussche ⁹⁸	1975	FB	na	1.0	2	293,298	0.1
Diaz Pena ⁹⁹	1974	Cap	99.5	2.0	10	333–423	0.02–0.37
Moore ¹⁰⁰	1974	Cap	99.0	na	4	293–313	0.1
Ratkovics ¹⁰¹	1974	na	na	na	1	293	0.1
Shikhaliev ¹⁰²	1974	Cap	99.35	na	14	223–353	0.1
Nauruzov ¹⁰³	1973	RB	na	na	4	268–298	0.1
Zhigunov ¹⁰⁴	1972	Cap	na	3.0	3	293–313	0.1
Konobeev ¹⁰⁵	1970	Cap	na	2.0	3	293–333	0.1
Kuss ¹⁰⁶	1969	Cap	na	0.2	6	313	0.1–147
Darmois ¹⁰⁷	1967	na	na	na	1	293	0.1
Carmichael ¹⁰⁸	1966	RCyl	99.98	1.0	2	310,344	0.0027
Bidlack ¹⁰⁹	1964	Cap	na	na	1	298	0.1
Bagdasarian ¹¹⁰	1964	na	na	na	7	223–353	0.1
Agaev ¹¹¹	1963	Cap	na	na	1	540	0.1
Golubev ¹¹²	1963	Cap	na	1.0	2	540	0.1–2.7
McAllister ¹¹³	1960	na	na	0.5	1	311	0.1
Albright ¹¹⁴	1956	RB	99.0	na	2	397–423	0.1
Ledneva ¹¹⁵	1956	Cap	na	na	11	293–493	0.004–1.4
Belinskaya ¹¹⁶	1955	na	na	na	11	233–333	0.1
Golik ¹¹⁷	1955	Cap	na	na	7	303–423	0.1–0.4
Hammond ¹¹⁸	1955	Cap	na	1.0	1	298	0.1
Koshkin ¹¹⁹	1955	na	na	na	12	223–333	0.1
Lambert ¹²⁰	1955	Cap	na	1.0	4	338–364	0.03–0.08
Toporov ¹²¹	1955	Cap	na	na	3	293–333	0.1
Johnson ¹²²	1953	Cap	99.8	na	6	199–255	0.1
Koshkin ¹²³	1953	na	na	na	6	223–273	0.1
Doolittle ¹²⁴	1951	Cap	99.74	na	4	263–371	0.1
Fawcett ¹²⁵	1946	na	na	na	1	293	0.1
Geist ¹²⁶	1946	Cap	na	0.5	3	273–313	0.1
Khalilov ¹²⁷	1939	Cap	na	na	48	293–539	0.005–2.7
Kyropoulos ¹²⁸	1939	RCon	na	na	3	293–362	0.1
Timmermans ¹²⁹	1935	Cap	na	na	2	288,303	0.1
Melaven ¹³⁰	1932	Cap	na	na	4	373–525	0.1
Shepard ¹³¹	1931	Cap	na	0.5	1	298	0.1
Tausz ¹³²	1930	na	na	na	1	293	0.1
Smyth ¹³³	1928	Cap	na	na	1	293	0.1
Lewis ¹³⁴	1925	Cap	na	0.5	1	298	0.1
Miller ¹³⁵	1924	Cap	na	na	2	282–293	0.1
Batschinski ¹³⁶	1913	Cap	na	na	10	273–363	0.1
Thorpe ¹³⁷	1894	Cap	na	na	13	279–365	0.1

^aAbs, Absolute; Cap, Capillary; DLS, Dynamic Light Scattering; FB, Falling Body; na, not available; OCup, Oscillating Cup; OD, Oscillating Disk; RB, Rolling Ball; RCyl, Rotating Cylinders; RCon, Rotating Cones; TCr, Torsional Crystal; VBW, Vibrating Wire; VBT, Vibrating Tube.

^bRising to 5% at the critical region.




FIG. 1. Temperature-pressure ranges of the primary experimental viscosity data for *n*-heptane.

respectively, were included in the primary data set to extend the temperature and pressure range of the other aforementioned sets. Unfortunately, there are many errors in the data tables in both the Russian-language¹⁹ and English-language²⁰ versions of the 1992 work of Abdulagatov and Rasulov; we therefore include the correct values (used in this work) in the Appendix. A falling-body viscometer was employed for the high-pressure (to 100 MPa) viscosity measurements of Zéberg-Mikkelsen *et al.*¹⁷ A falling-body viscometer was also employed by Sagdeev *et al.*¹⁵ with a 2% uncertainty for measurements up to 245 MPa pressure. These measurements agree well with the other high-pressure sets. The measurements of Pensado *et al.*¹⁸ were performed in a rolling-ball viscometer with an uncertainty of 2% and extend up to 60 MPa. Although rolling-ball viscometers are not usually taken as primary instruments, the laboratory of Professor J. Fernández has produced accurate measurements of very low uncertainty; thus these measurements were also included in the primary data set. Finally, the capillary measurements of Kessel'man *et al.*²⁵ and of Giller and Drickamer,²⁶ that extend down to 211 and 183 K, respectively, were also included in the primary dataset to extend the temperature range to low temperatures.

Figures 1 and 2 show the ranges of the primary measurements outlined in Table 1, and the phase may be seen as well. Temperatures for all data were converted to the ITS-90 (Ref. 138) temperature scale. The development of the correlation requires densities; Span and Wagner¹⁰ in 2003 reviewed the thermodynamic properties of *n*-heptane and developed an




FIG. 2. Temperature-density ranges of the primary experimental viscosity data for *n*-heptane.

accurate, wide-ranging equation of state from the triple point up to 600 K and 100 MPa, with an uncertainty of 0.2% in density. Recently, Sagdeev *et al.*¹⁵ measured the density of *n*-heptane at 298 to 470 K at pressures up to 250 MPa, with an uncertainty of better than 0.3% at high pressures. Sagdeev *et al.*¹⁵ reported that their measurements agreed with the Span and Wagner¹⁰ densities up to 250 MPa, within 0.07%–0.25%. Hence even though the original upper pressure limit was given as 100 MPa, we use the Span and Wagner¹⁰ equation up to 600 K and 248 MPa, which is also the highest pressure of the data in Table 1. Finally, we adopt the values for the critical point and triple point from their equation of state; the critical temperature, T_c , and the critical density, ρ_c , are 540.13 K and 232.00 kg m⁻³, respectively.¹⁰ The triple-point temperature is 182.55 K.¹⁰

3.1. The dilute-gas limit and the initial-density dependence terms

The dilute-gas limit viscosity, $\eta_0(T)$ in $\mu\text{Pa s}$, can be analyzed independently of all other contributions in Eq. (1). According to kinetic theory, the viscosity of a pure polyatomic gas may be related to an effective collision cross section, which contains all the dynamic and statistical information about the binary collision. For practical purposes, this relation is formally identical to that of monatomic gases and can be written as¹³⁹

$$\eta_0(T) = \frac{0.021357\sqrt{MT}}{\sigma^2 S_\eta^*(T^*)}, \quad (2)$$

where $S_\eta^* = S(2000)/(\pi\sigma^2 f_\eta)$ is a reduced effective cross section, M is the molar mass in g mol⁻¹, σ is the length scaling parameter in nm, and f_η is a dimensionless higher-order correction factor according to Chapman and Cowling.^{140,141} In the above expression for S_η^* , $S(2000)$ is a generalized cross section that includes all of the information about the dynamics of the binary collisions that govern transport properties, which in turn are governed by the intermolecular potential-energy surface.¹³⁹ The effective cross section is usually expressed in the functional form

$$\ln S_\eta^*(T^*) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \alpha_i (\ln T^*)^i, \quad (3)$$

$$T^* = k_B T / \varepsilon, \quad (4)$$

where T^* is the reduced temperature, ε/k_B is an energy scaling parameter in K, and k_B is Boltzmann's constant.

The temperature dependence of the linear-in-density coefficient of the viscosity $\eta_1(T)$ in Eq. (1) is very large at subcritical temperatures and must be taken into account to obtain an accurate representation of the behavior of the viscosity in the vapor phase. It changes sign from positive to negative as the temperature decreases. Therefore, the viscosity along an isotherm should first decrease in the vapor phase and subsequently increase with increasing density.¹³⁹

TABLE 2. Coefficients and parameters for Eqs. (2) and (3)–(7).

Molar mass		
$M = 100.202 \text{ g/mol}$		
Scaling parameters		
$\varepsilon/k_{\text{B}} = 426.118 \text{ K}$	$\sigma = 0.61362 \text{ nm}$	
Coefficients α_i for Eq. (3)		
$\alpha_0 = 0.339\,74$	$\alpha_1 = -0.493\,96$	
$\alpha_2 = 0.0$	$\alpha_3 = 0.080\,50$	
Coefficients b_i for Eq. (7) ¹³⁹		
$b_0 = -19.572\,881$	$b_1 = 219.739.99$	$b_2 = -1015.322\,6$
$b_3 = 2471.012\,5$	$b_4 = -3375.171\,7$	$b_5 = 2491.659\,7$
$b_6 = -787.260\,86$	$b_7 = 14.085\,455$	$b_8 = -0.346\,641\,58$

Vogel *et al.*¹⁴² have shown that fluids exhibit the same general behavior of the initial density dependence of viscosity, which can also be expressed by means of the second viscosity virial coefficient $B_\eta(T)$ as

$$B_\eta(T) = \frac{\eta_1(T)}{\eta_0(T)}. \quad (5)$$

The second viscosity virial coefficient can be obtained according to the theory of Rainwater and Friend^{12,13} as a function of a reduced second viscosity virial coefficient, $B_\eta^*(T^*)$, as

$$B_\eta^*(T^*) = \frac{B_\eta(T)}{N_A \sigma^3}, \quad (6)$$

where¹³⁹

$$B_\eta^*(T^*) = \sum_{i=0}^6 b_i (T^*)^{-0.25i} + b_7 (T^*)^{-2.5} + b_8 (T^*)^{-5.5}. \quad (7)$$

In Eq. (6), N_A is Avogadro's constant. The coefficients b_i for Eq. (7) are taken from Ref. 139 and are given in Table 2.

Equations (2)–(7) present a consistent scheme for the correlation of the dilute-gas limit viscosity, $\eta_0(T)$, and the initial density dependence term, $\eta_1(T)$. In the particular case of *n*-heptane, very accurate dilute-gas limit and initial-density-dependence viscosity values have been presented by Vogel and Holdt.²³ These values have been used with the regression package ODRPACK (Ref. 143) in order to fit the coefficients α_i in Eq. (3) and the scaling parameters σ and ε/k_B . This procedure was employed previously in correlating successfully the dilute-gas limit and the initial density dependence viscosity values of *n*-hexane.⁹

The values obtained are shown in Table 2. Figures 3 and 4 show the percentage deviations of the viscosity at low density $\eta_0 + \eta_1\rho$, (calculated with Eqs. (2)–(7) and the parameters in Table 2) with the experimental viscosity values of Vogel and Holdt,²³ as a function of temperature and density. Although Eq. (7) was originally developed for propane, as in its recent application to *n*-hexane,⁹ the agreement is excellent. Figure 5 shows a comparison of the initial density dependence, η_1 , viscosity data of Vogel and Holdt²³ with the values calculated




FIG. 3. Percentage deviations of the experimental low-density viscosity, $\eta_0 + \eta_1\rho$, of *n*-heptane, from those calculated with Eqs. (2)–(7) with the parameters in Table 2, as a function of temperature. (o) Vogel and Holdt.²³




FIG. 4. Percentage deviations of the experimental low-density viscosity, $\eta_0 + \eta_1\rho$, of *n*-heptane, from those calculated with Eqs. (2)–(7) with the parameters in Table 2, as a function of density. (o) Vogel and Holdt.²³




FIG. 5. Initial density dependence viscosity, η_1 , as a function of the temperature. (o) Vogel and Holdt,²³ (—) values calculated with Eqs. (2)–(7) with the parameters in Table 2.

with Eqs. (2)–(7). Again, the agreement is good. Based on comparisons with the data of Vogel and Holdt,²³ we estimate the uncertainty of the correlation for the low-density gas viscosity at temperatures from 317 to 632 K to be 0.3%, at a 95% confidence level. Although 632 K is slightly beyond the recommended range of the equation of state (stated as 600 K), we feel it can safely be extrapolated to 632 K. Therefore, Eqs. (2)–(7) can be employed for the calculation of the dilute-gas limit viscosity, $\eta_0(T)$, and the initial density dependence term, $\eta_1(T)$.

3.2. The critical enhancement term

The viscosity and thermal conductivity of pure fluids diverge at the critical point due to long-range fluctuations. The critical enhancements can be described by a theoretical crossover model originally developed by Bhattacharjee *et al.*¹⁴⁴ and Olchoway and Sengers¹⁴⁵ and modified by Luettmer-Strathmann *et al.*¹⁴⁶ Unlike the critical enhancement in thermal conductivity, the enhancement in viscosity is confined to a small region, becoming relevant only at temperatures and densities very close to the critical point.^{147,148} the ratio $\Delta\eta_c(\rho, T)/\eta(\rho, T)$ exceeds 0.01 only within 1% of the critical temperature of the fluid. There are very few reliable data for the viscosity of *n*-heptane in the critical region. The study by Abdulagatov and Rasulov^{19,20} has data along isotherms at 540.16, 541, and 542 K where the viscosity critical enhancement would be significant near the critical density. Unfortunately, there are no viscosity data available at densities from 190 to 273 kg m⁻³ along the two isotherms closest to the critical point at 540.13 K and 232 kg m⁻³. However, as indicated in Fig. 6, there appears to be a critical enhancement visible in some of the near-critical data.

The critical enhancement models require the derivative $(\partial\rho/\partial p)_T$. Unfortunately, testing of the equation of state¹⁰ in the critical region found that it gives negative, nonphysical, values of the derivative $(\partial\rho/\partial p)_T$ at temperatures within 1.2 K of the critical temperature and at densities near the critical density. Since this is the region where the critical enhancement of viscosity is significant and nonphysical

behavior of this derivative is likely to persist beyond this region, our recommendation is to simply set the critical enhancement $\Delta\eta_c = 0$. Hence, the critical enhancement is considered negligible and it is not further taken into consideration in this work.

3.3. The residual term

As stated in Sec. 2, the residual viscosity term $\Delta\eta(\rho, T)$ represents the contribution of all other effects to the viscosity of the fluid at elevated densities including many-body collisions, molecular-velocity correlations, and collisional transfer. Because there is little theoretical guidance concerning this term, its evaluation here is based entirely on experimentally obtained data.

The procedure adopted during this analysis used symbolic regression software¹⁴⁹ to fit all the primary data to the residual viscosity. Symbolic regression is a type of genetic programming that allows the exploration of arbitrary functional forms to regress data. The functional form is obtained by use of a set of operators, parameters, and variables as building blocks. Most recently, this method has been used to obtain correlations for the viscosity of *n*-hexane⁹ and hydrogen.¹⁵⁰ In the present work, we restricted the operators to the set (+, −, *, /) and the operands (constant, T_r , ρ_r), with $T_r = T/T_c$ and $\rho_r = \rho/\rho_c$. Various choices of a scaling factor for density were tested, but the best results were obtained using the critical density. In addition, we found the best results when we adopted a form suggested from the hard-sphere model employed by Assael *et al.*,¹⁵¹ $\Delta\eta(\rho_r, T_r) = (\rho_r^{2/3} T_r^{1/2}) F(\rho_r, T_r)$, where the symbolic regression method was used to determine the functional form for $F(\rho_r, T_r)$. For this task, the dilute-gas limit and the initial density dependence terms were calculated for each experimental point (employing Eqs. (2)–(7)) and subtracted from the experimental viscosity to obtain the residual term. The density values employed were obtained by the equation of state of Span and Wagner.¹⁰ The final equation obtained was

$$\Delta\eta(\rho, T) = (\rho_r^{2/3} T_r^{1/2}) \left\{ c_1 \rho_r + c_2 \rho_r^2 + c_3 \rho_r^3 + \frac{c_4 \rho_r}{c_5 + c_6 T_r + c_7 \rho_r + \rho_r^2 + c_8 \rho_r T_r} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Coefficients c_i are given in Table 3.

Table 4 summarizes comparisons of the primary data with the correlation. We have defined the percent deviation as $\text{PCTDEV} = 100 * (\eta_{\text{exp}} - \eta_{\text{fit}}) / \eta_{\text{fit}}$, where η_{exp} is the experimental value of the viscosity and η_{fit} is the value calculated from the correlation. Thus, the average absolute percent deviation (AAD) is found with the expression $\text{AAD} = (\sum |\text{PCTDEV}|) / n$, where the summation is over all n points, and the bias percent is found with the expression BIAS

TABLE 3. Coefficients for Eq. (8).

$c_1 = 22.150\ 00$	$c_2 = -15.008\ 70$	$c_3 = 3.717\ 91$
$c_4 = 77.728\ 18$	$c_5 = 9.734\ 49$	$c_6 = 9.519\ 00$
$c_7 = -6.340\ 76$	$c_8 = -2.519\ 09$	




FIG. 6. Selected isotherms showing viscosity in the near-critical region.

TABLE 4. Evaluation of the *n*-heptane viscosity correlation for the primary data.

1st Author	Year publ.	AAD (%)	BIAS (%)
Sagdeev ¹⁵	2013	1.95	1.18
Abdulagatov ¹⁶	2006	1.07	−0.54
Zéberg-Mikkelsen ¹⁷	2006	1.02	−0.03
Pensado ¹⁸	2005	0.92	−0.83
Abdulagatov ^{19,20 a}	1992	1.75	−0.82
Assael ²¹	1992	0.54	−0.11
Assael ²²	1991	0.52	−0.46
Vogel ²³	1991	0.14	−0.12
Knapstad ²⁴	1989	0.30	−0.03
Kessel'man ²⁵	1976	0.63	0.55
Giller ²⁶	1949	0.29	−0.19
Entire data set		1.1	−0.3

^aExcludes 11 data points in the near-critical region.

$= (\Sigma \text{PCTDEV})/n$. The average absolute percent deviation of the fit is 1.1%, and its bias is −0.3%. We estimate the uncertainty at a 95% confidence level to be 3.5%, except for the very-near-critical region where the deviations are larger. As mentioned in Sec. 3.1, we estimate the expanded uncertainty of the correlation at a 95% confidence level for the low-density gas at temperatures from 317 to 632 K and pressures to 0.3 MPa to be 0.3%.

Figure 7 shows the percentage deviations of all primary viscosity data from the values calculated by Eqs. (1)–(8) as a function of temperature, while Figs. 8 and 9 show the same deviations but as a function of the pressure and of the density.

In Fig. 7, a previous reference correlation by Dymond and Øye⁶⁸ along the saturated liquid line is also shown. It has an estimated uncertainty of 0.4% and is based on two data sets, those of Knapstad *et al.*²⁴ and Assael *et al.*²¹ The Dymond and Øye correlation is restricted to a limited temperature range, from 292 to 346 K. The present correlation was based on additional data sets as given in Table 1, and may be used over a much wider temperature range. Along the liquid-phase saturation curve, we estimate the uncertainty is 1% below 292 K,




FIG. 7. Percentage deviations of primary experimental data of *n*-heptane from the values calculated by the present model as a function of temperature.




FIG. 8. Percentage deviations of primary experimental data of *n*-heptane from the values calculated by the present model as a function of pressure.

0.6% in the region from 292 to 346 K, rising to 2% between 346 and 363 K. In Table 5, values are given along the saturated liquid line, calculated from the present proposed correlation between 250 and 360 K.

Table 6 shows the average absolute percent deviation and the bias for the secondary data. Finally, Fig. 10 shows a plot of the viscosity of *n*-heptane as a function of temperature for different pressures. The plot demonstrates the smooth extrapolation behavior at temperatures that exceed the 600 K limit of the correlation.

4. Computer-Program Verification

Table 7 is provided to assist the user in computer-program verification. The viscosity calculations are based on the tabulated temperatures and densities.




FIG. 9. Percentage deviations of primary experimental data of *n*-heptane from the values calculated by the present model as a function of density.

TABLE 5. Viscosity values of the liquid along the saturation line, calculated by the present scheme.

<i>T</i> (K)	ρ (kg m ⁻³)	η (μPa s)
250.00	719.62	721.7
260.00	711.35	622.9
270.00	703.06	543.9
280.00	694.74	479.7
290.00	686.37	426.6
300.00	677.94	382.0
310.00	669.42	344.1
320.00	660.82	311.6
330.00	652.09	283.3
340.00	643.24	258.6
350.00	634.23	236.9
360.00	625.05	217.5

TABLE 6. Evaluation of the *n*-heptane viscosity correlation for the secondary data.

1st author	Year publ.	AAD (%)	BIAS (%)
Guerrero ²⁷	2011	0.28	0.04
Rathnam ²⁸	2010	1.43	-0.49
Yang ²⁹	2010	3.26	3.26
Zivkovic ³⁰	2010	2.63	2.63
El-Sayed ³¹	2009	0.61	-0.61
Fang ³²	2008	1.33	1.33
Totchasov ³³	2008	0.38	0.38
Landaverde-Cortes ³⁴	2007	0.63	0.63
Reddy ³⁵	2007	4.65	4.65
Wang ³⁶	2007	0.80	0.80
Baragi ³⁷	2006	1.45	1.45
Iloukhani ³⁸	2006	1.25	1.25
Reddy ³⁹	2006	4.65	4.65
Al-Jimaz ⁴⁰	2005	0.15	0.15
Ma ⁴¹	2005	1.54	-1.54
Yang ⁴²	2004	1.54	1.54
Yang ⁴³	2004	1.54	-1.54
Ma ⁴⁴	2004	2.04	2.04
Oswal ⁴⁵	2003	1.49	1.49
Queimada ⁴⁶	2003	2.55	2.13
Peng ⁴⁷	2002	1.25	1.25
Matos ⁴⁸	2001	0.53	-0.33
Nayak ⁴⁹	2001	3.33	3.33
Sastry ⁵⁰	1999	0.95	0.95
Dandekar ⁵¹	1998	1.17	1.17
Jimenez ⁵²	1998	1.76	-1.76
Wu ⁵³	1998	1.37	-1.37
Aminabhavi ⁵⁴	1997	0.53	-0.53
Baylaucq ⁵⁵	1997	3.05	1.92
Baylaucq ⁵⁶	1997	0.63	-0.63
Nath ⁵⁷	1997	0.03	-0.03
Orge ⁵⁸	1997	2.53	2.53
Will ⁵⁹	1997	0.51	-0.51
Abdulagatov ⁶⁰	1996	3.31	1.01
Aminabhavi ⁶¹	1996	0.53	-0.53
Sastry ^{62,63}	1996	0.14	0.14
Sastry ⁶⁴	1996	1.11	1.11
Aminabhavi ⁶⁵	1995	1.42	1.42
Aucejo ⁶⁶	1995	0.39	-0.39
Aminabhavi ⁶⁷	1994	0.34	-0.34
Dymond ⁶⁸	1994	0.29	-0.29
Pandey ⁶⁹	1994	1.05	-1.05
Papaioannou ⁷⁰	1994	0.82	-0.75
Blanco ⁷¹	1993	0.71	0.71
Cooper ⁷²	1991	0.36	-0.36
Kanti ⁷³	1991	1.88	1.81
Papaioannou ⁷⁴	1991	0.80	-0.80

TABLE 6. Evaluation of the *n*-heptane viscosity correlation for the secondary data.—Continued

1st author	Year publ.	AAD (%)	BIAS (%)
Zhang ⁷⁵	1991	0.33	0.17
Chevalier ⁷⁶	1990	4.76	4.76
Fermeglia ⁷⁷	1990	2.02	2.02
Iwahashi ⁷⁸	1990	2.38	2.38
Brunson ⁷⁹	1989	5.57	-4.67
Fermeglia ⁷⁷	1990	2.02	2.02
Kouris ⁸⁰	1989	0.80	-0.80
Schrodt ⁸¹	1989	0.39	-0.39
Chandrashekhara ⁸²	1988	1.39	-1.39
Rao ⁸³	1988	1.50	1.50
Wakefield ⁸⁴	1988	1.23	-1.23
Celda ⁸⁵	1987	0.77	-0.77
Senanayake ⁸⁶	1987	0.28	-0.28
Awwad ⁸⁷	1986	3.09	3.09
Awwad ⁸⁸	1985	1.05	1.05
Martin ⁸⁹	1983	0.76	-0.76
Kashiwagi ⁹⁰	1982	0.97	-0.97
Sreenivasulu ⁹¹	1982	0.49	-0.49
Rabe ⁹²	1981	2.37	2.01
Dusart ⁹³	1978	0.87	0.87
Gouel ⁹⁴	1978	5.86	-5.47
Gunchuk ⁹⁵	1977	1.24	1.24
Öz ⁹⁶	1976	1.28	1.28
Badalov ⁹⁷	1975	1.73	-0.97
Mussche ⁹⁸	1975	1.64	1.64
Diaz Pena ⁹⁹	1974	6.83	6.83
Moore ¹⁰⁰	1974	0.51	-0.51
Ratkovics ¹⁰¹	1974	0.20	0.20
Shikhaliev ¹⁰²	1974	7.28	7.03
Nauruzov ¹⁰³	1973	0.70	0.46
Zhigunov ¹⁰⁴	1972	5.05	5.05
Konobeev ¹⁰⁵	1970	1.62	1.62
Kuss ¹⁰⁶	1969	2.94	-2.94
Darmois ¹⁰⁷	1967	2.96	-2.96
Carmichael ¹⁰⁸	1966	2.45	2.45
Bidlack ¹⁰⁹	1964	0.22	-0.22
Bagdasarian ¹¹⁰	1964	1.55	1.53
Agaev ¹¹¹	1963	7.37	7.37
Golubev ¹¹²	1963	9.10	-7.73
McAllister ¹¹³	1960	0.04	-0.04
Albright ¹¹⁴	1956	10.97	10.97
Ledneva ¹¹⁵	1956	1.32	-0.93
Belinskaya ¹¹⁶	1955	1.79	1.69
Golik ¹¹⁷	1955	0.83	-0.47
Hammond ¹¹⁸	1955	0.55	-0.55
Koshkin ¹¹⁹	1955	1.17	0.83
Lambert ¹²⁰	1955	7.71	7.71
Toporov ¹²⁰	1955	0.57	-0.46
Johnson ¹²²	1953	1.81	1.81
Koshkin ¹²³	1953	2.03	1.62
Doolittle ¹²⁴	1951	2.59	2.59
Fawcett ¹²⁵	1946	0.29	-0.29
Geist ¹²⁶	1946	0.29	-0.29
Khalilov ¹²⁷	1939	3.33	0.14
Kyropoulos ¹²⁸	1939	2.55	2.02
Timmermans ¹²⁹	1935	1.61	-1.61
Melaven ¹³⁰	1932	2.29	0.30
Shepard ¹³¹	1931	1.09	-1.09
Tausz ¹³²	1930	31.10	31.10
Smyth ¹³³	1928	0.77	-0.77
Lewis ¹³⁴	1925	1.20	-1.20
Miller ¹³⁵	1924	16.56	16.56
Batschinski ¹³⁶	1913	0.43	0.04
Thorpe ¹³⁷	1894	0.43	0.10




FIG. 10. Viscosity of *n*-heptane as a function of temperature for different pressures.

TABLE 7. Sample points for computer verification of the correlating equations.

<i>T</i> (K)	ρ (kg m ⁻³)	η (μPa s)
250.00	0.00	4.9717
400.00	0.00	7.8361
550.00	0.00	10.7394
250.00	720.00	725.69
400.00	600.00	175.94
550.00	500.00	95.105

5. Conclusion

A new wide-ranging correlation for the viscosity of *n*-heptane was developed based on critically evaluated experimental data. The correlation is valid from the triple point to 600 K, and at pressures up to 248 MPa. The correlation is expressed in terms of temperature and density, with the density calculated from the equation of state of Span and Wagner.¹⁰ We estimate the uncertainty at a 95% confidence level to be 3.5% over the whole range (with the exception of the near-critical region). Along the saturated liquid curve, we estimate the uncertainty is 1% below 292 K, 0.6% in the region from 292 to 346 K, rising to 2% between 346 and 363 K, and 0.3% for the low-density gas at temperatures from 317 to 600 K and pressures to 0.3 MPa.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the partial financial support of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry. Finally, the authors thank Mr. Konstantino Mylona for his help in translating Russian papers.

6. Appendix: Corrected Values for the Viscosity of *n*-Heptane from Abdulagatov and Rasulov^{19,20}

<i>p</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> (K)	η (μPa s)	<i>p</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> (K)	η (μPa s)	<i>p</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> (K)	η (μPa s)
0.100	298.300	387.50	0.100	550.400	10.90	2.700	540.160	22.52
2.500	298.300	398.70	2.500	550.400	15.20	2.700	542.000	19.78
2.715	298.300	398.90	2.715	550.400	17.04	2.700	544.000	18.31
5.000	298.300	408.70	5.000	550.400	52.00	2.700	546.000	17.72
10.000	298.300	429.90	10.000	550.400	69.10	2.700	548.000	17.13
30.000	298.300	516.50	30.000	550.400	107.40	2.720	540.160	22.00
50.000	298.300	607.10	50.000	550.400	136.50	2.720	541.000	21.33
0.100	350.100	238.10	2.000	540.160	13.81	2.720	542.000	20.21
2.500	350.100	245.60	2.000	541.000	13.79	2.720	544.000	18.73
2.715	350.100	246.00	2.000	542.000	13.76	2.720	546.000	18.03
5.000	350.100	253.80	2.000	544.000	13.75	2.720	548.000	17.37
10.000	350.100	270.10	2.000	546.000	13.65	2.740	540.160	37.75
30.000	350.100	333.50	2.000	548.000	13.58	2.740	542.000	20.84
50.000	350.100	399.30	2.100	540.160	14.18	2.740	544.000	19.15
0.100	397.800	7.70	2.100	541.000	14.15	2.740	546.000	18.32
2.500	397.800	165.30	2.100	542.000	14.11	2.740	548.000	17.63
2.715	397.800	165.40	2.100	544.000	14.04	2.760	540.160	34.54
5.000	397.800	171.20	2.100	546.000	13.96	2.760	541.000	23.01
10.000	397.800	185.60	2.100	548.000	13.91	2.760	542.000	21.95
30.000	397.800	235.10	2.200	540.160	14.65	2.760	544.000	19.85
50.000	397.800	282.20	2.200	541.000	14.60	2.760	546.000	18.86
0.100	449.600	9.00	2.200	542.000	14.54	2.760	548.000	18.07
2.500	449.600	110.70	2.200	544.000	14.43	2.780	540.160	39.01
2.715	449.600	111.20	2.200	546.000	14.31	2.780	542.000	23.35
5.000	449.600	116.70	2.200	548.000	14.24	2.780	544.000	20.84
10.000	449.600	129.20	2.300	540.160	15.25	2.780	546.000	19.41
30.000	449.600	173.00	2.300	541.000	15.18	2.780	548.000	18.44
50.000	449.600	212.30	2.300	542.000	15.10	2.800	540.160	39.32
0.100	496.700	9.80	2.300	544.000	14.92	2.800	541.000	37.06
2.500	496.700	75.60	2.300	546.000	14.75	2.800	542.000	25.51
2.715	496.700	76.30	2.300	548.000	14.66	2.800	544.000	21.82
5.000	496.700	84.00	2.400	540.160	15.84	2.800	546.000	19.72

Continued

<i>p</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> (K)	η ($\mu\text{Pa s}$)	<i>p</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> (K)	η ($\mu\text{Pa s}$)	<i>p</i> (MPa)	<i>T</i> (K)	η ($\mu\text{Pa s}$)
10.000	496.700	96.90	2.400	541.000	15.74	2.800	548.000	18.75
30.000	496.700	138.30	2.400	542.000	15.63	2.900	540.160	41.65
50.000	496.700	172.50	2.400	544.000	15.45	2.900	541.000	40.02
0.100	534.000	10.40	2.400	546.000	15.27	2.900	542.000	36.05
2.500	534.000	18.00	2.400	548.000	15.08	2.900	544.000	34.67
2.715	534.000	45.10	2.500	540.160	16.84	2.900	548.000	22.30
5.000	534.000	60.20	2.500	541.000	16.62	3.000	540.160	43.05
10.000	534.000	75.90	2.500	542.000	16.41	3.000	541.000	41.80
30.000	534.000	115.60	2.500	544.000	16.08	3.000	542.000	40.61
50.000	534.000	148.70	2.500	546.000	15.82	3.000	544.000	37.50
0.100	540.100	10.70	2.500	548.000	15.63	3.000	546.000	30.24
2.500	540.100	16.50	2.600	540.160	18.45	3.000	548.000	23.94
2.715	540.100	20.95	2.600	541.000	17.93	3.100	540.160	44.13
5.000	540.100	57.60	2.600	542.000	17.42	3.100	541.000	43.12
10.000	540.100	73.90	2.600	544.000	17.05	3.100	542.000	41.93
30.000	540.100	113.30	2.600	546.000	16.63	3.100	544.000	39.81
50.000	540.100	144.90	2.600	548.000	16.26	3.100	546.000	37.03
						3.100	548.000	34.43

7. References

- ¹M. J. Assael, J. A. M. Assael, M. L. Huber, R. A. Perkins, and Y. Takata, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **40**, 033101 (2011).
- ²M. J. Assael, I. A. Koini, K. D. Antoniadis, M. L. Huber, I. M. Abdulagatov, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **41**, 023104 (2012).
- ³M. J. Assael, S. K. Mylona, M. L. Huber, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **41**, 023101 (2012).
- ⁴M. J. Assael, E. K. Michailidou, M. L. Huber, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **41**, 043102 (2012).
- ⁵M. J. Assael, S. K. Mylona, M. L. Huber, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **42**, 013106 (2013).
- ⁶M. J. Assael, I. Bogdanou, S. K. Mylona, M. L. Huber, R. A. Perkins, and V. Vesovic, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **42**, 023101 (2013).
- ⁷E. A. Sykioti, M. J. Assael, M. L. Huber, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **42**, 043101 (2013).
- ⁸M. J. Assael, E. A. Sykioti, M. L. Huber, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **42**, 023102 (2013).
- ⁹E. K. Michailidou, M. J. Assael, M. L. Huber, and R. A. Perkins, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **42**, 033104 (2013).
- ¹⁰R. Span and W. Wagner, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **24**, 41 (2003).
- ¹¹E. Bich and E. Vogel, *Transport Properties of Fluids. Their Correlation, Prediction and Estimation* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996), Chap. 5.2.
- ¹²D. G. Friend and J. C. Rainwater, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **107**, 590 (1984).
- ¹³J. C. Rainwater and D. G. Friend, *Phys. Rev. A* **36**, 4062 (1987).
- ¹⁴M. J. Assael, M. L. V. Ramires, C. A. Nieto de Castro, and W. A. Wakeham, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **19**, 113 (1990).
- ¹⁵D. I. Sagdeev, M. G. Fomina, G. K. Mukhamedzyanov, and I. M. Abdulagatov, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **34**, 1 (2013).
- ¹⁶I. M. Abdulagatov and N. D. Azizov, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **38**, 1402 (2006).
- ¹⁷K. K. Zéberg-Mikkelsen, G. Watson, A. Baylaucq, G. Galliéro, and C. Boned, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **245**, 6 (2006).
- ¹⁸A. S. Pensado, M. J. P. Comuñas, L. Lugo, and J. Fernández, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **50**, 849 (2005).
- ¹⁹I. M. Abdulagatov and S. M. Rasulov, *Teplofiz. Vys. Temp.* **30**, 501 (1992).
- ²⁰I. M. Abdulagatov and S. M. Rasulov, *High Temp.* **30**, 399 (1992).
- ²¹M. J. Assael, C. P. Oliveira, M. Papadaki, and W. A. Wakeham, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **13**, 593 (1992).
- ²²M. J. Assael and M. Papadaki, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **12**, 801 (1991).
- ²³E. Vogel and B. Holdt, *High Temp. High Press.* **23**, 473 (1991).
- ²⁴B. Knapstad, P. A. Skjølsvik, and H. A. Øye, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **34**, 37 (1989).
- ²⁵P. M. Kessel'man, E. G. Porichanskii, and E. M. Karbanov, *Kholodil. Tekhn. Tekhnol.* **48**, 22 (1976).
- ²⁶E. B. Giller and H. G. Drickamer, *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **41**, 2067 (1949).
- ²⁷H. Guerrero, M. García-Mardones, G. Pera, I. Bandres, and C. Lafuente, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **56**, 3133 (2011).
- ²⁸M. V. Rathnam, S. Mankumare, and M. S. S. Kumar, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **55**, 1354 (2010).
- ²⁹X. Yang, Y. Xing, D. Li, Y. Guo, and W. Fang, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **55**, 2914 (2010).
- ³⁰E. M. Živković, M. L. Kijevčanin, I. R. Radović, S. P. Šerbanović, and B. D. Djordjević, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **299**, 191 (2010).
- ³¹H. M. El-Sayed and A.-F. Asfour, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **30**, 1773 (2009).
- ³²S. Fang, C.-X. Zhao, and C.-H. He, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **53**, 2244 (2008).
- ³³E. D. Totchasov, M. Y. Nikiforov, and G. A. Al'per, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A* **82**, 68 (2008).
- ³⁴D. C. Landaverde-Cortes, A. Estrada-Baltazar, and G. A. Iglesias-Silva, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **52**, 1226 (2007).
- ³⁵K. V. N. S. Reddy, P. S. Rao, and A. Krishnaiah, *J. Mol. Liq.* **135**, 14 (2007).
- ³⁶Z.-F. Wang, L.-S. Wang, and T.-B. Fan, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **52**, 1866 (2007).
- ³⁷J. G. Baragi, M. I. Aralaguppi, M. Y. Kariduraganavar, S. S. Kulkarni, A. S. Kittur, and T. M. Aminabhavi, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **38**, 75 (2006).
- ³⁸H. Iloukhani, M. Rezaei-Sameti, and J. Basiri-Parsa, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **38**, 975 (2006).
- ³⁹K. V. N. S. Reddy, G. S. Reddy, and A. Krishnaiah, *Thermochim. Acta* **440**, 43 (2006).
- ⁴⁰A. S. Al-Jimaz, J. A. Al-Kandary, A. M. Abdul-latif, and A. M. Al-Zanki, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **37**, 631 (2005).
- ⁴¹P. Ma and N. Li, *Tianjin Diaxue Xuebao* **38**, 577 (2005).
- ⁴²C. Yang, W. Xu, and P. Ma, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **49**, 1794 (2004).
- ⁴³C. Yang, W. Xu, and P. Ma, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **49**, 1802 (2004).
- ⁴⁴P. S. Ma, Q. Zhou, C. Yang, and S. Xia, *Huagong Xuebao* **55**, 1608 (2004).
- ⁴⁵S. L. Oswal, M. M. Maisuria, and R. L. Gardas, *J. Mol. Liq.* **108**, 199 (2003).
- ⁴⁶A. J. Queimada, S. E. Quiñones-Cisneros, I. M. Marrucho, J. A. P. Coutinho, and E. H. Stenby, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **24**, 1221 (2003).
- ⁴⁷I. H. Peng and C.-H. Tu, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **47**, 1457 (2002).
- ⁴⁸J. S. Matos, J. L. Trenzado, E. González, and R. Alcalde, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **186**, 207 (2001).
- ⁴⁹J. N. Nayak, M. I. Aralaguppi, and T. M. Aminabhavi, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **46**, 891 (2001).
- ⁵⁰N. V. Sastry, N. J. Jain, A. George, and P. Bahadur, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **163**, 275 (1999).

- ⁵¹A. Y. Dandekar, S. I. Andersen, and E. H. Stenby, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **43**, 551 (1998).
- ⁵²E. Jiménez, C. Franjo, L. Segade, J. L. Legido, and M. I. P. Andrade, *J. Solution Chem.* **27**, 569 (1998).
- ⁵³J. Wu, Z. Shan, and A.-F. A. Asfour, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **143**, 263 (1998).
- ⁵⁴T. M. Aminabhavi and V. B. Patil, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **42**, 641 (1997).
- ⁵⁵A. Baylaucq, C. Boned, P. Dauge, and B. Lagourette, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **18**, 3 (1997).
- ⁵⁶A. Baylaucq, P. Dauge, and C. Boned, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **18**, 1089 (1997).
- ⁵⁷J. Nath and J. G. Pandey, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **42**, 1133 (1997).
- ⁵⁸B. Orge, M. Iglesias, A. Rodriguez, J. M. Canosa, and J. Tojo, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **133**, 213 (1997).
- ⁵⁹S. Will and A. Leipertz, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **18**, 1339 (1997).
- ⁶⁰L. M. Abdulagatov and S. M. Rasulov, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* **100**, 148 (1996).
- ⁶¹T. M. Aminabhavi, V. B. Patil, M. I. Aralaguppi, and H. T. S. Phayde, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **41**, 521 (1996).
- ⁶²N. V. Sastry and M. K. Valand, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **41**, 1421 (1996).
- ⁶³N. V. Sastry and M. K. Valand, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **41**, 1426 (1996).
- ⁶⁴N. V. Sastry and M. M. Raj, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **41**, 612 (1996).
- ⁶⁵T. M. Aminabhavi and B. Gopalakrishna, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **40**, 632 (1995).
- ⁶⁶A. Aucejo, M. C. Burguet, R. Munoz, and J. L. Marques, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **40**, 141 (1995).
- ⁶⁷T. M. Aminabhavi, M. I. Aralaguppi, G. Bindu, and R. S. Khinnavar, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **39**, 522 (1994).
- ⁶⁸J. H. Dymond and H. A. Øye, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **23**, 41 (1994).
- ⁶⁹J. D. Pandey, P. Jain, and V. Vyas, *Can. J. Chem.* **72**, 2486 (1994).
- ⁷⁰D. Papaioannou and C. Panayiotou, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **39**, 463 (1994).
- ⁷¹A. M. Blanco, J. Ortega, B. Garcia, and J. M. Leal, *Thermochim. Acta* **222**, 127 (1993).
- ⁷²E. F. Cooper and A.-F. A. Asfour, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **36**, 285 (1991).
- ⁷³M. Kanti, B. Lagourette, J. Alliez, and C. Boned, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **65**, 291 (1991).
- ⁷⁴D. Papaioannou, T. Evangelou, and C. Panayiotou, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **36**, 43 (1991).
- ⁷⁵J. Zhang and H. Liu, *Huagong Xuebao* **42**, 269 (1991).
- ⁷⁶J. L. E. Chevalier, P. J. Petrino, and Y. H. Gaston-Bonhomme, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **35**, 206 (1990).
- ⁷⁷M. Fermeglia, R. Lapasin, and G. Torriano, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **35**, 260 (1990).
- ⁷⁸M. Iwahashi, Y. Yamaguchi, Y. Ogura, and M. Suzuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **63**, 2154 (1990).
- ⁷⁹R. R. Brunson and C. H. Byers, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **34**, 46 (1989).
- ⁸⁰S. Kouris and C. Panayiotou, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **34**, 200 (1989).
- ⁸¹J. T. Schrodt and R. M. Akel, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **34**, 8 (1989).
- ⁸²A. C. H. Chandrasekhar, K. N. S. Nath, and A. Krishnaiah, *Chem. Scripta* **28**, 421 (1988).
- ⁸³K. P. C. Rao and K. S. Reddy, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* **66**, 474 (1988).
- ⁸⁴D. L. Wakefield, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **9**, 365 (1988).
- ⁸⁵B. Celda, R. Gavara, R. Tejero, and J. E. Figueruelo, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **32**, 31 (1987).
- ⁸⁶P. C. Senanayake, N. Gee, and G. R. Freeman, *Can. J. Chem.* **65**, 2441 (1987).
- ⁸⁷A. M. Awwad and M. A. Salman, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **25**, 195 (1986).
- ⁸⁸A. M. Awwad and E. I. Allos, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **22**, 353 (1985).
- ⁸⁹A. M. Martín, V. B. Rodríguez, and D. M. Villena, *Afinidad* **40**, 241 (1983).
- ⁹⁰H. Kashiwagi and T. Makita, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **3**, 289 (1982).
- ⁹¹M. Sreenivasulu and P. R. Naidu, *Acta Cienc. India (Ser.) Chem.* **8**, 16 (1982).
- ⁹²B. Rabe, "Experimentelle Bestimmung der Dynamischen Viskosität und Dichte von Binären Flüssigkeitsgemischen aus n-Heptan mit CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈ und aus Toluol mit CH₄ und C₃H₈," Ph.D. thesis (TU Berlin, 1981).
- ⁹³O. Dusat, C. Piekarski, and S. Piekarski, *J. Chim. Phys.* **75**, 919 (1978).
- ⁹⁴P. Gouel, *Bull. Cent. Rech. Explor.-Prod. Elf-Aquitaine* **2**, 419 (1978).
- ⁹⁵B. V. Gunchuk, E. M. Karbanov, N. I. Lapardin, and V. Y. Zakharchevskii, *Teplotiz. Svoistva Veshch. Mater.* **11**, 39 (1977).
- ⁹⁶H. Öz and T. Gümman, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **59**, 1935 (1976).
- ⁹⁷Y. A. Badalov, Y. M. Naziev, and S. O. Guseinov, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Neft Gaz* **18**, 67 (1975).
- ⁹⁸M. J. Mussche and L. A. Verhoeve, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **20**, 46 (1975).
- ⁹⁹M. Diaz Peña and J. A. R. Cheda, *An. Quim.* **70**, 107 (1974).
- ¹⁰⁰J. W. Moore and R. M. Wellek, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **19**, 136 (1974).
- ¹⁰¹F. Ratkovic, T. Salamon, and L. Domonkos, *Acta Chimica Acad. Sci. Hung.* **83**, 53 (1974).
- ¹⁰²Y. A. Shikhaliev, A. A. Mamedov, M. A. Aleskerov, and S. K. Khalilov, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.* **48**, 1002 (1974).
- ¹⁰³M. K. Nauruzov, V. G. Ben'kovskii, and T. M. Bogoslovskaya, *Tr. Inst. Khim. Nefti. Priir. Solei Akad. Nauk Kaz. SSR* **6**, 137 (1973).
- ¹⁰⁴I. S. Zhigunov and N. I. Ampelogova, *Fiz. Khim. Rastvorov*, **175** (1972).
- ¹⁰⁵B. I. Konobeev and V. V. Lyapin, *Zh. Prikl. Khim.* **43**, 803 (1970).
- ¹⁰⁶E. Kuss and P. Pollmann, *Z. Phys. Chem.* **68**, 205 (1969).
- ¹⁰⁷R. Darmon and J. Darmon, *Chim. Anal.* **49**, 158 (1967).
- ¹⁰⁸L. T. Carmichael and B. H. Sage, *AIChE J.* **12**, 559 (1966).
- ¹⁰⁹D. L. Bidlack and D. K. Anderson, *J. Phys. Chem.* **68**, 3790 (1964).
- ¹¹⁰S. S. Bagdasaryan, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **38**, 1816 (1964).
- ¹¹¹N. A. Agaev and I. F. Golubev, *Khim. Tekhnol. Topl. Masel.* **6**, 28 (1963).
- ¹¹²I. F. Golubev and N. A. Agaev, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **151**, 875 (1963).
- ¹¹³R. A. McAllister, *AIChE J.* **6**, 427 (1960).
- ¹¹⁴L. F. Albright and J. Lohrenz, *AIChE J.* **2**, 290 (1956).
- ¹¹⁵T. M. Ledneva, *Vestn. Mosk. Univ.* **11**, 49 (1956).
- ¹¹⁶L. G. Belinskaya, *Uch. Zap. Moskovsk. Oblast. Pedagog. Inst.* **33**, 221 (1955).
- ¹¹⁷A. Z. Golik and S. D. Ravikovich, *Ukr. Khim. Zh.* **21**, 39 (1955).
- ¹¹⁸B. R. Hammond and R. H. Stokes, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **51**, 1641 (1955).
- ¹¹⁹N. I. Koshkin, *Prim. Ultraakust. Issled. Veshchestva* **1**, 101 (1955).
- ¹²⁰J. D. Lambert, K. J. Cotton, M. W. Pailthorpe, A. M. Robinson, J. Scriven, W. R. F. Vale, and R. M. Young, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **231**, 280 (1955).
- ¹²¹A. P. Toropov, R. P. Airapetova, and V. K. Kiryukhin, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **25**, 1314 (1955).
- ¹²²J. F. Johnson and R. L. Letourne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **75**, 1743 (1953).
- ¹²³N. I. Koshkin and V. F. Nozdrev, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **92**, 793 (1953).
- ¹²⁴A. K. Doolittle and R. H. Peterson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 2145 (1951).
- ¹²⁵F. S. Fawcett, *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **38**, 338 (1946).
- ¹²⁶J. M. Geist and M. R. Cannon, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed.* **18**, 611 (1946).
- ¹²⁷K. M. Khalilov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **9**, 335 (1939).
- ¹²⁸S. Kyropoulos, *J. Chem. Phys.* **7**, 52 (1939).
- ¹²⁹J. Timmermans and M. Hennaut-Roland, *J. Chim. Phys. Phys.-Chim. Bio.* **32**, 501 (1935).
- ¹³⁰R. M. Melaven and E. Mack, Jr., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **54**, 888 (1932).
- ¹³¹A. F. Shepard, A. L. Henne, and T. Midgley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **53**, 1948 (1931).
- ¹³²J. Tausz and A. Staab, *Pet. Z.* **26**, 1129 (1930).
- ¹³³C. P. Smyth and W. N. Stoops, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **50**, 1883 (1928).
- ¹³⁴J. R. Lewis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **47**, 626 (1925).
- ¹³⁵C. C. Miller, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **106**, 724 (1924).
- ¹³⁶A. J. Batschinski, *Z. Phys. Chem.* **84**, 643 (1913).
- ¹³⁷T. Thorpe and J. Rodger, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **185**, 397 (1894).
- ¹³⁸H. Preston-Thomas, *Metrologia* **27**, 3 (1990).
- ¹³⁹E. Vogel, C. Küchenmeister, E. Bich, and A. Laesecke, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **27**, 947 (1998).
- ¹⁴⁰S. Chapman and T. G. Cowling, *The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases* (Cambridge University Press, London, 1970).
- ¹⁴¹G. C. Maitland, M. Rigby, E. B. Smith, and W. A. Wakeham, *Intermolecular Forces: Their Origin and Determination* (Clarendon, Oxford 1987).
- ¹⁴²E. Vogel, E. Bich, and R. Nimz, *Physica A* **139**, 188 (1986).
- ¹⁴³P. T. Boggs, R. H. Byrd, J. H. Rogers, and R. B. Schnabel, *ODRPACK, Software for Orthogonal Distance Regression*, NISTIR 4834, v2.013 (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 1992).
- ¹⁴⁴J. K. Bhattacharjee, R. A. Ferrell, R. S. Basu, and J. V. Sengers, *Phys. Rev. A* **24**, 1469 (1981).
- ¹⁴⁵G. A. Olchowy and J. V. Sengers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 15 (1988).
- ¹⁴⁶J. Luettmer-Strathmann, J. V. Sengers, and G. A. Olchowy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 7482 (1995).
- ¹⁴⁷V. Vesovic, W. A. Wakeham, G. A. Olchowy, J. V. Sengers, J. T. R. Watson, and J. Millat, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **19**, 763 (1990).

- ¹⁴⁸S. Hendl, J. Millat, E. Vogel, V. Vesovic, W. A. Wakeham, J. Luettmmer-Strathmann, J. V. Sengers, and M. J. Assael, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **15**, 1 (1994).
- ¹⁴⁹EUREQA Formulize v.098.1 (Nutonian Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA). Certain commercial products are identified in this paper to adequately specify the procedures used. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the products identified are necessarily the best available for that purpose.
- ¹⁵⁰C. D. Muzny, M. L. Huber, and A. F. Kazakov, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **58**, 969 (2013).
- ¹⁵¹M. J. Assael, J. H. Dymond, M. Papadaki, and P. M. Patterson, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **13**, 269 (1992).