

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

**INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTER  
USE A PENCIL ONLY**

TO VOTE, BLACKEN THE OVAL (●) COMPLETELY TO THE LEFT OF THE RESPONSE OF YOUR CHOICE.  
TO WRITE IN A NAME, BLACKEN THE OVAL (●) TO THE LEFT OF THE DOTTED LINE AND WRITE THE NAME ON THAT DOTTED LINE.

**NATIONAL**

**UNITED STATES PRESIDENT  
AND VICE PRESIDENT**

Your vote for the candidates for United States President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.

**VOTE FOR ONE TICKET**

**LIBERTARIAN**

U.S. President, **HARRY BROWNE**  
U.S. Vice President, **ART OLIVIER**

**INDEPENDENT**

U.S. President, **PATRICK J. BUCHANAN**  
U.S. Vice President, **EZOLA FOSTER**

**REPUBLICAN**

U.S. President, **GEORGE W. BUSH**  
U.S. Vice President, **DICK CHENEY**

**PACIFIC GREEN**

U.S. President, **RALPH NADER**  
U.S. Vice President, **WINONA LADUKE**

**REFORM**

U.S. President, **JOHN HAGELIN**  
U.S. Vice President, **NAT GOLDBABER**

**CONSTITUTION**

U.S. President, **HOWARD PHILLIPS**  
U.S. Vice President, **J. CURTIS FRAZIER**

**DEMOCRAT**

U.S. President, **AL GORE**  
U.S. Vice President, **JOE LIEBERMAN**

**WARNING**

Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment or to a fine, or both.

**UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN  
CONGRESS, 1ST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**BETH A. KING**  
Libertarian

**DAVID WU**  
Democrat

**CHARLES STARR**  
Republican

**UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE IN  
CONGRESS, 3RD CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**EARL BLUMENAUER**  
Democrat

**WALTER F. (WALT) BROWN**  
Socialist

**BRUCE ALEXANDER KNIGHT**  
Libertarian

**JEFFERY L. POLLOCK**  
Republican

**TRE ARROW**  
Pacific Green

**STATE**

**SECRETARY OF STATE  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**BILL BRADBURY**  
Democrat

**LLOYD MARBET**  
Pacific Green

**LYNN SNODGRASS**  
Republican

**E. J. (ED) POLE, II**  
Libertarian

**STATE TREASURER  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**LEONARD ZACK**  
Reform

**RANDALL EDWARDS**  
Democrat

**CARLOS F. LUCERO**  
Constitution

**JON KVISTAD**  
Republican

**MITCHELL T. SHULTS**  
Libertarian

**ATTORNEY GENERAL  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**HARDY MYERS**  
Democrat

**KEVIN L. MANNIX**  
Republican

**THOMAS B. COX**  
Libertarian

**STATE SENATOR, 6TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**GINNY BURDICK**  
Democrat

**STATE SENATOR, 7TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**KATE BROWN**  
Democrat

**CHARLEY J. NIMS**  
Socialist

**STATE SENATOR, 8TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**MARGARET CARTER**  
Democrat

**WHITNEY SMITH**  
Pacific Green

**STATE SENATOR, 10TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**ROY BURKETT**  
Constitution

**AVEL LOUISE GORDLY**  
Democrat

**STATE SENATOR, 11TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**JOHN MINNIS**  
Republican

**ARTHUR J. (ART) SCARBOROUGH**  
Socialist

**CHRIS GORSEK**  
Democrat

**STATE SENATOR, 28TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**VERN COOK**  
Democrat

**TED FERRIOLI**  
Republican

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 7TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**BILL WITT**  
Republican

**MITCH GREENLICK**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 10TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**JAN LEE**  
Republican

**MIKE SMITH**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 11TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**MARY NOLAN**  
Democrat

**JOAN GARDNER**  
Republican

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 12TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**CHRIS BECK**  
Democrat

**H. JOE TABOR**  
Libertarian

**JEANNE SCHOEL**  
Republican

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 13TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**DAN GARDNER**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 14TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**JOHN MCENROE**  
Libertarian

**DIANE ROSENBAUM**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 15TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**STEVE MARCH**  
Democrat

**SCOTT LUTZ**  
Republican

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 16TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**JEFF MERKLEY**  
Democrat

**DAVID TILLEMANS**  
Pacific Green

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 17TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**GARY D. HANSEN**  
Democrat

**THOMAS W. ALBRIGHT**  
Socialist

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 18TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**DEBORAH KAFOURY**  
Democrat

**BARRY JOE STULL**  
Pacific Green

**ROGER SHIPMAN**  
Constitution

**VICTORIA P. GUILLEBEAU**  
Socialist

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 19TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**JO ANN A. BOWMAN**  
Democrat

**IVARS BITANS**  
Republican

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 20TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**KAREN MINNIS**  
Republican

**MIKE WEATHERBY**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 21ST DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**RANDY LEONARD**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 22ND DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**EDWIN GOLOBAY**  
Republican

**Laurie Monnes Anderson**  
Democrat

**STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 56TH DISTRICT  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**PAUL ZASTROW**  
Democrat

**PATTI SMITH**  
Republican

**NONPARTISAN STATE JUDICIARY**

**JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT,  
POSITION 2  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**PAUL J. DEMUNIZ**

**GREG BYRNE**

**JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS,  
POSITION 6  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**DAVID V. BREWER**  
Incumbent

**JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT,  
4TH DISTRICT, POSITION 21  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**RONALD E. CINNIGER**  
Incumbent

**COUNTY**

**COUNTY COMMISSIONER  
DISTRICT 4  
VOTE FOR ONE**

**LONNIE ROBERTS**

**FRANK SHIELDS**

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

<b>CITY OF FAIRVIEW</b> COUNCILOR, POSITION NO. 1 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  JAMES R. RAZE  ----- COUNCILOR, POSITION NO. 2 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  SHERRYL DEE LILLARD  ----- COUNCILOR, POSITION NO. 3 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  LEN EDWARDS  ----- COUNCILOR, POSITION NO. 6 UNEXPIRED 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  BARBARA E. JONES  -----	<b>CITY OF GRESHAM</b> COUNCIL POSITION NO. 1 VOTE FOR ONE  ARLENE EASLY  JACK HORNER  ----- COUNCIL POSITION NO. 3 VOTE FOR ONE  JOHN A. LEUTHAUSER  JACK HANNA  DAVID M. PEERY  KIM LOUISE PENWELL  ----- COUNCIL POSITION NO. 5 VOTE FOR ONE  JOHN W. DILLOW  LARRY HAVERKAMP  -----	<b>CITY OF LAKE OSWEGO</b> MAYOR VOTE FOR ONE  TOM LOWREY  JUDIE HAMMERSTAD  ----- CITY COUNCIL VOTE FOR FOUR  ELLIE MCPEAK  KARL W. ROHDE  JOHN TURCHI  GAY GRAHAM  JEFF GUDMAN  ----- <b>CITY OF MAYWOOD PARK</b> CITY COUNCIL VOTE FOR THREE  (The two candidates receiving the most votes will be given four-year terms; the remaining candidate will serve a two-year term)  ARTHUR J. WINSLOW, JR.  BENJAMIN V. HARRISON  JAMES S. AKERS  ----- ----- -----
--	---	--

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

<b>CITY OF PORTLAND</b> MAYOR VOTE FOR ONE  VERA KATZ  ----- COMMISSIONER POS. 1 VOTE FOR ONE  JIM FRANCESCONI  ----- COMMISSIONER POS. 4 VOTE FOR ONE  CHARLIE HALES  ----- <b>CITY OF TROUTDALE</b> MAYOR 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  PAUL A. THALHOFER  PAT SMITH  ----- COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 2 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  ROB K. KLEVER  DAVID RIPMA  ----- COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 4 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  JIM KIGHT  NORMAN D. THOMAS  ----- COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 6 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  DOUG DAOUST  STEVEN L. ELLIS  -----	<b>CITY OF WOOD VILLAGE</b> CITY COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 2 2 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  KENNETH B. FLETCHER  ----- CITY COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 3 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  DAVID M. FULLER  ----- CITY COUNCIL, POSITION NO. 5 4 YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  PAMELA SCHMELTZER-SPRADLING  ----- <b>EAST MULTNOMAH SOIL &amp; WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</b> DIRECTOR, ZONE 1, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  HAROLD WILLIAMS  ----- DIRECTOR, ZONE 2, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  JAMES G. (JIM) BARRETT  ----- DIRECTOR, AT LARGE, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  CLIFTON E. DEAL  ----- DIRECTOR, AT LARGE, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  ADAM MAYER  ALEXANDER PATTERSON  -----	<b>WEST MULTNOMAH SOIL &amp; WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</b> DIRECTOR, ZONE 1, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  KIMBERLY PETERSON  ----- DIRECTOR, ZONE 2, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  (NO CANDIDATE FILED)  ----- DIRECTOR, ZONE 3, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  GEORGE SOWDER  ----- DIRECTOR, ZONE 5, 2-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  NANCY KELLEY PARK  ----- DIRECTOR, AT LARGE, 4-YEAR TERM VOTE FOR ONE  ELIZABETH CALLISON  KARIN HUNT  ----- <b>INTERLACHEN WATER PEOPLE'S UTILITY DISTRICT</b> DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 1 VOTE FOR ONE  ROB D. JOHNSON  ----- DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 5 VOTE FOR ONE  JEAN M. RIDINGS  -----
---	---	--

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

<p><b>ROCKWOOD WATER PEOPLE'S UTILITY DISTRICT</b></p> <p>DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 1 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>HERB BROWN</p> <p>TOM LEWIS</p> <p>FRANK CLEYS</p> <hr/> <p>DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 3 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>MEL EDWARDS</p> <p>STEVE D. MCELROY</p> <hr/> <p>DIRECTOR, SUBDISTRICT NO. 4 VOTE FOR ONE</p> <p>SANDRA K. RAMAKER</p> <p>PAT STALLINGS</p> <hr/> <p align="center"><b>STATE MEASURES</b></p> <p>SEE TEXT OF MEASURES ON SEPARATE SHEET</p> <p align="center">REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY</p>	<p><b>85 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: MODIFIES POPULATION, MINIMUM AREA REQUIREMENTS FOR FORMATION OF NEW COUNTIES</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote modifies population and minimum area requirements for formation of new counties.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current constitutional requirements for minimum area of counties, population of new counties.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>86 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: REQUIRES REFUNDING GENERAL FUND REVENUES EXCEEDING STATE ESTIMATES TO TAXPAYERS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote establishes constitutional requirement to refund general fund revenues exceeding state estimates to taxpayers.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects constitutional requirement to refund general fund revenues exceeding state estimates to taxpayers.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>87 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: ALLOWS REGULATION OF LOCATION OF SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES THROUGH ZONING</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote allows zoning of sexually oriented businesses without showing threatened or actual neighborhood harm.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains ban on zoning businesses based on content of speech, expression presented there.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>88 INCREASES MAXIMUM DEDUCTIBLE IN OREGON FOR FEDERAL INCOME TAXES PAID</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote increases maximum deductible on Oregon income tax returns for federal income taxes paid.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current cap on amount deductible in Oregon for federal income taxes paid.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>89 DEDICATES TOBACCO SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS TO SPECIFIED HEALTH, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote creates fund from tobacco settlement proceeds dedicated to specified health, housing, transportation programs.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects creating fund from tobacco settlement dedicated to specified health, housing, transportation programs.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>84 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: STATE MUST CONTINUE PAYING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR STATE-MANDATED PROGRAMS.</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote retains requirement that state pay local governments for costs of state-mandated programs.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote repeals requirement that state pay local governments for costs of state-mandated programs.</p> <p>NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>REFERENDUM ORDER BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>90 AUTHORIZES RATES GIVING UTILITIES RETURN ON INVESTMENTS IN RETIRED PROPERTY</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote authorizes rates giving utilities return on undepreciated investments in certain retired utility property.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects authorizing rates giving utilities return on undepreciated investments in certain retired property.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p align="center"><b>PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>91 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: MAKES FEDERAL INCOME TAXES FULLY DEDUCTIBLE ON OREGON TAX RETURNS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote makes federal income taxes fully deductible on Oregon personal, corporate income tax returns.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current system limiting federal income tax deduction on Oregon income tax returns.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>92 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: PROHIBITS PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES WITHOUT SPECIFIC WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote prohibits payroll deductions for political purposes without specific annual written employee authorization.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current laws governing authorization of payroll deductions for political purposes.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>93 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: VOTERS MUST APPROVE MOST TAXES, FEES; REQUIRES CERTAIN APPROVAL PERCENTAGE</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote means voters approve taxes, fees by certain approval percentage; may repeal recent increases.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current rules for approving, increasing taxes, fees; maintains previously approved taxes, fees.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>94 REPEALS MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCES FOR CERTAIN FELONIES, REQUIRES RESENTENCING</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote repeals mandatory minimum sentences for certain violent and other felonies, requires resentencing.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains mandatory minimum sentences for certain violent and other felonies, maintains existing sentences.</p> <p>NO</p>
--	---	---

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

<p><b>95 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: STUDENT LEARNING DETERMINES TEACHER PAY; QUALIFICATIONS, NOT SENIORITY, DETERMINE RETENTION</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires student learning, not seniority, determines teacher pay; qualifications, student learning determine retention.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains current laws for paying, retaining teachers by qualifications, including performance, education, seniority.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>96 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: PROHIBITS MAKING INITIATIVE PROCESS HARDER, EXCEPT THROUGH INITIATIVE; APPLIES RETROACTIVELY</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote prohibits making initiative, referendum process more expensive, difficult except through initiative; applies retroactively.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains legislature's authority to pass laws, make referrals making initiative, referendum process harder.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>97 BANS BODY-GRIPPING ANIMAL TRAPS, SOME POISONS; RESTRICTS FUR COMMERCE</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote bans: body-gripping traps, commerce in fur from such trapping, certain animal poisons.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects ban on: body-gripping traps, related commerce in fur, certain animal poisons.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>98 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: PROHIBITS USING PUBLIC RESOURCES FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES; LIMITS PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote prohibits using public resources to collect or help collect political funds</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects prohibition on using public resources to collect or help collect political funds</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>99 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: CREATES COMMISSION ENSURING QUALITY HOME CARE SERVICES FOR ELDERLY, DISABLED</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote creates commission ensuring quality home services for elderly, disabled receiving publicly-funded care.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects commission ensuring quality home services for elderly, disabled receiving publicly-funded care.</p> <p>NO</p>	<p><b>1 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LEGISLATURE MUST FUND SCHOOL QUALITY GOALS ADEQUATELY; REPORT; ESTABLISH GRANTS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires legislature to fund school quality goals adequately, issue report, establish equalization grants.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects requirements that legislature fund school quality goals adequately, issue report, establish grants.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>2 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: CREATES PROCESS FOR REQUIRING LEGISLATURE TO REVIEW ADMINISTRATIVE RULES</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote creates process for petitioning legislature to require its review of administrative rules.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote keeps system not requiring legislative approval for administrative rules to remain in effect.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>3 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: REQUIRES CONVICTION BEFORE FORFEITURE; RESTRICTS PROCEEDS USAGE; REQUIRES REPORTING, PENALTY</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires conviction before property forfeiture; restricts use of proceeds; requires reporting; declares penalty.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects: requiring conviction before forfeiture; restricting use of proceeds; requiring reporting; declaring penalty.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>4 DEDICATES TOBACCO SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS; EARNINGS FUND LOW-INCOME HEALTH CARE</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote creates tobacco settlement trust fund; earnings dedicated to low-income health care.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote leaves use of tobacco-settlement proceeds unrestricted, rejects creation of health trust fund.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>5 EXPANDS CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING BACKGROUND CHECK BEFORE TRANSFER OF FIREARM</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote expands Oregon background check before firearm transfer at gun show or by dealer.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects expanding current Oregon background-check requirement beyond handgun transfers by gun dealers.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>6 PROVIDES PUBLIC FUNDING TO CANDIDATES WHO LIMIT SPENDING, PRIVATE CONTRIBUTIONS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote provides limited public funding to candidates accepting limits on spending and private contributions.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote retains system of no public funding, unlimited private contributions to state office candidates.</p> <p>NO</p>	<p><b>7 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: REQUIRES PAYMENT TO LANDOWNER IF GOVERNMENT REGULATION REDUCES PROPERTY VALUE</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote requires state, local government pay property owner if law, regulation reduces property value.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects requiring government pay compensation if law or regulation reduces property value.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>8 AMENDS CONSTITUTION: LIMITS STATE APPROPRIATIONS TO PERCENTAGE OF STATE'S PRIOR PERSONAL INCOME</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote limits state appropriations to 15 percent of state's personal income in prior biennium.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote leaves constitution without limit on appropriations for state government expenditures.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p><b>9 PROHIBITS PUBLIC SCHOOL INSTRUCTION ENCOURAGING, PROMOTING, SANCTIONING HOMOSEXUAL, BISEXUAL BEHAVIORS</b></p> <p>RESULT OF "YES" VOTE: "Yes" vote prohibits public school instruction encouraging, promoting, or sanctioning homosexual/bisexual behaviors; provides penalties.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>RESULT OF "NO" VOTE: "No" vote rejects proposal to prohibit public school instruction encouraging, promoting, sanctioning homosexual/bisexual behaviors.</p> <p>NO</p> <hr/> <p align="center"><b>METRO</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>26-10 AMENDS METRO CHARTER BY ABOLISHING EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CREATING COUNCIL PRESIDENT</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Metro Charter be amended to abolish the Office of Metro Executive Officer and create new Office of Council President?</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> Amends Metro Charter to abolish Office of Executive Officer and create new Office of Council President. Modifies composition of Metro Council from seven Councilors elected by district to six Councilors elected by district and Council President, elected at large. Establishes compensation of Council President as equal to salary of state circuit court judge. Requires creation of Offices of Chief Operating Officer and Metro Attorney; both serve at pleasure of Council. Measure takes effect 2003. Transition to six-member Council coincides with census required redistricting.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NO</p>
---	---	--

<p><b>PORTLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE</b></p> <p><b>26-7 PCC GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS- IMPROVING CLASSROOMS AND BUILDINGS, UPGRADING TECHNOLOGY.</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall PCC be authorized to issue general obligation bonds for capital construction, technology upgrades and building improvements, not exceeding \$144,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> The cost to property tax payers is an estimated average of 11 cents per thousand dollars of assessed value over a maximum of 23 years (\$16.50 per year for a home valued at \$150,000). This bond measure was approved by voters in May 2000, but did not receive the required voter turnout to pass.</p> <p>The measure would provide Portland Community College funds for construction of new classrooms and labs, technology upgrades, bond issuance costs and building improvements to meet increased enrollment over the next 10 years. The measure will enable the college to continue to provide educational opportunities for thousands of students each year. In 2000-2001, PCC will educate more than 90,000 students. Enrollment has increased for the past 15 consecutive years.</p> <p>Projects include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional classroom and lab space at all campuses to meet enrollment increases</li> <li>Library and science labs at the Rock Creek Campus in Washington County</li> <li>Technology upgrades to meet students' needs and job requirements</li> <li>Property acquisition</li> <li>Facility improvements to meet safety requirements and prolong building life</li> </ul> <p>YES NO</p>	<p><b>CITY OF FAIRVIEW</b></p> <p><b>26-14 FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR POLICE SERVICES</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Fairview be authorized to levy \$1,160.00 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years for police services beginning 2001-02? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> If approved, the proposal authorizes the City to levy up to \$1,160 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years beginning in tax year 2001-02.</p> <p>Currently, the City has eight officers including the Chief. This would add 2 new patrol officers and 1 new sergeant (a 37.5% increase in personnel). Items covered by the levy are wages, benefits, computers, office equipment, supplies, training, uniforms and other items for five years. In addition, the levy would allow over five years the purchase, maintenance and operation of three vehicles.</p> <p>This will allow the Department to keep pace with the added law enforcement duties arising from Fairview's increased population which stands at approximately 6370 and achieve the statewide average of 1.7 sworn police personnel per 1000 in population. Fairview continues to move toward full twenty-four hour police coverage.</p> <p>A home with an assessed value of \$100,000 would pay \$116.00 per year. It would raise an estimated \$303,664 in 2001-02, \$327,957 in 2002-03, \$354,193 in 2003-04, \$382,529 in 2004-05, and \$413,131 in 2005-06, for a total estimate of \$1,781,474.</p> <p>YES NO</p>	<p><b>CITY OF GRESHAM</b></p> <p><b>26-19 GRESHAM FIRE FACILITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Gresham issue General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$5,775,000 for fire facilities?</p> <p>If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes.</p> <p>These bonds would fund the relocation of two fire stations, remodeling or reconstruction of two other fire stations, the costs of borrowing, land acquisition, design, construction, fixtures, furnishings and related costs. Bonds mature in 20 years or less.</p> <p>The City Hall and Kane Road stations would be relocated. Response time to emergency fire and medical calls in Gresham would improve to a city-wide average of 4.5 minutes. The relocated stations would meet all current standards.</p> <p>The Pleasant View Dr. (190th) and the 192nd &amp; Halsey fire stations will be remodeled or reconstructed, if necessary, to meet earthquake and Americans with Disabilities Act standards.</p> <p>The estimated cost is seven cents per \$1,000 based on the information available for the 2001-2002 fiscal year. This levy would cost the owner of a home with a tax assessed value of \$150,000 about \$10.50 in additional property taxes. A projection of the total cost of the bonds, including interest, is \$9,952,148 and the average annual revenue is \$497,608.</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p>(Continued on next page)</p>
<p><b>CITY OF TROUTDALE</b></p> <p><b>26-24 FOUR YEAR GENERAL OPERATING LOCAL OPTION TAX</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall City of Troutdale impose \$980,000 for four years for general operating purposes beginning 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> The taxes needed for four years total \$3,920,000 which will be imposed in equal amounts of \$980,000 each fiscal year commencing 2001-2002 and ending 2004-2005. The taxes will support general operating purposes including but not limited to police, fire, building and parks maintenance, and recreation programs. This levy will maintain current levels of service to Troutdale citizens. This levy will provide funding to maintain current levels of service which have experienced significant cost increases over a five-year period from 1996-1997 to 2000-2001 including total General Fund expenditures of 23% with individual increases of 42.6% for total police costs, 56.5% for 9-1-1 emergency services and 24.9% for contracted fire protection. The fire services contract is expected to increase by 40% in 2002-2003, an estimated increase of \$326,000 over current budget year costs. It is estimated that the proposed tax levy will result in a rate of approximately \$1.36 per \$1,000 of assessed value in the first fiscal year. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.</p> <p>YES NO</p>		

<p><b>26-20 GRESHAM PARKS AND RECREATION OPERATIONS FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Gresham levy 8¢ per \$1000 tax assessed value for five years starting 2001-2002 to fund Parks and Recreation?</p> <p>This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes.</p> <p>All of the City's existing property taxes are dedicated to police and fire. Citizen committees and City Council have determined that additional funding is needed to maintain and restore parks and recreation operations and offer related programs and services. This levy would serve the recreation needs of the community including youth, teens, adults, seniors, and families.</p> <p>This five-year local option tax would start July 1, 2001 and is computed each year at a rate of eight cents for each thousand dollars of tax assessed value.</p> <p>An estimate of the total amount to be raised each fiscal year is:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>2001-2002</td><td>\$ 401,188</td></tr> <tr><td>2002-2003</td><td>423,846</td></tr> <tr><td>2003-2004</td><td>447,820</td></tr> <tr><td>2004-2005</td><td>473,583</td></tr> <tr><td>2005-2006</td><td>490,278</td></tr> <tr><td>Total</td><td>\$ 2,236,715</td></tr> </table> <p>This levy would cost the owner of a home with a tax assessed value of \$150,000 about \$12 per year. This levy is subject to the local government \$10 rate limit of Section 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>YES NO</p>	2001-2002	\$ 401,188	2002-2003	423,846	2003-2004	447,820	2004-2005	473,583	2005-2006	490,278	Total	\$ 2,236,715	<p><b>26-21 GRESHAM COMMUNITY CENTER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Gresham levy 20¢ per \$1000 tax assessed value for five years starting 2001-2002 for a Community Center?</p> <p>This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes.</p> <p>Citizen committees and City Council determined a Community Center is needed. The Center would be a central gathering place serving youth, teens, adults, seniors and families.</p> <p>This levy funds capital improvements including borrowing costs, land and/or building acquisition, site improvement, design, construction and/or renovation, furnishings, and costs related to the Community Center.</p> <p>This five-year local option tax would start July 1, 2001 and is computed each year at a rate of 20 cents for each thousand dollars of tax assessed value. The City would only use this levy if the Community Center Operations levy is approved. Gresham may issue bonds or other obligations payable from this levy for the Center.</p> <p>An estimate of the total amount to be raised each fiscal year is:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>2001-2002</td><td>\$ 1,002,971</td></tr> <tr><td>2002-2003</td><td>1,059,616</td></tr> <tr><td>2003-2004</td><td>1,119,550</td></tr> <tr><td>2004-2005</td><td>1,183,958</td></tr> <tr><td>2005-2006</td><td>1,225,695</td></tr> <tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>\$ 5,591,790</td></tr> </table> <p>This levy would cost the owner of a home with a tax assessed value of \$150,000 about \$30 per year. This levy is subject to the local government \$10 rate limit of Section 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>YES NO</p>	2001-2002	\$ 1,002,971	2002-2003	1,059,616	2003-2004	1,119,550	2004-2005	1,183,958	2005-2006	1,225,695	TOTAL	\$ 5,591,790	<p><b>26-22 GRESHAM COMMUNITY CENTER OPERATIONS FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Gresham levy 11.75¢ per \$1000 tax assessed value for five years starting 2001-2002 to operate a Community Center?</p> <p>This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes.</p> <p>Citizen committees and City Council have determined that a Community Center is needed in Gresham. This levy only funds operation of a Community Center. The City would only use this levy if the Community Center Capital Improvement levy is also approved.</p> <p>The Community Center would serve the needs of the community, including youth, teens, adults, seniors, and families. User fees would help pay operational costs.</p> <p>This five-year local option tax would start July 1, 2001 and is computed each year at a rate of 11.75 cents for each thousand dollars of tax assessed value.</p> <p>An estimate of the total amount to be raised each fiscal year is:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>2001-2002</td><td>\$ 589,245</td></tr> <tr><td>2002-2003</td><td>622,525</td></tr> <tr><td>2003-2004</td><td>657,736</td></tr> <tr><td>2004-2005</td><td>695,575</td></tr> <tr><td>2005-2006</td><td>720,096</td></tr> <tr><td>Total</td><td>\$ 3,285,177</td></tr> </table> <p>This levy would cost the owner of a home with a tax assessed value of \$150,000 about \$17.63 per year. This levy is subject to the local government \$10 rate limit of Section 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p>YES NO</p> <p><b>CITY OF MAYWOOD PARK</b></p> <p><b>26-15 MAYWOOD PARK DEBT AUTHORIZATION</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall the City borrow up to \$30,000.00 from the State to fund a sewer feasibility study?</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> To fulfill commitments made to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), the City Council desires to commission a study on the feasibility of constructing and financing sewers within its borders. It is expected that such a study will cost between \$25,000 and \$30,000. At present, the City does not have the funds in its treasury to pay for this study. However, a low interest loan from the State is available. The City Council would like to avail itself of this loan but is prohibited by section 7 of the City charter from incurring debt over \$1,000.00 without voter approval. This ballot measure if passed would grant such approval and would allow the City to borrow up to \$30,000.00 from the State to be used to fund a sewer feasibility study.</p> <p>YES NO</p>	2001-2002	\$ 589,245	2002-2003	622,525	2003-2004	657,736	2004-2005	695,575	2005-2006	720,096	Total	\$ 3,285,177
2001-2002	\$ 401,188																																					
2002-2003	423,846																																					
2003-2004	447,820																																					
2004-2005	473,583																																					
2005-2006	490,278																																					
Total	\$ 2,236,715																																					
2001-2002	\$ 1,002,971																																					
2002-2003	1,059,616																																					
2003-2004	1,119,550																																					
2004-2005	1,183,958																																					
2005-2006	1,225,695																																					
TOTAL	\$ 5,591,790																																					
2001-2002	\$ 589,245																																					
2002-2003	622,525																																					
2003-2004	657,736																																					
2004-2005	695,575																																					
2005-2006	720,096																																					
Total	\$ 3,285,177																																					

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

**CITY OF PORTLAND**

**26-6 AMENDS CITY CHARTER; EXEMPTS BUREAU DIRECTORS FROM CIVIL SERVICE**

**QUESTION:** Shall the Charter be amended to exempt from classified civil services City bureau directors appointed after December 31, 2000?

**SUMMARY:** Section 4-101 of the City Charter places City employees under a civil service system. There are certain exceptions, however. "All officers chosen by popular election or by appointment by the Council, the members of all boards and commissions, the deputies of the City Attorney, the City Engineer, the Superintendent and Chief Engineer of the Bureau of Water Works, the Secretary of the Civil Service Board and of the Auditor, the Chief Deputy City Auditor, the secretary and administrative staff of each Council member, and the Chief of Police." This measure adds to the list of exempted personnel all bureau directors appointed after December 31, 2000. Employees exempted from civil service are not subject to civil service requirements for selection and dismissal. Exempt employees are appointed and serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority designated by the Charter or City Code. The appointing authority is either the Council, a Council member, or the Auditor.

YES  
NO

---

**26-8 AMENDS CHARTER; CHANGES POLICE FIRE DISABILITY AND RETIREMENT BOARD COMPOSITION.**

**QUESTION:** Shall the composition of disability and Retirement Board be changed to add three citizen positions and delete three beneficiary positions?

**SUMMARY:** Section 5-201(a) of the City Charter defines the composition of the Board of Trustees for the Fire and Police Disability and Retirement Fund. The Board is composed of eleven members: the Mayor, the City Auditor, the City Treasurer, the Police Chief, the Fire Chief, three active members from the Fire Bureau and three active members from the Police Bureau.

This measure would change the composition of the FPD&R Board while maintaining the current size of the Board. Three citizen members would be added. The two positions held by the Police Chief and Fire Chief would be combined into one position they would share on a rotating basis. The active members elected from the Police Bureau would be reduced from three to two and the active members elected from the Fire Bureau would be reduced from three to two. The citizen members would be appointed thus: the Mayor to appoint one member; the elected members from the Police and Fire Bureaus to appoint one member; and the members of the FPD&R Board to appoint one member.

YES  
NO

**26-9 AMENDS CHARTER; PROHIBITS DISABILITY PAYMENTS TO INCARCERATED BENEFICIARIES AFTER CONVICTION.**

**QUESTION:** Shall Fire Police Disability and Retirement Board be prohibited from making disability payments to incarcerated members convicted of a crime?

**SUMMARY:** Section 5-306(c) of the City Charter places limits on the amount of disability benefits to members of the Fire and Police Disability and Retirement Fund may receive.

This measure would add to Section 5-306(c). It would prohibit the payment of disability benefits to any member while incarcerated after conviction of a crime. The member's spouse would receive 50% of the disability payment while the member was incarcerated, unless the spouse was also incarcerated. Minor children would receive 50% of the disability payment if there was no spouse or spouse was incarcerated.

YES  
NO

---

**BEAVERTON  
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 48J**

**34-22 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO RELIEVE OVERCROWDING BY CONSTRUCTING, UPGRADING SCHOOLS**

**QUESTION:** Is Beaverton School District 48J authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$149,780,000 to expand and approve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

**SUMMARY:** If approved, this measure provides funds for capital construction and improvements including: adding classroom space to keep pace with enrollment growth, renovating and improving existing facilities, making site improvements, and purchasing land for future use. Specifically, this measure would:

- Construct and furnish two elementary schools and one middle school addition to relieve overcrowding in the elementary and middle schools.
- Renovate, upgrade and expand existing buildings to support the educational program and protect the community's investment in these facilities. Funds are expected to allow the following:
  - Construct, furnish, and renovate classrooms and related educational facilities at many existing elementary, middle and Aloha, Sunset and Westview High Schools.
  - Upgrade electrical wiring and improve student access to technology.
  - Replace leaking roofs, outdated heating, ventilation, plumbing systems and make other health and safety improvements.
  - Replace portable buildings and expand support facilities.
- Purchase land for future school building use and make site improvements.
- Pay associated building and issuance costs.

Bonds would mature in 21 years or less from issuance date and may be issued in one or more series.

YES  
NO

**CENTENNIAL  
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28JT**

**26-17 CENTENNIAL SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION**

**QUESTION:** Shall Centennial School District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$31,000,000 to expand and improve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of Section 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

**SUMMARY:** If approved, this measure provides funds to add classroom space to keep pace with student enrollment growth and renovate and expand Centennial High School's aging facilities. Specifically, this measure will:

- Construct, equip and furnish a new elementary school building to house approximately 600 students and relieve overcrowding in the district's other elementary schools.
- Renovate and expand the high school to protect the community investment in that facility and extend the life of the school for approximately 30 years. Improvements will:
  - Upgrade electrical wiring to improve student access to technology.
  - Replace outdated heating, ventilation, plumbing systems and make other health and safety improvements.
  - Construct, equip and furnish new classrooms.

Bonds will mature in 21 years or less from the date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.

YES  
NO

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

**DAVID DOUGLAS  
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 40**

**26-16 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO EXPAND AND IMPROVE DAVID DOUGLAS SCHOOLS**

**QUESTION:** Shall David Douglas School District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$39,900,000 to expand and improve facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

**SUMMARY:** David Douglas schools have an immediate need for additional classrooms to ease overcrowding, to renovate and improve existing school facilities, and to upgrade safety and security. If approved, bonds would provide funds to finance the costs of capital construction and improvements to:

- Renovate, upgrade and expand existing school facilities to maintain the educational program, protect the community's investment in these facilities, and to increase safety and security. Improvements include, but are not limited to:
  - constructing, equipping, and furnishing approximately 20 additional classrooms at existing elementary and secondary schools.
  - upgrading wiring to improve student access to technology and communication.
  - replacing outdated roofs, heating, ventilation, plumbing and other safety/health related improvements.
  - updating safety and security of educational facilities.
- Construct, equip and furnish a school on district-owned property to relieve elementary school overcrowding and reduce class size.
- Construct, equip and furnish a facility for students needing alternative instruction. Purchase land for future needs. Pay all associated building, site improvements and bond issuance costs.

Bonds would mature in 21 years or less.

YES  
NO

**GRESHAM-BARLOW  
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 10JT**

**26-18 GRESHAM-BARLOW SCHOOL DISTRICT 10JT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION**

**QUESTION:** Shall Gresham Barlow School District 10Jt be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$40,200,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of section 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

**SUMMARY:** If approved, bonds will finance:

- Essential renovation and expansion at Gresham High School; improvements will prolong the useful life of the school and add instructional space.
- The construction, equipment, and furnishing of a new elementary school to relieve overcrowding at all elementary schools.
- The construction, equipment, and furnishing of an advanced skills center and another small facility for alternative high school programs to relieve overcrowding at the Gresham and Sam Barlow High Schools.
- Additional capital improvements to renovate instructional space, property, lighting, heating, ventilation, and electrical systems, and improve safety at the majority of district schools and provide a central warehouse/office structure that would result in operational efficiencies and savings.
- Added technology connections and capabilities for all schools.

Associated building and bond issuance costs are included.

Bonds would mature over a period not to exceed 21 years with repayment structured so that new taxpayers will assist in paying for the bond measure.

YES  
NO

**HILLSBORO  
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1J**

**34-18 HILLSBORO SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1J GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION**

**QUESTION:** Shall the District be authorized to issue general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$98,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

**SUMMARY:** If approved, bonds will finance:

- One high school and two elementary schools: construction, equipment, and furnishings.
- Land for future school sites.
- Safety, security, seismic, ADA renovations and upgrades.
- School support facilities.

A new high school built on District-owned land north of Highway 26 will relieve overcrowding in our current high schools. Enrollment exceeds current capacity and is projected to increase by 900 students in five years.

Two elementary schools will provide space for growing elementary enrollment. The District expects 1,150 more elementary students within five years.

Provide security-related improvements: lighting, locks, fencing, safety and security systems.

Provide handicap access and seismic and facility upgrades.

Purchase land for future school sites.

Consolidate maintenance, warehouse, and grounds-keeping facilities for growing District.

Associated building and bond issuance costs are included.

Bonds would mature over a period not to exceed 20 years. New taxpayers will assist in paying for the bond measure.

YES  
NO

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

<p align="center"><b>LAKE OSWEGO SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7J</b></p> <p><b>3-8 LAKE OSWEGO SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall the District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$85,000,000 to expand and improve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> A Yes vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. Proceeds would fund:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Substantially rebuilding 50-year old Lake Oswego High School.</li> <li>• Significantly remodeling Lakeridge High School, built in 1971, constructing new science classrooms, larger gymnasium, replacement auditorium, remodeled music/arts facilities, and other improvements.</li> <li>• At both junior highs, adding a new gymnasium and two classrooms, performing various remodels.</li> <li>• At all elementary schools, various remodeling projects, additional classrooms as needed.</li> <li>• Improvements to aging infrastructure at all schools, including as needed: roofing, plumbing, electrical, lighting, security and technology systems.</li> <li>• Swimming pool improvements, other district construction and improvement projects.</li> </ul> <p>The total cost of the bonds consists of principal of \$85,000,000 and estimated interest of \$98,670,000. The average amount of tax revenue this measure would produce annually is estimated at \$7,064,000 at an estimated tax rate of \$1.20/\$1,000.</p> <p>Bonds will mature over a period not exceeding 25 years from issuance date and may be issued in multiple series, with repayment structured so future taxpayers assist in paying the bonds.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7</b></p> <p><b>26-12 REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Reynolds School District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$45,000,000 to expand and improve its facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of Sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> If approved, the bonds will provide funds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To create safer, more efficient schools, capital repairs are needed district-wide, including replacing aging roofs, electrical and plumbing systems, improving air quality, constructing cafeterias, and other upgrades for special needs students.</li> <li>• To relieve elementary overcrowding, creating an elementary school from the existing Administration Building.</li> <li>• To relieve middle school overcrowding, adding 12 classrooms at Reynolds Middle School.</li> <li>• To accommodate increasing enrollment, building 16 classrooms for alternative high school students.</li> <li>• Adding 12 classrooms at the high school through remodeling and constructing 16 new classrooms and an auditorium.</li> <li>• Purchasing the forestry property for the Springdale Natural Resources Academy.</li> <li>• To assist in relieving high school overcrowding, partnering with east county schools in the Center for Advanced Learning.</li> <li>• Upgrading and expanding computer network connections for all schools.</li> </ul> <p>Bond issuance costs are included.</p> <p>Bonds will mature over a period not exceeding 20 years with repayment structured so that new taxpayers will assist in paying for the bond.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>RIVERDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 51JT</b></p> <p><b>26-25 GENERAL OPERATION FOR FIVE YEARS</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall the district impose 0.6550 per thousand dollars of assessed value for five years to support general operations beginning in 2001/2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> The district will use the tax revenues from this measure to maintain current programs and student/teacher ratios.</p> <p>A rate of 0.6550 per thousand dollars of assessed value is estimated to raise \$228,000 in 2001/02, \$234,840 in 2002/03, \$241,885 in 2003/04, \$249,142 in 2004/05, \$256,616 in 2005/06 for an estimated total of \$1,210,483.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p> <p align="center"><b>CLACKAMAS COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT NO. 1</b></p> <p><b>3-89 BONDS FOR FIRE DISTRICT STATIONS, FACILITIES AND EMERGENCY VEHICLES</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Clackamas County Fire District No. 1 be authorized to issue general obligation bonds to construct, refurbish and upgrade facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of section 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> This measure authorizes the district to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$9 million dollars to construct, refurbish and upgrade its facilities, and to purchase emergency vehicles. If approved, the measure would provide funds to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Build three new fire stations to serve the Pleasant Valley, Mt. Scott and Beaver Creek communities;</li> <li>• Provide major refurbishments at the Redland, Happy Valley and Holcomb stations.</li> <li>• Purchase new fire engines, ladder trucks and additional emergency response vehicles;</li> <li>• Upgrade district fire stations, facilities and infrastructure as needed;</li> <li>• Possibly acquire land for new stations and district facilities;</li> <li>• Pay the costs of issuing the bonds.</li> </ul> <p>The bonds will mature over a period not exceeding fifteen (15) years from the date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p>
--	---	--

**SAMPLE BALLOT • GENERAL ELECTION  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON • NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

<p align="center"><b>SAUVIE ISLAND R.F.P.D. NO. 30J</b></p> <p><b>26-23 FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX.</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall Sauvie Island Fire District #30J impose \$11,000.00 for five years for operations beginning 2000-01? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> The total amount to be raised by this levy is \$55,000.00, which will be imposed over five years at \$11,000.00 each year. The purpose of this levy is to retire interim financing used during the construction of the new fire station. A Special District Association of Oregon, FlexLease Loan in the amount of \$52,000.00 was secured to complete the office and training room. The proceeds of this levy will be used to retire the FlexLease Loan and to update firefighting and rescue equipment. It is estimated that the proposed tax levy will result in a tax rate of eleven cents per thousand of assessed valuation. As an example, a property valued at \$100,000.00 will result in an increase of \$11.00. This levy expires in five years and is then eliminated. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of estimate.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>SCAPPOOSE R.F.P.D.</b></p> <p><b>5-68 FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR EQUIPMENT AND PERSONNEL</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall the Scappoose Fire District impose \$.80 per \$1,000 assessed value for 5-years for equipment and personnel beginning 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> A "yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes.</p> <p>The approval of this tax would allow for additional paid firefighter/medical positions and funds needed for fire and ambulance equipment. The Scappoose Fire District's goal is to have 2 paid firefighter/paramedics on duty at the fire station 24-hours per day. This staffing would allow for one ambulance to respond to emergencies immediately. The District currently waits for off-duty and volunteer personnel to respond to the station prior to answering the emergency call for help.</p> <p>The Scappoose Fire District's tax rate of \$1.1145 per \$1,000 assessed value is deemed to be inadequate to properly insure fire and ambulance service. The Fire District's goal is to maintain the 38 volunteer firefighters to operate fire and ambulance service with the assistance of paid staff. Emergency alarms have increased due to the continuing growth in the community. This growth has become demanding for a volunteer force.</p> <p>The tax on a \$100,000 home would be \$80.00 per year for 5 years. The proposed rate will raise approximately \$446,427 in 2001-2002, \$459,819 in 2002-2003, \$473,614 in 2003-2004, \$487,822 in 2004-2005, and \$502,457 in 2005-2006. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p>	<p align="center"><b>TUALATIN VALLEY FIRE &amp; RESCUE</b></p> <p><b>34-38 FOUR-YEAR OPERATING LOCAL OPTION TAX</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Should the District impose \$.25 per \$1,000 of assessed value for operations for four years beginning 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> If approved, the proceeds will be used by Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue to pay the cost of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emergency services and support personnel;</li> <li>• Purchasing and/or upgrading emergency services technology; and</li> <li>• Purchasing equipment, furnishings, and other tangible property in support of operations</li> </ul> <p>The levy is not to exceed the rate of \$.25 per thousand dollars on the assessed value of all qualifying property within the District, commencing with the 2001-2002 fiscal year and continuing for a period of four (4) years. The total amount of money to be raised by the proposed local option tax levy over the four-year period is estimated to be as follows:</p> <table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">• 2001-2002:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$5,901,044</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">• 2002-2003:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,284,611</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">• 2003-2004:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$7,266,806</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">• 2004-2005:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$7,739,149</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">For a total of</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$27,191,610</td> </tr> </table> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p> <p align="center"><b>CORBETT WATER DISTRICT</b></p> <p><b>26-13 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION</b></p> <p><b>QUESTION:</b> Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$2,950,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of Section 11 and 11b of Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.</p> <p><b>SUMMARY:</b> This measure authorizes the Corbett Water District to issue general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$2,950,000, to construct and equip additional water storage facilities, improve the water treatment plant, make related improvements and to pay all costs incidental thereto. Bonds would mature over a period of not to exceed 21 years.</p> <p align="center">YES</p> <hr/> <p align="center">NO</p>	• 2001-2002:	\$5,901,044	• 2002-2003:	\$6,284,611	• 2003-2004:	\$7,266,806	• 2004-2005:	\$7,739,149	For a total of	\$27,191,610
• 2001-2002:	\$5,901,044											
• 2002-2003:	\$6,284,611											
• 2003-2004:	\$7,266,806											
• 2004-2005:	\$7,739,149											
For a total of	\$27,191,610											