This document has been accepted by the Academy Standards Board (ASB) for development as an American National Standard (ANS). For information about ASB and their process please refer to asb.aafs.org. This document is being made available at this stage of the process so that the forensic science community and interested stakeholders can be more fully aware of the efforts and work products of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees for Forensic Science (OSAC). The documents were prepared with input from OSAC Legal Resource Committee, Quality Infrastructure Committee, and Human Factors Committees, as well as the relevant Scientific Area Committee. The content of the documents listed below is subject to change during the standards development process within ASB, and may not represent the contents of the final published standard. All stakeholder groups or individuals, are strongly encouraged to submit technical comments on this draft document during the ASB's open comment period. Technical comments will not be accepted if submitted to the OSAC Scientific Area Committee or Subcommittees.

Wildlife Forensics Validation Standards- STR Analysis



DRAFT DOCUMENT

ASB Standard 00XX 2017

Wildlife Forensics Validation Standards- STR Analysis

Keywords: wildlife forensics, validation, DNA, STR analysis, microsatellites

Abstract

This document provides minimum standards and recommendations for validating new nuclear STR (short tandem repeat) markers for use in wildlife forensic DNA laboratories where the STR genotyping method has already been validated.

Foreword

This document addresses the particular need in wildlife forensics to validate new nuclear STR (short tandem repeat) primer sets in laboratories that have previously validated STR analysis methods, and are expanding the primer sets used for source attribution. Since expansions of methodology are based on previously validated foundational principles, methods, and reagents, the validation process for an expansion often requires a truncated developmental validation process and an internal validation. Because these two validations are completed in the same laboratory, the overlap between developmental and internal validation is extensive. Therefore, these standards cover validation, generally, and do not delineate between developmental and internal validation.

This validation document is needed to accommodate the diversity of species and substrates encountered in wildlife forensic laboratories. Differences in evolutionary histories for different taxonomic groups necessitate the use of different panels of STR markers. These marker panels are not available as commercial "kits", and each panel is applicable only to a single species or small group of closely related species. Laboratories need to be able to validate new STR panels in a straightforward and clearly defined way since this is a regular occurrence. Existing developmental and internal validation documents are allencompassing, and this further guidance and details are required for laboratories who routinely expand existing panels or develop new panels.

This standard was developed in the OSAC Wildlife Subcommittee Validation Task Group, reviewed by the OSAC Wildlife Subcommittee and presented to the Biology Subject Area Committee for movement through the American Academy of Forensic Sciences' Academy Standards Board.

Acknowledgements

Editor: **Christina Lindquist, M.S.,** UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory Forensic Unit

Deputy Editor(s):

Draft Working Group Members: Tasha Bauman*, M.S., Wyoming Game and Fish Wildlife Forensic and Fish Health Laboratory Mary Burnham-Curtis*, Ph.D., U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, National Fish & Wildlife **Forensics Laboratory** Jason Byrd, Ph.D., University of Florida Maples Center for Forensic Medicine Brandt Cassidy*, Ph.D., DNA Solutions Seth Faith, Ph.D., NC State University David Foran, Ph.D., Michigan State University Kimberly Frazier*, M.S., Wyoming Game and Fish Wildlife Forensic Lab Jenny Giles*, Ph.D., Stanford University Brian Hamlin*, B.S., U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, National Fish & Wildlife Forensics Laboratory Trey Knott*, M.S., NOAA/National Marine Fisheries Service/Marine Forensics Laboratory Christina Lindquist*, M.S., UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory Forensic Unit M. Katherine Moore, M.S., NOAA/National Marine Fisheries Service/Marine Forensics Laboratory Chris O'Brien, Ph.D., University of New Haven

*These members served on the original OSAC Wildlife Forensics Validation Task Group.

Consensus Group Members:

Table of Contents

1	Scope	 8
2	Normative References	 8
3	Terms and Definitions	 8
4	Standards	 11
Annex A (informative) Bibliography		 13

Wildlife Forensics Validation Standards- STR Analysis

1 Scope

This document provides minimum standards and recommendations for validating new nuclear STR (short tandem repeat) markers for use in wildlife forensic DNA laboratories where the STR genotyping method has already been validated.

2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document.

European Network of Forensic Science Institutes (ENFSI). Recommended Minimum Criteria for the Validation of Various Aspects of the DNA Profiling Process. http://www.enfsi.eu/sites/default/files/documents/minimum_validation_guidelines_in_dna_profiling_-v2010_0.pdf

SWGDAM. SWGDAM Validation Guidelines for Forensic DNA Analysis Methods. http://media.wix.com/ugd/4344b0_cbc27d16dcb64fd88cb36ab2a2a25e4c.pdf

3 Terms and Definitions

- **3.1** Accuracy is the degree to which the result of a measurement, calculation, or specification conforms to the correct value or a standard.
- **3.2** Amplification is an increase in the number of copies of a specific DNA fragment; can be in vivo or in vitro. In forensic DNA testing laboratories, this refers to the use of the PCR technique to produce many more copies of DNA at specific genetic loci.
- **3.3** Capillary electrophoresis is an electrophoretic technique for separating DNA molecules by their relative size based on their differential migration through a capillary tube filled with a liquid polymer.
- **3.4** Case-type samples are samples from known individuals with known testing results prepared within the laboratory to simulate a range of samples typically encountered by the testing laboratory in casework. The use of a range of test samples in validation studies facilitates the development of protocols for casework.
- **3.5** A control is a sample of known type, run in parallel with experimental, reference, or evidence samples that is used to demonstrate that a procedure is working correctly.
- **3.6** Critical reagents are chemicals or other materials used in testing whose performance is vital to the success of the test as determined by empirical studies or routine practice.
- **3.7** Developmental validation is the acquisition of test data and determination of conditions and limitations of a new methodology.
- **3.8** The DNA type is the genetic constitution of an individual at one or more defined locations (also known as loci) in the DNA. A DNA type derived from nuclear DNA typically consists of one or two alleles at several loci (for example, short tandem repeat loci).

- **3.9** Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium is a state in which allele and single locus genotype frequencies do not change (on average) from one generation to the next in a population. When alleles in a population are independent, allele and genotype frequencies are related through the Hardy-Weinberg principle: for a locus with 2 alleles P and Q at frequencies of p and q, homozygotes for P are found at frequency p², homozygotes for Q are found at a frequency of q², and heterozygotes are found at a frequency of 2pq. Use of the theta correction removes the need to assume Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the population for which a frequency database is constructed.
- **3.10** A likelihood ratio is the probability of the evidence under one proposition (hypothesis), divided by the probability of the evidence under an alternative, mutually exclusive proposition (hypothesis). The magnitude of its value expresses the weight of the evidence.
- **3.11** The limit-of-detection is 1) For a test, the point at which the sensitivity of the test is such that the quantity or concentration of a component present is insufficient to produce a positive test result or be detected. 2) For instrumental analysis, the point below which it is not possible to reliably distinguish analytical signal data from instrument background noise; typically defined as three times the standard deviation of the average background noise; The LOD may be calculated to aid in setting an analytical threshold for the reporting and interpretation of alleles in a DNA profile electropherogram.
- **3.12** Linkage disequilibrium is the nonrandom association, in a population, of alleles at different loci.
- **3.13** A locus is a unique physical location of a gene (or specific sequence of DNA) within a chromosome; the plural of locus is loci (which is pronounced low-sigh).
- **3.14** Internal Validation is the accumulation of test data within the laboratory for developing the laboratory standard operating procedures and demonstrating that the established protocols for the technical steps of the test and for data interpretation perform as expected in the laboratory.
- **3.15** A marker (DNA) is a gene or specific DNA sequence of known location on a chromosome; used as a point of reference in the mapping of other loci.
- **3.16** A mixture is DNA typing results originating from two or more individuals.
- **3.17** A mixed DNA sample is any biological sample containing DNA from more than one individual.
- **3.18** Multiplexing is a test providing for simultaneous amplification of multiple loci.
- **3.19** Nuclear DNA is the DNA contained within a nucleus of eukaryotic organisms comprising the majority of the genome.
- **3.20** Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is an enzymatic process by which a specific region of DNA is replicated during repetitive cycles that consist of the following: denaturation of the template; annealing of primers to complementary sequences at an empirically determined temperature; and extension of the bound primers by a DNA polymerase. The goal of the

PCR process is to generate many copies (termed products or amplicons) of a specific region of DNA for further analysis.

- **3.21** Polymerase is an enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of nucleic acids on preexisting nucleic acid templates.
- **3.22** Polymorphism is the occurrence in a population of two or more alleles or physical traits at a genetic locus. This variation within a population permits the differentiation of individuals via DNA testing or physical traits.
- **3.23** Population is a group of organisms of the same species in a defined geographic area, such that any pair of members can interbreed.
- **3.24** Population substructure is the existence of subpopulations with different allele frequencies.
- **3.25** Precision is the degree of mutual agreement among a series of individual measurements, values and/or results.
- **3.26** Primer is a short polynucleotide chain, usually 18 30 bases long, which targets a specific region of the template DNA and allows a DNA polymerase to initiate synthesis of a complementary strand; two primers are required for amplification of a particular section of double-stranded DNA. The primers are complementary to opposite strands and are designed to bracket the region of interest for amplification. Polymerization is initiated at the 3' end of the primer and extends in a 5' to 3' manner.
- **3.27** Sensitivity studies are a set of critical studies performed during developmental and/or internal validation of DNA or other test methods designed to define the lower and upper limits/ bounds of an assay to accurately detect an analyte.
- **3.28** Sequencing (DNA) is a laboratory technique used to determine the sequence of bases (A, C, G, and T) in a DNA molecule.
- **3.29** Species is a level of taxonomic classification that denotes interbreeding natural populations that are reproductively isolated from other interbreeding natural populations.
- **3.30** Species specificity is a reference to studies generally performed during developmental validation of forensic testing assays to assess if non-targeted species are detected in an assay (e.g., detection of microbial DNA in a human assay). The detection of non-targeted species does not necessarily invalidate the use of the assay, but may help define the limitations of the assay.
- **3.31** Stochastic describes 1) chance, or random variation 2) in DNA testing, refers to random sampling error from extracts containing low levels of DNA and/or random variation in selection of alleles amplified at a particular locus.
- **3.32** Stochastic threshold is the peak height value in a DNA electrophoretic profile above which it is reasonable to assume that, at a given locus, allelic drop out of a sister allele in a heterozygous pair has not occurred in a single source DNA sample; due to the possibility of shared alleles in mixed samples, the presence of allele peaks above the stochastic threshold is no guarantee that allele drop out did not occur in mixed DNA sample profiles.

3.33 Validation is the process of performing and evaluating a set of experiments that establish the efficacy, reliability, and limitations of a method, procedure, or modification thereof; establishing recorded documentation that provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently produce an outcome meeting its predetermined specifications and quality attributes.

4 Standards

- **4.1** If it is determined that a particular area listed below is not applicable to the primers/method being validated, an acceptable narrative of the basis of this determination shall be present in validation documentation.
- **4.2** If validation reveals that the new marker, in any of the areas addressed below, is not suitable for the intended use, the new marker should not be used, unless an acceptable narrative of the reason for use is presented.
- **4.3** The following areas should be addressed in a validation which includes expanding STR markers and/or multiplexes:
 - **4.3.1** Characterization of genetic markers: The basic characteristics (described below) of a genetic marker should be determined and documented.
 - a) Inheritance: The mode of transmission of DNA markers from parent to offspring.
 - b) Detection: Technological basis for identifying the genetic marker (for example, capillary electrophoresis).
 - c) Polymorphism: Type of variation.
 - **4.3.2** Species specificity: The ability to detect information from non-targeted species should be determined.
 - a) Species that are likely to be brought into the laboratory (for example, humans, or any species of pets)
 - b) Species likely to be present in combination with the species of interest on evidentiary items (for example, predator DNA mixed with targeted prey DNA)

NOTE: The detection of genetic information from non-targeted species does not necessarily invalidate the use of the assay, but may help define the limits of the assay.

- **4.3.3** Sensitivity and stochastic studies: The ability to obtain reliable results from a range of DNA quantities, to include the upper and lower limits of the assay, should be evaluated.
 - a) The following key values should be determined:
 - i) Optimal amount of template DNA
 - ii) Limit-of-detection

- iii) Stochastic threshold
- **4.3.4** Precision and accuracy of the assay should be demonstrated.
 - a) Repeatability: Replicates of the same sample amplified by the same operator and/or detection instrument should be evaluated. All replicates should produce the same DNA type.
 - b) Reproducibility: Replicates of the same sample amplified at another time by different operators and/or detection instruments should be evaluated. All replicates should produce the same DNA type.
- **4.3.5** Case-type samples: The ability to obtain reliable results should be evaluated using samples that are representative of those typically encountered by the testing laboratory for the species of interest.
- **4.3.6** Population studies: The distribution of genetic markers in populations should be determined in relevant population groups.
 - a) Loci should be evaluated for:
 - i) Linkage disequilibrium
 - ii) Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium
 - b) The population should be evaluated for substructure (for example, F_{st} and Θ) so that it may be applied in statistical interpretations.
 - c) If the markers are intended to be used for source attribution, then a sufficient number of individuals and/or populations must be tested to allow likelihood ratios to be assigned.
- **4.3.7** Mixture studies: If mixtures are to be analyzed using the new markers, the ability to obtain reliable results from mixed DNA samples should be determined.
- **4.3.8** PCR-based studies
 - a) Primer sequences should be readily available. If this is not possible, a clear narrative of explanation should be present in validation documentation.
 - b) The reaction conditions needed to provide the required degree of specificity and robustness should be evaluated. These include, but are not limited to, thermal cycling parameters and the concentration of primers, magnesium chloride, DNA polymerase, and other critical reagents.
 - c) The potential for differential amplification among loci, preferential amplification of alleles at a locus, and stochastic amplification (for example, excessive allelic signal imbalances due to the random sampling and amplification of low template quantities) should be evaluated.
 - d) The effects of multiplexing should be evaluated.

NOTE: For addition of a new primer set to an existing multiplex, this can be a single reaction verifying that the current reaction conditions function for the new marker. For adding a new published species multiplex, this should include a range of values and concentrations to pinpoint the reaction conditions that provide consistent amplification.



Annex A (informative)

Bibliography

This is not meant to be an all-inclusive list as the group recognizes other publications on this subject exist. Additionally, any mention of a particular software tool or vendor as part of this bibliography is purely incidental, and inclusion does not imply endorsement by the authors of this document.

- 1] ANAB ISO/IEC 17025:2005 FORENSIC SCIENCE TESTING LABORATORIES Accreditation Requirements. (AR 3028)
- 2] Butler, J.M., E. Buel, F. Crivellente, B.R. McCord. 2004. Forensic DNA typing by capillary electrophoresis using the ABI Prism 310 and 3100 genetic analyzers for STR analysis. Electrophoresis 25(10-11):1397-412.
- 3] Dawnay, N., R. Ogden, R.S. Thorpe, L.C. Pope, D.A. Dawson, R. McEwing. 2008. A forensic STR profiling system for the Eurasian badger: A framework for developing profiling systems for wildlife species. Forensic Science International: Genetics 2(1):47-53.
- 4] Green, M. and Sambrook, J. 2012. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 4th Edition 3 Volumes. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 5] Hillis, D.M., C. Moritz, and B.K. Mable. 1996. Molecular Systematics, Second Edition. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- 6] International Organization for Standardization. 2005. ISO/IEC 17025:2005 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories.
- 7] Moore, M. K. and Kornfield, I. L. 2012. Best practices in wildlife forensic DNA. In, Wildlife forensics: methods and applications, 1st ed. Edited by J. E. Huffman and J. R. Wallace, pp. 201-236. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- 8] Scientific Working Group for Wildlife Forensic Sciences. 2012. SWGWILD Standards and Guidelines v2.0. Available from http://www.wildlifeforensicscience.org/swgwild/.