



# A. D. Darbal<sup>1,4</sup>, R. D. Narayan<sup>1</sup>, C. Vartuli<sup>2</sup>, G. Lian<sup>2</sup>, R. Graham<sup>3</sup>, F. Shaapur<sup>3</sup>, S. Nicolopoulos<sup>4</sup> and J. K. Weiss<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> AppFive LLC, Tempe, Arizona, USA. <sup>2.</sup> Texas Instruments, Dallas, Texas, USA <sup>3.</sup> Nanotem Inc , Scottsdale, Arizona, USA <sup>4.</sup> NanoMEGAS SPRL, Brussels, Belgium

## INTRODUCTION

- Measurement of strain with high spatial resolution and high precision in semiconductor devices is critical to monitor the designed and unintended strain distributions.
- Use of spot diffraction patterns with nanobeam illumination gives higher spatial resolution than other TEM techniques[1]. - Experiment is relatively simple.
- Technique is made possible by beam precession –Improves quality of diffraction patterns..

[1] D Cooper et al., Journal of Physics: Conference Series **326** 012025 (2011).

## LIMITATIONS OF EXISTING TEM STRAIN MEASUREMENT METHODS

Technique	Advantages	Limit
Convergent beam electron diffraction	High spatial, strain sensitivity	<ul> <li>Needs the relatively to relatively to relatively to relatively to relatively to relatively to relation</li> <li>Needs the relatively to rel</li></ul>
Dark field holography	High spatial resolution (5 nm), large field of view (1 μm×1 μm)	<ul> <li>Requires un reference of crystallogr orientation strained re</li> </ul>
High resolution imaging	High spatial resolution (< 1 nm)	<ul> <li>Limited fie nm<sup>2</sup> × 100</li> <li>Stringent r on specime</li> </ul>

## **CONVENTIONAL NANOBEAM DIFFRACTION**

- Acquire spot diffraction patterns from strained and unstrained regions using a quasi-parallel nanoprobe (<5 nm)
- Use measured shift in spot positions to calculate strain
- Experiment is relatively straightforward

### Limitations

- Presence of strong dynamical effects lead to rapid changes in spot intensities with small thickness and orientation changes
- Strong dependence of spot intensities on changes in local thickness and orientation makes automated analysis challenging - Requires manual intervention in identifying spot positions
- Inadequate sampling of higher order reflections limits the accuracy

# **Strain Measurement Using Nanobeam Diffraction Coupled with Precession**

# **PRECESSION ELECTRON DIFFRACTION**

### tations

sample to be thick (>150 nm) eds to be way from a axis. tive to strain

unstrained with identical raphic n area close to egion eld of view (100 nm<sup>2</sup>) requirements nen quality





Diffraction patterns from two points 120 nm apart from Si/SiGe multilayered specimen

# **STRAIN MEASUREMENT ANALYSIS**

- Diffraction patterns from strained region are matched against a reference pattern.
- Reference pattern from unstrained region.
- Correlation distance used as the metric for fitting reference to strained patterns.
- Results include strain in x and y-directions and shear (not shown). Relative to x-direction specified by user.



Y: Full precession Portillo, J., et al. (2010). *Materials Science Forum* 23, 1-7

- No particular beam is strongly diffracted – reducing strong dynamical effects
- Insensitive to small thickness and orientation changes
- Number of spots increases better sampling of higher order spots

# RESULTS

- Data acquired with Zeiss Libra L200 TEM. - Field Emission Gun (FEG) – Scanning TEM (STEM) mode. NanoMEGAS DigiSTAR unit for precession and descanning of beam.
- compressive.
- 1. Strain profile of an Si/SiGe layer.



- Strain in x-direction is near zero, indicating a coherent interface.
- 2. Strain maps from a AlGaN/GaN HEMT. (provided by Cree)



- and this is seen here.
- region.

### 3. Strain maps from the Si region of a pMOS device.



- x and y-directions aligned with [220] and [002] directions in Si. • Localized biaxial tensile strain close to contact edges.



• Positive percentage strain values correspond to tensile strain, negative values

### • Precision of strain measurement is 0.02% in profile below.

– Precision can depend on characteristics of data, such as resolution of spot patterns.

• For such devices, tensile strain is expected to be asymmetric on different sides of the gate, • Compressive strain perpendicular to the interface (x-direction) of  $\sim 1\%$  is seen in the AlGaN