Federal Building and Fire Safety Investigation of the World Trade Center Disaster

Project 6: Structural Fire Response and Collapse Analysis

December 2, 2003

John Gross, Ph.D., P.E. and Therese McAllister, Ph.D., P.E.

Acknowledgements: Monica Starnes, Ph.D., Fahim Sadek, Ph.D., William Fritz, Ph.D., Kuldeep Prasad, Ph.D.

Building and Fire Research Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

> <u>John.Gross@nist.gov</u> <u>Therese.McAllister@nist.gov</u>





To determine the structural response of the WTC Towers to aircraft impact and internal fires and to identify the most probable structural collapse mechanisms.

- □ Task 1: Components and Subsystems
- □ Task 2: Global Analysis without Impact Damage
- □ Task 3: Global Analysis with Impact Damage
- Task 4: Evaluation of Collapse Hypotheses
- To determine the structural response of WTC 7 to debris damage and internal fires and to identify the most probable structural collapse mechanism.
 - □ Task 1: Component, Subsystem and Global Analyses
 - □ Task 2: Global Analysis of Collapse Hypotheses
 - □ Task 3: Evaluation of Collapse Hypotheses



WTC Towers



Task 1: Components and Subsystems

- Develop detailed nonlinear structural models of the floor system and exterior wall section and evaluate performance for service loads and elevated structural temperatures.
 - □ Single exterior panel section for strength under thermal effects
 - Floor section behavior for strength under thermal effects (80-in wide section)
 - □ Truss seat connection for strength under thermal effects
 - □ Full floor models of mechanical floor and typical office floor
 - Multi-panel exterior wall section with connections
- Identify dominant failure modes and parameters that strongly influence the analysis results for critical components and subsystems.

Develop approaches to simplify structural analyses for global modeling and analyses.



Task 2: Global Analysis Without Impact Damage

Determine the structural response to large fires without impact damage

- Develop global model of one tower without impact damage for nonlinear analysis of building regions affected by fire.
- Analyze the structural response to ASTM standard fires and one to three (1-3) representative building fire scenarios from Project 5.

Improve WTC Tower models for analysis with impact damage
Identify parameters that strongly influence analysis results.
Develop approaches to simplify models for global analyses.



Task 3: Global Analysis With Impact Damage

Develop global model of each WTC tower with impact damage for nonlinear analysis of building regions affected by fire.

Analyze three to five (3-5) building fire scenarios provided by Project 5 for each tower and determine:

□ Time-sequence of events,

- Mode of failure or capacity reduction for each critical member in the sequence and associated temperatures,
- Load redistribution during the sequence of events
- □ Agreement between analysis and observed performance

Conduct parametric studies of the global analyses to identify influential parameters.

Repeat analyses for final fire scenarios. Identify most probable collapse initiation sequence.



Task 4: Evaluation of Collapse Hypotheses

Identify candidate hypotheses for initiation and propagation of collapse.

Evaluate hypotheses for collapse initiation and propagation, including the role played by columns, floors, connections, and hat truss.

Estimate variability of probable collapse initiation and propagation mechanisms.

Identify most probable structural collapse sequence(s).



Examples of Key Factors in Collapse Hypotheses

Thermal sagging, expansion of floor system
 Loss of lateral support to columns

Load redistribution through hat truss
 Buckling/yielding of columns

- Core column failure in tension
- Failure of connections (e.g., truss seats, exterior column bolts...)
- Thermal effects (condition of fireproofing, thermal gradients, cooling cycle)



Status (1)

Contract awarded to Simpson Gumpertz & Heger (SGH) of Waltham, Massachusetts to develop component, subsystem and global models and to conduct thermalstructural analyses

Contract awarded October 30, 2003

□ Kickoff meeting held November 24, 2003

Fourth ASTM E119 test has been added to UL contract
35 ft span assembly, thermally restrained, ³/₄-in fireproofing
35 ft span assembly, thermally unrestrained, ³/₄-in fireproofing
17 ft span assembly, thermally restrained, ³/₄-in fireproofing
17 ft span assembly, thermally restrained, ¹/₂-in fireproofing



Status (2)

For Task 1, work is underway (reported subsequently) to develop finite element models of components and subsystems for nonlinear thermal-structural analyses

□ Single exterior panel section

□ Floor section behavior for strength (80-in wide section)

□ Truss seat connection for strength

For Task 2, work is underway to develop finite element models of floor systems and entire tower structure for nonlinear thermal-structural analyses

- □ Translate Reference Models to ANSYS
- Verify translated models against Reference Models
- Refine models for thermal-structural analysis



Status (3)

For Task 4, work is underway (reported subsequently) to conduct simplified analyses:

- a. Effect of thermal expansion of floor system
- b. Effect of loss of floors on column failure
- c. Effect of fire and fireproofing condition on time to weaken steel columns
- d. Load redistribution due to failure of column in tower structure



Task 1 Progress: Detailed Models of Components and Subsystems

- NIST has developed detailed finite element models of
 - **Exterior Column Panel**
 - □ Floor Assembly (80-in section width)
 - Seated Truss Connection

And is evaluating performance under load and elevated temperature



Task 1 Progress: Exterior Column Panel Buckling Behavior



Computer Model (ANSYS) Geometric nonlinearity Material nonlinearity

Preliminary Studies

Buckling of initially perfectly straight column



Task 1 Progress: Floor Section Behavior



Computer Model (ANSYS)

- Geometric nonlinearity
- □ Material nonlinearity
- Uniform load (dead load + service load)

Preliminary Studies

- Buckling under lateral restraint
- □ Effect of fire conditions
 - Uniform heating
 - Representative fires (FDS)
- Effect of thermal restraint
 - Unrestrained
 - Fully restrained
 - Partially restrained (column stiffness)



Task 1 Progress: Seated Truss Connection



Computer Model (ANSYS)Geometric nonlinearityMaterial nonlinearity

Preliminary Studies

Vertical load (gravity) plus bolt pull-out



Floor Truss System and Exterior Column Panels





Floor Truss System and Exterior Column Panels





Task 4a Progress: Simplified Assessment of Failure Modes for Floor and Exterior Column Systems





Lateral Bracing of Exterior Columns

Visual evidence that floor-to-exterior column connection failed

Possibilities: lateral capacity, vertical capacity, or both exceeded





Task 4a Progress: Lateral Bracing of Exterior Column (P_T)





Task 4a Progress: Lateral Bracing of Exterior Columns (P_T)





Failure by Concrete breakout $P_{T} = P_{T1} + P_{T2} + P_{T3}$



 P_{T2}

Ultimate Strength of Gusset Plate per AISC Specification (1999)

Ultimate Strength of Gusset Plate per AISC Specification (1999)

Concrete breakout strength per ACI-318 (2002)



Task 4a Progress: Buckling of Floor System (P_B)

Analytical (Euler) Solution

Sensitive to end condition assumptions

ANSYS Computer Solution

- □ 80 in wide panel (by symmetry)
- Geometric nonlinearities included
- Ambient temperature
- Preliminary results within bounds of simplified analytical solutions





Task 4a Progress: In-Plane Failure Modes -Interim Findings of Simplified Analysis

Relative capacities (weakest to strongest) at room temperatures under lateral loads
Exterior column yielding (P_Y)
Floor-to-column connection failure (P_T)
Floor system buckling (P_B)

Capacities change with elevated temperature; relative order does not change.





Task 4b Progress: Simplified Analysis ofColumn FailureImage: Image state s

Failure of core and exterior columns

- Effect of floor removal
- Effect of elevated temperatures
- Increased loads







Task 4b Progress: Effect of Floor Removal on Column Failure – A Typical Example

<u>Average for Exterior</u> <u>Columns</u> WTC 1 North Face Floor 95 under Service Loads





Task 4c Progress: Estimated Times for Core Columns to Reach 600 °C



Task 4d Progress: Simplified Analysis of Load Redistribution Effects on Column Failure

Loads from severed or damaged columns are redistributed to the remaining columns. Columns will continue to redistribute loads as they fail during fires

As column loads increase, less damage (fire and loss of floors) is needed to fail columns

















To determine the structural response of WTC 7 to debris damage and internal fires and to identify the most probable structural collapse mechanism.

□ Task 1: Component, Subsystem and Global Analyses

□ Task 2: Global Analysis of Collapse Hypotheses

□ Task 3: Evaluation of Collapse Hypotheses





WTC 7 Structural Features

NOTE: Belt trusses located at floors 5-7 and 22-24.



Source: FEMA 403

-D

С

Significant Features of WTC 7

Differences to note from the WTC Towers

- Lack of evidence of significant damage to structural, fireproofing, or fuel supply systems
- Fuel distribution systems were located between floors 1 to 9







Significant Features of WTC 7

Floor 5 is a 14-in slab reinforced with T-beams Floor 7 is an 8-in reinforced slab



Source: FEMA 403

Figure 5-4 Fifth floor diaphragm plan showing T-sections embedded in 14-inch slab.



WTC 7 Transfer Trusses

Transfer trusses between floors 5 to 7 were large structural members (600-1000 plf)



Figure 5-5 3-D diagram showing relation of trusses and transfer girders.





Task 1: Structural Response Analysis to Identify Critical Components

Develop a nonlinear global structural model of WTC 7 and evaluate its performance under design gravity loads.

Identify credible failure sequences using the structural model to analyze the effect of component failures on the structural system stability

Identify dominant failure modes for critical components and subsystems for service loads and elevated structural temperatures

Conduct parametric studies of critical subsystems to identify influential parameters

Develop approaches to simplify structural analyses for global modeling and analyses



Tasks 2 and 3: Structural Analysis of Collapse Initiation Hypotheses

Refine the global model of WTC 7 to support nonlinear structural analysis for building regions affected by fire.

Analyze three (3) collapse initiation sequences selected.

Conduct parametric studies of the global analyses to identify influential parameters.

Identify the most probable collapse initiation sequence, based upon:

- □ Time-sequence of events,
- Mode of failure or capacity reduction for each critical member in the sequence and associated temperatures,
- Load redistribution during the sequence of events
- □ Agreement between analysis and observed performance





Contract has been awarded to Gilsanz, Murray, and Steficek (GMS) of New York City to develop component, subsystem and global models and to conduct thermal-structural analyses

Contract awarded October 27, 2003

□ Kickoff Meeting November 7, 2003

Data collection

- □ Final design drawings
- Complete set of specifications
- Design and service loads
- Mechanical properties of steel (Project 3)
- □ Fireproofing materials (Projects 5 and 6)
- □ Fuel distribution system, tanks, and generators (Project 1)



Status (2)

Structural temperature time-histories for standard fires (e.g., ASTM E-119 and ASTM E-1529) and representative fires (Project 5).

Observables for collapse hypotheses (Project 5)

- Initial damage to WTC 7 structure from collapse of towers
- Timeline and locations of fire and smoke
- Timeline and location of exterior signs of collapse (penthouse, windows, fault-line, etc)





Structural Fire Response and Collapse Analysis of WTC 7

Expected Results

Determine the structural response of components and systems to fires that contributed to the collapse of the building.

Determine the effect of component failures on load redistribution and sequence of failures in the structure.

Estimate the margin of safety for each critical component as a function of time.

Identify the most probable collapse sequence that is consistent with the observed failure sequence in video and photographic records and other available observations.

