

3-D Constructs--Molded vs. Printed: The differences from a cell based perspective

Kuan Che Fang, Miriam Rafailovich, Marcia Simon Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY



Garcia Center for Polymers at Engineered Interfaces

AT STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY





Daniel Ou-Yang Steven Wei

Sneha

Subramanian



Aneri **Kinariwalla**



Chung-Chue (Simon) Chang







Sarafova

Garcia Research Scholar Program: Students from HS->Graduate work together with teachers and faculty in joint interdisciplinary research projects.

Jaw Case Study – 3D Titanium Implant (replacement)

- In 2012 an 83 year old woman with osteomyelitism – requiring jaw removal
- Patient had MRI so implant would be an anatomical match
- Jaw printed from titanium powder in a 2 day print
- Patient was eating, drinking, and speaking within 4 hours of surgery
- No FDA role



Courtesy of K. Vorvolakis, FDA

This presentation does not pertain to FDA regulatory policy on Additive Manufacturing, and should not be construed as such.

Digital Additive Manufacturing – Individualizing bone augmentation

Control shape

Control resorption







Alter materials and topography to control

- Bone formation and turnover
- Angiogenesis
 Potential for cell seeding
 Protein coating (matrix/cytokine)

3D Printing with PLA







Simulating Thermoplastic Flow through a 3D Printer Nozzle Jake Lindberg, Shibo Chen, Marvin Huang

Chemical Engineering Program, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, 11794



Potential differences between 3D printed and molded surfaces Surface roughness: Sharkskin effect in polymer fibers

E Miller, JP Rothstein, Rheol Acta (2004) 44: 160-173

Well known stick/slip instability in flow of polymer filaments as they extrude through narrow nozzles.

- Caused by surface interactions between entangled polymers and the nozzle wall.
- Modulated by adjusting the extrusion rate and the nozzle temperature.











Differential Scanning Calorimetry



Crystalinity: Kinetics of spherulite formation



Morphology of PLA by optical microscopy and AFM. (a) Molecular formula of PLA. (b) Picture of the central region of a spherulite by optical microscopy. (c) Copy of ab AFM picture of a section of the spherulite shown in (b). (d) Schematic drawing of the lamellae within a spherulite. (From Pyda et al., Proceedings of the 30th NATAS Annual Conference on Thermal Analysis and Applications, 463, 2002. With permission.)

- Function of cooling rate
- Nucleation sites
- Time scale similar to thermal dissipation of printer





Sample after reheating

Provides strength Defects /faults crack propagation Uneven cooling warps Increased surface roughness



f the PBO in-situ spinning apparatus with MAR CCD detector at the beamline X27C in NSLS, BNL.

tal structure ²⁵ It is not clear during the different stages of the process and how

Topics that need further discussion

- Dynamics of crystallization under conditions far from equilibrium
- Effect of surface mechanics and roughness on protein adsorbtion, cell attachment, proliferation, and differentiation.

Front. Mater. Sci. 2012, 6(1): 47–59 DOI 10.1007/s11706-012-0154-8

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The role of crystallinity on differential attachment/ proliferation of osteoblasts and fibroblasts on poly (caprolactone-co-glycolide) polymeric surfaces

Helen CUI (EII)1.2 and Patrick J. SINKO2

1 Advanced Technology and Regenerative Medicine (ATRM), LLC, Somerville, NJ 08876, USA 2 Department of Pharmaceutics, Ernest Mario School of Pharmacy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

Goal: Regenerate teeth





→ To develop a biocompatible method for autologous dentin regeneration which combines printed scaffolds with stem cell differentiation.

Cells and their niche

Cell Substrates

- Mechanics
- Topography
- Chemistry

Soluble and cellular mediators

- Cytokines and growth factors
- Cell associated ligands and receptors
- Tissue fluid (media)



Scadden 2006, Nature 441:1075-79





CELL SYSTEM: DENTAL PULP DERIVED CELLS

Cell Source: Dental Pulp Stem Cells(DPSCs)

Dental Pulp differentiate Stem Cells Osteoblasts Odontoblasts Adipocytes Neuronal Cells Muscle Cells Cartilage (chondrocytes)

DPSCs were chosen for the study because of

- Their easy accessibility
- high efficiency of extraction procedure
- faster multiplication rate
- their ability to self-renew in vivo

DPSCs Dental Pulp Stem Cells are found in areas adjacent to the nerves and blood vessels inside the dental pulp. GINGIVA DENTAL DENTIN PULP ALVEOLAR. BONE APICAL FORAMEN NERVE image design by AngieBiotech.com

For this study, DPSCs were isolated under IRB approval # 20076778.

Induction: Dexamethasone(Dex /DXM)

- DPSC can be chemically induced with high doses of dexamethasone (glucocorticoid steroid) to differentiate into osteoblasts (in vitro) ^[1]
- Steroids (unnatural) have adverse side effects i.e. weakened immune system and hyperglycemia ^[2]



Special challenge in the tooth

- Confined space in the canal
- Difficult to localize soluble factors
- High degree of order
- Cells must sense very small changes in substrate

 "Dexamethasone stimulates differentiation of odontoblasts like cells in human pulp cultures", Alliot-Licht et al., Cell Tissue Res (2005) 321.
 "Differential Effect of Glucocorticoids on Calcium Absorption and Bone Mass", Gennari C. et al., Rheumatology

32.2(1993):11-14, Oxford Jornals.

Polymeric substrate:

Polybutadiene (PB)

- Physical properties
 - Mw = 205,800
 - Mw/Mn = 1.49
 - **Tg = -95**℃
- It is rubber-like at room temperature.
- Biocompatible
- Similar to Polyisoprene
- Used to obdurate root canal (same as tires).
- Re-engineer for pulp regeneration rather than obduration.







Malcolm Steinberg (1930-2012)

R.A. Foty, M.S. Steinberg / Developmental Biology 278 (2005) 255-263

Abstract: The differential adhesion hypothesis (DAH), advanced in the 1960s, proposed that the liquid-like tissue-spreading and cell segregation phenomena of development arise from tissue surface tensions *that in turn arise from differences in intercellular adhesiveness*.

.....without exception, a cell aggregate of lower surface tension tends to envelop one of higher surface tension to which it adheres

Pierre-Gilles de Gennes (1932-2007) The Nobel Prize in Physics 1991

Nobel Lecture, December 9, 1991: "SOFT MATTER"

A related (although more complex) system of this type is a red blood cell. For many years it had been known that, when observed under phase contrast, these cells flicker. - The essential property of insoluble bilayers is that they optimise their area at fixed surfactant number. Thus, the energy is stationary with respect to area: the surface tension vanishes.



Embryonic Stem Cells Sense Mechanics of their Environment:

- Labeled outside shell and core cells of embryo
- Dissociated cells and allowed them to re-assemble
- Cells self assembled correctly into core-shell
- Shell cells had lower surface tension or number of cadherins



Fig. 4. Sorting of two cell types into a 3D shell-core aggregate (~300 μm in diameter) in which low expressers of N-cadherin (labeled in red) surround high expressers of N-cadherin (labeled in green) (73). Scanning electron micrograph of a typical spheroid's surface shows well-spread cells. [Adapted from (73) with permission from Elsevier. Image courtesy of G. Forgacs, University of Missouri]

R. A. Foty, M. S. Steinberg, Dev. Biol. 278, 255 (2005).

Davis, Phillips, and Steinberg DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY 192, 630–644 (1997) ARTICLE NO. DB978741

$R_g = \sqrt{6} \sqrt{6}$ Elastomer: Why are they special?

Kurt Binder website





• We can control chemistry and mechanics separately.

•S= $kT (d/R^2)$ -> Loss due to confinement or cross linking.

- Confinement and surface interactions determine visco-elastic properties.
- Radius of Gyration:

$$R_{\rm g} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{N} \ a$$

P.G. DeGennes Scaling concepts in polymer physics, Ithaca, N.Y, Cornell University Press (réimpr. 1985),



FIG. 1. Profiles of dPS volume fraction vs distance for samples annealed at 153 °C for times from 600 to 7200 s.

air surface





FIG. 2. The average diffusion constants, fitted to the tails of the profiles of Fig. 1, as a function of X_W .

Two Fluid Model:

D=Do Nc/Ne in a X-linked matrix. N^{1/2} surface contacts~ Nc for surface Predictions: (1) D~N^{3/2} (2) N^{1/2} ~Nc for Mw~4M (3) Surface effect propagated by entanglements in range; Ne<N<4x10⁴ Predicts Scaling for Modulus: Resistance to Deformation and Viscous Flow

Influence of Radius of Gyration: Rg~sqrt (Mw)

- Gaussian Chains form N1/2 contact with surface
- If attached then they act as cross-linkers, D=Do (Nc/Ne)
- Ne=sqrt (N) for Mw~10⁶ for PS
- ONLY when Ne=Nc surface effect is removed.



FIG. 3. The average diffusion constants of 90×10^3 dPS at 153 °C as a function of hPS matrix molecular weight for various X_W thicknesses. Sample geometry as in Fig. 1.

interface. The reptation model expression [22] is $D = (k_{\rm B}TN_e/3N^2f_0)$, where $k_{\rm B}$ is Boltzmann's constant, T = temperature, N_e = number of monomers between entanglements, N = number of monomers per chain, and f_0 is a monomeric friction coefficient. Polymer conformations enter through N_e and certainly might be different close to the wall. Bruinsma [23] has considered



FIG. 4. Results of split layer experiments for $X_W = 388$ Å showing the diffusion coefficient of 90×10^3 dPS at 153° for various X layer thicknesses. Inset shows sample geometry. Arrows indicate R_g values for the 27×10^3 , 90×10^3 , and 770×10^3 hPS matrix polymers.

Atomic Force Microscope



- •At T=Tm sample melts and becomes soft.
- •Tip contact area increases.
- •Indentation increases (h).



Displacement

- ∆x~h
- h: Tip indentation
- E: Modulus of material
- v: Poisson ratio
- R: Tip radius
- L: Cantilever length
- Δx increases sharply
- Friction increases
- Measure Tm





- Modulus decreases with increasing film thickness.
- Allows for variation of one order of magnitude.

Y. Ji, B. Li, S.Ge, J.C. Sokolov, M. Rafailovich, *Langmuir* 2005, 22, 1321-1328



Molecular Weight Effect on Modulus:

Is the modulus effect a function of Rg?

- Modulus decreases exponentially with increasing film thickness.
- Same functional form for all Mw-> Scales with Rg.
- Confinement effect: Surface interaction influence on modulus for ~20 Rg's
- Molecular weight: Another variable to increase flexibility of design.

Polymer Film Processing



- Wafers were cut into 1cm² squares
- HF etched Si wafers
- PB spun cast from toluene
- Thickness of 200 to 3000 A were measured by ellipsometry
- annealed in Ultra High Vaccuum (UHV) for 24 hours which prevents dewetting, removes toxic solvent, sterilizes substrates.







Bio-Tensegrity



Cardiac fibroblasts on 4d_FNed PB 84nm 24h

fb_pb026.001 Cardiac fibroblasts on 4d_FNed PB 26nm 24h



≻Dying Cells

- Effects are observed after 40min in ambient T=23C
- Concentric rings are becoming disordered as cell loses its adhesion to the surface.
- •Modulus decreases (cells get softer).
- Contrast with Pb/Si substrate increases.







- Cells like PB.
 Proliferation without additional protein i.e. Fn) coating.
- Doubling time is the same on thin PB as TCP.
- Cells adhere: Actin is well extended on TCP and thin PB
- PB similar to membrane?

Dental pulp cells respond to surface mechanics: *Cell modulus follows surface modulus*



Cell and surface moduli show the same functional form



Cells respond to differentially small changes in surface modulus

Evaluations using polybutadiene (PB) surfaces: PB (250K) spun cast out of toluene onto HF treated silicon

Polymer Film Processing



- Wafers were cut into 1cm² squares
- HF etched Si wafers
- PB spun cast from toluene
- Thickness of 200 to 3000 A were measured by ellipsometry
- annealed in Ultra High Vaccuum (UHV) for 24 hours which prevents dewetting, removes toxic solvent, sterilizes substrates.





SEM–EDAX of DPSCs on PB films after 21 day incubation



Grazing Incidence X-ray Diffraction (GIXD) of DPSCs on PB films after 21 day incubation



GIXD confirms SEM results;

•Diffraction peak positions correspond to crystalline HA

• No crystalline deposits are formed on films 150nm or thicker.

SEM of DPSCs on PB films after 21 days of incubation



150 pm thick film





Do cells sense Rg?

- Cells moduli scale linearly with film moduli; not thickness.
- Cells sense film mechanics, not substrate.
- Confirm Rg scaling of modulus



Mineralization Markers involved in DPSCs differentiation

- Osteocalcin (OCN) marker for bone formation, produced solely by mature osteoblasts [1]
- Bone Sialoprotein (BSP) specific maker for bone formation, is expressed in very lower amounts (~1%) in dentin and large amounts (~8-12%) in bone [5]
- Dentinsialophosphoprotein (DSPP) marker for odonotoblast differentiation [2,3] and important for dentinogenesis and ECM mineralization [4].
- Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) enzyme involved in tissue mineralization, is essential for deposition of minerals in bone and teeth
- 1. Rickard DJ, Sullivan TA, Shenker BJ, Leboy PS, Kazhdan I. Induction of rapid osteoblast differentiation in rat bone marrow stromal cell cultures by dexamethasone and BMP-2. Dev Biol 1994;161:218 –28.
- 2 Butler WT. Dentin specific proteins. Methods Enzymol 1987;145:290–303.
- 3 Butler WT, Brunn JC, Qin C, McKee MD. Extracellular matrix proteins and the dynamics of dentin formation. Connect Tissue Res 2002;43:301–7.
- 4 Yamada Y, Fujimoto A, Ito A, Yoshimi R, Ueda M. Cluster analysis and gene expression profiles: a cDNA microarray system based comparison between human dental pulp stem cells (hDPSCs) and human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) for tissue engineering cell therapy. Biomaterials 2006;27:3766–81.
- 5 Fujisawa R, Butler WT, Brunn JC, Zhou HY, Kuboki Y (1993).Differences in composition of cellattachment sialoproteins between dentin and bone. J Dent Res 72:1222-1226.

Dental pulp cells respond to surface mechanics: Mechanics controls osteocalcin expression (differentiation marker)





RT-PCR: Surface induced gene expression DPSCs

WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF MECHANICAL HETEROGENEITY?

cm Length Scale Differences in Mechanics Soluble factors limit response to substrate mechanics





Cell moduli are responsive to substrate moduli , BUT



No mineralization on thin PB (-Dex) in co-culture with cells on thick PB

μm Length Scale differences in mechanics (Identical chemically and topographically)





Pattern was produced by microlithography PB was spun cast over the surface

For µm length scales: Cell moduli follow surface moduli but mineralization does not Soluble factors limit response to substrate mechanics



nm Length Scale: cells feel multiple stimuli

Patterned area



Nanopatterns were produced by imprinting from a phase separated polymer blend mask (PS/PMMA)

nm Length Scale Heterogeneity Soluble factors <u>do not</u> limit cell responses to surface moduli

Cell moduli on patterns are high (d7)

Mineralization on patterned surface does not require dexamethasone (d28)





400

200

10 14

Length Scale: Regulation of Cell Function

When cells feel only one stimulus (cm to µm) -- soluble factors predominate *Disconnect between modulus and mineralization*

When cells feel multiple stimuli (nm) -- direct cell:substrate interactions can override soluble factor effects Increase in cell modulus and increase in mineralization

Focal Adhesions

- Signals from surface control cell mechanics and phenotype
- FA are as large as 1-5 μm with submicron to micron spacing



Choi et al, Trends Cell Bio 2010, 20(12)705

SEM of molded and 3D printed PLA

(Makerbot Replicator[™] 2X, PLA filament)

Heterogeneity at multiple length scales



Molded

3D Printed





DPSC – plated on non-sterilized PLA (24 hours post-plating)



3D Printed





Molded





Day 28/3D



Adhesion and Proliferation Topography Vs Ethylene oxide sterilization





Ethylene oxide: representative pictures (n=3)















Cells and mineral deposits (28 d)

Molded



3D Printed



- Dexamethasone



+ Dexamethasone





Calcium phosphate: SEM/EDX

Molded





3D Printed (*High resolution*)





(- Dexamethasone)

PLA: Standard resolution -DEX



- Microns thick biomineralized layer.
- Nanoscale roughness induces cell differentiation.
- Flat and rough surface together—Boiomineraliztion overides micro-roughness in coculture

File Name = 092614-223.tif

Signal A = RBSD Date :26 Sep 2014 EHT = 20.00 kV Time :17:40:43

Differentiation (RNA markers)



qRT-PCR

-Cells grown for 28 days (-dexamethasone)
-mRNA isolated
-cDNA prepared
-qPCR carried out with primers against alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin

18S RNA was used to normalize RNA levels Data shown is relative to β -glycerol phosphate negative control (TCP)

RT-PCR



The surface induced odontogenic differentiation in the Absence of another cytokines.

Conclusion

Cells respond to nanoscale surface structure. AM surfaces have roughness on multiple scales due multiple factors

To move AM forward we must have a better understanding of the underlying science.

In-Situ Characterization of the polymer being printed makes this possible.

THANK YOU

This work was supported in part by NSF-Inspire award #1344267 and NYSTEM award #CO28096



Day 1 post plating: Ethanol Vs Ethylene oxide







Day 1 post plating Reduced cell plating efficiency on 3D printed surface



Ethylene oxide 3D

Is there residual ethylene oxide Or Is topography impacting cell plating







2/18/2015 experiment

13 Days post plating Cells on 3D printed surface proliferate









Cell morphology



DAY 7 POST-PLATING

3D printed (HR)

Molded

Ethanol

Ethylene oxide



Focal Adhesions: ROCK inhibition decreases cell moduli and mineralization



Inhibition of ROCK reduces cell moduli



-Dex, Thin Separat A + RESD Date -Dex Thick +Dex Thin +Dex Thick

+Y2732

-Y2732

Is surface-induced phenotype reversible? (Growth on PB without dexamethasone)

Additive Manufacturing of Bone Grafts

Repair of ameloblastoma

Repair of traumatic injury

Required to stabilize implants

Images: Courtesy of M Abboud

Cartilage replacement with porosity for cell penetration

The Wake Forest Institute for Regenerative Medicine prints ear, nose and bone scaffolds that can be coated with cells to grow body parts. (Laurie Rubin) Fabrication of PGA/PLA Scaffold With the Shape of Human Nose http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/55540

Will cells respond similarly to printed and molded forms?

TO QUESTIONS FACING MEDICINE TODAY:

TO WHAT EXTENT ARE 3-D PRINTED DEVICES EQUIVALENT TO TRADITIONAL MOLDED OR CAST ONES?

For μm length scales: Cell moduli follow surface moduli but mineralization does not Soluble factors limit response to substrate mechanics

