From: Steve Coplan < <steve@bigid.com > Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 3:54 PM

**To:** privacyframework privacyframework@nist.gov>

Subject: NIST Privacy Framework: Preliminary Draft Comments

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the current draft of the NIST Privacy Framework. We feel that the initiative comes at a critical time, and builds effectively on the broad market acceptance for the NIST CSF.

Bridging privacy and security through a clearly defined framework will prove invaluable to bringing current resources and expertise to bear on operationalizing privacy protection - and scoping the incremental organizational, technical and policy elements that should be put in place.

As enterprises come to terms with a growing number of privacy protection and data breach notification laws across the US, we are appreciative of the work that has gone into providing clarity and structure to achieve outcomes.

Attached are our comments related to the Identify and Govern Functions.

Best,

## **Steve Coplan**

Director of Product and Solution Marketing

BigID - Redefining Personal Data Protection & Privacy

165 Mercer St, 4th Floor, New York, NY, 10012

m: <u>(646) 567-3752</u> | e: <u>steve@bigid.com</u> |

see: <a href="mailto:bigid.com/product/tour">bigid.com/product/tour</a> read: <a href="mailto:bigid.com/blog">bigid.com/blog</a> | try: <a href="mailto:bigid.com/blog">bigid.com/blog</a> | t

# Name (Name/Email) # # (Include rationale for comment) (Comment) (General/Editorial /Technical)  1 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  21 684 Appendix A A Stephen Name of the framework which is in effect a superset of PIL For the Identity-P function, the framework could be strengthened with guidance that is specific to the discovery and definition of personal information and sensitive or regulated data related, linked, associated to a person.)  The CSF includes language for discovery of sensitive and regulated data in the context of breach notification, and similar language could be mirrored in the PRF. The process of defining and determining what constitutes personal information through collaboration with stakeholders would in turn informs how Functions are implemented.  2 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  22 684 Appendix A  The Govern Function could be elaborated to establish a distinction between anonymization at the data element tier, as compared with encryption as mitigating control for the CSF - to account mitigating control for the risk of re-identification mitigating control for the risk of re-identification in the context of profiling.  3 Include language or recommendations to consider increased risk of re-identification without provision for anonymization or redaction of identifible attributes in the context of profiling or analytics processes.	Comment	Organization	Submitted By	Page	Line	Section	Comment	Suggested Change	Type of
Technical)  Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  Stephen Coplan, steve Stephen Co	#	Name	(Name/Email)	#	#		(Include rationale for comment)		
1 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  Steve@bigid.com  21 684 Appendix A Currently, the scope of personal information in the framework which is in effect a superset of PII. For the identify-P function, the framework could be strengthened with guidance that is specific to the discovery and definition of personal information and settive or regulated data, such as IP address, religion, sexual orientation, health status etc  12 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  23 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  24 Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  25 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  26 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  27 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  28 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  29 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  20 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  20 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  21 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  22 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  23 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  24 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  25 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  26 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  27 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  28 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  29 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  20 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  20 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  20 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  21 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  22 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  23 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  24 Appendix A Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  25 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  26 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  27 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  28 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  29 BigID Stephen Coplan, steve@bigid.com  20 BigID Stephen Coplan									-
steve@bigid.com  A to establish a distinction between anonymization at the data element tier, as compared with encryption as mitigating control for the CSF - to account specifically for the risk of re-identification in the context of profiling.  The commendations to consider increased risk of re-identification without provision for anonymization or redaction of identifiable attributes in the context of profiling or analytics processes.	1	BigID		21	684		information isn't formally addressed in the framework- which is in effect a superset of PII. For the Identify-P function, the framework could be strengthened with guidance that is specific to the discovery and definition of personal information/data (ie data related, linked, associated to a person). The CSF includes language for discovery of sensitive and regulated data in the context of breach notification, and similar language could be mirrored in the PRF. The process of defining and determining what constitutes personal information through collaboration with stakeholders would in turn informs how Functions are	delineate the distinction between personal information and sensitive or regulated data, such as IP address, religion, sexual orientation, health	/Technical)
3		BigID		22	684		to establish a distinction between anonymization at the data element tier, as compared with encryption as mitigating control for the CSF - to account specifically for the risk of re-identification	recommendations to consider increased risk of re-identification without provision for anonymization or redaction of identifiable attributes in the context of profilinng or analytics	Technical
	3								