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## Disclaimer

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this report in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor is it intended to imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

## Overview

- Proficiency Examination Example
- Comparison Microscope Analysis
-3D Topography Measurements
- Computer Comparison Algorithms
- Congruent Matching Cells (CMC) Method
- Similarity Maps
- Score Distributions
- Conclusions


## Collaborative Testing Services

- Firearms Examination Test No. 10-526
- Each set contains 7 cartridge cases
o Federal American Eagle . 40 S\&W 165 grain full metal jacket ammunition
- Set of 3 fired in the suspect's firearm
o Set of 4 recovered from the "bank"
- More detailed information available at:
- https://www.ctsforensics.com/reports/main.aspx
- https://www.ctsforensics.com/assets/news/3026_Web.pdf


## Known Firings from CTS 10-526


https://www.ctsforensics.com/assets/news/3026_Web.pdf

## Questioned Firings from CTS 10-526

Unknown firings from the crime scene

https://www.ctsforensics.com/assets/news/3026_Web.pdf

## Collaborative Testing Services

- Participants are asked to determine which of the recovered cartridge cases were fired from the same firearm as the known cartridges
- 315 of 330 participants (95\%) identified sample Q1 as coming from the same firearm that fired K1, K2, and K3
- Majority of participants also identified Q2 and Q4 although this was not required

| S\&W Springfield <br> Armory XD40 | S\&W Springfield <br> Armory XD Compact | Sig Sauer <br> P226 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | Q2 | Q3 |
| K2 | Q4 |  |
| K3 |  |  |
| Q1 |  |  |

## Comparison Microscope

- Leica Manual Forensic Science Comparison Microscope
- $2 x$ for breech face impressions
- $4 x$ for firing pin impressions
- Robert Thompson supervised comparison of the casings in the style of a typical examination



## Example Comparison Microscope Matches

## Sample K1 and K3



## NGT

FORENSIC SCIENCES

## Transition from K1 to K3 Breech Face



## Transition from K1 to K3 Firing Pin Impression



## 3D topography measurements

- Scanning Disk Confocal Microscope
- Nanofocus $\mu \mathrm{surf}$
- 10x Objective (pixel spacing of $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
- Stitching
- $3 \times 3$ grid is used for breech face impressions
- No stitching for the firing pin impressions
- Topography is manually cropped to obtain region of interest
- Data is preprocessed
- Outlier Removal
- Leveling
- Filtered


## NGT

 FORENSICSCIENCES

## Transition from K1 to K3 (breech face)



## Transition from K1 to K3 (firing pin)



## Congruent Matching Cells (CMC) Algorithm

- A measured surface is broken up into cells
- Allows invalid regions of the surface to be ignored
- Cells from the reference surface are correlated with the second surface to find the best registration position
- Cells with congruent registration locations are counted to determine a CMC score



## Cell Assignments K1 and K3 (breech face)



## 28 CMCs out of 49 total cells

## Transition from K1 to K3 (breech face)



## Similarity Map K1 and K3 (breech face)



Dissimilarity

## Similarity Map for a Match vs Exclusion

## K1 vs K3 <br> MATCH



Overall Similarity:

$$
\text { ACCF }=21.2 \%
$$

K1 vs Q3 EXCLUSION


Overall Similarity:
ACCF $=-2.1 \%$

## Cell Assignments K1 and K3 (firing pin)



## 22 CMCs out of 37 total cells

## Transition from K1 to K3 (firing pin)



## Similarity Map K1 and K3 (firing pin)



Dissimilarity

## Similarity Map for a Match vs Exclusion

K1 vs K3
MATCH


Overall Similarity:

$$
\text { ACCF }=47.6 \%
$$

K1 vs Q3 EXCLUSION

Overall Similarity:
ACCF $=-3.4 \%$

## Summary of CMC results for Breech Faces

| Reference | Compare | CMC \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | K2 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| K1 | K3 | $\mathbf{2 8}$ |
| K2 | K3 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| K1 | Q1 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| K2 | Q1 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |
| K3 | Q1 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Q2 | Q4 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Matches range from 11-32 CMCs Non-matches have 4 or less CMCs

| Reference | Compare | CMC \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | Q2 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| K1 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K1 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| K2 | Q2 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K2 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K2 | Q4 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| K3 | Q2 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K3 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K3 | Q4 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Q1 | Q2 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Q1 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Q1 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Q2 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Q3 | Q4 | $\mathbf{3}$ |

## Summary of CMC results for Firing Pins

| Reference | Compare | CMC \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | K2 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| K1 | K3 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| K2 | K3 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| K1 | Q1 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| K2 | Q1 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| K3 | Q1 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| Q2 | Q4 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |

Matches range from 16-22 CMCs Non-matches have 4 or less CMCs

| Reference | Compare | CMC \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | Q2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| K1 | Q3 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| K1 | Q4 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K2 | Q2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| K2 | Q3 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| K2 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| K3 | Q2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| K3 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| K3 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Q1 | Q2 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Q1 | Q3 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Q1 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Q2 | Q3 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Q3 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2}$ |

## Summary of Combined CMC Score

| Reference | Compare | CMC \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | K2 | $\mathbf{4 9}$ |
| K1 | K3 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| K2 | K3 | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| K1 | Q1 | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |
| K2 | Q1 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| K3 | Q1 | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| Q2 | Q4 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |

Matches range from 27-52 CMCs Non-matches have 7 or less CMCs

| Reference | Compare | CMC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K1 | Q2 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| K1 | Q3 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| K1 | Q4 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| K2 | Q2 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| K2 | Q3 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| K2 | Q4 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| K3 | Q2 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| K3 | Q3 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| K3 | Q4 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Q1 | Q2 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Q1 | Q3 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Q1 | Q4 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Q2 | Q3 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Q3 | Q4 | $\mathbf{5}$ |

## Distributions of Scores

- Apply the same visualization and comparison techniques to a larger set of similar cartridge cases
- NIST obtained a set of fired cartridge cases from three different firearms
- Ruger P94DC: 44 firings
- Ruger P91DC: 18 firings
- Smith \& Wesson SW40VE: 12 firings
- Enough to make 9 complete proficiency exams (with leftovers)
- Analyzed as complete distributions rather than by constructing the 9 individual proficiency exams
- Goal: Determine variations in scores that might be expected in a proficiency exams
- Caveat: From the perspective of a particular computer algorithm


## Example Filtered Surface Topography



Firearm 1



Firearm 2



Firearm 3


## Example Correlation Maps



Firearm 1
(Known firings)



Firearm 2



Firearm 3


## Breech Face Impression Distribution






## Cause of Low scores


'Well Marked' Example from Gun 1


Example from Gun 1 with large invalid area A frequent occurrence with Gun 1 and Gun 2

## Firing Pin Impression Distribution






## Cause of Low Scores

## Comparisons with Firearm 1



## Combined Impression Score Distribution

Simple combination of the CMC scores by addition Comparisons with Firearm 1





## Conclusions Regarding Visualizations

- Digital transitions between 3D surface topographies can mimic an examiners experience with a comparison microscope
- The similarity map helps relate visual comparisons to the CMC algorithm
- Used to highlight the most similar regions between two aligned images (or a transition video)
- Highlighting dissimilar regions can help explain the absence of CMC cells in certain areas
- Computer algorithms can use different areas of interest for identification compared to examiners


## Conclusions Regarding Proficiency Testing

- The CMC method is able to sufficiently pass the firearms proficiency test
- Some firearms are clearly more difficult than others for the CMC algorithm to identify
- Use of a combined score of the firing pin and breech face impression is necessary
- The use of additional tool marks may improve the discrimination further
- For these particular comparisons the firing pin impression is a more reliable source of impression
- Special thanks to Richie Hockensmith at CTS for providing the 2015 test cartridge casings


## Questions?

## daniel.ott@nist.gov

## Extra Slides:

## Similarity Maps

- Basically a precalculation for an areal cross correlation function
- A summation over the entire map, with proper normalization will give the ACCF metric for overall similarity
- The map highlights which regions contribute or detract from the ACCF value.
- More simply, it is the pointwise multiplication of two aligned images
- Both must have zero mean

$$
\text { Similarity Map }=A \cdot B
$$

$$
A C C F=\frac{\sum_{x} \sum_{y} A \cdot B}{\sqrt{\sum_{x} \sum_{y} A \cdot A} \sqrt{\sum_{x} \sum_{y} B \cdot B}}
$$

Peak in A aligned with Peak in B: Similarity Peak Valley in A aligned with Valley in B: Similarity Peak Zero in A aligned with Anything in B: Zero Similarity Peak in A aligned with Valley in B: Similarity Valley

$$
A C C F=\frac{\sum_{x} \sum_{y} \text { Similarity Map }}{\sqrt{\sum_{x} \sum_{y} A \cdot A} \sqrt{\sum_{x} \sum_{y} B \cdot B}}
$$

## CMC Parameters for breech face comparisons

- Selection of important CMC correlation parameters. Time per correlations is $\sim 150$ seconds



## CMC Parameters for firing pin comparisons

- Selection of important CMC correlation parameters.
Gaussian lowpass Filter:

$\qquad$
$3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Spline filter:

$\qquad$
$235 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Angle Range:

$\qquad$
$-30^{\circ}$ to $30^{0}$
Coarse Angle Step:

$\qquad$ ..... $5^{0}$
Min Ref Cell Fill:

$\qquad$ ..... 35\%
Min Registration Cell Fill:

$\qquad$ ..... 35\%
Max Reduction of Cell Fill: ..... 20\%
Cell Size: ..... $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (grid of $\sim 6 \times 6$ cells)
Cell Search Range: ..... $\pm 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {CCF }}$ : ..... 10\%
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}$ : ..... $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\theta}:$ ..... $4.5^{\circ}$

## Breech Face Similarity Maps Summary



## Firing Pin Similarity Maps Summary





## Example Correlation Maps (High Similarity)



Firearm 1
(Known firings)



Firearm 2



Firearm 3


## Transition from K1 to Q3 breech faces



## Cell Assignments K1 and Q3 breech faces



3 CMCs out of 58 total cells

## Transition from K1 to Q3



Aligned using the CMC Method

## Cell Assignments K1 and Q3




## Microscope Image of Damaged Firing Pin



## Microscope Image of Damaged Firing Pin



## Breech Face Impression Distribution (ACCF)






## Firing Pin Impression Distribution (ACCF)






