## SATE V Background

#### Vadim Okun, NIST March 14, 2014







## Cautions on Using SATE Data

- Our analysis procedure has limitations
- In practice, users write special rules, suppress false positives, and write code in certain ways to minimize tool warnings
- There are many other factors that we did not consider: user interface, integration, etc.
- So do NOT use our analysis to rate/choose tools

## Analyzing Source Code Analyzers



## Warning Selection Methods

- Random subset
   Related to CVEs
- 3. Synthetic test cases





## SATE V Timeline

- Provide test sets to teams (June 2013)
- Teams run their tools, return tool outputs (Sep 2013)
- Analysis of tool outputs (mostly done)
- Experience workshop (here & now)
- Teams can submit a research paper (May 30, 2014)
- Publish SATE V report (Summer/Fall 2014)





## Participating teams

- Buguroo
- Coverity
- Cppcheck
- Frama-C
- GrammaTech
- HP Fortify
- LDRA Testbed

- Parasoft
- PVS-Studio
- Red Lizard Goanna
- Clang
- FindBugs
- PMD

Certain instruments, software, materials, and organizations are identified in this paper to specify the exposition adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the instruments, software, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.



#### Participation over time



#### Test cases

- CVE-selected vulnerable/fixed pairs:
  - Asterisk: telephone switching C
  - Wireshark: network protocol analyzer C
  - JSPWiki: wiki engine Java
  - OpenFire: IM and groupchat server Java
  - WordPress: blogging PHP
  - All are open source programs
  - 24k LoC (WordPress) to 2.2M LoC (Wireshark)
- 87k synthetic C/C++ and Java test cases

# Software Assurance Marketplace (SWAMP)

- Test cases in virtual machines hosted by SWAMP
  - VMs have the needed libraries for compiling test cases
  - Ubuntu Linux or Windows VM
- Teams install and run their tools
- Provides consistent environment





#### **Coverage Claims Representation (CCR)**

- XML format to tell what a tool looks for
  - Specific CWEs
  - Details of coverage
- Allow more accurate measurements
- Help us analyze tool outputs



### SATE Procedure



### Warning Sample Selection For vulnerable versions only

- We assigned severity if a tool did not
- Avoid warnings with severity 5 (lowest)
- Select more warnings from higher severities
- Select 30 warnings from each of 30 tool reports
  1 report had only 9 warnings
- Total is 879

## CVEs

- Identify the CVEs
  - Locations in code
- Find related warnings from tools
- Can tools discriminate between versions
   Or report for a fixed version also?
- Goal: focus our analysis on real-life exploitable vulnerabilities

## Differences from SATE IV

- SATE V Ockham sound analysis criteria
- PHP language track
- Test cases hosted in SWAMP
- Coverage Claims Representation (CCR)
- Will not make tool outputs public
- Still, much can be improved...

#### Thanks to teams!



