

Priority Action Report Friction Ridge Subcommittee

SAC Physics/Pattern

Habeas Assistance and Training Counsel Project, Eighth National Seminar on Forensic Evidence and the Criminal Law "Fingerprint Evidence," April 27, 2019, Denver, Colorado.







Subcommittee Leadership

- Chair: Henry Swofford
 - Defense Forensic Science Center
 - Term expiration September 30, 2020
 - Email: <u>Henry.J.Swofford.Civ@mail.mil</u>
- <u>Vice Chair</u>: Thomas Wortman
 - Defense Forensic Science Center
 - Term expiration September 30, 2021
 - Email: <u>Thomas.M.Wortman.Civ@mail.mil</u>
- Executive Secretary: Maria Ruggiero
 - Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office
 - Term expiration September 30, 2019
 - Email: mcruggie@lasd.org







Subcommittee Membership

•	Black, John P.	Black &
•	Brock, Steven	Santa (
•	Cole, Simon	Univers
•	Connelly, Joshua	Dougla
•	Eldridge, Heidi	RTI
•	Fontaine, Liz	FBI Lal
•	Hall, Carey	Minnes
•	Hornickel, Mandi	Illinois
•	Kriel, Louis	Georgi
•	Lavine, Michael	Umass
•	Pacejka, Andrew	Utah B
•	Ruggiero, Maria C.	Los An
•	Schwarz, Matthew T.	Schwa
•	Smith, Ron	Ron Sr
•	Speckels, Carl	City of
•	Swofford, Henry J.	Defens
•	Tabassi, Elham	Nationa
•	White, Alice	Evolve
•	Wortman, Thomas M.	Defens
•	Zinn, Lisa M.	Orange

Black & White Forensics, LLC	2021
Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office	2021
University of California – Irvine	2021
Douglas County Sheriff	2019
RTI	2019
FBI Laboratory	2020
Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension	2019
Illinois State Police	2020
Georgia Bureau of Investigation	2020
Umass Amhearst	2020
Utah Bureau of Forensic Services	2021
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	2019
Schwarz Forensic Enterprises, Inc.	2019
Ron Smith & Associates, Inc.	2020
City of Phoenix Crime Laboratory	2020
Defense Forensic Science Center	2020
National Institute of Standards and Technology	2021
Evolve Forensics, LLC	2020
Defense Forensic Science Center	2021
Orange County Sheriff's Crime Laboratory	2019

john@bwforensics.com
Steve.Brock@shf.sccgov.org
scole@uci.edu
joshua.connelly@douglascounty-ne.gov
heidi.eldridge@icloud.com
ekfontaine@fbi.gov
carey.hall@state.mn.us
Mandi_hornickel@isp.state.il.us
louis.kriel@gbi.ga.gov
lavine@math.umass.edu
apacejka@utah.gov
mcruggie@lasd.org
matt@schwarzforensic.com
ron@ronsmithandassociates.com
carl.speckels@phoenix.gov
Henry.j.Swofford.civ@mail.mil
elham.tabassi@nist.gov
alicevirginiawhite@gmail.com
thomas.m.wortman.civ@mail.mil
lzinn@occl.ocgov.com





Subcommittee Breakdown

Category	<u>Target</u>	-	<u>Current</u>	-
Practitioner Total	14	70%	17	85%
Federal	4	20%	3	15%
State and Local	6	30%	9	45%
Civil and Other	4	20%	3	15%
Researchers and Scientists	4	20%	4	20%
R&D Technology	2	10%	1	5%









The Friction Ridge Subcommittee will focus on standards and guidelines related to the forensic examination of friction ridge detail from the hands and feet.







Roadmap

- *Current* Strategic Priority:
 - Promulgation of standards and guidelines related to the **examination**, **interpretation**, and **reporting** of friction ridge evidence









Documents Completed (at SDO)

- ✓ Standard for Friction Ridge Examination Conclusions
- ✓ Standard for Friction Ridge Examination Training
- ✓ Guideline for the Articulation of the Decision-Making Process Leading to an Expert Opinion of Source Identification in Friction Ridge Examinations
- Document drafts publically available online:
 - <u>https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/friction-ridge-subcommittee</u>







Update → Standard for Conclusions

- Defines terms and qualitative expressions of source conclusions that may be reached following friction ridge comparisons.
- Five conclusion scale
 - Source Exclusion
 - Support for different sources
 - Inconclusive/Lacking Support
 - Support for same source
 - Source Identification
- Source Identification:
 - Strongest degree of association between two friction ridge impressions
 - Expressed as a "strength of evidence" statement





Update → Standard for Conclusions

- <u>Source Identification</u>: The strongest degree of association between two friction ridge impressions. It is the conclusion that the observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the impressions originated from the same source and extremely weak support for the proposition that the impressions originated from different sources.
- Source Identification is reached when the friction ridge impressions have corresponding ridge detail and the examiner would not expect to see the same arrangement of details repeated in an impression that came from a different source.
- <u>Qualifications & Limitations</u>: An examiner shall not assert that a source identification is the conclusion that two impressions were made by the same source or imply an individualization to the exclusion of all other sources.







Documents in Progress

- Examination Method
 - Analysis
 - Comparison/Evaluation
- Consultation
- Verification
- Technical Review
- Reporting Results
- Conflict Resolution
- ACE-V Process Map
- ABIS Best Practices
- Terminology





Image license free for public share and use from pixabay.com





Documents in Progress Examination Method

- Prescribes minimum requirements of the analysis, comparison, and evaluation steps performed during the examination of friction ridge impressions, including:
 - The set of expected procedures that need to be implemented and their order
 - The procedures requiring validation
 - The required elements of analysis, comparison, and evaluation
 - The required minimum documentation for each procedure







Documents in Progress Analysis

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the analysis steps during the examination of friction ridge impressions.
 - Suitability criteria
 - Feature selection and associated confidence
 - · Complexity criteria
 - Quality criteria
 - Documentation







Documents in Progress

Comparison & Evaluation

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the comparison and evaluation steps during the examination of friction ridge impressions.
 - Method of comparison
 - Complex comparison criteria
 - Sufficiency criteria for conclusions
 - Assessment of similar and dissimilar characteristics between impressions
 - · Assessment of the strength of the evidence
 - Determination of the appropriate conclusion
 - Documentation







Documents in Progress Consultation

 Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform consultations during friction ridge impression examinations.







Documents in Progress Verification

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the verifications steps during friction ridge impression examinations.
 - Verification considerations (e.g. extent, utility, case type, approach)
 - Types of verification and application options
 - Documentation







Documents in Progress Technical Review

 Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the technical review of friction ridge impression examinations.







Documents in Progress Reporting Results

• Prescribes the minimum requirements that shall be included in friction ridge examination reports.







Documents in Progress Conflict Resolution

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to resolve conflicts between examiners:
 - Conflicting suitability decisions
 - Conflicting evaluation conclusions
 - Documentation







Documents in Progress ACE-V Process Map

- Provides an interactive business process map illustrating the process of conducting friction ridge impression examinations.
 - Provides an interactive and illustrative interface for the friction ridge community
 - · Codifies current practice
 - · Identifies gaps and research needs for future practice
 - Dynamic document updating as the standards and best practices update







Documents in Progress ABIS Best Practices

- Describes the best practice recommendations for effective uses of Automated Biometric Identification Systems (ABIS):
 - Provides guidance to latent print and tenprint units
 - Stimulates further development of advanced capabilities by ABIS vendors
 - Addresses improvements to facilitate interoperability, including:
 - Acquisition of standards-compliant systems at the Federal, State and Local-Levels
 - · Furthering connectivity efforts among law enforcement agencies
 - Improved governance structures to reflect the new interoperable environment
 - · Developing mechanisms to test system performance and standards compliance
 - Expanded examiner training
 - Other ABIS related topics to improve performance and efficiency of friction ridge examinations







Documents in Progress Terminology

- Describes the terms and definitions commonly used by the friction ridge examination discipline.
 - Intended to reside in the OSAC Lexicon Library
 - Intended to be dynamic and updated as appropriate
 - Searchable for easy user interface







Current Research Needs

- ACE-V Bias
- Assessing the Sufficiency and Strength of Friction Ridge Features
- Close Non-Match Assessment
- Examiner Consistency During Friction Ridge Feature Mark-Up
- Friction Ridge Statistical Modeling
- Latent Fingerprint Image Quality Usage
- Research needs publically available online:
 - <u>https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/osac-research-development-needs</u>







Additional Items of Interest

- ✓ OSAC FRS Response to PCAST
- ✓ OSAC FRS Response to the DoJ Proposed Uniform Language for Testimony and Reports
- ✓ OSAC FRS Response to the DoJ Forensic Science Discipline Reviews
- ✓ Discipline-Specific Baseline Documents (i.e. legacy SWGFAST documents)
- Documents publically available online:
 - <u>https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/friction-ridge-subcommittee</u>













Visit us online!

https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/friction-ridge-subcommittee





