

Technical Guidelines Development Committee
March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

The Innovation Class

Technical Guidelines Development Committee

March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

Resolution #03-06 directs STS to investigate

- high-level, guiding requirements for defining a path towards certification;
- approaches for reviewing, testing, and certifying systems.

Technical Guidelines Development Committee
March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

General Goals

further the goals of holding fair, accurate, transparent, secure, accessible, timely, and verifiable elections;

help rather than hinder election administration.

Entry criteria I

- (**different**) there should be a reason for not pursuing the “standard” path towards certification.

Entry criteria II

- (**feasibility of deployment**) should not present excessive logistical complexities for deployment and maintenance.

Technical Guidelines Development Committee
March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

Entry criteria III

- (**end-usable**) should not present an excessive burden on election administration.

Technical Guidelines Development Committee

March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

- Not a backdoor for technologies that fail VVSG requirements.

Technical Guidelines Development Committee

March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

- Technology on the horizon is based on multiple interacting components (e.g. infrastructure for managing cryptographic keys, smart auditing devices, etc.).
- Deployment and maintenance should not present insurmountable problems.

Entry criteria IV

- (**VVSG compliance**) technologies in the innovation class must meet the relevant requirements of the 2007 VVSG.

We expect most requirements to be applicable, a few may not.

Entry criteria V

- (secure relative to existing systems) method must be approximately as secure, transparent, and auditable as existing systems permitted by the 2007 VVSG.

Evaluation process

- Flexible
 - multi-stage, but stages can occur in parallel
 - possibly use accredited labs for “limited” certification or other types of testing that can ease market entry
 - allow use of performance data from small-scale tests

Technical Guidelines Development Committee
March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

Evaluation process

- Transparent
 - only published cryptographic algorithms
 - expert review subject to NDA when appropriate

Technical Guidelines Development Committee
March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

Evaluation process

- Multidisciplinary review board
 - security experts
 - election administrators
 - policy makers
 - usability and accessibility experts

Technical Guidelines Development Committee
March 23, 2007, Plenary Meeting

Evaluation process

- When possible, allow input from
 - social scientists
 - law enforcement (e.g. the Election Crimes Branch of the Department of Justice)