## List of Figures

2-1	The Modeling State-Space Problem
2-2	A Method to Reduce the Scale of Computational Demands
2-3	An Example Applying Scale Reduction to MesoNet Simulations
2-4	Reducing the Space of Model Responses using Correlation and/or Principal
	Components Analysis
3-1	Topology used for simulation experiments discussed in this study
4-1	Encoding Template for a 2 <sup>11-5</sup> Orthogonal Fractional Factorial Experiment Design
4-2	Enlargement of Sample Scatter Plot of Response y7 vs. y2
4-3	Sample (and Partial) Table of Correlations among Response Pairs
4-4	Sample Histogram of Correlation Magnitudes among Response Pairs
4-5	Sample 6-x-6 Subset from a Combined Matrix of Scatter Plots and Correlation Values
4-6	Index-Index Plot Identifying Response Pairs with Correlation Magnitude above 0.65
4-7	Enlargement of a Sample Weight Vector for First Principal Component
4-8	Enlargement of a Sample Histogram for First Principal Component
4-9	Sample Main Effects Plot for Response y11, average congestion window size
4-10	Sample Y-Y-X plot for Responses y7 and y22
4-11	Example Illustrating the Technique used to Summarize System Responses
4-12	Sample Data Summarization: 22 Responses for each of 64 Simulation Runs
4-13	Combined Matrix of Scatter Plots and Correlation Values for 22 Responses
4-14	Frequency Distribution of the Absolute Value of Correlations for All Pairs of
	Responses
4-15	Clustered Index-Index Plot for Correlation Pairs where $ Correlation (Y_i, Y_i)  > 0.65$
4-16	Histograms for 22 Principal Components
4-17	Weight Vectors for the First Four Principal Components
4-18	Main-Effects Plot for Response y1 (Average Number of Active Flows)
4-19	Main-Effects Plot for Response y10 (Average Retransmission Rate)
4-20	Main-Effects Plot for Response y22 (Average Instantaneous Throughput for $NN$ Flows)
4-21	Main-Effects Plot for Response y15 (Average Smoothed Round-Trip Time)
4-22	Main-Effects Plot for Response y4 (Average Packets Output per Measurement
	Interval)
4-23	Main-Effects Plot for Response y6 (Flows Completed per Measurement Interval)
4-24	Main-Effects Plot for Response y17 (Average Instantaneous Throughput of DD
	Flows)
4-25	Main-Effects Plot for Response y20 (Average Instantaneous Throughput of FF Flows)
4-26	Main-Effects Plot for PC1 (Network Congestion)
4-27	Main-Effects Plot for PC2 (Network Delay)
4-28	Main-Effects Plot for PC3 (Throughput for Advantaged Flows)
4-29	Main-Effects Plot for PC4 (Macroscopic Throughput)
4-30	Multi-factor Scatter Plot of Smoothed Round Trip Time (y15) for each of the 11 Experiment Factors
4-31	Multi-factor Scatter Plot of Relative Queuing Delay (y16) for Each Experiment
-1-91	Factor
4-32	Average Condition Ranking Displayed on Vertices of a Cube
5-1	Main Phases and Congestion Control Procedures in the Life of a TCD Flow
5-1 5-2	Main Phases and Congestion Control Procedures in the Life of a TCP Flow TCP Connection Establishment Procedures Leading to Connection Failure
5-2 5-3	TCP Connection Establishment Procedures Leading to Connection Fahure TCP Connection Establishment Procedures Leading to Initiation of the Transfer
5-5	Phase
	L HOM.

5-4	Sample Change in Congestion Window over Time under Standard Slow Start and         Congestion Avoidance       14	45
5-5	Sample Change in Congestion Window over Time under Limited Slow Start and	•5
		46
5-6		52
5-7		53
5-8	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 162 ms) 16	64
5-9	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 324 ms) 16	65
5-10		65
5-11		66
5-12		66
5-13		67
5-14	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two CTCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 162 ms) 16	<b>58</b>
5-15		<b>58</b>
5-16	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( <i>a</i> -tuning enabled, <i>rtt</i> = 42 ms) 16	59
5-17	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( <i>a</i> -tuning enabled, <i>rtt</i> = 162 ms) 16	59
5-18		70
5-19	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	70
5-20	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( $a_F = 80$ , $rtt = 162$ ms) 17	
5-20 5-21	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( $a_t = 80$ , $rtt = 324$ ms) 17 Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( $a_t = 80$ , $rtt = 324$ ms) 17	
5-21 5-22	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( $a_f = 30$ , $nt = 324$ ms) 17 Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two FAST Flows ( $a_f = 200$ , $rtt = 42$ ms) 17	
5-23	U , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	72
5-24		73
5-25		74
5-26	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two HSTCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 162 ms) 17	
5-27	8	75
5-28	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two H-TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 42 ms)    17	
5-29	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two H-TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 162 ms) 17	
5-30	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two H-TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 324 ms) 17	
5-31	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two Scalable TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 42 ms) 17	
5-32		77
5-33	Change in <i>cwnd</i> vs. Time for Two Scalable TCP Flows ( <i>rtt</i> = 324 ms)    17	78
6-1		84
6-2	Scenario Adopted for Each Simulated Condition 18	88
6-3		00
6-4		)1
6-5	Conditions Ordered from Least to Most Congested vs. Retransmission Rate 20	)1
6-6	Distribution of Flow States over Three Time Periods under Condition 4 for Standard	02
6-7	Distribution of Flow States over Three Time Periods under Condition 5 for Standard TCP 20	03
6-8	Cluster Analysis for Time Period One 20	)3
6-9	Sample Plot Analyzing the Influence of Condition and Congestion Control Algorithm       20         on the Average Number of Active Flows       20	04
6-10		)6
6-11	Filtered Summary Plot for Time Period One Identifying Statistically Significant	
6 12		07
6-12		)9
6-13		10
6-14	Aggregate Packet Delivery Rate DD Flows for Seven Congestion Control Algorithms21under Condition 4 over Three Time Periods21	10

6-15	Analyzing the Influence of Condition and Congestion Control Algorithm on the Average Goodput for DD Flows (y9) during Time Period Two	211
6-16	Analyzing the Influence of Condition and Congestion Control Algorithm on	
	Congestion Window Size during Time Period Three	212
6-17	Cluster Analysis Using Data from Time Period One – Algorithm 3 Excluded	213
6-18	Screenshot from DiVisa Animation of the Temporal Evolution of a MesoNet Simulation	214
6-19	Clustering for Time Period One – Annotated to Identify Distinctive Algorithm 3	215
6-20	Clustering for Time Period One – Algorithm 3 Omitted	216
6-21	Condition-Response Summary for Time Period One	216
6-22	Filtered Summary Plot for Time Period One Identifying Statistically Significant Outliers with Associated Relative Effect > 10%	217
6-23	Outliers with Associated Relative Effect > 10% Detailed Analysis for Congestion Window Increase Rate in Time Period One	218
6-24	Detailed Analysis for Flow Completion Rate in Time Period One	218
6-25	Detailed Analysis for Retransmission Rate in Time Period One	219
6-26	Detailed Analysis for NN Flow Completion Rate in Time Period One	219
6-27	Detailed Analysis for Number of Connecting Flows in Time Period One	220
6-28	Clustering for Time Period Two – Annotated to Identify Distinctive Algorithm 3	221
6-29	Clustering for Time Period Two – Algorithm 3 Omitted	221
6-30	Condition-Response Summary for Time Period Two	222
6-31	Filtered Summary Plot for Time Period Two Identifying Statistically Significant Outliers with Associated Relative Effect > 30%	222
6-32	Detailed Analysis for Congestion Window Increase Rate in Time Period Two	223
6-33	Detailed Analysis for Flow Completion Rate in Time Period Two	224
6-34	Detailed Analysis for Retransmission Rate in Time Period Two	225
6-35	Detailed Analysis for Average Goodput on DF Flows in Time Period Two	225
6-36	Detailed Analysis for Average Number of Active DF Flows in Time Period Two	226
6-37	Detailed Analysis for Average Number of Connecting Flows in Time Period Two	226
6-38	Detailed Analysis for Average Goodput on Long-lived Flow L1 in Time Period Two_	227
6-39	Detailed Analysis for Average Goodput on Long-lived Flow L2 in Time Period Two	227
6-40	Clustering for Time Period Three – Annotated to Identify Distinctive Algorithm 3	
6-41	Clustering for Time Period Three – Algorithm 3 Omitted	
6-42	Condition-Response Summary for Time Period Three	229
6-43	Filtered Summary Plot for Time Period Three Identifying Statistically Significant Outliers with Associated Relative Effect > 30%	230
6-44	Detailed Analysis for Congestion Window Increase Rate in Time Period Three	
6-45	Detailed Analysis for Flow Completion Rate in Time Period Three	231
6-46	Detailed Analysis for Retransmission Rate in Time Period Three	231
6-47	Detailed Analysis for Average Goodput on DF Flows in Time Period Three	232
6-48	Detailed Analysis for Average Number of Active DF Flows in Time Period Three	232
6-49	Detailed Analysis for Average Number of Connecting Flows in Time Period Three	
6-50	Detailed Analysis for Average Congestion Window Size in Time Period Three	233
6-51	Detailed Analysis for Average Goodput on Long-lived Flow L2 in Time Period Three	234
6-52	Clustering for Totals – Annotated to Identify Distinctive Algorithm 3	235
6-53	Clustering for Totals – Algorithm 3 Omitted	236
6-54	Condition-Response Summary for Totals	236
6-55	Detailed Analysis for Aggregate Number of Flows Completed over 25-minute Scenario	237
6-56	Detailed Analysis for Average SYN Rate for Connecting Flows over 25-minute Scenario	237
6-57	Five Time Series Showing the Distribution of Flow States over Three Time Periods for Algorithm 1 (BIC) under Condition 12	239
6-58	Five Time Series Showing the Distribution of Flow States over Three Time Periods for Algorithm 1 (BIC) under Condition 21	239
6-59	Reproduction of Fig. 5-22, Showing Change in <i>cwnd</i> for Two FAST Flows ( $a_F = 200$ , <i>rtt</i> = 42 ms)	241

6-60		42
6-61	Change in Congestion Window under TCP Reno for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500Measurement Intervals within TP2 under Condition 2124	43
6-62	Change in Congestion Window under BIC for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500Measurement Intervals within TP2 under Condition 2124	43
6-63	Change in Congestion Window under CTCP for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500	44
6-64	Change in Congestion Window under HSTCP for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500	44
6-65	Change in Congestion Window under HTCP for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500	45
6-66	Change in Congestion Window under Scalable TCP for Long-Lived Flow L2 during         500 Measurement Intervals within TP2 under Condition 21	45
6-67	Average Congestion Window Size of DD Flows during TP3 under Condition 12 forBIC, FAST, HSTCP, HTCP, Scalable TCP and TCP Reno24	46
6-68	Average Congestion Window Size of DD Flows during TP3 under Condition 12 for         CTCP	47
6-69	Comparing Congestion Window Size of Scalable TCP (STCP) and FAST with respect to Falling Congestion Window       24	49
7-1		57
7-2	Change in Flow States over Three Time Periods under Condition 3 for Standard TCP 23	58
7-3	Change in Flow States over Three Time Periods under Condition 5 for Standard TCP 23	59
7-4		60
7-5	· ·	62
7-6	1 8	63
7-7	0	64
7-8	1 .	65
7-9		66
7-10		67
7-11		67
7-12		68
7-13		68
7-14		69
7-15		69
7-16		70
7-17	• • • • • •	70
7-18 7-19	Cluster Analysis for Time Period Two – each sub-plot Annotated to Identify	71 73
7-20	0	73
7-21	· · ·	74
7-22		74
7-23		75
7-24		75
7-25		76
7-26		76
7-27	• • •	77
7-28		77
7-29 7-30	Detailed Analysis for Average Number of Connecting Flows during Time Period Two 2'	78 78

7-31	Cluster Analysis for Time Period Three – each sub-plot Annotated to Identify Distinctive Algorithms 3/8
7-32	Condition-Response Summary for Time Period Three
7-32	Condition-Response Summary for Time Period Three – 30% Filter Applied
7-34	Detailed Analysis of Congestion Window Increase Rate for Time Period Three
7-35	Detailed Analysis of Packet Output Rate for Time Period Three
7-36	Detailed Analysis of Congestion Window Size for Time Period Three
7-37	Detailed Analysis of Flow Completion Rate for Time Period Three
7-38	Detailed Analysis of Retransmission Rate for Time Period Three
7-39	Detailed Analysis of Average Goodput on Long-lived Flow L1
7-40	Detailed Analysis of Buffer Utilization in Router C0a during Time Period Three
7-41	Detailed Analysis of Average Number of Connecting Flows during Time Period Three
7-42	Cluster Analysis for Totals – each sub-plot Annotated to Identify Distinctive Algorithms 3/8
7-43	Condition-Response Summary for Totals
7-44	Detailed Analysis for Number of Packets Input during 25-minute Scenario
7-45	Detailed Analysis for Number of Packets Output during 25-minute Scenario
7-46	Detailed Analysis for Number of Flows Completed over 25-minute Scenario
7-47	Detailed Analysis for Average SYN Rate for Connecting Flows over 25-minute
	Scenario
7-48	Detailed Analysis for Average Goodput on Completed DD Flows over 25-minute Scenario
7-49	Change in Congestion Window under FAST-AT for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500 Measurement Intervals within TP2 under Condition 21
7-50	Change in Congestion Window under TCP Reno for Long-Lived Flow L2 during 500 Measurement Intervals within TP2 under Condition 21
7-51	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =6500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L1 under Condition 8
7-52	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =6500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L2 under Condition 8
7-53	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =6500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L2 under Condition 8
7-54	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =7500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L1 under Condition 14
7-55	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =7500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L1 under Condition 28
7-56	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =7500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L1 under Condition 32
7-57	Goodput from <i>t</i> =4500 to <i>t</i> =7500 for each Congestion Control Algorithm on Long- Lived Flow L1 under Condition 21
7-58	Average Congestion Window Size of DD Flows during TP3 under Condition 8 for BIC, FAST, FAST-AT, HSTCP, HTCP, Scalable TCP and TCP Reno
7-59	Average Congestion Window Size of DD Flows during TP3 under Condition 8 for CTCP
8-1	Conditions Ordered from Least to Most Congested (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-2	Conditions Ordered from Least to Most Congested (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-3	Distribution of Flow States for Six Conditions (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-4	Distribution of Flow States for Six Conditions (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-5	Illustration of Technique to Compute Means for Responses y1 to y11
8-6	Detailed Analysis of Retransmission Rate under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
8-7	Average Goodput for Flows Using Alternate Congestion Control Algorithm and
57	Flows Using TCP when Transferring Movies on a Very Fast Path with a Fast
	Interface Speed Given a Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold

8-8 8-9	Principal Components Analysis of Goodputs given High Slow-Start Threshold Illustration of Biplot of PC1 vs. PC2 and Related Clustering	338 339
8-10	Scatter Plot of y16(u)/100 vs. y2(u)/100 for Movies Transferred over a Very Fast Path with Fast Interface Speed Given a High Initial Slow-Start Threshold; FAST Alternate	
	Congestion Control Algorithm	340
8-11	Bar Graph for Movies Transferred over a Very Fast Path with Fast Interface Speed	
	given a High Initial Slow-Start Threshold during Condition 21 (Most Congested)	340
8-12	Rank Matrix for Algorithm 7 (Scalable TCP) – High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	341
8-13	Average Number of Active Flows under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	343
8-14	Average Number of Connecting Flows under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	344
8-15	Average Rate of Flow Completion under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	344
8-16	Average Flow Retransmission Rate under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	345
8-17	Average Smoothed Round-Trip Time under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	345
8-18	Aggregate Flows Completed under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	346
8-19	Web Objects as Proportion of Flows Completed under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	346
8-20	Average Flow Congestion Window Size under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold	347
8-21	Average Number of Active Flows under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	348
8-22	Average Number of Connecting Flows under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	349
8-23	Average Rate of Flow Completion under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	349
8-24	Average Flow Retransmission Rate under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	350
8-25	Average Smoothed Round-Trip Time under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	350
8-26	Aggregate Flows Completed under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	351
8-27	Web Objects as Proportion of Flows Completed under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	352
8-28	Average Flow Congestion Window Size under Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold	352
8-29	Average Goodput on Movies (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	355
8-30	Average Goodput on Service Packs (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	356
8-31	Average Goodput on Documents (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	356
8-32	Average Goodput on Web Objects (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	357
8-33	Principal Component 1 vs. Principal Component 2 from Average Goodput Data (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	357
8-34	Scatter Plot of Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Movies Transferred on	
	Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	358
8-35	32 Bar Graphs (one for each Simulated Condition) plotting Goodput on TCP Flows	220
0.00	vs. Non-TCP Flows for Movies Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	359
8-36	Scatter Plot of Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Service Packs	005
000	Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	360
8-37	Scatter Plot of Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Documents	200
0.07	Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	360
8-38	Average Goodput on Movies (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	363
8-39	Average Goodput on Service Packs (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	363
8-40	Average Goodput on Documents (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	363 364
8-41	Average Goodput on Documents (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	364
8-42	Principal Component 1 vs. Principal Component 2 for Average Goodput Data (Low	504
	Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	365
8-43	Scatter Plot of Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Movies Transferred on	200
0.44	Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	366
8-44	32 Bar Graphs (one for each simulated condition) plotting Goodput on TCP Flows vs.	
	Non-TCP Flows for Movies Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)	367
		201

8-45	Scatter Plot of Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Service Packs Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 30	58
8-46	Bar Graphs plotting Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Service Packs         Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (Low Initial Slow-Start         Threshold)	58
8-47	Scatter Plot of Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Documents	
	Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 30	59
8-48	32 Bar Graphs plotting Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Service Packs Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 30	59
8-49	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – BIC (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	72
8-50	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – CTCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	73
8-51	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – FAST (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 3'	73
8-52	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – FAST-AT (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	74
8-53	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – HSTCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	74
8-54	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – HTCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	75
8-55	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – Scalable TCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	75
8-56	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – BIC (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	76
8-57	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – CTCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	76
8-58	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – FAST (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	77
8-59	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – FAST-AT (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	77
8-60	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – HSTCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 37	78
8-61	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – HTCP (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 3'	78
8-62	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – Scalable TCP (High Initial Slow-Start	
		79
8-63		80
8-64	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – CTCP (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 38	31
8-65	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – FAST (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 38	31
8-66		32
8-67	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32
8-68		33
8-69		33
8-70		34
8-71	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	34
8-72	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – FAST (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)       38	35
8-73	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – FAST-AT (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 38	85
8-74		<b>36</b>
8-75	TCP Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – HTCP (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 38	<b>36</b>
8-76		37
8-77	Average vs. Standard Deviation in Goodput Rank (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold) 38	<b>38</b>
8-78	Average vs. Standard Deviation in Goodput Rank Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)_ 38	<b>39</b>
9-1	Conditions Ordered Least to Most Congested under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold 39	<del>)</del> 9
9-2	Distribution of Flow States for Six Conditions with Increasing Congestion 39	99
9-3	0 0 <u> </u>	)2
9-4	0 0	)3
9-5		)4
9-6		)4
9-7		)5
9-8	Web Objects as Proportion of Flows Completed under High Initial Slow-Start         Threshold	)5
9-9		)6

9-10	Average Flow Congestion Window Size under High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-11	Average Goodputs on Movies under Combinations of Path Class and Interface Speed
9-12	Average Goodputs on Service Packs under Combinations of Path Class and Interface Speed
9-13	Average Goodputs on Documents under Combinations of Path Class and Interface Speed
9-14	Average Goodputs on Web Objects under Combinations of Path Class and Interface Speed
9-15	Principal Component 1 vs. Principal Component 2 from Average Goodput Data in a Large, Fast Network and High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-16	Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Movies on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces in a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-17	Bar Graphs plotting Goodput Differences on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Movies Transferred on Very Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces in a Large, Fast Network with a High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-18	Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Movies on Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces in a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-19	Bar Graphs plotting Goodput Differences on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for
	Movies Transferred on Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces in a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-20	Goodput on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Service Packs on Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces in a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-21	Bar Graphs plotting Goodput Differences on TCP Flows vs. Non-TCP Flows for Service Packs Transferred on Fast Paths with Fast Interfaces in a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-22	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – BIC (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start) _
9-23	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – CTCP (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start)
9-24 9-25	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – FAST (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start) Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – FAST-AT (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-26	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – HSTCP (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-27	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – HTCP (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start)
9-28	Goodput Rank Matrix – y2(u) – Scalable (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-29	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – BIC (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start)
9-30	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – CTCP (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-31	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – FAST (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-32	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – FAST-AT (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-33	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – HSTCP (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-34	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – HTCP (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-35	Goodput Rank Matrix – y16(u) – Scalable (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow- Start)
9-36	Average vs. Standard Deviation in Goodput Rank (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
A-1	Response curves of two hypothetical TCP variants TCP0 and TCP1 and the graph of a hypothetical packet loss function
A-2	Response curve for CUBIC with a ±50% error region vs. TCP Reno
A-3	Response curve for CTCP with a ±50% error region vs. TCP Reno
A-4	Response curves for CUBIC and CTCP with corresponding error regions

B-1	Experiment Topology	484
B-2	Changes in RTT Fairness with Increasing Buffer Size	489
B-3	Changes in Throughput Fairness with Increasing Buffer Size	
B-4	Changes in Average Throughput with Increasing Buffer Size	
C-1	Combined Matrix of Scatter Plots and Correlation Values for 22 Responses	_ 500
C-2	Frequency Distribution of the Absolute Value of Correlations for All Pairs of Responses	501
C-3	Index-Index Plot for Correlation Pairs where $ Correlation (Y_i, Y_j)  > 0.65$	
C-4	Histograms for 22 Principal Components	
C-5	Weight Vectors for the First Four Principal Components	503
C-6	Main Effects Plots for Top Four Principal Components	504
C-7	Y-Y-X plot for Responses y7 and y22	
C-8	Main-Effects Plot for Response y1 (Average Number of Active Flows)	507
C-9	Main-Effects Plot for Response y10 (Average Retransmission Rate)	
C-10	Main-Effects Plot for Response y11 (Average Congestion Window Size)	
C-11	Main-Effects Plot for Response y22 (Average Throughput on NN Flows)	
C-12	Main-Effects Plot for Response v15 (Average Smoothed Round-Trip Time)	
C-13	Main-Effects Plot for Response y4 (Average Packets Output per Measurement Interval)	
C-14	Main-Effects Plot for Response y6 (Flows Completed per Measurement Interval)	
C-15	Main-Effects Plot for Response y17 (Average Instantaneous Throughput on DD Flows)	514
C-16	Main-Effects Plot for Response y20 (Average Instantaneous Throughput on FF Flows)	- 515
C-17	Average Condition Ranking Displayed on Vertices of a Cube	518
D-1	Sample Ordered Data Plot	524
D-2	Sample Multi-factor Scatter Plot	526
D-3	Sample Main Effects Plot	527
D-4	Sample Interaction Effects Matrix	528
D-5	Sample Block Plots	529
D-6	Sample Youden Plot	530
<b>D-7</b>	Sample  Effects  Plot	531
<b>D-8</b>	Sample Half-Normal Probability Plot of  Effects	532
D-9	Sample Cumulative Residual Standard Deviation Plot	_ 533
D-10	Sample Contour Plot of Two Dominant Factors	534

## List of Tables

3-1	Link Propagation Delays in the Base Simulated Topology 4
3-2	Routes across the Backbone from Source (S) to Destination (D) Domain 4
3-3	Specification of Values for Parameter SOURCE_TYPE 4
3-4	Summary of Aggregate Measures Reported by MesoNet 5.
3-5	Summary of Flow-Class Measures Reported by MesoNet 5
3-6	Summary of Long-Lived Flow Measures Reported by MesoNet 5
3-7	Summary of Measures Reported by MesoNet for Each Router       5
3-8	Summary of Added Measures Reported by MesoNet for Access Routers6
3-9	Characteristic Performance for MesoNet in Two Experiments 66
3-10	Processing Requirements for MesoNet in Two Experiments 6
3-11	Memory Requirements for MesoNet in Two Experiments 6
4-1	Responses Characterizing Macroscopic Network Behavior 73
4-2	Responses Characterizing Instantaneous Throughput for Active Flows by Flow Class 79
4-3	Identity and Purpose of 10 Plots in the 10-Step Graphical Analysis 8
4-4	Simulation-Control Parameters 9
4-5	Parameters Controlling User Behavior 9
4-6	Parameters Adapting Network Characteristics 9
4-7	Parameters Altering Properties of Sources and Receivers 92
4-8	Parameters Controlling Source Startup Pattern 92
4-9	Parameters Related to TCP Operation 9
4-10	Recap of Sensitivity Analysis Factors and Mapping to MesoNet Parameters 93
4-11	Two-Level Settings for Each of 11 Factors in Sensitivity Analysis 94
4-12	Relationship among the Speed of Backbone Routers and Other Router Types 99
4-13	Relation between Factors and Number and Distribution of Sources       9
4-14	Relation between Factors and Number and Distribution of Receivers       9'
4-15	Relation between Factors and Distribution of Flow Classes    9
4-16	Buffers for Combinations of Round-Trip Propagation Delay (x1) and Capacity (x2) 94
4-17	Characteristics of Processors Executing Simulation Runs 99
4-18	Execution Time Required for Each Simulation Run 10
4-19	Responses Selected for Investigation in Sensitivity Analysis 10'
4-20	Responses Composing Principal Component One   10
4-21	Responses Composing Principal Component Two   109
4-22	Responses Composing Principal Component Three 10
4-23	Responses Composing Principal Component Four   11
4-24	Definition of Major Principal Components in Model Response 119
4-25	Rank Analysis based on Domain Expertise   12
4-26	Rank Analysis based on Principal Components Analysis    12
4-27	Mapping of Factor Settings to Eight Three-Factor Conditions    13
4-28	Average Response Values for Each Three-Factor Condition       13
4-29	Ranking for Each Condition vs. Each Response13
4-30	Changes in Ranking Attributable to Each Factor 13
5-1	Definition of Symbols Used to Model Connection Establishment Procedures 14
5-2	Definition of Symbols Used to Model Slow-Start Procedures 14
5-3	Symbols and Definitions Used to Model BIC Congestion Avoidance Procedures 14
5-4	Symbols and Definitions Used to Model CTCP Congestion Avoidance Procedures 15
5-5	Symbols and Definitions Used to Model FAST Congestion Avoidance Procedures 15
5-6	Symbols and Definitions Used to Model HSTCP Congestion Avoidance Procedures 153
5-7	Symbols and Definitions Used to Model H-TCP Congestion Avoidance Procedures 15
5-8	Symbols and Definitions Used to Model Scalable TCP Congestion Avoidance
	Procedures 15

5-9	Capacity of the Dumbbell Topology with Various Round-Trip Times	179
5-10	Link and Buffer Utilizations for Simulated Congestion Control Mechanisms	180
5-11	Bandwidth Fairness (Jain's Index) for Simulated Congestion Control Mechanisms	180
6-1	Congestion Control Mechanisms Compared	183
6-2	Definition of Three Path Classes	184
6-3	Robustness Factors Selected for Comparing Congestion Control Mechanisms	18
6-4	Fixed Network Parameters	185
6-5	Domain View of Router Speeds	186
6-6	Path Propagation Delays Simulated	180
6-7	Buffer Sizes Simulated	180
6-8	Fixed Parameters Related to Sources and Receivers	187
6-9	Number of Simulated Sources	187
6-10	Fixed Simulation Control Parameters	187
6-11	Fixed Parameters Specifying Simulated User Traffic	188
6-12	Fixed Parameters Specifying Long-Lived Flows	189
6-13	Template Specifying a 2 <sup>6-1</sup> Orthogonal Fractional Factorial Design	190
6-14	Instantiated Robustness Conditions for 2 <sup>6-1</sup> Experiment Design	191
6-15	Responses Characterizing Macroscopic Behavior	19
6-16	Responses Characterizing User Experience on Very Fast Paths	192
6-17	Responses Characterizing User Experience on Fast Paths	192
6-18	Responses Characterizing User Experience on Typical Paths	19.
6-19	Responses Characterizing User Experience on Long-Lived Flows	19.
6-20	Responses Charactering Buffer Usage in Directly Connected Access Routers	19.
6-21	Aggregate Responses Characterizing Macroscopic Behavior	194
6-22	Responses Characterizing User Experience for Completed Flows on Very Fast Paths _	194
6-23	Responses Characterizing User Experience for Completed Flows on Fast Paths	194
6-24	Responses Characterizing User Experience for Completed Flows on Typical Paths	19
6-25	Responses Characterizing Distribution of Flows among Backbone Routers	19
6-26	Characteristics of Compute Servers Used to Execute the Simulations	19
6-27	Processing Requirements for Simulations Mapped to Specific Compute Servers	19
6-28	Characterization of the Number of Flows and Data Packets Simulated	19
6-29	Format Adopted for Each Time-Period Data File	19
6-30	Format Adopted for Reporting Aggregate Measures	198
6-31	Flows Completed per 200 ms interval and Total Completions for DD Flows in Time	17
0.01	Period Two under Condition 4	21
6-32	Average, Minimum and Maximum Goodput on DD Flows for Each Congestion	41.
0-52	Control Algorithm during TP2 when Averaged over All 32 Conditions	24
	Control Algorithm during 112 when Averaged over All 52 Conditions	27
7-1	Congestion Control Mechanisms Compared	25
7-2	Robustness Factors Adopted for Comparing Congestion Control Mechanisms	254
7-3	Fixed Parameters Related to Sources and Receivers	25
7-4	Instantiated Robustness Conditions	25
7-5	Domain View of Router Speeds	250
7-6	Number of Simulated Sources	25
7-7	Buffer Sizes Simulated	25
7-8	Comparing Resource Requirements for Simulating the FAST Congestion Control	
	Algorithm in a Large, Fast Network and a Scaled-Down Network	261
7-9	Comparing Number of Simulated Flows and Packets for a Large, Fast Network and a	
	Scaled-Down Network under All Congestion Control Algorithms	26
7-10	Goodputs on DD Flows Averaged over all 32 Conditions for Each Time Period	29
7-11	Per Flow Goodputs for Long-Lived Flow L1 Averaged over all 32 Conditions for	
	Each Time Period	29
7-12	Per Flow Goodputs for Long-Lived Flow L2 Averaged over all 32 Conditions for	
	Each Time Period	29

7-13	Per Flow Goodputs for Long-Lived Flow L3 Averaged over all 32 Conditions for Each Time Period
7-14	Time until Long-Lived Flows Reach Maximum Transfer Rate in TP1 for Condition 8
7-15	Time until Long-Lived Flows Recover Maximum Transfer Rate in TP3 for Condition 8
7-16	Average Goodput for Each Congestion Control Algorithm on Three Long-Lived Flows during TP2 under Condition 8
7-17	Time until Long-Lived Flow L1 Reaches Maximum Transfer Rate in TP1 for Three Uncongested Conditions
7-18	Time until Long-Lived Flow L1 Recovers Maximum Transfer Rate in TP3 for Three Uncongested Conditions
7-19	Average Goodput on Long-Lived Flow L1 for Each Congestion Control Algorithm under Each of Three Uncongested Conditions
7-20	Average Goodput on Long-Lived Flow L1 for Each Congestion Control Algorithm in
0	Each of the Three Time Periods under Most Congested Condition 21
8-1	Alternate Congestion Control Regimes Compared
8-2	Robustness Factors Adopted for Comparing Congestion Control Mechanisms
8-3	Probability Distributions for Files of Various Sizes
8-4	Fixed Parameters for Sizing Files
8-5	Four Dimensions Defining Flow Groups
8-6	Flow Group Identifiers Assigned Based on Three-Dimensional Classification
8-7	Computing Target Minimums for Document Transfers with Combinations of Flow Traits
8-8	Fixed Network Parameters
8-9	Fixed Source and Receiver Parameters
8-10	Proportion of Sources and Receivers Placed under Specific Router Classes
8-11	Probability of Flows Transiting Specific Path Classes
8-12	
8-12	Two-Factor 2 <sup>9-4</sup> Orthogonal Fractional Factorial Design Template
8-13 8-14	The 32 Simulated Conditions used to compare Each Combination of Congestion
	Control Algorithm and Initial-Slow Start Threshold
8-15	Simulated Router Speeds
8-16	Number of Simulated Sources
8-17	Simulated Propagation Delays
8-18	Characterization of Simulated Buffer Sizes
8-19	Measured Responses Characterizing Macroscopic Network Behavior
8-20	Measured Responses Characterizing User Experience for Each Flow Group
8-21	Comparing Resource Requirements for Simulating One Hour of Network Operation under 32 Conditions with High and Low Initial Slow-Start Thresholds
8-22	Comparing Flows Completed and Data Packets Sent when Simulating One Hour of Network Operation under 32 Conditions with High and Low Initial Slow-Start Thresholds
8-23	Data Format Summarizing Responses y1 to y16 for All Algorithms and Conditions
8-24	Data Format Summarizing User Experience for One Flow Group
8-25	Data Format Summarizing User Experience for One Flow Group under All
	Algorithms and Conditions
8-26	Average Goodput per Flow Group under Each Alternate Congestion Control Algorithm (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-27	Average Goodput per Flow Group on TCP Flows Competing with Each Alternate Congestion Control Algorithm (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-28	Average Goodput per Flow Group under Each Alternate Congestion Control Algorithm (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-29	Average Goodput per Flow Group on TCP Flows Competing with Each Alternate Congestion Control Algorithm (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)

8-30	Range of Goodput Differences (%) for Flow Groups under High and Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold
8-31	Summary Average and Standard Deviation in Goodput and TCP Goodput Rank for All Congestion Control Algorithms (High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
8-32	Summary Average and Standard Deviation in Goodput and TCP Goodput Rank for
	All Congestion Control Algorithms (Low Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
9-1	Comparison of Experiment with Congestion Control Algorithms in a Small Network vs. Experiment in a Large, Fast Network
9-2	Robustness Factors Adopted for Comparing Congestion Control Mechanisms
9-3	Key Fixed Factors Adopted for Comparing Congestion Control Mechanisms
9-4	Two-Level 2 <sup>9-4</sup> Orthogonal Fractional Factorial Design
9-5	Simulated Router Speeds
9-6	Number of Simulated Sources
9-7	Characterization of Simulated Buffer Sizes
9-8	Comparing Resource Requirements for Simulating a Small Network and a Large, Fast Network
9-9	Comparing Number of Simulated Flows and Packets for a Small Network and a Large, Fast Network
9-10	Average Goodput per Flow Group under Each Alternate Congestion Control
	Algorithm for a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-11	Average Goodput per Flow Group on TCP Flows Competing with Each Alternate
	Algorithm for a Large, Fast Network with High Initial Slow-Start Threshold
9-12	Range of Goodput Differences (%) for Flow Groups under High Initial Slow-Start
	Threshold for Small, Slow Network and for Large, Fast Network
9-13	Summary Average and Standard Deviation in Goodput Rankings for Flows using
	Alternate Congestion Control Algorithms and for Competing TCP Flows (Large, Fast Network, High Initial Slow-Start Threshold)
10-1	Comparing Four Characteristics of Individual Alternate Congestion Control Algorithms
A-1	Estimated throughput for CUBIC in p/ms for 1000 concurrent flows on a link with a 122 p/ms capacity (for 1 KB packets)
A-2	Estimated throughput for CTCP in p/ms for 1000 concurrent flows on a link with a 122 p/ms capacity (for 1KB packets)
A-3	Estimated throughput for TCP Reno in p/ms for 1000 concurrent flows on a link with
	a 122 p/ms capacity (for 1 KB packets)
B-1	One-Way Propagation Delay on Each Link in the Simulated Topology
B-2	Characteristics of Three Flow Sets Simulated in the Experiment
<b>B-3</b>	MesoNet Parameter Settings for the Experiment
<b>B-4</b>	Domain View of the Simulated Network Characteristics
B-5	Configuration of Compute Server for Simulations
B-6	Resource Requirements for Simulations
C-1	Two-Level Settings for Each of 11 Factors in Sensitivity Analysis
C-2	Selected Fixed Parameters
C-3	Router Speeds by Router Class for Each Level of Network Speed (x2)
C-4	Average Buffer Size by Router Class for Specific Combinations of Propagation Delay (x1), Network Speed (x2) and Buffer-Sizing Algorithm (x3)
C-5	Relation between Factors and Number and Distribution of Sources
C-6	Relation between Factors and Number and Distribution of Receivers
C-7	Relation between Factors and Distribution of Flow Classes
<b>C-8</b>	Responses Characterizing Macroscopic Network Behavior
C-9	Responses Characterizing Average Instantaneous Throughput by Flow Class
	I

C-10	Configuration of Compute Servers for Simulations	499
C-11	Execution Time Required for Each Simulation Run	499
C-12	Responses Selected for Investigation in Sensitivity Analysis	506
C-13	Rank Analysis of Sensitivity Analysis Responses	516
C-14	Mapping of Factor Settings to Eight Conditions	517
C-15	Average Response Values for Each Condition	517
C-16	Ranking for Each Condition vs. Each Response	518
C-17	Changes in Ranking Attributable to Each Factor	519
D-1	Identity and Purpose of 10 Plots in the 10-Step Graphical Analysis	523

## Table of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
АСК	Acknowledgment
AvFSWO	Average File Size for Web Objects
AvThT	Average Think Time
AWND	Advertised Window
BIC	Binary Increase Congestion control
BRS	Backbone Router Speed
С	Capacity
CA	Cellular Automata
CAC	Correlation Analysis and Clustering
CPU	Central Processing Unit
CRTO	Current Retransmission Time Out
СТСР	Compound TCP
CWND	Congestion Window
DES	Discrete-Event Simulation
DT	Data segment (or packet)
DWND	Delay Window (used by CTCP)
FAST	Fast Active Queue Management Scalable TCP
FAST-AT	Fast Active Queue Management Scalable TCP with Alpha Tuning
GB	Gigabytes (Giga denotes billion)
Gbps	Gigabits per second (Giga denotes billion)
GENI	Global Environment for Network Innovation
GHz	Giga Hertz (Giga denotes billion)
HSTCP	High Speed TCP
НТСР	Hamilton TCP
ICCRG	Internet Congestion Control Research Group
IP	Internet Protocol
IRTF	Internet Research Task Force
ISP	Internet Service Provider
ITL	Information Technology Laboratory
Kbytes	Kilobtyes
Kbytes	Kilobytes
MB	Megabytes
Mbps	Megabits per second
Mbytes	Megabytes
MCMP	Multicore Multiprocessor
NAK	Negative Acknowledgment
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NSF	National Science Foundation
OFF	Orthogonal Fractional Factorial
P2P	Peer-to-Peer

PCPrincipal ComponentPCAPrincipal Components AnalysisPDMPropagation Delay in MillisecondsPOPPoint Of Presencepptspackets per time stepppspackets per second
PDMPropagation Delay in MillisecondsPOPPoint Of Presencepptspackets per time step
POP Point Of Presence ppts packets per time step
ppts packets per time step
pps packets per second
p/ms packets per millisecond
PrFH Probability of a Fast Host
PrLF Probability of a Larger File
QSA Queue Sizing Algorithm
RDist Receiver Distribution
RTO Retransmission Time Out
RTT Round Trip Time
RWND Receiver Window
SD Standard Deviation
SDist Source Distribution
SFSR Scaling For Sources and Receivers
SLX Simulation Language with eXtensibility
SQR Square Root
SQRT Square Root
SRTT Smoothed Round Trip Time
SST slow start threshold
STCP Scalable TCP
TCP Transmission Control Protocol
UDP User Datagram Protocol
WEB World-wide Web