Dear Team/CSF Group,

Greetings!!

Hope you all are doing well.

First, I would like to congratulate you all for this fantastic effort put together for this NIST CSF 2.0.

This was a long-awaited project and good to see that efforts came out fantastic.

While going through the entire journal, I found that Governance is one of the key aspects which is missing across the functions.
Also, when I studied deeper in that draft, I found that Govern has been added as a separate function, which is bit confusing for the larger group of security practitioners. LinkedIn community is flooded with reactions around it as well on various posts.

Based on my experience with CSF, here are certain recommendations which I would like to share.
1. Let's update the overall representation of CSF 2.0 (I have attached PPT with a diagram which covers a lot many aspects in a single view)
2. Also, let's try to introduce a function called "**Strategize/Brainstorm**" (You could bring in a better acronym as well) instead of Govern and before Identify. Which should emphasize the need of organization's strategy and context setting towards Cybersecurity, Planning and Prioritization of the sub-objectives/activities, Formulation of Policies, rules and procedures around it, Budgeting based on the capabilities of organization, defining charters, roles and responsibilities around the program, Program management planning and introduction of continuous review and monitoring plan around this entire set of activities (Which is a part of governance around Strategy).

I would love to discuss more on my thoughts around these recommendations, if there are any queries around it.

Thanks & Regards,

Anand Kumar Jha
█████████████████
Global IAM Solutions Principal | PSS Life Cycle Marketing
OpenText Cybersecurity

Website: www.opentext.com