Friction Ridge Subcommittee

Della Wilkinson

OFIA-TPS Conference

30th May 2019

NIST
National Institute of
Standards and Technology
U.S. Department of Commerce

Subcommittee Leadership

- **Chair:** Henry Swofford
  - Defense Forensic Science Center
  - Term expiration September 30, 2020
  - Email: Henry.J.Swofford.Civ@mail.mil

- **Vice Chair:** Thomas Wortman
  - Defense Forensic Science Center
  - Term expiration September 30, 2021
  - Email: Thomas.M.Wortman.Civ@mail.mil

- **Executive Secretary:** Maria Ruggiero
  - Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office
  - Term expiration September 30, 2019
  - Email: mcruggie@lasd.org

Subcommittee Membership

• Black, John P.	Black & White Forensics, LLC	2021	john@bwforensics.com
• Brock, Steven	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office	2021	Steve.Brock@shf.sccgov.org
• Cole, Simon	University of California – Irvine	2021	scole@uci.edu
• Connelly, Joshua	Douglas County Sheriff	2019	joshua.connelly@douglascounty-ne.gov
• Eldridge, Heidi	RTI	2019	heidi.eldridge@icloud.com
• Fontaine, Liz	FBI Laboratory	2020	ekfontaine@fbi.gov
• Hall, Carey	Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension	2019	carey.hall@state.mn.us
• Hornickel, Mandi	Illinois State Police	2020	Mandi_hornickel@isp.state.il.us
• Kriel, Louis	Georgia Bureau of Investigation	2020	louis.kriel@gbi.ga.gov
• Lavine, Michael	Umass Amhearst	2020	lavine@math.umass.edu
• Pacejka, Andrew	Utah Bureau of Forensic Services	2021	apacejka@utah.gov
• Ruggiero, Maria C.	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	2019	mcruggie@lasd.org
• Schwarz, Matthew T.	Schwarz Forensic Enterprises, Inc.	2019	matt@schwarzforensic.com
• Smith, Ron	Ron Smith & Associates, Inc.	2020	ron@ronsmithandassociates.com
• Speckels, Carl	City of Phoenix Crime Laboratory	2020	carl.speckels@phoenix.gov
• Swofford, Henry J.	Defense Forensic Science Center	2020	Henry.j.Swofford.civ@mail.mil
• Tabassi, Elham	National Institute of Standards and Technology	2021	elham.tabassi@nist.gov
• White, Alice	Evolve Forensics, LLC	2020	alicevirginiawhite@gmail.com
• Wortman, Thomas M.	Defense Forensic Science Center	2021	thomas.m.wortman.civ@mail.mil
• Zinn, Lisa M.	Orange County Sheriff's Crime Laboratory	2019	lzinn@occl.ocgov.com

Subcommittee Breakdown

Category	Target	-	Current	-
Practitioner Total	14	70%	17	85%
Federal	4	20%	3	15%
State and Local	6	30%	9	45%
Civil and Other	4	20%	3	15%
Researchers and Scientists	4	20%	4	20%
R&D Technology	2	10%	1	5%

Scope



The Friction Ridge Subcommittee will focus on standards and guidelines related to the forensic examination of friction ridge detail from the hands and feet.

Roadmap

- *Current* Strategic Priority:
  - Promulgation of standards and guidelines related to the **examination**, **interpretation**, and **reporting** of friction ridge evidence



Documents Completed (at SDO)

✓Standard for Friction Ridge Examination Conclusions

✓ Standard for Friction Ridge Examination Training

✓Guideline for the Articulation of the Decision-Making Process Leading to an Expert Opinion of Source Identification in Friction Ridge Examinations

- Document drafts publically available online:
  - https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/friction-ridge-subcommittee

Update → Standard for Conclusions

- Defines terms and qualitative expressions of source conclusions that may be reached following friction ridge comparisons.

- Five conclusion scale
  - Source Exclusion
  - Support for different sources
  - Inconclusive/Lacking Support
  - Support for same source
  - Source Identification

- Source Identification:
  - Strongest degree of association between two friction ridge impressions
  - Expressed as a “strength of evidence” statement

Update → Standard for Conclusions

- **Source Identification**: The strongest degree of association between two friction ridge impressions. It is the conclusion that the observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the impressions originated from the same source and extremely weak support for the proposition that the impressions originated from different sources.

- Source Identification is reached when the friction ridge impressions have corresponding ridge detail and the examiner would not expect to see the same arrangement of details repeated in an impression that came from a different source.

- **Qualifications & Limitations**: An examiner shall not assert that a source identification is the conclusion that two impressions were made by the same source or imply an individualization to the exclusion of all other sources.

Documents in Progress

- Examination Method
  - Analysis
  - Comparison/Evaluation
- Consultation
- Verification
- Technical Review
- Reporting Results
- Conflict Resolution
- ACE-V Process Map
- ABIS Best Practices
- Terminology

Image license free for public share and use from pixabay.com

Documents in Progress

Examination Method

- Prescribes minimum requirements of the analysis, comparison, and evaluation steps performed during the examination of friction ridge impressions, including:
  - The set of expected procedures that need to be implemented and their order
  - The procedures requiring validation
  - The required elements of analysis, comparison, and evaluation
  - The required minimum documentation for each procedure

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Documents in Progress

Analysis

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the analysis steps during the examination of friction ridge impressions.
    - Suitability criteria
    - Feature selection and associated confidence
    - Complexity criteria
    - Quality criteria
    - Documentation

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Documents in Progress

Comparison & Evaluation

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the comparison and evaluation steps during the examination of friction ridge impressions.
    - Method of comparison
    - Complex comparison criteria
    - Sufficiency criteria for conclusions
    - Assessment of similar and dissimilar characteristics between impressions
    - Assessment of the strength of the evidence
    - Determination of the appropriate conclusion
    - Documentation

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Documents in Progress

Consultation

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform consultations during friction ridge impression examinations.

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Documents in Progress

Verification

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to perform the verifications steps during friction ridge impression examinations.
    - Verification considerations (e.g. extent, utility, case type, approach)
    - Types of verification and application options
    - Documentation

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Documents in Progress

Conflict Resolution

- Describes the best practice recommendations for how to resolve conflicts between examiners:
  - Conflicting suitability decisions
  - Conflicting evaluation conclusions
  - Documentation

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Documents in Progress

ACE-V Process Map

- Provides an interactive business process map illustrating the process of conducting friction ridge impression examinations.
  - Provides an interactive and illustrative interface for the friction ridge community
  - Codifies current practice
  - Identifies gaps and research needs for future practice
  - Dynamic document updating as the standards and best practices update

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release.

Documents in Progress

Terminology

- Describes the terms and definitions commonly used by the friction ridge examination discipline.
  - Intended to reside in the OSAC Lexicon Library
  - Intended to be dynamic and updated as appropriate
  - Searchable for easy user interface

DISCLAIMER: Document is in DRAFT form and subject to change prior to release to the SDO.

Current Research Needs

- ACE-V Bias
- Assessing the Sufficiency and Strength of Friction Ridge Features
- Close Non-Match Assessment
- Examiner Consistency During Friction Ridge Feature Mark-Up
- Friction Ridge Statistical Modeling
- Latent Fingerprint Image Quality Usage

- Research needs publically available online:
  - https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/osac-research-development-needs

Additional Items of Interest

✓ OSAC FRS Response to PCAST

✓Discipline-Specific Baseline Documents (i.e. legacy SWGFAST documents)

- Documents publically available online:
  - https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/friction-ridge-subcommittee

General Process



National Institute of Standards and Technology
U.S. Department of Commerce

Visit us online!

https://www.nist.gov/topics/forensic-science/friction-ridge-subcommittee

National Institute of Standards and Technology
U.S. Department of Commerce