

Nanoscale Measurement Methods for Novel Material Characterization J. Alexander Liddle, Gila E. Stein,¹ Joseph A. Stroscio, Nikolai B. Zhitenev, P. N. First,² and W. A. de Heer²

¹Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Houston ²School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology

NGST National Institute of Standards and Technology • U.S. Department of Commerce





Diblock Copolymers for Advanced Patterning

- Pitch and LER limit circuit density and device uniformity
- Diblock copolymers might help
- Significant optimization needed
- How do we measure their behavior?





Diblock Copolymers





From *"Block Copolymers - Designer Soft Materials"*, F.S. Bates and G.H. Frederickson, *Physics Today*, **Feb.** 32 (1999)





Diblock Epitaxy

Beiniketetikkielendssiatsh







Diblock Epitaxy







Sub-Lithographic Patterns



Dense Self-Assembly on Sparse Chemical Patterns: Rectifying and Multiplying Lithographic Patterns Using Block Copolymers, Joy Y. Cheng, Charles T. Rettner, Daniel P. Sanders, Ho-Cheol Kim, and William D. Hinsberg, Advanced Materials, (2008) - IBM





LER from SEM







Resonant X-ray Scattering







Random Diblock Diffraction







Epitaxial Diblock Diffraction







Interface Width from XRD



Summary

- X-ray diffraction samples large areas
- Resonant scattering provides chemical contrast – diblocks, latent images, etc.
- Patterned nanostructure arrays yield lots of information
- Analysis relies on model

200 nm





Solar Cells for Large-area Electronics

- Most work on material development, or device efficiency by trial and error
- Correlation of film morphology and charge transport not known
- Novel combined electrical and optical techniques needed





Organic PV Cell

- Donor and acceptor separate charge carriers
- Efficiency low in layered systems because of short exciton diffusion length
- Nanostructured blends reduce required length







Blended Organic Solar Cells







SPM Photocurrent Measurements

Photoresponse current measured with a conductive tip in contact mode while simultaneously mapping the surface topography.





C-AFM Measurement

Coffey et. al. (NanoLett 2007) – 1st photoconductive AFM of nanoscale morphology vs locally detected photocurrent. _{Height}

CNST Center for Nanoscale Science & Technology







A. Liscio et. al, (JACS 2008) -Correlation of surface potential with film morphology: Scanning Probe Force microscope.

O. Douheret et. al. (Prog. Photovolt 2007) - C-AFM of blended OPV materials: morphology vs charge transport.





 Most of these lack detailed quantitative analysis and a broad understanding. However, they have opened the door for a plethora of new problems to investigate!





 Absorption and spectral response measure optical/electro-optical quality of PV films/devices.



Center for Nanoscale Science & Technology



UV-Vis for solution-processed P3HT:PCBM spun cast on glass. 500 nm: p-type polymer absorption (electron donor) 325 nm: n-type PCBM molecules. Responsivity and (EQE) of OPV device with AI top contacts. EQEs of >50% in device absorption range comparable to the best reported to date.





Photocurrent vs Morphology

- 3-D topography overlaid with local short-circuit photocurrent measurements of blended P3HT:PCBM film
- Film prepared on top of TCO electrode modified by PEDOT:PSS.
- Darker regions correspond to substantial photocurrent collection.







Photocurrent vs Bias Voltage



forward bias(V = +0.6 V)

-23.20 pA

forward bias(V = +0.3 V)

forward bias(V = +1.5 V)

0.30 nA





Summary

- Photoconductive SPM is an important tool to study and characterize photovoltaic response of at the nm scale.
- Demonstrated measurements with new results on a well-studied material system
- Continue to add new imaging modalities





Graphene for Post-CMOS Electronics

- CMOS approaching scaling limits
- Graphene more amenable to large-area integration than CNTs
- Measurements of basic materials and device properties needed





Graphene Production Methods

Georgia Tech

- Mechanical exfoliation scotch tape method K.S. Novoselov Proc. Natl. Acad. (2005)
 - Single device process

NST Center for Nanoscale Science & Technology

- Epitaxial graphene on SiC
 C. Berger et al. J. Phys.
 Chem. (2004); Science
 (2006)
 - Wafer scalable process



Courtesy of Suyoung Jung, NIST



Courtesy of Walt de Heer, GT





Epitaxial Graphene on C-face SiC

Multilayers on C-face are electronically decoupled







STM Measurement of Quantization

 Direct measurement of density of states with scanning tunneling spectroscopy

Georgia Tech



Spatial LDOS mapping



• Probe the dI/dV(B,E) plane $dI/dV \propto LDOS$





Graphene Magnetic Quantization

Georgia Tech

 Hallmark of Graphene is the new Landau level quantization and ½ integer QHE – LLs have unequal spacing, special n=0 level







Graphene Landau Quantization

Direct measurement of graphene quantization



- Quantization obeys graphene scaling
- Full quantization of DOS into Landau levels
- Very sharp LLs
- High mobility





Tunneling Magneto-Conductance Oscillations (TMCO)

High resolution E-K dispersion from TMCO







Origin of Electronic Decoupling

 STM Moiré patterns on c-face epitaxial graphene









Landau Level Mapping

Small potential variations in epitaxial graphene









Summary

- Epitaxial graphene on C-face SiC is a good candidate for carbon based electronics
- TMCO is a new STM measurement for high resolution low energy band structure
- Direct measurement of the new graphene quantization with tunneling spectroscopy
- Spatial mapping of LL offers great future potential to understand graphene physics
- See Miller, Kubista, Rutter et al. Science (in press) and www.cnst.nist.gov



Diblocks

NIST

- CNST
 - Alex Liddle
 - Postdoc
 - Gila Stein

Photovolataics

NIST

- CNST
 - Nikolai Zhitenev
 - Postdoc
 - Behrang Hamadani

NIST

- CNST
 - Joseph Stroscio
 - Nikolai Zhitenev
 - Mark Stiles
 - Postdocs
 - Gregory Rutter
 - Young Jae Song
 - Sander Otte
 - Suyong Jung
 - Honki Min
 - Visiting Fellow
 - Young Kuk
 - EEEL
 - Dave Newell
 - Curt Richter
 - Mark Keller

Physics

Graphene

- Angie Hight
 Walker
- MSEL
 - Jan Obrzut
 - Eric Cockayne

Georgia Tech

- Professors
 - Phillip First
 - Walt de Heer
- Students
 - Lee Miller
 - Kevin Kubista
 - Ming Ruan

Univ. Texas Austin

- Professors
 - Allan MacDonald







THANK YOU!





Backup Slides





Patterned Media for Hard Disks

Patterned media require: Feature size < 20 nm Size control < 2 nm , 3σ Short-range placement < 2 nm, 3σ Areas > 10 cm² Beyond the limits of top-down nanopatterning



Graphoepitaxy of Self-assembled Block Copolymers on Two Dimensional Periodic Patterned Templates, Ion Bita, Joel K.W. Yang, Yeon Sik Jung, Caroline A. Ross, Edwin L. Thomas, Karl K. Berggren, Science (2008)





Pattern Noise







Resist Profiles







NEXAFS Data







Sidewall Angle





Graphene Production Methods

Georgia Tech

 Mechanical exfoliation – scotch tape method K.S. Novoselov Proc. Natl. Acad. (2005)

NST Center for Nanoscale Science & Technology

Epitaxial graphene on SiC
 C. Berger et al. J. Phys.
 Chem. (2004); Science
 (2006)











Epitaxial Graphene on SiC







Epitaxial Graphene on Si-face SiC

Georgia Tech



al. Science (2007); JVST (2008)





Previous STS Measurements on Graphite Surfaces

Georgia Tech



T. Matsui et al. PRL (2005)



G. Li and E. Andrei Nature Phys. (2007) Complex spectra

 Mixture of peaks of linear and nonlinear in B





Graphene Landau Quantization

Georgia Tech

Multilayer epitaxial graphene on SiC is "graphene"! $E_n = \operatorname{sgn}(n)\tilde{c}\sqrt{2e\hbar B|n|}$, $n = \dots - 2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$ 30 300 6 T 1 T 1 Anna 25 2 T 200 3 T 5 - LL_{n=0} (meV) 4 T 20 100 5 T dl/dV (nS) 6 T 0 15 7 T 31 20 8 T ב ב-100 15 10 5 ^{□−0} (me/) 2 T 10 -200 1 T 5 0 T -5 -300 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 1 B (T) 0 7 200 -6 -5 -3 -2 2 3 8 -200 -100 100 300 -8 -7 0 1 5 6 -300 Δ 0 -4 Sgn(n)(|n|B)^{1/2} Sample Bias (mV)







Origin of Electronic Decoupling



Layer stacking

Alternating between: **NEAR 30° & NEAR 0°**

R7
R31.5C
R31.5
R-3.6
R30C
R30

Joanna Hass et al. PRL 100, 125504 (2008)





Origin of Electronic Decoupling

Rotated layers – STM Moiré patterns







Rotational Domain Boundaries

Georgia Tech

Atomically flat and continuous across boundary









Rotational Domain Boundaries







Graphene Landau Quantization

Georgia Tech

Complete field scaling of graphene quantization







Magnetic Quantization

Cyclotron motion in a magnetic field

Georgia Tech

Quantized orbits and energy levels

$$E_n = \frac{\hbar eB}{m^*} (n + 1/2) \quad n \ge 0 \quad \text{Standard 2DEG}$$
$$E_n = \text{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar \tilde{c}^2 |n| B} \quad n=0, \pm 1... \text{ Graphene}$$

- Magneto-oscillations
 - De Haas-van Alphen and Shubnikov-de Hass effects; oscillations in physical properties due to quantization of density of states
 - Tunneling magneto-conductance oscillations





Tunneling Magneto-Conductance Oscillations (TMCO)

Georgia Tech



by difference $B_{\rm F}$ $(\hbar/2\pi e)A_{\rm F}$ $A_{\rm F} \rightarrow A_{\rm E}$ w energy band Structure to be measured with high energy and momentum resolution







Origin of Electronic Decoupling

Rotated layers with Preferred Domains- LEED









Origin of Electronic Decoupling

Rotated bilayer maintains linear dispersion

Georgia Tech



F. Varchon and L. Magaud, CNRS









Tunneling Magneto-Conductance Oscillations (TMCO)

Georgia Tech

Fan plot; Landau index n vs. 1/B

