From Measurements to Models: What Satellite and Sub-Orbital Instruments Can and Must Contribute

Ralph Kahn NASA Goddard Space Flight Center



Eyjafjalljökull Volcano Ash Plume – MISR Aerosol Retrieval – April 19, 2010

MISR Team, JPL and GSFC

MISR Stereo-Derived Plume Heights 07 May 2010 Orbit 55238 Path 216 Blk 40 UT 12:39



D. Nelson and the MISR Team, JPL and GSFC

MISR Stereo-Derived Plume Heights 07 May 2010 Orbit 55238 Path 216 Blk 40 UT 12:39



Height: **Blue** = Wind-corrected



D. Nelson and the MISR Team

Even DARF and Anthropogenic DARF are *NOT* Solved Problems (Yet)





FIGURE SPM-2. Global-average radiative forcing (RF) estimates and ranges in 2005 for anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and other important agents and mechanisms, together with the typical geographical extent (spatial scale) of the forcing and the assessed level of scientific understanding (LOSU). The net anthropogenic radiative forcing and its range are also shown. These require summing asymmetric uncertainty estimates from the component terms, and cannot be obtained by simple addition. Additional forcing factors not included here are considered to have a very low LOSU. Volcanic aerosols contribute an additional natural forcing but are not included in this figure due to their episodic nature. Range for linear contrails does not include other possible effects of aviation on cloudiness. $\{2.9, Figure 2.20\}$

IPCC AR3, 2001 (Pre-EOS)

IPCC AR4, 2007 (EOS + ~ 6 years)

AOD Alone is Not Enough – Even for Direct Aerosol Radiative Forcing

Direct Aerosol Radiative Forcing Efficiency per unit AOD



- Aerosol SSA, Vert. Dist., and Surface Albedo critical, esp. for Surface Forcing
- For Semi-direct Forcing, Aerosol SSA and Vertical Distribution are critical

Constraining DARF – The Next Big Challenge



• Agreement among models is *increasingly good for AOD*, given the combined *AERONET*, *MISR*, and *MODIS* constraints

• The next big observational challenge: Producing *monthly, global maps of Aerosol Type*

How Good is Good Enough?

Instantaneous AOD & SSA uncertainty upper bounds for ~1 W/m² TOA DARF accuracy: ~ 0.02

Aerosol-Climate Prediction



The NASA Earth Observing System's Terra Satellite



Terra Project Office / NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer [MODIS]

- NASA, Terra & Aqua
 - launches 1999, 2001
 - 705 km polar orbits, descending (10:30 a.m.) & ascending (1:30 p.m.)
- Sensor Characteristics
 - 36 spectral bands ranging from 0.41 to 14.385 μm
 - cross-track scan mirror with 2330 km swath width
 - Spatial resolutions:
 - 250 m (bands 1 2)
 - 500 m (bands 3 7)
 - 1000 m (bands 8 36)
 - 2% reflectance calibration accuracy
 - onboard solar diffuser & solar diffuser stability monitor



Improved over AVHRR:

- Calibration
- Spatial Resolution
- Spectral Range & # Bands

MODIS Monthly Global Aerosol Products



MODIS Atmospheres Web Site

Multi-angle Imaging SpectroR....iometer





http://www-misr.jpl.nasa.gov http://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov

- <u>Nine</u> CCD push-broom <u>cameras</u>
- <u>Nine view angles</u> at Earth surface: 70.5° forward to 70.5° aft
- <u>Four spectral bands</u> at each angle: 446, 558, 672, 866 nm
- Studies Aerosols, Clouds, & Surface

Aerosol Retrievals – Aerosol Optical Depth

Ten Years of Seasonally Averaged Mid-visible Aerosol Optical Depth from **MISR**



... includes bright desert dust source regions

MISR Team, JPL and GSFC

MISR-AERONET AOD Comparison for 5,156 Coincidences MISR Version 22 – Stratified by expected aerosol air mass type



Kahn, Gaitley et al., JGR 2010

MISR-MODIS Aerosol Optical Depth Comparison

[MISR V22 vs. MODIS/Terra Collection 5; January 2006 Coincident Data]



Over-ocean regression coefficient **0.90** Regression line slope 0.75MODIS QC ≥ 1 Over-land regression coefficient 0.71Regression line slope 0.60MODIS QC = 3

Kahn, Nelson, Garay et al., TGARS 2009

MISR-MODIS Coincident AOD **Outlier Clusters**



Dark Blue [MISR > MODIS] – N. Africa Mixed Dust & Smoke
Cyan [MODIS > MISR, AOD large] – Indo-Gangetic Plain Dark Pollution Aerosol
Green [MODIS >> MISR] – Patagonia and N. Australia MODIS Unscreened Bright Surface

Kahn et al., TGARS 2009

Aerosol Retrievals – Aerosol Microphysical Properties

One MODIS <u>Aerosol Type</u> Classification: Low AOT (blue), High AOT+Coarse (green), High AOT+Fine (red)



Kaufman et al., JGR, 2005

Smoke from Mexico -- 02 May 2002

<u>Aerosol:</u> Amount Size Shape



Medium Spherical Smoke Particles

Dust blowing off the Sahara Desert -- 6 February 2004



Large Non-Spherical Dust Particles

MISR *Aerosol Type* Distribution

MISR Version 22, July 2007



Kahn, Gaitley, Garay, et al., JGR 2010

MISR *Aerosol Type* Distribution MISR Version 22, July 2007



Sensitivity to particle properties varies enormously with conditions – Low sensitivity for AOD <~ 0.15 or 0.2 Kahn, Gaitley, Garay, et al., JGR 2010

SAMUM Campaign Morocco – June 04, 2006



MISR SAMUM Aerosol Air Masses (V19) - June 04, 2006 Orbit 34369, Path 201, Blocks 65-68, 11:11 UTC



- A dust-laden density flow in the SE corner of the MISR swath
- High SSA, ANG & Fraction Spherical region SE of Ouarzazate, includes Zagora

Kahn et al., Tellus 2009

MISR SAMUM Aerosol Air Mass Validation - June 04, 2006



<u>Falcon F-20 HSRL</u> - Thin layers of small, bright particles

<u>NOAA/HYSPLIT</u> <u>Back Trajectories</u> -Source in N Algeria for 2, 3 but not 1.

Kahn et al., Tellus 2009

We are aiming for Regional-to-Global *Aerosol-Air Mass-Type Discrimination* Something like this...



13 Groupings Based on Transport Model Aerosol Property Simulations

Kahn et al., JGR 2001

Constraints on Aspects of Aerosol Climate Forcing

Global Aerosol Forcing Patterns: Measured, Modeled, & Merged



From: *CCSP* - *SAP 2.3*, 2009

Over-Land Aerosol Short-wave Radiative Forcing w/Consistent Data

The slope of: **MISRAOD MISR SSA TOA albedo vs. AOD** AOD (Green SSA (Green) 0.0/ *For data stratified by*: (d) **Surface BHR MISR Surf. BHR MISR ANG** BHR (Green) AE 1.0 1.5 Bright surface **Produces**: Red Blue Green NR + dark aerosol 0.5 = decreasing **Spectral aerosol** albedo w/AOD BHR radiative efficiency $(d\alpha_{TOA}/d\tau_{mid-vis})$ 0 0.8 1 0.8 1 0.8 1 0.8 SSA SSA SSA SSA 1 -0.20 -0.10 -0.05 -0.02 -0.01 0.01 0.02 0.05 0.10 0.20

Depends on aerosol microphysical properties relative to surface albedo

Y. Chen et al. JGR 2009

MODIS/Terra 7-Year Regional/Seasonal AOD Trends



• Decrease over land, *except* E Asia + tropical Africa, S America, Indonesia burning seasons

• Increase over ocean, especially downwind of biomass burning areas

Remer et al.

MODIS 10-Year Global/Regional Over-Water AOD Trends



Trend



Statistical Significance

- Statistically *negligible* (±0.003/decade) global-average over-water AOD trend
- Statistically significant increases over the Bay of Bengal, E. Asia coast, Arabian Sea

Zhang & Reid, ACP 2010

MISR Retrieval Status Distribution

Overall, about **15%** of Earth's surface produces successful MISR automatic aerosol retrievals (about the same fraction for MODIS)

Dark blue = Ocean retrieval Light blue = Land retrieval



Kahn, Nelson, Garay et al., TGARS, 2009

From experience with MISR & MODIS:

For global, ~ 1° × 1° AOD, in general, MISR data need to be aggregated to ~ **3-month sampling** to converge with MODIS

Key Attributes of the MISR Version 22 Aerosol Product

- AOT Coverage *Global but limited sampling* on a monthly basis
- AOT Accuracy Maintained even when particle property information is poor
- **Particle Size** *2-3 groupings reliably*; quantitative results vary w/conditions
- Particle Shape spherical vs. non-spherical robust, except for coarse dust
- **Particle SSA** useful for *qualitative* distinctions
- Aerosol Type Information diminished when *AOT* < 0.15 or 0.2
- **Particle Property Retrievals** *improvement expected* w/algorithm upgrades
- Aerosol Air-mass Types more robust than individual properties
- Sensitivity to particle properties varies enormously with conditions!

PLEASE READ THE QUALITY STATEMENT!!!

... and more details are in publications referenced therein

Current MISR & MODIS Mid-Visible AOD Sensitivities

• MISR: 0.05 or 20% * AOD overall; *better over dark water*

[Kahn et al., 2005; 2010]

• MODIS: 0.05 or 20% * AOD over land 0.03 or 5% * AOD over dark water

[Remer et al. 2005; 2008; Levy et al. 2010]

Based on AERONET coincidences (cloud screened by *both* sensors)

→ Direct Aerosol Radiative Forcing (DARF): Need AOD to <~ 0.02

→ Particle Properties are Categorical rather than continuous Quantities

Aerosol-Climate Prediction



Next Steps

Global Aerosol-Air-Mass-Type Maps

– Upgraded **MISR** retrievals + *future missions*

Aerosol Size, SSA, Shape PDFs for Major Sources

- Systematic *aircraft* + surface measurements, of global scope

Aerosol Source Strength

- MODIS + MISR AOD snapshots as constraints on model sources (Forward and/or Inverse Modeling, Data Assimilation)

Aerosol Vertical Distribution

- **CALIOP** global lidar (downwind) + MISR stereo (near-source)

Aerosol Transports

– Global AOD Maps: *MODIS* + *MISR* + *TOMS/OMI* + *POLDER* + ...

• Plan for *Future Satellite Missions*

Backup Slides

Aerosol Material Fluxes: Atlantic Dust & Asian Pollution



MODIS AOD & Type Low AOD, Fine BioBurn, Coarse Dust



NCEP **W Wind** - MODIS **AOD** Correlation 2.6-5 km; May-October



Dust Transport Estimate (Tg) May-October (Top) January-April (Bot) *Kaufman et al., JGR 2005*



MODIS AOD & type, Field Campaign aerosol properties & vertical distribution, GEOS model winds; Compared with GOCART and GMI model Fine-particle mass fluxes

Yu et al., JGR 2008

Saharan Dust Source Plume

Bodele Depression Chad June 3, 2005 Orbit 29038



Dust is injected near-surface...

Kahn et al., JGR 2007

1.4

Transported Dust Plume

Atlantic, off Mauritania March 4, 2004 Orbit 22399



Transported dust finds elevated layer of relative stability...

Kahn et al., JGR 2007

Constraining Aerosol Sources, Transports, & Sinks

Complementary MISR & MODIS AOD; Saharan Dust Plume over Atlantic June 19-23, 2000



Contours: AOT=0.15 (yellow); AOT=0.5 (purple)

Kalashnikova and Kahn, JGR 2008

Aerosol Sources, Processing, Transports, Sinks: Lidar + Model



August 2007 Saharan dust "D" and smoke "S" event mapped by CALIPSO 532 nm backscatter, with superposed model back trajectories and airborne HSRL observations

Piecing together the bigger picture. Consistency requires –

- An understanding of the *mechanisms* governing aerosol evolution
- Adequately constrained *initial & boundary* conditions

Seasonal Changes in Anthropogenic and Natural Aerosol Types Over India



Index uses MISR-retrieved particle shape and size constraints to separate natural from anthorpogenic aerosol

Dey & Di Girolamo JGR 2010