#### ISGT Panel: Innovative Research at the NIST Smart Grid Testbed

- Overview of the Smart Grid Testbed Paul Boynton/Avi Gopstein
- Smart Grid Sensor Technologies Jerry FitzPatrick
- Smart City Applications Marty Burns





# NIST Smart Grid Testbed

April 26, 2017 🍙

Paul Boynton/Avi Gopstein paul.boynton@nist.gov

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology • U.S. Department of Commerce

engineering laboratory

## **NIST Smart Grid Research**

#### •Key factors

o The future of the grid is uncertain o Interoperability enables communication, aggregation and optimization across multiple actors

o Technical innovation is expanding markets New technology + expanding and overlapping markets = disruptive opportunity

o Grid as platform, services provided by and between new groups





## **NIST Smart Grid Testbed Program**

#### Measurement science key to grid observability

oTiming

oMeasurement uncertainty

oSystem modeling

Cybersecurity through physics

Communications

oSynchrometrology

Applications



## **Key Testbed Characteristics**

#### Integrative

Interconnected modulesDiverse expertise

#### Reconfigurable and Reproducible

Easily re-configured
 Reproducible experiments

#### Scalable

Federated experiments across testbeds

#### Usable

- Composable
- $\circ$  Collaborative
- Coordinated



## **NIST Smart Grid Testbed Development**

#### Objectives

- To provide the foundational infrastructure for smart grid interoperability research
- To accelerate the development of smart grid interoperability standards by addressing the measurement needs of smart grid industry
- To develop and participate in a community of testbeds
  - Workshops held in March 2014 and February 2015
  - Identified gaps and challenges to testbeds
  - Singled out key design principles

#### Scope

- Designed to be composable, collaborative, and coordinated
- Perform measurements of system-level, end-to-end device level smart grid performance and interoperability
- Measure and characterize key components, standards, and protocols of smart grid systems and devices
- At present, focus research on microgrids/distribution









**NIST Smart Grid Interoperability Testbed** 

**Communication/Data Flow** 

## **NIST Smart Grid Testbed Operation**

#### Smart Grid Interoperability Test Bed operational

- Microgrid Facilities (AC and DC Grid Emulators, Smart Inverters)
- Timing and Synchronization / Cybersecurity (GPS Antenna, IEEE 1588 clocks, Network Switches)
- Interoperability test of smart sensors for Smart Grid
- NIST Multi-Laboratory effort:
  - Engineering Laboratory
  - Physical Measurement Laboratory
  - Information Technology Laboratory
  - Communication Technology Laboratory
- Testbed safety monitoring and daily operational coordination

#### Examples of significant activities

- Standards and Test for Microgrid Interconnection Equipment and Controllers (SGIP PAP 24) – Hefner
- Develop Interoperability Test Methods for Smart Sensors (e.g. MUs) for smart grids based upon IEC standards - FitzPatrick
- The Use of Synchrophasor Measurements in Electric Power Systems Protection and Control Applications - Gharavi, Anand









## **Microgrid Interoperability Facility**

- Addresses metrology needed for interoperability of advanced microgrid devices and systems
- Extensible to all aspects of multilevel distributed control
- Focused on unique NIST mission of Smart Grid interoperability and leverages SGIP activities
- Incorporates elements of many of the projects in the NIST smart grid portfolio
- Coordinated with other agencies and industry programs
- Aligned with partner test bed architectures to enable interchangeability of devices between test beds
- Support standard development (IEEE 1547 series, IEEE p2030.7, IEEE p2030.8 )

Contact: Al Hefner allen.hefner@nist.gov

engineering laboratory



# **Smart Sensors Interoperability Facility**



## **Federated Experiments**

- Use Case: Use a softwareimplemented Thermostat to control a hardware in the loop "HVAC System" emulation
- Use Case: Use a physical emulation of a grid segment at one lab, along with microgrid simulations at other labs to analyze behavior of a grid of microgrids
- Use Case: Transactive Energy
   Challenge for comparative analysis
   of energy markets

Contact: Marty Burns martin.burns@nist.gov



# **Thank You!**





#### **Smart Sensor and Smart Meter Technologies in the NIST Smart Grid Testbed**

Gerald J. FitzPatrick, Eugene Y. Song, Kang B. Lee, Tom Nelson, and YiXin Zhang National Institute of Standards and Technology Smart Grid Program

> 2017 IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT) Conference, Arlington, VA April 26, 2017



#### **Energy Independence and Security Act**

NIST has "primary responsibility to **coordinate** development of a **framework** that includes protocols and model standards for information management to achieve **interoperability** of smart grid devices and systems..."







## Uncertainty is a dominant challenge

#### • Grid is highly distributed and complex

 Increasing diversity of devices, resources, and controls

#### • Uncertainty is growing

- Growing numbers and increasing dynamics of variables lessen the likelihood of well-behaved, predictable system
- Legacy models and tools incapable of addressing the growing uncertainty

#### • Progress needed across multiple dimensions

- o Models of new grid dynamics
- Improved measurements of dynamic voltage current, power, and energy (lower measurement uncertainties)
- o Networked measurements
- o Diversified applications

engineering laboratory

Expanding customer-base





#### NIST SG Testbed: An Integrated Approach to Smart Grid Testing

Interoperability + Performance

- Multidisciplinary combines expertise of different NIST projects and laboratories to work together on multiple aspects of Smart Grid R&D
  - o high-power inverters and power conditioning systems
  - o microgrid operational interfaces
  - o PMUs, smart sensors
  - $\circ$  cybersecurity
  - $\circ$  advanced networks
  - o smart meters





#### **NIST Smart Grid Testbed**



NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology • U.S. Department of Commerce

engineering laboratory

### **Smart Sensor Testing**









#### **Testing Framework & Testbed for Smart Sensors**





#### **Interoperability - Modified IEEE** Definition

Interoperability :

• The ability of two or more systems to exchange information and to use the information that has been exchanged through a standard communication protocol in order to achieve the specific functions or goals







# **Need For Interoperability Testing**

- Smart Grid requires implementation of a lot more devices and their applications
- Device integration has been proved to be one of the bottlenecks in implementing smart devices
- The cost of solving the interoperability problems can easily exceed the cost of the sensor itself
- Technical standards often are not clear and/or strict enough to ensure interoperability.



#### **Example of the Calibrator Outputs**

≩ <mark>2 = 日</mark> <del>-</del> File <mark>Home</mark> View	OMICRON	QuickCMC - [QuickCMC-different value test	setup.qcm]	- 67 ×
Test Hardware Object Configuration Test Stetup				
Test View: QuickCMC-different value test setup.qcm		<b>-</b> □ ×	Phasor View: QuickCMC-different value test setup.qcm	- □
Analog Outputs           Set Mode         Direct         •           V L1-E         20.00 V         0.00 °         60.000 Hz           V L2-E         25.00 V         -120.00 °         60.000 Hz           V L3-E         30.00 V         120.00 °         60.000 Hz           I L1         1.100 A         0.00 °         60.000 Hz           I L2         1.200 A         -120.00 °         60.000 Hz           I L3         1.300 A         120.00 °         60.000 Hz	Binary Outputs Bin. out 1 Bin. out 2 Bin. out 3 Bin. out 4 Bin. out 5 Bin. out 6 Bin. out 7 Bin. out 8	1.0kVA         +50°         1.0kO           1.13         1.13         1.0kO           1.14         1.13         1.0kO           1.15         1.14         1.0kO           1.16         1.12         1.0kO           1.16         1.12         1.0kO           40.0 V         1.0kO         400.0 mA           Vdc:         0.0000 V         1dc:         0.0000 mA	Signal         Magnitude         Phase         Real         Imaginary           V L1-E         20.00 V         0.00 °         20.00 V         0.000 V           V L2-E         25.00 V         -120.00 °         -12.50 V         -21.65 V           V L3-E         30.00 V         120.00 °         -15.00 V         25.98 V           1L1         1.100 A         0.00 °         1.100 A         0.000 A           1L2         1.200 A         -120.00 °         -600.0 mA         -1.039 A           1L3         1.300 A         120.00 °         -650.0 mA         1.126 A	1.0
Quantity: Magnitude Time: 1.000 s	Auto step	Binary Inputs / Trigger Trip Ø Ø n/a Start Ø n/a Not used Not		400.0
Status Listers			Phasor View Impedance View Report View	
Status History Overload Monitor			◎ []順 tt	<b></b> ×

Three phase voltage and current signals generated by the calibrator





#### Example 1: Interoperability Test for Commercial PMUs

Preliminary Results of Interoperability Test of IEEE C37.118 Standard-based Commercial PMUs

	erability est	PMU1 (TCP)	PMU2 (TCP)	PMU3 (TCP)	PMU4 (TCP)	PMU5 (UDP)	PMU6 (TCP)	PMU7 (TCP)	PMU 8 (TCP)
Turn Off	Procedures	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Command	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Response	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
Turn On	Procedures	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Command	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Response	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
Header	Procedures	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	<u>F</u>	Р	Р
	Command	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	Р
	Response	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	<u>F</u>	Р	Р
CFG-1	Procedures	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	Р
	Command	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Response	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
CFG-2	Procedures	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Command	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
	Response	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
Ove	erall	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	P( 80%)	Р	Р



## **Example 2: DNP3 Devices**

•All three DNP3 sensors had a connection problem and failed when initially connected

•For the analog input test cases, no interoperability issues were identified.





#### Example 3: Interoperability Test for Commercial MUs Based on IEC 61850-9-2LE (Cont'd)

Preliminary Results of Interoperability Test of IEC 61850-9-2 LE Standard-based Commercial MUs

Test case	Vendor A MU	Vendo	r B MU
SendMSVMessage	SV Stream 1 (80 samples/cycle)	SV Stream 1 (80 samples/cycle)	SV Stream 2 (256 samples/cycle)
Test procedures	Passed	Passed	Passed
MSVMessage	Passed	Passed	Passed
overall	Passed	Passed	Passed

One issue we encountered in the test is that the svID in the vendor B MU does not conform to 61850-9-2 LE specification.



## Smart Meters Research

- Testing of Smart Meters with real-world waveforms (examples on following slides)
- Auxiliary devices (communications) influence on meter accuracy
- EMI/EMC influence on accuracy
- Performance of Smart Meters as sensors in distribution grid
- Performance results will be used to improve ANSI Metering Standards
- DC metering for EVSE DC fast chargers
- Submetering





#### Incandescent Bulb 63.2 W, 1.0 PF







#### **CFL Bulb** 47.2 W, 0.59 PF







#### **LED Bulb** 10.4 W, 0.81 PF







#### Current waveform from nondimmable LED bulbs used with a dimmer not rated for LED bulbs







#### Summary

- Used integrated SG testbed for interoperability test specification drafts for PMUs, MUs, and Smart Sensors, including:
  - $\circ$  interoperability test methods
  - $\ensuremath{\circ}$  interoperability test suites and sets of test cases
  - $\circ$  interoperability test procedures
- Conducted interoperability tests on commercial devices
- Provided preliminary results of interoperability testingg

engineering laboratory NIST Nation

Future plans:

- conduct interoperability and performance tests on additional commercial MUs, PMUs, sensors, smart meters
- verify the interoperability and performance test methods,
- standardize interoperability test specifications for smart devices, and
- support standards development, interoperability and performance certification

# **NIST Testbed Measurement Science** April 26 2017



engineering laboratory

ISGT 2017: Innovative Research at the NIST Smart Grid Testbed Universal CPS Environment for Federation (UCEF) A Collaboration between NIST and Vanderbilt University Presented by: Dr. Martin J. Burns National Institute of Standards and Technology **Engineering Laboratory** Smart Grid and Cyber-Physical Systems Program Office

martin.burns@nist.gov



National Institute of Standards and Technology • U.S. Department of Commerce

#### Why a Federated Testbed Architecture?

- What federation enables
  - Combine equipment that is unique or can't be collocated
  - Proprietary components can be exposed by designed experiment interfaces
  - Creates reusable components of experiments
  - Integration of models from multiple domains
  - Our approach allows leveraging existing and disparate simulation tools and hardware in the loop and rapid experiment design and configuration
- Experimental Use Cases Enabled by UCEF
  - Local Experiment
  - Cloud Hosted Simulations and Experiments
  - Hardware In The Loop
  - Collaboration w/Remote Federates at other Labs
  - Large Scale Experiments (10s, 100s, 1000s of federates)
  - $\circ$  +++ Combinations of above





### **CPS Test Bed: Federation of Experiments**

- Federated experiments allow components of experiments to be distributed locally, in clouds, and/or geographically dispersed.
- A Federate is a component of an experiment. It could be a piece of equipment, a simulation model, or a permutation of multiples of both....
- Federates can be located anywhere and are identified by their description and network address.
- A Federation is a collection of Federates that can be part of an experiment.
- An Experiment is the description of the orchestration of a Federation to exercise the Federates and exchange of information among them.
- The Federation Manager is a specialized Federate that operates on the Experiment definition and the Federation to perform the actual experiment.





## **Federation Concept**



\*https://standards.ieee.org/findstds/standard/1516-2010.html





## Scalable and Composable







#### Universal CPS Environment for Federation Experiment Design Tool Suite

🦻 UCEF 0.7 [Running] - Oracle VM VirtualBox		x
File Machine View Input Devices Help Google Chrome		🗢 🐗 10:29 PM 🔅
GLDFederate ×		
	88/?project=guest%2BGLDFederate&branch=master&node=%2F2140716171%2Fy&visualizer=Mo	odelEditor&layout=DefaultLayout&selection=
GME > GLDFede		
<u></u> ▶- ⊙- ♦ Ⅲ Ⅲ		
PANEL 1:	III →	OBJECT BROWSER Composition Inheritance Crosscut
Composition	Federates	⊿ 💝 GridlabDFederateProjec < 🔻
Crosscut		Description Sector S
		a 💆 IntegrationModels
Attribute	GridlabDControl <c2winteractionroot></c2winteractionroot>	Gederates     Minimum Sector Sec
	PARAMETERS IsControl: boolean	) ⊗ GridlabDFederate
СОА	originFed: String	🛛 🔟 GridlabDOutput
• >_	sourceFed: String ObjectName: String	StaticInteractionPubli     StaticInteractionSubs
CPNFederate	Operation: int ModelName: String	StaticInteractionSubs Simulation
Workbench)	Period: double	⊳ <mark>∭</mark> Language [C2WT]
CppFederate	Value: double Parameter: String	
Deployment	Units: String	
	actualLogicalGen double federateFilter: String	PROPERTY EDITOR
Experiment	GridlabDInput	GridlabDOutput         Attributes         Pointers         Meta         Preferences
		WinteractionRoot> GUID 0cf117fc-d536-7e6b-5
Federate	originFed: String GridlabDFederate originFet sourceFed: String	Tech Division
	ObjectName: String Paramet	eter: String
GridLabDFederate	Operation: int Value: Parameter: String Operatio	double
Interaction	ModelName: String ObjectNa	lame: String
PARAMETERS	Units: String Units: Value: double ModelNa	String lame: String
JavaFederate	actualLogicalGen double actualLo federateFilter: String federate	ogicalGen double eFilter: String
		a not cong
MapperFederate		
Network		
© 2017 Vanderbilt University version	2110	
2017 Vanderbilt University Version	2.44.0	INSTRUE NOTIFICATIONS (1) CONNECTED ON



### **Federate Creation in 2 Minutes**







## Thanks, Questions?



