1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IREX
7	An Evaluation-based Program for the Development of Compact
8	Interoperable ISO/IEC 19794-6 Standardized Iris Images
9	
10	
11	
12	Iris Exchange (IREX) Evaluation 2008
13	Concept, Evaluation Plan and API
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Patrick Grother
23	NIST
24	June 25, 2008
25	
26	
27 28	

3 4 5

6

Status of this Document

June 25, 2008: Various updates. See FAQ http://iris.nist.gov/irex/faq.pdf

June 11, 2008: This is the final specification of the IREX concept and API. Questions should be addressed to irex@nist.gov and these will generally be answered in a FAQ to be posted on http://iris.nist.gov/irex. NIST does not intend to identify the questioner.

NIST is eager to help participants in implementing this specification, and to encourage wide participation. Suggestions are welcome also, please post to irex@nist.gov.

NIST Request for Iris Images

NIST is renewing its call for contribution of iris imagery.

NIST is eager to identify organizations in possession of iris images who might share them with NIST, and who might benefit from their use in NIST's testing effort.

Please contact me via email patrick.grother@nist.gov or phone 301 975 4157.

1

Major changes from the February to May 2008 draft

1	The timeline has slipped. Several remarks that the schedule was too ambitious;	New schedule below.
2	The crop-only format is added so we propose to explicitly evaluate implementations of these three: — the crop-only part of the Cambridge proposal [CAM07,CAM08] — the crop-and ROI-masking part of the Cambridge proposal [CAM07,CAM08] — the Iritech/US unsegmented polar documented in 37N2296 [IRI07] - inner and outer circles are concentric; extended to allow vendor-defined segmentation information.	See particularly sections 6 and 7.
3	Important: Refined classes of participation.	See Table 4
4	The document was been greatly simplified via the proposed use of a single data structure to hold all of the above kinds of imagery. Plans to use the 2005 standard for rectilinear images have been dropped.	See Table 6
5	Added language to emphasize that the uncompressed raw rectilinear part of IREX will constitute the largest test of iris recognition algorithms to date.	
6	On metrics — We propose to also quantify accuracy available without any standard-related constraints. This allows a measure of sufficiency - the ISO term [PERFSTD] for expressing whether the standardized format is sufficient to achieve the accuracy of fully proprietary unconstrained encodings, including zero compression. — We will compute iris-specific SNR and PSNR values as a function of compression ratio. — We will compute variance and upper bounds for compressed record size, per hard limits established (for smartcards for example).	Sec. 5.5
7	Refined text on our intentions regarding the use of, and separation of, high and low quality images.	Sec. 5.8.

2

Intended Timeline

Important Dates in Red

Jan 18-24, 2009	SC37 meeting, United States						
Nov 30, 2008	NIST submission to M1 (US TAG to SC 37) of results						
Fall 2008	NIST Interagency Report - Primary IREX results.						
Sep 12, 2008	Window for submission of signed Annex A Participation Application and SDKs to NIST closes. Please send FINAL SDK. Mod Jun 20, 2008: Withdrawal from IREX after September 12 will be reported in the IREX report.						
Aug 18, 2008	Window for submission of signed Annex A Participation Application and SDKs to NIST opens. Please send draft SDKs for validation						
Jul 7-15, 2008	SC37 meeting, Korea						
Jul 2, 2008	Deadline for submission of an "Intention to Participate" email to NIST. This is required for IREX participation. The function of this requirement is twofold: — To allow US experts to inform SC 37 WG 3 of the number (not names) of IREX participants — Participants will be given validation data with which to check the correct function of the various functions of the SDK. Mod Jun 20, 2008 - The "intention to participate" is non-binding: Participants can withdraw after this date. NIST does not intend to make the names of such participants public.						
June 11, 2008	Release of this specification						
Mar 11, 2008	Comments on second draft due						
Feb 20, 2008	Release of second draft evaluation plan, for comment.						
Jan 7-12, 2008	SC 37 Working Group 3 meeting in Tel Aviv, Israel						
Dec 8, 2007	Conclusion of Initial comment period						
Nov 18, 2007	Release of initial evaluation plan for comment.						

3	
4	
5	

			Мау	7 20	800					Jun	e 2	800					Jul	у 2	008				P	ugu	ıst	200	8			Sej	pte	mbe:	r 2	800	
S	u I	Мо	Tu	We												Мо							Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa	Su			We			Sa
					8	9	10	8	9	10	11		13	14	6		8	9		11	12	3						9	ı	8	9	10	11	12	
																												16 23	ı						20 27
2	5 :	26	27	28	29	30	31	29	30						27	28	29	30	31			24 31	25	26	27	28	29	30	28	29	30				

6

Table of Contents

2	1. IRE	Х	7
3	1.1.	Overview	7
4	1.2.	Scope	7
5	1.3.	Relation to other NIST tests	8
6	2. Mo	tivation and background	8
7	2.1.	Market drivers	8
8	2.2.	Support for the ISO standard	8
9	3. Nor	rmative References	9
10	4. Abb	previations	9
11	5. Asp	ects of the test	11
12	5.1.	Fundamental concept of the test	11
13	5.2.	Segmentation on uncompressed data	11
14	5.3.	NIST invocation of compressors and de-compressors	11
15	5.4.	Feature extraction on compressed data	11
16	5.5.	Measure sufficiency	11
17	5.6.	Interoperability space	11
18	5.7.	Offline evaluation using archival imagery	12
19	5.8.	Separation of good and bad images	12
20	5.9.	Audience and options for participation	12
21	5.10.	Number of submissions	
22	5.11.	Compression metrics	13
23	5.12.	Provision of sensor information to SDKs	13
24	5.13.	Verification performance	
25	5.14.	Phased testing	
26	5.15.	Open-source code for ISO/IEC 19794-6	13
27	6. Sta	ndardized data elements	14
28	6.1.	Overview	14
29	6.2.	Identifying the records of the standard	14
30	6.3.	The Cropping operation	14
31	6.4.	The ROI masking operation	14
32	6.5.	Unsegmented polar records	
33	6.6.	Polar encoding	15
34	6.7.	Auxiliary boundary data	16
35	6.8.	Inclusion of non-standard information	17
36	7. PC-	based API specification	
37	7.1.	Overview	21
38	7.2.	Testing interface	21
39	7.3.	Software and Documentation	
10	7.4.	Runtime behavior	27
11	8. Ref	erences	28
12	Annex A	Application to participate in IREX	30
13	A.1	Who should participate	30
14	A.2	How to participate	30
15	A.3	NIST activity	30
16	A.4	Parties	31
17	A.5	Access to IREX validation data	31
18	A.6	Access to IREX test data	
19	A.7	Reporting of results	
50	A.8	Return of the supplied materials	
51	A.9	Agreement to participate	
52			

1 List	of F	igures
--------	------	--------

2	Figure 1 – Example Freeman Chain Code	16
3	Figure 1 – Example Freeman Chain Code	16
5	List of Tables	
6	Table 1 – NIST's iris evaluations	8
7	Table 2 – Abbreviations	9
8	Table 3 – IREX 08 image variants	10
9	Table 3 – IREX 08 image variants Table 4 – IREX classes of participation	12
10	Table 5 – Format for Freeman chain code	16
11	Table 6 – Structure for the proposed formats for ISO/IEC 19794-6	18
12	Table 7 – Sensor identifiers	21
13	Table 8 – IREX API for preparation of cropped rectilinear records	22
14	Table 9 – IREX API for preparation of cropped-and-ROI-masked records	
15	Table 10 – IREX API for creation of unsegmented polar records	24
16	Table 11 – IREX API template creation	25
17	Table 12 – IREX API template matching	25
18	Table 13 – IREX API get_pids function	26
	5 —	

19

20

21

22

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the many members of the commercial, academic and end-user iris recognition communities for their comments on and toward this document.

23

Project History

Jun 11, 2008

- NIST thanks the six organizations who commented on the February 2008 draft.
- NIST also thanks the members of the SC 37 and M1 Working Groups for the time allocated to discussion.
- Final concept , API, and participation solicitation released (i.e. this document)

Feb 20, 2008

- NIST thanks those organizations who commented on the November 2007 draft, and the members of the SC 37 Working
 Group 3 committee for discussion of the related standardization issues.
- Revised draft circulated.

Nov 18 + 19, 2007

- Announcement: NIST indicates it will conduct the Iris Exchange evaluation, IREX 08, to test the capabilities to segment irides, prepare compact rectilinear and polar standard records, and accurately match compressed images in a crossvendor interoperable environment.
- Posting of this initial evaluation plan, for public comment, to the IREX homepage: http://iris.nist.gov/irex

24

1. IREX

1

2 1.1. Overview

- 3 The NIST Interoperable Iris Program is being initiated at NIST in support of an expanded marketplace of iris-based
- 4 applications based on standardized interoperable iris imagery. The immediate driver is to standardize formats that can
- 5 establish iris as a second interoperable modality for identity credentials, to be used instead of, or in addition to, the
- 6 fingerprints which exist today on (for example) US PIV cards.
- 7 The work is conducted to:
- 8 test compact formats covered by, and proposed for, the ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard, now under revision,
- 9 quantify the relationship between error rates and compression of iris imagery, and
- 10 baseline these by conducting the largest public test of current iris recognition algorithms on the same images.

11 **1.2.** Scope

- 12 Specifically the test aims to
- 13 quantify the performance and interoperability of
- 14 rectilinear images,
- 15 the UK-proposed ROI masked rectilinear images [CAM07],
- 16 the US-proposed unsegmented polar images [IRI07].
- 17 measure the effect of JPEG and JPEG 2000 compression on accuracy,
- 18 quantify the performance and interoperability of iris segmentation algorithms
- 19 formulate record structures and other content toward the revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6, and
- 20 check that suppliers can produce records conformant to the ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard.
- 21 The primary outputs of the test will be statements of performance including
- 22 measurements of failure-to-segment rates for various compression levels
- 23 measurements of false non-match and false match error rates for various compression levels and operating
- 24 thresholds,
- 25 time taken to prepare the various standard instances,
- 26 time taken to extract features from the various standard instances, and
- 27 time taken to match feature-based templates.
- 28 In so doing, the IREX evaluation requires
- 29 conversion raw rectilinear raster images into cropped and/or ROI-masked rectilinear images, and
- 30 conversion of raw rectilinear raster images into unsegmented polar images.
- 31 The following are specifically not within the current scope of this evaluation:
- 32 predictions of operational performance,
- 33 sensor usability or security evaluation (this study will be conducted with offline imagery),
- 34 off-angle imagery (other than that incidentally present in the test corpora), and
- 35 identification performance.

1.3. Relation to other NIST tests

- 2 The IREX is distinct from NIST's prior Iris Challenge Evaluations (ICE). Table 1 gives the context for IREX amongst the
- 3 various iris activities at NIST.

1

4

5

6

23

Table 1 - NIST's iris evaluations

IREX 08	IREX 08 is intended to measure interoperable performance of the two compact formats proposed for the revision of the ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard. As a baseline IREX will embed an evaluation of technologies running on raw iris imagery and as such is likely to constitute the largest public test of iris recognition technology yet conducted.						
MBGC	Considers fusion of face and iris; looks at video sequences. http://face.nist.gov/mbgc/						
ICE 06	Coordinated by Jonathon Phillips, the Iris Challenge Evaluation 2006 was a comparative assessment of commercial iris verification implementations on a common sequestered test corpus.						
ICE 05	Coordinated by Jonathon Phillips, the ICE 05 activity was a cooperative research and development effort centered on the ICE 05 image corpus collected by University of Notre Dame.						

2. Motivation and background

2.1. Market drivers

- 7 NIST's motivation in executing IREX 08 is the establishment of a standardized accurate, interoperable and compact iris
- 8 image format suitable for large scale credentialing and identity management applications. In addition, IREX supports law
- 9 enforcement applications via future revision of the ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2007 Type 17 standard (as derived from the ISO/IEC
- 10 19794-6:2005 parent [STD05]).
- 11 While IREX 08 is structured only as an application-independent assessment of the core algorithmic performance of the
- 12 segmentation and recognition components, NIST is particularly interested in establishing a set of specifications for an iris
- data element suitable for storage on an ISO/IEC 7816 crypto-token¹, and for rapid transmission across a network.
- 14 Toward similar ends, iris compression studies have been conducted [USNA, CAM07, BATH06, BATH07]. While the studies
- 15 report promising results, they explored only the single-vendor case in which enrolment and verification data are
- processed by a lone supplier's segmentation and matching algorithms. The exception here is [BATH07] which showed
- similar compression sensitivities for two different matching algorithms.
- 18 The ISO/IEC 19794-6 standard was published in 2005 [STD05]. It is almost identical to its precursor, the INCITS 379:2004
- 19 standard published in the United States [1379]. As application-independent standards, neither document establishes
- 20 normative requirements on compression. Instead the ISO standard's clause A.1.6 gives the following informative
- 21 guidance "... a compression factor of 6:1 or less is recommended". This is an order of magnitude smaller than
- compression ratios cited recently [CAM07, BATH06, BATH07].

2.2. Support for the ISO standard

- 24 A second key motivation is to support the production of a more robust, interoperable, useful and implementable ISO/IEC
- 25 19794-6 standard. Thus, IREX 08 should be viewed as an unofficial augmentation of the ISO development process. This
- 26 contrasts with the default practice of the SC 37 Working Group 3 and M1.3 committees, which has not been to embed
- 27 conformance, performance and interoperability tests into the standards' development process. For [STD05] the result of
- 28 this structure was that the ultimate viability of the final standard rested on the considerable expertise of the editor and
- the committee, and on any (unpublished) intra-supplier tests.

¹ For example, the U. S. Government's PIV Card, which currently uses fingerprint minutia. As the defacto leading data element for 7816 identity credentials, single-finger minutia templates, encoded as INCITS 378:2004 records, contain 38 minutiae (the MINEX 04 median value [MINEX]) and occupy 260 bytes including header information. ISO/IEC 19794-2:2005 compact card templates are less than half the size yet offer similar accuracy [MOC].

- 1 The ISO standard was adopted by at least the Registered Traveler (RT) program² in the United States which provided for
- 2 compact iris imagery on an ISO/IEC 7816 smartcard. This was achieved by specifying a no-inner-boundary polar format³
- 3 to mitigate possible interoperability problems with the full polar format. Such problems have recently been asserted by
- 4 noting that the interoperability of the polar format is critically sensitive to the consistency of the segmentation [N2059,
- 5 N2124], and subject to sampling problems [PROC]. The solution advocated in the German proposal to SC 37 [N2059] is
- 6 complete removal of the polar format, and per the Tel Aviv meeting of SC 37 WG3, the revised text of the standard
- 7 [N2484] no longer includes polar. A UK contribution [N2124] advocated removal and suggests the polar format's size can
- 8 be achieved via cropping and compression of the rectilinear format. The UK contribution [CAM07] indicates that
- 9 compressed sizes of about 2KB are achievable. The proposal to remove was opposed by US [DHS, NIST, LG] with
- 10 observations that the polar format was thought to be interoperable (viz. RT), that removal would undermine standards
- adoption, and that some testing is needed. This was defeated by 6-5 vote, and the [N2484] draft is polar-free.
- 12 Three alternative compact forms have been advanced: A cropped rectilinear image and an ROI-masked version thereof
- 13 [CAM07], and a new polar variant termed the unsegmented polar format [IRI07]. The IREX 08 test is therefore being
- 14 conducted as an independent assessment of interoperability and accuracy of these proposed compact forms. This is more
- 15 formally stated below.

3. Normative References

- 17 The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only
- 18 the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any
- 19 amendments) applies.
- 20 ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 Information technology Biometric data interchange formats Part 6: Iris image data
- 21 ISO/IEC FDIS 19795-4 Biometric Performance Testing and Reporting Part 4: Interoperability Performance
- 22 Testing.⁴

16

- 23 The following two documents describe compact representations:
- 24 [CAM07, CAM08] UK Contribution *Effect of severe image compression on iris recognition performance*.
- 25 [IRI07] US Contribution *Compact Iris Format*.
- 26 See the References in section 8 for full citations and URLs.

27 4. Abbreviations

The abbreviations and acronyms of Table 2 are used in many parts of this document.

29 Table 2 – Abbreviations

DET	Detection error tradeoff characteristic – a plot of FNMR vs. FMR (sometimes as normal deviates, sometimes on log-scales)
FMR	False match rate
FNMR	False non-match rate
INCITS	InterNational Committee on Information Technology Standards
ISO/IEC 19794	Multipart standard of "Biometric data interchange formats"
1379	INCITS 379:2004
IREX	Generic name for the series of NIST's Iris Interoperability Program

² See http://www.rtconsortium.org/ docpost/RTICTIGSpec v1.7.pdf

³ Clause 6.3.2.3 of ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 allows the pupil center to be used as the inner boundary, i.e. the pupil-iris boundary is not detected and the pupil radius is set to zero.

⁴ The IREX evaluation is an interoperability test. As such, NIST intends to conduct it in conformance to the ISO/IEC FDIS 19795-4 — Biometric Performance Testing and Reporting — Part 4: Interoperability Performance Testing standard. This standard establishes requirements for the execution of multi-supplier biometric interoperability tests, primarily those evaluating standardized interchange formats such as ISO/IEC 19794-x.

NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
PIV	Personal Identity Verification
SC 37	Subcommittee 37 of Joint Technical Committee 1 – developer of biometric standards
SDK	The term Software Development Kit refers to any library software submitted to NIST

1 2

Table 3 – IREX 08 image variants

	Cropped Rectilinear	Cropped + ROI-masked Rectilinear	Unsegmented Polar
Standards compliance	As a rectilinear instance it is compliant to ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 but that standard gave no size parameter guidance.	As a rectilinear instance it is compliant to ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 but that standard gave no size parameter guidance, and [CAM07] is not obviously covered under clause 6.3.2.4 of the 2005 standard [STD05].	
	Proposed content for ISO/IEC 19794-6:200X, X > 7.	Proposed content for ISO/IEC 19794- 6:200X, X > 7.	Proposed content for ISO/IEC 19794- 6:200X, X > 7.
See	[CAM07,CAM08]	[CAM07,CAM08]	[IRI07]
NIST input image to SDK	The input images will be uncompres particular sensor.	sed with the intention that no processin	g has been done since they left the
Required Segmentation	Enough to crop the image around the iris center.	Cropping and masking via detection of the eyelid-iris and sclera-iris boundaries.	Concentric inner and outer circles, neither of which is necessarily centered on pupil or iris center.
Encoding	Table 6, Kind = 3	Table 6, Kind = 7	Table 6, Kind = 16
Stored image			
Compression		ll kinds of the above images, and base extraction and matching algorithm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Feature extraction from the stored image	further segmentation and featur	e is entirely at the discretion of the pe extraction seems necessary. For to be, or, as [IRIO7] suggests, the reverselied before feature extraction.	he unsegmented polar, either
Semantic rules	Section 6.3	Section 6.4 and 6.3	Section 6.5
API	Section 7.2.4	Section 7.2.5	Section 7.2.6

5. Aspects of the test

2 5.1. Fundamental concept of the test

- 3 The IREX 08 is an interoperability test and is structured around three core operations:
- 4 In stage 1, functions within the supplier's SDK are called to convert archival rectilinear raster images into the compact
- 5 forms of Table 3, specifically
- 6 Cropped rectilinear images conformant to the specifications herein.
- 7 ROI-masked rectilinear images conformant to the specifications herein.
- 8 Unsegmented polar images conformant to the specifications herein.
- 9 In stage 2, functions within a supplier's SDK are called to convert these processed images into an opaque proprietary
- 10 template. This will potentially require reconstruction, re-segmentation, and feature extraction.
- 11 In stage 3, functions within a supplier's SDK are called to compare two proprietary templates and produce a distance
- 12 measure.

13 5.2. Segmentation on uncompressed data

- 14 All cropped, unsegmented polar and ROI-masked images will be prepared from uncompressed input data. This mimics
- 15 the scenario in which the image processing steps need to instantiate the standard records are conducted at time of
- 16 enrollment either in the sensor, or on a local computer, before transmission or commitment to an identity credential.

17 5.3. NIST invocation of compressors and de-compressors

- 18 SDK functions shall not apply compression. NIST will call compression routines, and will survey over appropriate
- compression parameters, primarily the bit rate.
- 20 NIST will not pass JPEG or JPEG 2000 encoded data to the SDK functions. Instead, any compression will be followed by
- decompression before passing the record to the SDK. This avoids the need for the SDK to call decompression routines.

22 5.4. Feature extraction on compressed data

- 23 For the cropped, ROI-masked or unsegmented polar images, the SDK template generation function will likely need to
- 24 embed (re)segmentation and template generation operations. These will usually be performed on previously compressed
- 25 data.

26 5.5. Measure sufficiency

- 27 NIST will compute and report performance on full-size uncompressed rectilinear images. This establishes a baseline
- 28 against which error rates for any given compression ratio and compact format can be compared.

29 5.6. Interoperability space

- 30 There are two targeted interoperability scenarios:
- 31 A one-to-one verification application in which compressed enrollment imagery is retrieved for storage to be
- 32 compared with an uncompressed and unsegmented verification sample. NIST will mimic this operation in an offline
- 33 evaluation by comparing enrollment instances in the various compressed formats against unprocessed and
- 34 uncompressed verification images.
- A server-centric verification application in which a compressed image is transmitted to a server for enrollment, and
 later compared with a compressed image submitted for verification. Thus both images are compressed and it is
- assumed that the code to produce the compact form resides in or near to the sensor, and not on the server.

5.7. Offline evaluation using archival imagery

- 2 NIST intends to execute the test in an entirely offline fashion and to use images from various datasets. NIST has not
- 3 conducted a dedicated collection or scenario test in support of IREX 08.

4 5.8. Separation of good and bad images

- 5 For a detailed study of compression and the relationship of bit rate, PSNR, and matching error rates, NIST will use images
- 6 typical of what might be seen in an operator assisted enrolment session. Such a study will require us to ignore certain
- 7 images in some of our databases.
- 8 For a study of the ability to segment images and convert them into instances of the three compact forms, NIST intends to
- 9 use more a natural mix of the images in our databases.
- NIST does not intend to include a strict repetition of the ICE 06 trial⁵ in IREX 08. However, IREX 08 is likely to supplement our larger corpora with ICE data. NIST will:
- 12 attempt to exclude images which would contribute to false rejection whatever compression or compact 13 representation is selected (e.g. eyes closed)
- document this practice in all reports, report the number of such exclusions, and include text on the effect of
 regarding these exclusions as FTE and FTA.
- add caveats to published reports that the performance values are specifically not predictive of any deployment in
 which imaging is systematically dissimilar to that reflected by the databases used.

5.9. Audience and options for participation

- 19 Universities and commercial concerns with capabilities in following areas are invited to participate in the IREX 08 test.
- 20 Production of conformant ISO/IEC 19794-6 records
- 21 Segmentation of iris imagery, including production of polar formats
- 22 Verification of standardized image records.
- 23 Prospective participants should read this document then complete the application form, Annex A. Participants must
- submit an SDK that provides all of the components identified in one of the rows of Table 4. All components in a row shall
- 25 be supplied.

18

26

Table 4 – IREX classes of participation

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Class	Annex A Participation agreement	Ability to convert a raw rectilinear image into a cropped rectilinear image [CAM07]	Ability to convert a raw rectilinear image into a ROI- masked rectilinear image [CAM07]	Ability to convert a raw rectilinear image into an unsegmented polar image [IRI07]	Ability to extract features from a cropped [CAM07] image and match	cropped and	Ability to extract features from an [IRI07] polar image and match
Х	+	+					
Υ	+	+	+		+	+	+
Z	+	+		+	+	+	+

- The inclusion of these classes is motivated as follows:
- 28 Class X allows "enrollment-only" organizations to participate, by executing cropping only.
- Class Y exists to allow organizations to demonstrate the capability to generate cropped and ROI-masked images, and
 to extract features from all kinds of images.

⁵ The ICE homepage is http://iris.nist.gov/ice

- Class Z exists to allow organizations to demonstrate the capability to generate unsegmented polar images, and to
 extract features from all kinds of images.
- 3 A participant may enter SDKs for more than one class. A participant may merge Y and Z functionalities into one SDK.

4 5.10. Number of submissions

- 5 Organizations may enter two SDKs per class. This would allow, for example, "fast vs. slow", or "experimental vs. mature"
- 6 implementations to be tested.

7 **5.11. Compression metrics**

- 8 JPEG implementations accept a quality parameter that controls the quantization of the DCT coefficients specified in
- 9 ISO/IEC 10918. The quantitative effect of this parameter is not standardized, and may vary between implementations.
- 10 ISO/IEC 15444 JPEG 2000 implementations are parameterized by a target value, such as the number of bits per pixel.
- 11 NIST is likely to survey over other JPEG 2000 compression parameters, as available and appropriate. This would form the
- basis of a compression profile.
- 13 Some input images are more compressible than others. For example, a motion-blurred image will compress well, as will
- images with large areas of smooth skin content.
- 15 NIST intends to establish operational guidance on the application of compression. NIST intends to quantify compression
- damage in terms of, at least, PSNR as the independent variable. However, compression software does not take this an
- 17 input parameter and thus practical implementation would require iterative schemes to achieve a desired entropy or PSNR
- value. This may be tenable if computational expense is not prohibitive. NIST intends to report compression times.

19 **5.12.** Provision of sensor information to SDKs

- 20 NIST will provide the manufacturer and model information to the image processing functions provided in the SDK. This
- 21 allows the implementation to tailor its algorithms to known properties of the sensor (e.g. spectral properties of the
- 22 illuminant). NIST is not, however, in possession of detailed sensor specifications, and it is therefore incumbent on
- participants to acquire such information and to use it as they see fit.

24 **5.13.** Verification performance

- 25 The test will embed pure 1:1 template comparisons. It will not formally enroll a population and thus will not support
- verification systems that run in an identification mode, execute cohort normalization techniques⁶. This test nevertheless
- is influential on 1:N performance because identification accuracy is closely related to the result of N 1:1 comparisons.

28 5.14. Phased testing

- 29 In an attempt to support SC37 timelines, NIST will depart from its usual practice (e.g. MINEX II, ELFT I) and conduct this
- test in just a single phase. This means the results of testing will be published sometime after the implementations are
- 31 received, without interim disclosure of results to the supplier. However NIST's purpose to aid development of
- implementations of the proposed ISO standard and we will therefore actively assist participants. For example, we will
- 33 communicate obviously incorrect, aberrant or poor behavior to the supplier e.g. FNMR > 0.03 at FMR = 0.001 (i.e. worse
- than the worst ICE 2006 result).

5.15. Open-source code for ISO/IEC 19794-6

- As part of NIST's Biometric Data Interchange (BIOMDI) software distribution, NIST has exposed its open-source "C" code
- 37 project for the reading, writing and validation of ISO/IEC 19794-6 records. The software is freely distributable. It is under

⁶ Such normalization methods may still be implemented within the matcher, by, for example, storing a supplier-owned internal background set of templates into the SDK.

⁷ The BIOMDI repository currently includes code for handling standardized iris, face, finger and minutiae records. Instructions for access are described here: http://biometrics.nist.gov/nigos/biomdi.html

- 1 formal version control and subscribers to the server are automatically informed of development activities. This code is
- 2 now under revision.
- 3 NIST will develop and release C/C++ code to execute forward and reverse polar transforms. That is it will execute
- 4 coordinate transformation via interpolation. It will not implement any segmentation of feature detection function. This
- 5 will execute both bilinear and bicubic interpolation. NIST will evaluate both variants.

6 6. Standardized data elements

7 **6.1. Overview**

- 8 Much of IREX 08 will involve the generation or use of the data structure defined in Table 6. It is the definitive data
- 9 structure for all IREX operations. It is derived from the original ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 record and has been extended here
- to include support for ROI-masked images [CAM07, CAM08] and unsegmented polar images [IRI07]. If it is used
- successfully here, NIST would contribute this toward the revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6.

12 6.2. Identifying the records of the standard

- 13 The ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 standard [STD05] required all iris biometric data blocks (BDBs) to be wrapped in CBEFF
- headers⁸. For IREX 08, CBEFF headers shall be absent. In ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005, the only means of differentiating
- rectilinear records from polar records was via the CBEFF format type (Rectilinear = 0x0009; Polar = 0x000B). For IREX 08,
- in order to differentiate the various forms, NIST has added a new field to the record see Line 3 of Table 6.
- 17 The following subsections are a provisional attempt at encoding the [CAM07,IRI07] proposal in language suitable for the
- revision of the ISO-standard. Definitive language will be developed in SC 37 Working Group 3.

19 **6.3.** The Cropping operation

- 20 In keeping with ISO notation; the term "shall" connotes a requirement and the term "should" connotes recommendation.
- 21 The [CAM08] paper notes that "the algorithms correctly localized the iris in all [ICE] images and produced from each one a
- 22 new cropped image of 320x320 pixels with the iris centered in it. For those images in which the iris was partly outside the
- original image frame, the missing pixels were replaced with black ones." While this may be appropriate for LG 2200
- images used in the ICE collection, it is not portable to larger sizes. We therefore suggest the following rules.
- 25 The crop region shall be symmetric about the iris center.
- The crop region should be sized such that at least N ≥ M pixels of the sclera are exposed on either side the iris, where
 the lower limit M = max(60, 0.4R) where R is the estimate of the iris radius.
- Parts of the iris estimated to have been cropped during capture (i.e. absent in the input image) shall be replaced with pixels of value 0.
- 30 NB: NIST will conduct a survey over the 60 and 0.4 parameters.

6.4. The ROI masking operation

32 **6.4.1. Definition**

- 33 In keeping with ISO notation; the term shall connotes a requirement and the term should connotes recommendation.
- 34 The pixels in the sclera region should be substituted with a fixed mask value. This value shall be recorded on line 32 of
- 35 Table 6. The sclera mask shall extend to the first and last columns unless the iris itself lies on those columns, or the upper
- and lower eyelids touch there.
- 37 The pixels in the upper eyelid region should be substituted with a fixed mask value. This value shall be recorded on line
- 38 30 of Table 6. The upper eyelid mask shall extend to the first (top) row of the image unless the iris itself lies in this row.
- 39 The upper eyelid mask shall extend to the leftmost and rightmost columns of the image unless the iris itself lies there.

⁸ CBEFF, published as ISO/IEC 19785-1, advances abstract fields and values for encapsulating, signing and encrypting BDBs.

- 1 The pixels in the lower eyelid region should be substituted with a fixed mask value. This value shall be recorded on line 31
- 2 of Table 6. The lower eyelid mask shall extend to the last (bottom) row of the image unless the iris itself lies in this row.
- 3 The lower eyelid mask shall extend to the leftmost and rightmost columns of the image unless the iris itself lies there.
- 4 At least one region shall be masked. For regions that are not masked, the corresponding Table 6 mask value shall be 0.
- 5 Masks shall be understood as being four-connected regions of a single pixel value.

6 **6.4.2.** Assigned values

- 7 When masking is used, the non-iris region shall be masked with three values according to the following rules. If the upper
- 8 and lower eyelid mask values are respectively U and L, with S the sclera mask value, then:
- 9 S≠U
- 10 − S≠L
- $11 L \leq U$
- 12 $-1 \le U \le 255$, but without a specific reason to do otherwise, recommended value is U = 128
- 13 $-1 \le L \le 255$, but without a specific reason to do otherwise, recommended value is L = 128
- 14 $-1 \le S \le 255$, but without a specific reason to do otherwise, recommended value is S = 200
- 15 In each case, the special value 0 is reserved to indicate that the region has not been masked. This would support an ISO
- standard for raw images, and for images in which one or more regions might reasonably not be masked. The ongoing
- 17 revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6 might adopt these rules and conventions.

18 **6.4.3.** Mask-ROI transition blurring

- 19 Regarding the pixels on the boundary between the mask and the original image, [CAM07] and [CAM08] include this
- 20 statement: "the transition to eyelid substitution regions was locally smoothed by a [5x7] kernel to minimize the
- 21 boundary's impact on the coding budget".
- 22 Therefore, the value of each non-masked pixel that is eight-connected to a masked pixel should be substituted by the
- result of the kernel neighborhood summation using "an isotropicly binomial 7x7 kernel", K, as offered by L1:

$$K = 1/(64*64)$$
 uu

where:

u = [1 6 15 20 15 6 1]

24 6.5. Unsegmented polar records

25 **6.5.1.** Outer circle size

- The implementation should over-segment the iris. That is, it should assign the radius of the outer circle to be about 15%
- 27 larger than that of the iris boundary when it is best approximated by a circle.
- 28 NB: NIST will survey over this parameter (by re-segmenting original images). The 15% value is a default.

29 **6.5.2.** Inner circle size

- The implementation should under-segment the pupil. That is, it should assign the radius of the inner circle to be about
- 31 20% smaller than that of the pupil boundary when it is best approximated by a circle.
- 32 NB: NIST will survey over this parameter (by re-segmenting original images). The 20% value is a default.

33 **6.6. Polar encoding**

- The ISO/IEC 19794-5:2005 encoding procedure (subclause 6.3.2.7 "Polar conversion") shall be followed. Note that while
- 35 the procedure allows for different iris and pupil centers, they shall be co-located here per the concentricity requirement
- 36 of [IRI07]. NIST will release code to effect the forward- and reverse-polar interpolations.

1 6.7. Auxiliary boundary data

2 **6.7.1.** Requirement

- 3 IREX does not make provision for purely vendor-defined data (e.g. a proprietary template) in the IREX record because it is
- 4 non-interoperable⁹. However, reflecting the fact that segmentation information can be very useful (and, operationally,
- 5 could be computed during acquisition) the IREX record allows implementers of all of the formats to supplement the
- 6 mandatory fields with either or both of the boundary encodings listed in sections 6.7.2 and 6.7.3.

6.7.2. Ellipse encoded boundaries

8 Implementers may elect to populate the 24 byte block of best-fit ellipses of the pupil and iris, i.e. Table 6 lines 39 to 50.

9 **6.7.3. FCC encoded boundaries**

- 10 Implementers may elect to populate either or both of the Freeman chain code blocks on Lines 51-54 of Table 6. This shall
- be indicated by setting the respective N values to N > 0 and by including data as specified in Table 5. This first block
- 12 applies to the inner iris-pupil boundary. The second block applies to the iris-sclera boundary.
- 13 Each element of the FCC shall be stored immediately following its predecessor, without regard to byte boundaries. If
- 14 necessary, the FCC shall be padded with trailing zeros to ensure that the result is an integral number of octets.
- 15 Eight-connected Freeman chain codes shall be used. These allow encoding of an arbitrary path in 3 bits per pixel. For an
- iris of radius 100 pixels, and a pupil of radius 40 pixels, such encoding would require, with a small header, around 220 and
- 17 90 bytes respectively.

Table 5 - Format for Freeman chain code

#	Field	Length
1	X-coordinate of first pixel in closed path	2B
2	Y-coordinate of first pixel in closed path	2B
3	Number of elements in chain code	N
4	Bit-packed Freeman chain code, zero padded to nearest octet if (3N % 8 != 0)	≤ 3N/8 + 1

19 20

18

7

Figure 1 – Example Freeman Chain Code

						If the grey region denotes the body of a pupil then, from the first pixel "1" to the last "8", the
	4	3	2	1		FCC result would be: 4,4,4,7,7,0,2,2 which would
		5		8		be encoded as: 1001001001111111000010010
			6	7		MOD Jun 20, 2008: Labels were in wrong order.
						,

21 22

MOD Jun 20, 2008 added figure: The standard directions of Figure 2 shall be used.

23 Figure 2 – Freeman Chain Code Directions

3	2	1
4	Х	0
5	6	7

⁹ The issue of allowing Extended Data has been discussed with respect to other biometric standards and has been deprecated because it is only valuable when the producing and consuming implementations are manufactured by the same company.

1 6.8. Inclusion of non-standard information

- 2 The records shall contain only the information defined in this document. This restriction prohibits steganographic markup
- 3 of images. Interoperability is not assisted by proprietary hidden information.

Table 6 – Structure for the proposed formats for ISO/IEC 19794-6

	Section title and/or fie	ld name	L	IREX actual or required values	Remarks
	Iris Record Header (ada	apted from Table 2 of 37N	2484 p	oosted February 2008 as the latest r	evision text of ISO/IEC 19794-6)
1.	Format Identifier		4 B	0x49495200	i.e. ASCII "IIR\0"
2.	Version Number		4 B	0x30313500	'015\0' a non-standard interim value for IREX 08
3.	Kind of imagery in this	record	8b	0000 0001b = 1	Rectilinear without ROI masking without any cropping
				0000 0011b = 3	Rectilinear without ROI masking but cropped so iris is about centered
				0000 0111b = 7	[CAM07, CAM08] Rectilinear with masking and cropping
				0001 0000b = 16	[IRI07] Unsegmented polar
				0011 0000b = 48	Rectilinear reconstruction of [IRI07] unsegmented polar image
4.	Record Length		4 B	$46 \le L \le 2^{32} - 1$	Total length
5.	Capture Device ID		2 B		Value will be provided to SDK, which stores it here. Value will be one of those in Table 7.
6.	Number of eyes image	d	1 B	1	In IREX this will always be 1
7.	Record header length		2 B	46	In ISO drafts this was 45, but here line 3 adds 1 to make 46
8.		Horz. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	Bits 0 and 1 i.e. 2 least significant bits (per see 6.4 in N2484)
9.	(NB order)	Vert. orientation	2 b	ORIENTATION_BASE	Bits 2 and 3
10.		Scan type	2 b	SCAN_TYPE_PROGRESSIVE SCAN_TYPE_INTERLACE_FRAME	Bits 4 and 5. One of these two values will be supplied to the IREX SDKs.
11.		Occlusions	1 b		Polar format only, see ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005
12.		Occlusion filling	1 b		Polar format only, see ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005
13.		Reserved for future use	8b	0	Most significant bits are reserved in ISO/IEC 19794-6
14.	Iris diameter (rectilinea	ar)	2 B		Expected iris diameter in pixels. Optional: if not estimated value shall be 0.
15.	Image format		2 B	IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_RAW	IREX SDKs are not permitted to compress, and not required to decompress. Color data will not be used. IMAGEFORMAT_MONO_RAW is the only allowed value.
16.	Raw image width		2 B	> 0	For rectilinear, these values are the dimensions of the image data on the last line in this
17.	Raw image height		2 B	> 0	Table. For polar images these are the dimensions of the parent image from which the polar
18.	Intensity depth		1 B	8 bits	representation was extracted.
19.	Image transformation	(polar only)	1 B	1	TRANS_STD = 1 i.e. linear radial interpolation per [STD05, clause 6.5.4].
20.	Device unique identifie	er	16 B	'0000000000000000'	16 character zeroes '0' = 0x30, not 0x00.
	Iris Biometric Subtype	Header (Table 3 of 37N248	34 pos	ted February 2008 as the latest revi	sion text of ISO/IEC 19794-6)
21.	1. Eye		1 B	EYE_RIGHT, EYE_LEFT	NIST expects L/R will be known and supplied to SDK
22.	2. Number of iris images of this eye		2 B	1	NIST will not present multiple images, so this is 1 here
	Iris Image Header (Table 4 of 37N2484 posted Febru				SO/IEC 19794-6)
23.	23. Image length		4B	M > 0	Length of image data. For uncompressed 8bit greyscale data this is W x H x 1.
24.	4. Image number		2 B	1	This field is an index starting at 1
25.	Quality		1 B	0 ≤ Q ≤ 100, 255	This value shall be computed and stored here by the SDK
26.	Quality algorithm vend	or ID	2B		This value is optional in IREX. IBIA code may be used - NIST would build register.
27.	Quality algorithm ID		2B		The value is optional in IREX

28.	Rotation angle of eye	2 B	0 0xFFFE	= (unsigned short) round(65536*angle/360) modulo 65536, where angle is measured counter-clockwise in degrees
29.	Rotation uncertainty	2 B	OxFFFF =ROT_ANGLE_UNDEF 0 OxFFFE 0xFFFF =ROT_UNCERTAIN_UNDEF	= (unsigned short) round(65536*uncertainty/180) where 0 ≤ uncertainty < 180 is measured in degrees and is the absolute value of maximum error
	Fields supporting ROI-masked images			
30.	Mask value for upper eyelid	1B		These three fields are populated if Kind = 7. Otherwise all set to zero.
31.	Mask value for lower eyelid	1B		See definitions and rules in section 6.4.
32.	Mask value for sclera	1B		Beyond IREX 08 the depth may need to be >= 8 bits.
	Fields supporting UNSEG polar images			
33.	Number of samples radially	2B	0 < NR	These six fields shall be populated if Kind = 16 or Kind = 48 (see line 3). Otherwise all set to 0.
34.	Number of samples circumferentially	2B	0 < NC	NR is the number of samples along a spoke
35.	X coordinate of inner + outer circle centers	2 B	0 ≤ x < W	NC is the number of spokes around the iris
36.	Y coordinate of inner + outer circle centers	2 B	0 ≤ y < H	The polar image data on the last line of this table has height NR, width NC.
37.	Inner circle radius	2 B	0 <r< td=""><td>Coordinate system is zero oriented with (0,0) at the top left corner. The inner and outer circle centers are concentric.</td></r<>	Coordinate system is zero oriented with (0,0) at the top left corner. The inner and outer circle centers are concentric.
38.	Outer circle radius	2 B	0 < r	The littler and outer circle centers are concentric.
	Fields supporting all images			
39.	X coord of the center of the ellipse approximating the pupil boundary	2 B		Population of these six fields is allowed but not required for all "Kinds". If an implementation elects not to compute the ellipse then it shall assign X = 0xFFFF on line 39.
40.	Y coord of the center of the ellipse	2 B		cices flot to compute the empse there is all assign x = oxi i i or line 33.
-0.	approximating the pupil boundary			Consumers of this data shall ignore these fields if X = 0xFFFF i.e. value on line 39 is out of
41.	X coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-major axis with the ellipse approximating the pupil	2 B		bounds with respect to the width on line 16.
42.	Y coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-major	2 B		
42.	axis with the ellipse approximating the pupil	2 0		
43.	X coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-minor	2 B		
	axis with the ellipse approximating the pupil	2.5		
44.	Y coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-minor axis with the ellipse approximating the pupil	2 B		
45.	X coord of the center of the ellipse approximating the iris boundary	2 B		Population of these six fields is allowed but not required for all "Kinds". If an implementation elects not to compute the ellipse then it shall assign X = 0xFFFF on line 45.
46.	Y coord of the center of the ellipse	2 B		1
	approximating the iris boundary			Consumers of this data shall ignore these fields if X = 0xFFFF i.e. value on line 45 is out of
47.	X coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-major	2 B		bounds with respect to the width on line 16.
	axis with the ellipse approximating the iris			
48.	Y coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-major axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		
49.	X coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-minor axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		
50.	Y coord of the intersection pt. of the semi-minor axis with the ellipse approximating the iris	2 B		Continued next page

51.	Freeman code length for pupil-iris boundary	2 B	N	See section 6.7. This block allowed but not required for all "Kinds".
52.	Freeman code data for pupil-iris boundary	N		If N = 0, the sclera-iris FCC length value follows immediately
53.	Freeman code length for sclera-iris boundary	2 B	N	See section 6.7. This block allowed but not required for all "Kinds".
54.	Freeman code data for sclera-iris boundary	N		If N = 0, the image data follows immediately
55.	Image data	М		Length M encoded on line 23, in bytes

7. PC-based API specification

2 7.1. Overview

1

- 3 This section describes the IREX API. All SDK's submitted to IREX 08 shall implement the functions below here as required
- 4 by the classes of participation listed in Table 4.

5 7.2. Testing interface

6 7.2.1. Requirement

7 IREX participants shall submit an SDK which presents the "C" prototyped interface given in the following subsections.

8 7.2.2. Sensor identifiers

- 9 IREX will use images from:
- 10 a large corpus collected using the LG 3000.
- 11 a larger corpus collected using the Securimetrics PIER camera.
- 12 the smaller sequestered ICE 06 corpus of LG 2200 images
- 13 NIST is actively seeking to extend this to include other sources please see NIST's call for images on Page 2. To support
- interoperable i.e. cross-sensor matching, the SDK will be told the sensor the two byte unsigned integer values in Table 7.

15 Table 7 – Sensor identifiers

#	Sensor Manufacturer and Model	Identifier
1	LG 2200	0x2A16
2	LG 3000	0x2A1E
3	LG 4000	0x2A26
4	Securimetrics PIER	0x1A03
5	Unknown or unspecified	0x0000

- 16 Presence on this table indicates NIST's intention to use images captured by these devices. NIST will revise this table as
- 17 other data becomes available.

18 7.2.3. Geometric, photometric or other alterations to images

- 19 It is at the discretion of the provider whether to alter the input images during the Table 6 record preparation. If a vendor
- 20 believed for instance that contrast enhancement would produce a more easy-to-recognize image then the
- 21 implementation is permitted to do this. A more important example is described in Section 2 of [CAM07,CAM08].

For those images in which the iris was partly outside of the original image frame, the missing pixels were replaced with black ones. For those in which the algorithms detected that the gaze was directed away from the camera, as gauged by projective deformation of the eye shape, a corrective affine transformation was automatically applied which effectively "rotated" the eye in its socket back into orthographic perspective on-axis with the camera.

- 22 Such steps are allowed and are likely to allow downstream feature extractors and matchers to give better performance.
- 23 NIST takes no position on whether these or other operations should be applied. NIST does however prohibit the
- 24 application of compression and recommends against any action which would blur the image. Note that vendors might
- 25 profitably implement local image processing steps (i.e. not requiring the entire original image) in the front-end of the
- 26 feature extraction routines.

1 7.2.4. Conversion of raw rectilinear imagery to cropped rectilinear

- 2 To assess viability of the proposed standard crop-only format, participating submissions to IREX 08 shall convert a raw
- 3 raster iris image into a cropped raster iris image, and write the result as a Table 6 instance of Kind = 3. To do this the
- 4 implementation will need to find the iris center and crop symmetrically around it. The implementation shall not compress
- 5 the image data.

9

- 6 June 25, 2008. The implementation shall not rotate the iris: Instead if rotation is detected it shall be recorded in the
- 7 appropriate fields of Table 6, i.e. lines 28-29. Template generators and/or matchers should heed such values.
- 8 The provided SDK shall implement the API call specified in Table 8.

Table 8 – IREX API for preparation of cropped rectilinear records

Prototype	INT32 convert raster to croppe	d rectilinear/					
Frototype	INT32 convert_raster_to_cropped_rectilinear(const BYTE *uncompressed raster data,						
	const UINT16 image_width,						
	const UINT16 image height,						
	const BYTE horz orientation,						
	const BYTE note_orientation,						
	const BYTE scan_type,						
	const BYTE image_format,						
	const BYTE intensity_depth,						
	const UINT16 nist_encoded_devi						
		<mark>hese two parameters are new June 25, 2008 and</mark> are					
		ntroduced to allow NIST to survey over crop sizes					
	BYTE * c_rectilinear_image);						
Description		nage and outputs a corresponding cropped image.					
	The memory for the output structure is allocated before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for						
	the result. The function returns either success (0) or failure (non-zero). Failure indicates a failure to convert the image.						
		conformant instance with zero irides and zero images.					
Input	uncompressed_raster_data	The uncompressed raw image used for template creation.					
Parameters	image_width	The number of pixels indicating the width of the image.					
	image_height	The number of pixels indicating the height of the image.					
	horz_orientation	NIST anticipates setting these values to ORIENTATION_BASE, per [STD05, 6.5.4].					
	vert_orientation						
	scan_type	Progressive or interlaced. Values per the standard.					
	image_format	NIST anticipates using only unprocessed uncompressed 8 bit grayscale data, so the					
	intensity_depth	image format will be 0x0002, and the intensity depth will be 8, both per [STD05].					
	nist_encoded_device_id	A two byte unsigned integer value from Table 7					
Output	c_rectilinear_image	The output record, per Table 6 with Kind = 3					
Parameters	bbox_topleft_x	X coordinate in the original image from which the crop was prepared					
	bbox_topleft_y	Y coordinate in the original image from which the crop was prepared					
Return	0	Success					
Values	2	Elective refusal to generate this Kind of output record					
	4	Involuntary failure to make output record - e.g. could not find iris-sclera boundary.					
	6	Elective refusal to produce an output record - e.g. on quality grounds.					
	8	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)					
	Other	Vendor-defined					

10 11

The number of times a non-zero error codes is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into analyses.

7.2.5. Conversion of raw rectilinear imagery to cropped ROI-masked rectilinear

- 2 To assess viability of the proposed standard's cropped-and-ROI-masked format, participating submissions to IREX 08 shall
- 3 convert a raw raster iris image into a cropped raster iris image, replace eyelids and sclera with fixed pixel values, and write
- 4 the result as a Table 6 instance of Kind = 7. To do this the implementation will need to find the iris center and crop
- 5 symmetrically around it, and find the eyelids and iris-sclera boundaries. The implementation shall not compress the
- 6 output image data.

1

8

7 The function shall be implemented with the API call specified in Table 9.

Table 9 – IREX API for preparation of cropped-and-ROI-masked records

Prototype	INT32 convert raster to croppe	ed and masked rectilinear(
11 ototype	const BYTE *uncompressed rast						
	const UINT16 image_width,						
	const UINT16 image height,						
	const BYTE horz_orientation,						
	const BYTE vert_orientation,						
	const BYTE scan_type,						
	const BYTE image_format,						
	const BYTE intensity_depth,						
	const UINT16 nist_encoded_dev	ice_id,					
	BYTE * cm_rectilinear_image);						
Description	This function takes a raw input image and outputs the corresponding cropped and ROI-masked image. The memory for the output structure is allocated before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for the result. The function returns either success (0) or failure (non-zero). Failure indicates a failure to convert the image. The result will nevertheless be a conformant instance with zero irides and zero images.						
Input	uncompressed_raster_data	The uncompressed raw image used for template creation.					
Parameters	image_width	The number of pixels indicating the width of the image.					
	image_height	The number of pixels indicating the height of the image.					
	horz_orientation	NIST anticipates setting these values to ORIENTATION_BASE, per [STD05, 6.5.4].					
	vert_orientation						
	scan_type	Progressive or interlaced. Values per the standard.					
	image_format	NIST anticipates using only unprocessed uncompressed 8 bit grayscale data, so the					
	intensity_depth	image format will be 0x0002, and the intensity depth will be 8, both per [STD05].					
	nist_encoded_device_id	A two byte unsigned integer value from Table 7					
Output Parameters	cm_rectilinear_image	The output cropped and ROI-masked image, per Table 6 with Kind = 7					
Return	0	Success					
Values	2	Elective refusal to generate this Kind of output record					
	4	Involuntary failure to make output record - e.g. could not find iris-sclera boundary.					
	6	Elective refusal to produce an output record - e.g. on quality grounds.					
	8	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)					
	Other	Vendor-defined					

The number of times a non-zero error code is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into analyses.

12

1 7.2.6. Conversion of raw rectilinear imagery to unsegmented polar

- 2 To assess viability of the proposed standard's unsegmented polar format, participating submissions to IREX 08 shall
 - convert a raw raster iris image into an unsegmented polar image and write the result as a Table 6 instance of Kind = 16.
- 4 To do this the implementation will need to find concentric circles in the pupil and outside the iris, and to execute the
- 5 forward polar transformation (using NIST will provide reference polar transformation code). The implementation shall
- 6 not compress the output image data.

3

7 The function shall be implemented with the API call specified in Table 10.

8 Table 10 – IREX API for creation of unsegmented polar records

Prototype	INT32 convert raster to unsegm	ented polar(
	const BYTE *uncompressed_raster_data,							
	const UINT16 image_width,	_ ,						
	const UINT16 image_height,							
	const BYTE horz_orientation,							
	const BYTE vert_orientation,							
	const BYTE scan_type,							
	const BYTE image_format,							
	const BYTE intensity_depth,							
	const UINT16 nist_encoded_device							
	const UNIT16 num_samples_radia const UINT16 num_samples_circui							
	BYTE * unseg_polar_image);	interentially,						
Description		e and outputs the corresponding unsegmented polar image. The coordinates of the						
Description	pupil and iris centers are returned							
	1	located before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for the						
	1	success (0) or failure (non-zero). Failure indicates a failure to convert the image. The						
		rmant instance with zero irides and zero images.						
Input	uncompressed_raster_data	The uncompressed raw image used for template creation.						
Parameters	image_width	The number of pixels indicating the width of the input image.						
	image_height	The number of pixels indicating the height of the input image.						
	horz_orientation	NIST anticipates setting these values to ORIENTATION_BASE, per [STD05, 6.5.4].						
	vert_orientation							
	scan_type	Progressive or interlaced. Values per the standard.						
	image_format	NIST anticipates using only unprocessed uncompressed 8 bit grayscale data, so the						
	intensity_depth	image format will be 0x0002, and the intensity depth will be 8, both per [STD05].						
	nist_encoded_device_id	A two byte unsigned integer value from Table 7						
	num_samples_radially	The number of sample along a spoke. The output polar data shall have this height.						
	num_samples_circumferentially	The number of "spokes" around the iris. The output polar data shall have this width.						
Output Parameters	unseg_polar_image	The output record, per Table 6, with the values on lines 33 - 38 set correctly. The Kind on line 3 and the image data on line 55 shall be set as follows.						
		 EITHER: Set Kind = 16 and insert proper polar format image data. You can use the NIST code available for the interpolation needed to execute the forward polar transform. 						
		OR: Set Kind = 1, copy the input raster to the output record.						
Return Value	0	Success						
	2	Elective refusal to generate this Kind of output record						
	4	Involuntary failure to make output record - e.g. could not find iris-sclera boundary.						
	6	Elective refusal to produce an output record - e.g. on quality grounds.						
	8	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)						
	Other	Vendor-defined failure.						

- 1 The number of times a non-zero error code is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into
- 2 analyses.

3

7

7.2.7. Template creation

- 4 This function converts a Table 6 record into an opaque proprietary template. The function will need to look at the header
- 5 of the input record to determine the content, particularly the "Kind" value on Line 3. The function should return the
- 6 defined error code if it does not support certain Kinds.

Table 11 – IREX API template creation

Prototype	INT32 convert_image _to_template(const BYTE *input record,				
	UINT16 *template siz	·			
	BYTE *proprietary template);				
Description	This function takes either a rectilinear image, or an ROI-masked image, or an unsegmented polar image, and outputs a proprietary template. The implementation should inspect the input header to determine which kind of imagery is being provided, per the version number values given in section 6.2. The memory for the output template is allocated before the call i.e. the implementation shall not allocate memory for the result. In all cases, even when unable to extract features, the output shall be a template record that may be passed to the match_templates function without error. That is this routine must internally encode "template creation failed" and the matcher must transparently handle this.				
Input Parameters	input_record	An instance of Table 6. Implementations must alter their behavior according to the Kind of image. The implementation shall support these values: Kind = 1 Kind = 3 Kind = 7 Kind = 48 The SDK does not have to support Kind = 16 because NIST will execute any needed reverse polar transforms using NIST code to make Kind = 48 instances.			
Output	Template_size	The size, in bytes, of the output template			
Parameters	proprietary_template	The output template. The format is entirely unregulated. June 25,2008: NIST will allocated 8KB before the function is called - if 8KB is not enough email us.			
Return Value	0	Success			
	2	Elective refusal to process this Kind of input record			
	4	Involuntary failure to extract features (e.g. could not find iris in the input-image)			
	6	Elective refusal to produce a template (e.g. insufficient iris area)			
	8	Cannot parse input data (i.e. assertion that input record is non-conformant)			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure			

- 8 The number of times a non-zero error code is returned will be counted, reported and appropriately factored into
- 9 analyses. When the error code is "2" this will be noted in the IREX report.

7.2.8. Template comparison

11 This function compares two proprietary templates and returns a real-valued distance score.

Table 12 - IREX API template matching

Prototype	INT32 match_templates(
	const BYTE *verification_template,					
	const UINT16 verification_template_size,					
	const BYTE *enrollment_template,					
	const UINT16 enrollment_template_size,					
	double *dissimilarity);					
Description	This function compares two opaque proprietary templates and outputs a non-negative match score.					
	The returned score is a non-negative distance measure. It need not satisfy the metric properties. NIST will allocate					
	memory for this parameter before the call. When either or both of the input templates are the result of a failed					

10

	template generation (see Table 11), the dissimilarity score shall be -1 and the function return value shall be 2.				
Input Parameters	verification_template	A template from create_template().			
	verification_template_size	The size, in bytes, of the input verification template $0 \le N \le 2^{16} - 1$			
enrollment_template A templat		A template from create_template().			
	enrollment_template_size	The size, in bytes, of the input enrollment template $0 \le N \le 2^{16} - 1$			
Output Parameters	dissimilarity	A dissimilarity score resulting from comparison of the templates, on the range [0,DBL_MAX]. See section 7.2.9.			
Return Value	0	Success			
	2	Either or both of the input templates were result of failed feature extraction			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure			

1

7.2.9. Dissimilarity score

- 3 The template comparison function shall return a measure of the dissimilarity between the persons whose iris data is
- 4 contained in the two templates. So, smaller values indicate more likelihood that the two samples are from the same
- 5 person. This deviates from many prior NIST tests which have used "larger-is-more-genuine" semantics.
- 6 There is no requirement for the scores to be Hamming distances.
- 7 There is no requirement for values to obey the metric property.

8 7.2.10. Implementation identifiers

9 The implementation shall support the self-identification function of Table 13. This function is required to support internal

NIST book-keeping. The version numbers should be distinct between any versions which offer different algorithmic

11 functionality.

Table 13 - IREX API get_pids function

Prototype	INT32 get_pid(UINT32 *nist_assigned_identifier, char *email_address);				
Description	This function retrieves an identifier that the provider must request from NIST irex@nist.gov, and hardwire into the source code. NIST will assign the identifier that will uniquely identify the supplier and the SDK version number.				
Output Parameters	nist_assigned_identifier	A PID which identifies the SDK under test. The memory for the identifier is allocated by NIST's calling application, and shall not be allocated by the SDK.			
	email_address	Point of contact email address as null terminated ASCII string. NIST will allocates at least 64 bytes for this. SDK shall not allocate.			
Return Value	0	Success			
	Other	Vendor-defined failure			

13

14

15

10

12

7.3. Software and Documentation

7.3.1. SDK Library and Platform Requirements

- Participants shall provide NIST with binary code only (i.e. no source code). Header files (".h") are allowed, but these shall
- 17 not contain intellectual property of the company nor any material that is otherwise proprietary. It is preferred that the
- 18 SDK be submitted in the form of a single static library file (ie. ".LIB" for Windows or ".a" for Linux). However, dynamic and
- 19 shared library files are permitted.
- 20 If dynamic or shared library files are submitted, it is preferred that the API interface specified by this document be
- implemented in a single "core" library file with the base filename 'libIREX' (for example, 'libIREX.dll' for Windows or
- 22 'libIREX.so' for Linux). Additional dynamic or shared library files may be submitted that support this "core" library file (i.e.
- 23 the "core" library file may have dependencies implemented in these other libraries).

1 **7.3.2.** Linking

- 2 NIST will link the provided library file(s) to a C language test driver application developed by NIST. The runtime
- 3 environment shall be either
- 4 RedHat Linux Enterprise 4 or 5 platforms. (PREFERRED)
- 5 The cygwin¹⁰ layer running on a Windows Server 2003 OS.
- 6 Both will use GNU's gcc compiler, version 3.3.3. These use libc. The link command might be:
- 7 gcc —o irextest irextest.c -L. —IIREX
- 8 Participants are required to provide their library in a format that is linkable using GCC with the NIST test driver, which is
- 9 compiled with GCC. All compilation and testing will be performed on x86 platforms. Thus, participants are strongly
- 10 advised to verify library-level compatibility with GCC (on an equivalent platform) prior to submitting their software to
- 11 NIST to avoid linkage problems later on (e.g. symbol name and calling convention mismatches, incorrect binary file
- 12 formats, etc.).
- 13 Dependencies on external dynamic/shared libraries such as compiler-specific development environment libraries are
- discouraged. If absolutely necessary, external libraries must be provided to NIST upon prior approval by the Test Liaison.

15 7.3.3. Installation and Usage

- 16 The SDK must install easily (i.e. one installation step with no participant interaction required) to be tested, and shall be
- 17 executable on any number of machines without requiring additional machine-specific license control procedures or
- 18 activation.
- 19 The SDK's usage shall be unlimited. The SDK shall neither implement nor enforce any usage controls or limits based on
- 20 licenses, execution date/time, number of executions, presence of temporary files, etc.
- 21 It is recommended that the SDK be installable using simple file copy methods, and not require the use of a separate
- installation program. Contact the Test Liaison for prior approval if an installation program is absolutely necessary.

23 **7.3.4. Documentation**

- 24 Participants shall provide complete documentation of the SDK and detail any additional functionality or behavior beyond
- 25 that specified here. The documentation must define all (non-zero) vendor-defined error or warning return codes.

26 **7.3.5.** Modes of operation

- 27 Individual SDKs provided shall not include multiple "modes" of operation, or algorithm variations. No switches or options
- 28 will be tolerated within one library. For example, the use of two different "coders" by an iris feature extractor must be
- 29 split across two separate SDK libraries, and two separate submissions.

30 **7.3.6.** Watermarking of images

31 The SDK functions shall not watermark or otherwise steganographically mark up the images.

32 7.4. Runtime behavior

33 **7.4.1. Speed**

- 34 The following limits are instituted to constrain NIST's total IREX computational workload. The absolute times are probably
- 35 less relevant than any relative trends. Deviations above these limits will be allowed but note that timing statistics will be
- 36 reported.
- 37 The mean template match operation should not exceed 20 milliseconds.
- 38 The mean template creation operation should not exceed 2.5 seconds.

¹⁰ According to http://www.cygwin.com/ is a Linux-like environment for Windows. It consists of two parts: A DLL (cygwin1.dll) which acts as a Linux API emulation layer providing substantial Linux API functionality; a collection of tools which provide Linux look and feel.

- 1 The mean iris segmentation operation (e.g. polar) should not exceed 2.5 seconds.
- The above times assume a vanilla a 2GHz Pentium IV.

3 7.4.2. Interactive behavior

- 4 The SDK will be tested in non-interactive "batch" mode (i.e. without terminal support). Thus, the submitted library shall
- 5 not use any interactive functions such as graphical user interface (GUI) calls, or any other calls which require terminal
- 6 interaction e.g. reads from "standard input".

7 7.4.3. Error codes and status messages

- 8 The SDK will be tested in non-interactive "batch" mod, without terminal support. Thus, the submitted library shall run
- 9 quietly, i.e. it should not write messages to "standard error" and shall not write to "standard output".

10 7.4.4. Exception Handling

- 11 The application should include error/exception handling so that in the case of a fatal error, the return code is still
- 12 provided to the calling application.

7.4.5. External communication

- 14 Processes running on NIST hosts shall not side-effect the runtime environment in any manner, except for memory
- 15 allocation and release. Implementations shall not write any data to external resource (e.g. server, file, connection, or
- other process), nor read from such. If detected, NIST will take appropriate steps, including but not limited to, cessation of
 - evaluation of all implementations from the supplier, notification to the provider, and documentation of the activity in
- 18 published reports.

13

17

19 **7.4.6. Stateful behavior**

- 20 All components in this test shall be stateless. This applies to segmentation, feature extraction and matching. Thus, all
- 21 functions should give identical output, for a given input, independent of the runtime history. NIST will institute
- appropriate tests to detect stateful behavior. If detected, NIST will take appropriate steps, including but not limited to,
- 23 cessation of evaluation of all implementations from the supplier, notification to the provider, and documentation of the
- 24 activity in published reports.

25 **8. References**

AN27	NIST Special Publication 500-271: American National Standard for Information Systems — <i>Data Format for the Interchange of Fingerprint, Facial, & Other Biometric Information – Part 1</i> . (ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2007). Approved April 20, 2007.
ватно6	S. Rakshit and D. M. Monro, Effects of Sampling and Compression on Human Iris Verification, Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, Vol. 2, No. II, pp. 337-340, Toulouse, May 2006
BATH07	Soumyadip Rakshit and Donald M. Monro, <i>An Evaluation of Image Sampling and Compression for Human Iris Recognition</i> , IEEE Transactions On Information Forensics And Security, Vol. 2, No. 3, September 2007
CAM07	JTC001-SC37-N-2125 — <i>UK Contribution on the effect of severe image compression on iris recognition performance</i> . May 29, 2007. This document was submitted as a UK contribution to SC 37 Working Group 3. It is available as: John Daugman and Cathryn Downing, <i>Effect of severe image compression, on iris recognition performance</i> . Technical Report No. 685, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, UCAM-CL-TR-685, ISSN 1476-2986, May 07. http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/techreports/UCAM-CL-TR-685.pdf http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/6461927/JTC001-SC37-N-2125.pdf?func=doc.Fetch&nodeid=6461927
CAM08	Also very similar material to [CAM07] can be found in: John Daugman and Cathryn Downing, Effect of Severe Image Compression on Iris Recognition Performance, IEEE Trans on Information Forensics and Security, Vol. 3, No. 1, March 2008.
DHS	J. Mayer-Splain for DHS, <i>DHS Technical Contribution in Support of Retaining the Iris Polar Image Format</i> . August 31, 2007. This document is a password protected contribution toward the US position on the revision of 19794-6:2005. http://www.incits.org/tc home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070456.pdf

1379	American National Standard for Information Technology – <i>iris Image Format for Data Interchange</i> , ANSI/INCITS 379-2004, http://www.incits.org
IRIO7	JTC001-SC37-N-2296 US NB Contribution on Compact Iris Format: SC37 Link. Posted 2007-11-03. This document was submitted to M1 for consideration as M1/07-0490. Readers unable to access either link document should contact NIST. http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/6904418/JTC001-SC37-N-2296.pdf?func=doc.Fetch&nodeid=6904418 http://www.incits.org/tc home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070490.pdf
LG	S. Shah, Comment on Iris Boundary Determination (Polar Representation) and Polar Format, September 7, 2007. This document is a password protected US contribution to the January 2008, SC37/WG3 meeting in Tel Aviv. http://www.incits.org/tc home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070486.pdf
MINEX	P. Grother et al., <i>Performance and Interoperability of the INCITS 378 Template</i> , NIST IR 7296 http://fingerprint.nist.gov/minex04/minex report.pdf
МОС	P. Grother and W. Salamon, MINEX II - An Assessment of ISO/IEC 7816 Card-Based Match-on-Card Capabilities http://fingerprint.nist.gov/minex/minexII/NIST MOC ISO CC interop test plan 1102.pdf
N2059	JTC001-SC37-N-2059 — German National Body contribution on the revision project on Iris Image Data standard ISO/IEC 19794-6. April 20, 2007
N2124	JTC001-SC37-N-2124 — UK Contribution on a defect in ISO/IEC 19794-6 polar iris image format. May 29, 2007
N2226	JTC001-SC37-N-2226 — Base document for revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6, Information technology: Biometric data interchange formats – Part 6: Iris image data
NIST	P. Grother, NIST comments toward US position on N2226, revision of ISO/IEC 19794-6, September 7, 2007 http://www.incits.org/tc home/m1htm/2007xdocs/m1070488.pdf
PERFSTD	ISO/IEC FDIS 19795-4 — Biometric Performance Testing and Reporting — Part 4: Interoperability Performance Testing. Posted as document 37N2370.
PROC	Hugo Proença and Luís A. Alexandre, Iris Recognition: An Analysis of the Aliasing Problem in the Iris Normalization Stage
STD05	ISO/IEC 19794-6:2005 — <i>Information technology</i> — <i>Biometric data interchange formats</i> — <i>Part 6: Iris image data</i> The standard was published in 2005, and can be purchased from ANSI at http://webstore.ansi.org/ or ISO.
UNSEG	D. Kim, Introducing the Unsegmented Polar Format, posted as m1070606 and m1070606rev to the M1 document register: http://m1.incits.org/m1htm/2007docs/m1docreg 2007.htm. These documents are password protected US contributions to the January 2008, SC37/WG3 meeting in Tel Aviv. Substantially the same information was presented to the Second NIST Quality Workshop on November 8, 2007 and is linked here: http://www.itl.nist.gov/iad/894.03/quality/workshop07/prec/Kim introducing Unsegmented Polar Data Format for NIST Presentation 20071106 for Printing.pdf
USNA	Robert W. Ives, Bradford L. Bonney, and Delores M. Etter, <i>Effect of Image Compression on Iris Recognition</i> , IMTC 2005 – Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference, Ottawa, Canada, 17-19 May, 2005.

Annex A Application to participate in IREX

A.1 Who should participate

- 4 Providers of iris recognition technologies are invited to participate in IREX. In addition, companies, research
- 5 organizations, or universities that have developed mature prototypes or who research iris segmentation or matching are
- 6 invited to participate.

3

13

- 7 The algorithms and software need not be "operational," nor a production system, nor commercially available. However,
- 8 the system must, at a minimum, be a stable implementation capable of being "wrapped" (formatted) in the API
- 9 specification that NIST has specified in section 7 for this evaluation.
- 10 Anonymous participation will not be permitted. This means that signatories to this Agreement acknowledge that they
- 11 understand that the results (see sections 5.10 and Annex A.7) of the evaluation of the software and/or hardware will be
- 12 published with attribution to their organization(s).

A.2 How to participate

- 14 Those wishing to participate in IREX testing must do all of the following, on the schedule listed on Page 4.
- 15 MOD Jun 20, 2008: Indicate via email a non-binding "Intention to Participate" see the schedule on Page 4.
- 16 Request an SDK ID from NIST (for use per section 7.2.10).
- 17 Follow the instructions for cryptographic protection of your SDK here.
- 18 http://iris.nist.gov/irex/NIST biometrics crypto.pdf
- Send a signed and fully completed copy of this entire Annex A, including the *IREX Application to Participate* form
 below. This must identify, and include signatures from, the Responsible Parties as defined in section A.4
- Provide an SDK (Software Development Kit) library which complies with the API (Application Programmer Interface)
 specified in this document.
- 23 The IREX Application to Participate shall be sent to:

IREX Test Liaison	In cases where a courier needs a phone
National Institute of Standards and Technology	number please use NIST shipping and
Information Access Division (894)	handling on: 301 975 6296.
100 Bureau Drive	
A203/Tech225/Stop 8940	
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8940	
USA	

24

25

29

A.3 NIST activity

26 A.3.1 Initiation

- 27 Upon receipt of the signed Annex A form by NIST, the organization shall be classified as a "Participant". NIST must receive
- 28 the form during the submission period described in schedule on Page 2 of this document.

A.3.2 Supplier validation

- 30 Registered Participants will be provided with a small Validation Dataset available on the website http://iris.nist.gov/irex.
- 31 Prior to submission of their SDK, the Participant must to verify that their software executes on the validation data, and
- 32 produces correct similarity scores and templates.

1 A.3.3 Submission of software to NIST

- 2 NIST requires that all software submitted by the participants be signed and encrypted. Signing is done with the
- 3 participant's private key, and encrypting is done with the NIST public key, which is published on the IREX Web site. NIST
- 4 will validate all submitted materials using the participant's public key, and the authenticity of that key will be verified
- 5 using the key fingerprint. This fingerprint must be submitted to NIST by writing it on the signed participation agreement.
- 6 By encrypting the submissions, we ensure privacy; by signing the submission, we ensure authenticity (the software
- 7 actually belongs to the submitter). NIST will not accept into IREX any submission that is not signed and encrypted. NIST
- 8 accepts no responsibility for anything that is transmitted to NIST that is not signed and encrypted.
- 9 The detailed commands for signing and encrypting are given here: http://iris.nist.gov/irex/crypto-protection.pdf

10 A.3.4 Acceptance testing

- 11 Software submitted shall implement the IREX API Specification of section 7.
- 12 Upon receipt of the SDK and validation output, NIST will attempt to reproduce the same output by executing the SDK on
- the validation imagery, using a NIST computer. In the event of disagreement in the output, or other difficulties, the
- 14 Participant will be notified.

15 A.3.5 Limits of testing

- 16 NIST will use the Participant's SDK software only for purposes related to the testing described in this document. The
- 17 provided software will also be used to resolve any errors identified subsequent to the test or publication of results. NIST
- 18 agrees not to use the Participants software for purposes other than indicated herein, without express permission by the
- 19 Participant. NIST reserves the right to conduct analyses of the output data and measurements beyond those described in
- 20 this document. NIST reserves the right to apply the software to images from sensors not enumerated in this document.

21 A.4 Parties

22 A.4.1 Responsible Party

23 The Responsible Party is an individual with the authority to commit the organization to the terms in this document.

24 A.4.2 Point of contact

- 25 The Point of Contact is an individual with detailed knowledge of the system applying for participation.
- The IREX Liaison is the government point of contact for IREX. All correspondence should be directed to irex@nist.gov,
- which will be received by the IREX Liaison and other IREX personnel.
- 28 These correspondences may be posted on the FAQ (Frequently Asked Questions) area of the http://iris.nist.gov/irex at the
- 29 discretion of the IREX Liaison. The identity of those persons or organizations whose correspondences lead to FAQ
- 30 postings will not be made public in the FAQ.

A.5 Access to IREX validation data

- 32 The IREX Validation Data is supplied to Participants to assist in preparing for IREX.
- 33 The images in the IREX Validation Data are representative of the IREX Test Data only in their format. Image quality,
- 34 collection device and other characteristics are likely to vary between the Validation and Test Datasets.

A.6 Access to IREX test data

- 36 The IREX Test Datasets are in some cases protected under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a), and will be treated as Sensitive
- 37 but Unclassified and/or Law Enforcement Sensitive.
- 38 IREX Participants shall have no access to IREX Test Data, either before, during or after the test.

31

A.7 Reporting of results

A.7.1 Reports

- 3 The Government will combine appropriate results into one or more IREX reports. Together these will contain, at a
- 4 minimum, descriptive information concerning IREX, descriptions of each experiment, and aggregate test results. NIST will
- 5 include

1

2

- 6 DET performance metrics as the primary indicators of one-to-one verification accuracy,
- 7 ISO/IEC 19795-4 interoperability matrices as the primary measures of interoperability, and
- 8 Image generation, template generation, and matching timing statistics.
- 9 NIST may compute and report other aggregate statistics.
- MOD Jun 20, 2008: NIST intends to publish results in one or more NIST Interagency Reports. The reports will contain
- contain the names of participants,
- 12 contain the results of all participants' implementations with attribution to the participants.

13 14

A.7.2 Pre-publication review

- 15 Participants will have an opportunity to review and comment on the reports. Participants' comments will be either
- incorporated into the main body of the report (if it is decided NIST reported in error) or published as an addendum.
- 17 Comments will be attributed to the participant.

18 A.7.3 Citation of the report

- 19 Subsequent to publication of our reports Participants may decide to use the results for their own purposes. Such results
- 20 shall be accompanied by the following phrase: "Results shown from the Iris Exchange Test (IREX) do not constitute
- 21 endorsement of any particular system by the U. S. Government." Such results shall also be accompanied by the URL of
- the IREX Report on the IREX website, http://iris.nist.gov/irex.

23 A.7.4 Rights and ownership of the data

- 24 Any data generated, deduced, measured or otherwise obtained during IREX (excepting the submitted SDK itself), as well
- as any documentation required by the Government from the participants, becomes the property of the Government.
- 26 Participants will not possess a proprietary interest in the data and/or submitted documentation.

27 A.8 Return of the supplied materials

NIST will not return any supplied software, documentation, or other material to vendors.

29 A.9 Agreement to participate

- 30 With the signing of this form, Participants attest that they will not file any IREX-related claim against IREX Sponsors,
- 31 Supporters, staff, contractors, or agency of the U.S. Government, or otherwise seek compensation for any equipment,
- 32 materials, supplies, information, travel, labor and/or other participant provided services.
- 33 The Government is not bound or obligated to follow any recommendations that may be submitted by the Participant. The
- 34 United States Government, or any individual agency, is not bound, nor is it obligated, in any way to give any special
- 35 consideration to IREX Participants on future contracts, grants or other activities.
- 36 With the signing of this form, Participants realize that any test details and/or modifications that are provided in the IREX
- 37 website supersede the information on this form.
- With the signing of this form, Participants realize that they cannot withdraw from the IREX without their participation and
- 39 withdrawal being documented in the IREX Final Report.

This form shall be completed by all suppliers electing to participate in the IREX evaluation.								
NIST assigned identifier for the supplied SDK.								
Responsible Part	Responsible Party for supplier of iris segmentation, encoding and/or matching technologies.							
Company / Organ	nization Name							
Title	First Name		MI	Last Name			Suffix	
Street Address	I							1
City			State		Zip		Country	
Phone		Fax		Email				
Technical point of contact		Phone		Email				
Participant's public-key fingerprint (enter here)								
NIST's public-key fingerprint		846E 7008 996A E912 974C F8D7 1C7A 0F22 856B 9B28						
With my signature, I agree that this document is a sufficient description of the test to be conducted.								
With my signature, I hereby request consideration as a Participant in the Iris Interoperability Exchange Test II (IREX), and I am authorizing my company or organization to participate in IREX according to the rules and limitations listed in this document.								
With my signature, I also state that I have the authority to accept the terms stated in this document								

DATE

SIGNATURE OF SOFTWARE SUPPLIER RESPONSIBLE PARTY