IoT Advisory Board

August 2023 Meeting

IoTAB Cybersecurity Subgroup

Subgroup Members:

- Mike Bergman
- Ranveer Chandra
- Steve Griffith

• Tom Katsioulas

Draft – For Discussion Purposes

- Kevin Kornegay
- Pete Tseronis



August 2023 Subgroup Update

New Draft Recommendations

Recommendation 6: Guidance to Clarify IoT Sector Boundaries

The federal government should promote and support the development of an overarching guideline developed in a multi-stakeholder process that more clearly distinguishes the major sectors of the IoT for use when dealing with concerns such as cybersecurity.

Recommendation 7: Technical Guidance on IoT Vulnerabilities

The government should consider additional ways to highlight those vulnerabilities most likely to be applicable to IoT product developers.

Draft – For Discussion Purposes

8/22-8/23 2023

Recommendation #6 (details)

Delineate IoT Sectors

Create guidance on and promote a distinction between IoT, IIoT and Process Sensors and to address the lack of cyber security and trust in legacy process sensors and IIoT devices among multiple stakeholders.

Justification

Two large sectors in IoT are Consumer IoT and Industrial IoT. Other connected device categories exist for automotive, medical, aviation, and more. Regulators and legislators would benefit from guidance to correctly identify where one sector ends and another begins, and where the overlaps are.

Draft – For Discussion Purposes

8/22-8/23 2023

Recommendation #7 (details)

Highlight vulnerabilities most likely to be applicable to IoT product developers.

Provide guidance to IoT developers to help them efficiently comply with standards or best practices for addressing "critical vulnerabilities" or "known vulnerabilities".

For example, by providing a list of known IoT operating system vulnerabilities that developers should be aware of and address, or a means to filter an existing list for such vulnerabilities.

Justification

An IoT developer is encouraged or required to make sure they address any "known vulnerabilities" or "critical vulnerabilities" as part of best practices. The FCC NPRM on the U.S. Cyber Trust Mark program mentions "identified security vulnerabilities" @58 and "critical patches" @40.

There is currently no resource that matches this "known" or "critical" criteria for IoT vulnerabilities.

Draft – For Discussion Purposes

8/22-8/23 2023

NIST Maintains a National Vulnerability Database

https://nvd.nist.gov

(<mark>1,173 entries f</mark>or keyword "IoT" !)



CISA Maintains a Known Exploited Vulnerabilities Catalog

https://nvd.nist.gov

(<mark>1 entry </mark>for keyword "IoT" !)



Discussion