Overview

of NIST's Strategic Plan

Patrick D. Gallagher Deputy Director





Strategic Planning at NIST

- "Corporate level" planning has not been consistent or sustained.
- Alignment with plans has been uneven.
- NIST lacks a consistent long-term vision
 - Significant turnover in Director's office
 - Nine Directors since 1993
 - Nine Deputy Directors since 1993
 - On average new leadership every 18 months
- Planning has shifted down to the OU level out of necessity

Three – year Programmatic Plan

- Congress frustrated by lack of consistency
- Requires Annual Three-year Plan
 - Sec. 3004 of the America COMPETES Act
 - Submitted concurrently with budget request
- COMPETES requires VCAT to comment on the plan as part of annual report

Initial Programmatic Plan – FY 2009 - 2011

Not well received by House Science Committee

- "...deeply concerned that NIST has still not developed a comprehensive, programmatic planning document." – Chairman David Wu (D-OR)
- Plan did not address MEP and TIP
 - Two Congressional priorities

NIST planning: the need to improve

- Improved strategic planning identified internally as a major management priority (2017 Vision Plan – OU Directors);
- Need to integrate planning and budget increased with focus on larger, multi-year programmatic initiatives;
- Key to stated management focus on improving NIST decision-making and execution;
- Re-focused NLB on direction setting and planning for agency (and streamlined line management to improve execution and follow up)
- The 3-year planning document is a key step to sustained planning integrated with our budget development process.

3 year plan structure

NIST Mission Planning context NIST Strategic goals and objectives

- Adapt to rapid pace of technological development;
- Foster trust and efficient transactions in the market;
- Address urgent national priorities;
- Improve efficiency and effectiveness of NIST

Strategic plan

- Investment priority areas
- Management priorities
- Engagement priorities

Identifying Investment Priority Areas for Labs

- Developed a set of criteria to use in identifying potential focus areas
 - Is this a compelling innovation or competitiveness issue that the nation needs NIST to address?
 - Is there a clear match to our mission and goals?
 - Does this address an urgent and compelling national need?
 - Is this part of an ongoing priority for NIST (as identified in previous budget and planning documents)?
 - Is this part of a coordinated national policy area? (on the radar of policy officials)

Path forward

 Two working groups (Planning group and OU Directors) used criteria to identify areas for Deputy Director decision

Laboratory priority areas

Measurements, Standards and Technology for...

- Physical Infrastructure
- Energy
- Environment
- Biosciences/Health care
- Secure IT Systems

Other thoughts....

TIP and MEP funding

- Critical role of core competence in measurement science/standards
 - Facilities and instrumentation
 - IMS funding
- Agency/policy discrimination of roles
- Subsidiary plans:
 - Management
 - Partnerships/engagement plan
 - Facilities