Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity

April 2016



cyberframework@nist.gov

Pre-Cybersecurity Framework Threat Landscape

 79% of reported victims were targets of opportunity

96% of reported attacks in 2012 were NOT difficult

85% of reported breaches took weeks or more to discover

 97% of reported breaches were avoidable through simple or intermediate controls

Statistics are from the 2012 Verizon Data Breach Investigative Report

Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity

"It is the policy of the United States to enhance the security and resilience of the Nation's critical infrastructure and to maintain a cyber environment that encourages efficiency, innovation, and economic prosperity while promoting safety, security, business confidentiality, privacy, and civil liberties"



President Barack Obama Executive Order 13636, 12 February 2013

Based on the Executive Order, the Cybersecurity Framework Must...

- Include a set of standards, methodologies, procedures, and processes that align policy, business, and technological approaches to address cyber risks
- Provide a prioritized, flexible, repeatable, performancebased, and cost-effective approach, including information security measures and controls, to help owners and operators of critical infrastructure identify, assess, and manage cyber risk
- Identify areas for improvement to be addressed through future collaboration with particular sectors and standards-developing organizations
- Be consistent with voluntary international standards

Development of the Framework

Analyze RFI

Responses

Engage the Framework Stakeholders

EO 13636 Issued – February 12, 2013 NIST Issues RFI – February 26, 2013 1st Framework Workshop – April 03, 2013

Collect. Categorize, and Post RFI Responses

Completed – April 08, 2013 Identify Common Practices/Themes – May 15, 2013

> 2nd Framework Workshop at CMU – May 2013 Draft Outline of Preliminary Framework – June 2013

Ongoing Engagement:

Open public comment and review encouraged and promoted throughout the process... and to this day

Identify Framework Elements

3rd Workshop at UCSD – July 2013

Prepare and Publish Framework

4th Workshop at UT Dallas – Sept 2013

5th Workshop at NC State – Nov 2013 Published Framework – Feb 2014

The Cybersecurity Framework Is for Organizations...



- Of any size, in any sector in (and outside of) the critical infrastructure
- That already have a mature cyber risk management and cybersecurity program
- That don't yet have a cyber risk management or cybersecurity program
- With a mission of helping keep up-to-date on managing risk and facing business or societal threats



Cybersecurity Framework Components



Implementation Tiers

Cybersecurity Framework Component



- Allow for flexibility in implementation and bring in concepts of maturity models
- Reflect how an organization implements the Framework Core functions and manages its risk
- Progressive, ranging from Partial (Tier 1) to Adaptive (Tier 4), with each Tier building on the previous Tier
- Characteristics are defined at the organizational level and are applied to the Framework Core to determine how a category is implemented.



Implementation Tiers

Cybersecurity Framework Component

| | 1 Partial | 2 Risk Informed | 3 Repeatable | 4 Adaptive | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Risk Management Process | The functionality and repeatability of cybersecurity risk management | | | | | | |
| Integrated Risk Management Program | The extent to which cybersecurity is considered in broader risk management decisions | | | | | | |
| External Participation | The degree to which the organization benefits my sharing or receiving information from outside parties | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Taxonomy Value Proposition

Plant classification is the placing of known plants into groups or categories to show some relationship. Scientific classification follows a system of rules that standardizes the results, and groups successive categories into a <u>hierarchy</u>.

For example, the <u>family</u> to which <u>lilies</u> belong is classified as:

- Kingdom: Plantae
- Phylum: <u>Magnoliophyta</u>
- Class: Liliopsida
- Order: Liliales
- Family: Liliaceae
- Genus:
- Species:



Core

Cybersecurity Framework Component

| | Function | Category | ID | |
|--|----------|---|-------|---|
| | | Asset Management | ID.AM | |
| What processes and | Identify | Business Environment | ID.BE | |
| assets need protection? | | Governance | ID.GV | |
| | | Risk Assessment | ID.RA | |
| | | Risk Management Strategy | ID.RM | |
| | Protect | Access Control | PR.AC | |
| | | Awareness and Training | PR.AT | |
| What cafeguards are | | Data Security | PR.DS | |
| available? | | Information Protection Processes & Procedures | PR.IP | |
| | | Maintenance | PR.MA | |
| | | Protective Technology | PR.PT | |
| | Detect | Anomalies and Events | DE.AE | |
| What techniques can identify incidents? | | Security Continuous Monitoring | DE.CM | |
| | | Detection Processes | DE.DP | |
| | | Response Planning | RS.RP | |
| What techniques can | | Communications | RS.CO | |
| contain impacts of | Respond | Analysis | RS.AN | |
| incidents? | | Mitigation | RS.MI | |
| | | Improvements | RS.IM | |
| What techniques can | Recover | Recovery Planning | RC.RP | |
| restore capabilities? | | Improvements | RC.IM | 1 |
| | | Communications | RC.CO | |

Core

| | | | | Subcategory | Informative References |
|----------|--|---------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Cyberse | ecurity Framework Co | mponent | $\left(\right)$ | ID.BE-1: The | COBIT 5 APO08.04, APO08.05, |
| Function | Category | ID | | organization's role in | APO10.03, APO10.04, APO10.05 |
| Identify | Asset Management | ID.AM | | the supply chain is | ISO/IEC 27001:2013 A.15.1.3, A. |
| | Business Environment | ID.BE | Γ _λ | Identified and | 15.2.1, A.15.2.2 |
| | Governance | ID.GV | | communicated | NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4 CP-2, SA-12 |
| | Risk Assessment | ID.RA | | ID.BE-2: The | COBIT 5 APO02.06, APO03.01 |
| | Risk Management Strategy | ID.RM | | critical infrastructure | NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4 PM-8 |
| Protect | Access Control | PR.AC | | and its industry sector | |
| | Awareness and Training PR.AT | | | communicated | |
| | Data Security | PR.DS | | ID BE-3. Priorities for | |
| | Information Protection Processes & Procedures | PR.IP | | organizational mission objectives | APO03.01 |
| | Maintenance | PR.MA | | and activities are | A 2 3 6 |
| | Protective Technology | PR.PT | | established and | NIST SP 800-53 Rev 4 PM-11 |
| Detect | Anomalies and Events | DE.AE | | communicated | SA-14 |
| | Security Continuous Monitoring | DE.CM | | ID.BE-4: Dependencies and | ISO/IEC 27001:2013 A.11.2.2, A. |
| | Detection Processes | DE.DP | | critical functions for | NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4 CP-8 PF-9 |
| Respond | Response Planning | RS.RP | | delivery of critical | PE-11. PM-8. SA-14 |
| | Communications | RS.CO | | services are | |
| | Analysis | RS.AN | | established | |
| | Mitigation | RS.MI | | ID.BE-5: Resilience | COBIT 5 DSS04.02 |
| | Improvements | RS.IM | | requirements to | ISO/IEC 27001:2013 A.11.1.4, A. |
| Recover | Recovery Planning | RC.RP | | support delivery of | 17.1.1, A.17.1.2, A.17.2.1 |
| | Improvements | RC.IM | | critical services are | NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4 CP-2, |
| | Communications | RC.CO | | established | CP-11, SA-14 12 |

Ways to think about a Profile:

- A customization of the Core for a given sector, subsector, or organization
- A fusion of business/mission logic and cybersecurity outcomes



- An alignment of cybersecurity requirements with operational methodologies
- A basis for assessment and expressing target state
- A decision support tool for cybersecurity risk management

Building a Profile

A Profile Can be Created in Three Steps



Supporting Risk Management with Framework



Key Attributes

It's a framework, not a prescription

- It provides a common language and systematic methodology for managing cyber risk
- It is meant to be adapted
- It does not tell a company <u>how</u> much cyber risk is tolerable, nor does it claim to provide "the one and only" formula for cybersecurity
- Having a common lexicon to enable action across a very diverse set of stakeholders will enable the best practices of elite companies to become standard practices for everyone

• The framework is a living document

- It is intended to be updated over time as stakeholders learn from implementation, and as technology and risks change
- That's one reason why the framework focuses on questions an organization needs to ask itself to manage its risk. While practices, technology, and standards will change over time—principals will not

Where Should I Start?

(1) Business Environment (ID.BE): The organization's mission, objectives, stakeholders, and activities are understood and prioritized; this information is used to inform cybersecurity roles, responsibilities, and risk management decisions.

Framework Version 1.0, Section 3.2, Step 1: Prioritize and Scope. The organization identifies its business/mission objectives and high-level organizational priorities. With this information, the organization makes strategic decisions regarding cybersecurity implementations and determines the scope of systems and assets that support the selected business line or process. The Framework can be adapted to support the different business lines or processes within an organization, which may have different business needs and associated risk tolerance. (2a) Governance (ID.GV): The policies, procedures, and processes to manage and monitor the organization's regulatory, legal, risk, environmental, and operational requirements are understood and inform the management of cybersecurity risk

(2b) Risk Management Strategy (ID.RM): The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerances, and assumptions are established and used to support operational risk decisions.



Industry Use

The Framework is designed to complement existing business and cybersecurity operations, and has been used to:

- Self-Assessment, Gap Analysis, Budget & Resourcing Decisions
- Standardizing Communication Between Business Units
- Harmonize Security Operations with Audit
- Communicate Requirements with Partners and Suppliers
- Describe Applicability of Products and Services
- Identify Opportunities for New or Revised Standards
- Categorize College Course Catalogs
- As a Part of Cybersecurity Certifications
- Categorize and Organize Requests for Proposal Responses
- Consistent dialog, both within and amongst countries
- Common platform on which to innovate, by identifying market opportunities where tools and capabilities may not exist today

Framework – One Year After Release



February 12, 2015

Examples of Industry Resources

Cybersecurity Guidance for Small Firms





The Cybersecurity Framework in Action: An Intel Use Case

Cybersecurity Risk Management and Best Practices Working Group 4: Final Report





Energy Sector Cybersecurity Framework Implementation Guidance

Examples of U.S. State & Local Use



- Aligned Agency Security Plans with Framework
- Aligned Product and Service Vendor Requirements with Framework

North Dakota, Information Technology Department

- Allocated Roles & Responsibilities using Framework
- Adopted the Framework into their Security Operation Strategy





Houston, Greater Houston Partnership

- Integrated Framework into their Cybersecurity Guide
- Making Houston Greater.

GREATER HOUSTON

PARTNERSHIP

Offer On-Line Framework Self-Assessment

National Association of State CIOs

 2 out of 3 CIOs from the 2015 NASCIO Awards cited Framework as a part of their award-winning strategy





New Jersey

Developed a cybersecurity framework that aligns controls and procedures with Framework

Framework Roadmap Items

Authentication

Automated Indicator Sharing

Conformity Assessment

Cybersecurity Workforce

Data Analytics

Federal Agency Cybersecurity Alignment

International Aspects, Impacts, and Alignment

Supply Chain Risk Management

Technical Privacy Standards

Framework Roadmap Items

Authentication

Automated Indicator Sharing

Conformity Assessment

Cybersecurity Workforce

Data Analytics

Federal Agency Cybersecurity Alignment
International Aspects, Impacts, and Alignment
Supply Chain Risk Management
Technical Privacy Standards

Standards/Guidelines for FISMA & RM

FIPS - Federal Information Processing Standards

- FIPS 199 Standards for Security Categorization
- FIPS 200 Minimum Security Requirements
- **SPs** Special Publications
- SP 800-18 Guide for System Security Plan development
- SP 800-30 Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments
- SP 800-34 Guide for Contingency Plan development
- SP 800-37 Guide for Applying the Risk Management Framework
- SP 800-39 Managing Information Security Risk
- SP 800-53/53A Security controls catalog/assessment procedures
- SP 800-60 Mapping Information Types to Security Categories
- SP 800-128 Security-focused Configuration Management
- SP 800-137 Information Security Continuous Monitoring
- Many others for operational and technical implementations

Recent Framework Related Policy and Legislation

Cybersecurity Enhancement Act of 2014

- Codified NIST's on-going role facilitating Framework evolution
- Asked NIST to facilitate less redundancies in regulation





OMB Memorandum M-16-03 & 04

- M-16-03: FY 2015-16 Guidance on Federal Information Security and Privacy Management Requirements
 - M-16-04: Cybersecurity Strategy and Implementation Plan

Circular A-130 Update

- Provides generalized guidance for use of pre-existing FISMA-based guidance like Risk Management Framework with Cybersecurity Framework
- NIST publishing guidance on using Risk Management Framework and Cybersecurity Framework together



Tailoring SP 800-53 Security Controls

Use Case #3 for Risk Management Framework & Cybersecurity Framework



Framework Roadmap Items

Authentication

Automated Indicator Sharing Conformity Assessment

Cybersecurity Workforce

Data Analytics

Federal Agency Cybersecurity Alignment

International Aspects, Impacts, and Alignment

Supply Chain Risk Management

Technical Privacy Standards

International Dialogs

Twenty eight (28) countries have participated in discussion with NIST, including dialog with:

- The European Union, and 14 out of 28
 Member States
- 4 out of 5 of the Five Eyes
- 6 countries in Asia
- 5 countries in the Middle East

Emerging International Use - Italy

Italy's *National Framework for Cybersecurity*:

- <u>http://www.cybersecurityframework.it/</u>
- Adopted 100% of the NIST Cybersecurity Framework
- Extended NIST Cybersecurity Framework
- Created with industry and academia
- Published in both Italian and English

Resources

Where to Learn More and Stay Current

The National Institute of Standards and Technology Web site is available at <u>http://www.nist.gov</u>

NIST Computer Security Division Computer Security Resource Center is available at <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/</u>

The Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity and related news and information are available at <u>www.nist.gov/cyberframework</u>

> For additional Framework info and help cyberframework@nist.gov

