



#NISTForensics

Evaluating Sources of Variability in Forensic Fiber Trace Evidence Examination

Julie L. Bitter, Amanda L. Forster, Jack L. Glover, Sydney C. Brooks, & Ha Kim Ngan Doan Material Measurement Laboratory, Material Measurement Science Division National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD Julie.Bitter@nist.gov 301-975-5384





Goals and Objectives

Globally, **millions of metric tons** of fibers are produced every year



Performance Chemicals from BASF

Methods of Analysis

- Microscopy
 - Stereo
 - Polarized Light
 - Comparison
 - Fluorescence
- Microspectrophotometry
- Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
- Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray
- Pyrolysis Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

To improve confidence in and reliability of analyses for fiber evidence.

Identify needs and requirements by:

 Examining techniques, instrumentation, and methodology used by forensic science community Understand potential sources of variability and make recommendations to reduce measurement uncertainty:

 Recommend ways to shift from qualitative observations to quantitative measurements.

Experimental Plan: A 2-Pronged Effort



1. Pre-Compression Measurement of Fiber Characteristics

- Embed fibers in polymer matrix
- Hand-section fibers with razor blade
- Mount thin sections and carbon coat
- Collect images using SEM
- Process images with computer algorithm
- Obtain parameters of interest
- Examine variability within & among fibers

2. Post-Compression Analyses of Fiber Thin Films

- Mount fibers in diamond cell
- Compress at different torques
- Measure film with optical profilometry
- Obtain measurements of film thickness
- Examine variability across compressed areas
- Measure FTIR spectrum at same torques
- Determine if patterns emerge

Microtrace Forensic Fiber Reference Collection - https://www.microtracellc.com/service/forensic-fiber-reference-collection/



FORENSICS@NIST

Pre-Compression: Analysis of Cross-Sectional Images (HFW of images = 144µm)







. . .







FORENSICS @ NIST

Image analysis via the *water shed algorithm*:

 The micrograph can be visually divided into 2 sections, fiber and background, where the bright border acts as a topographic edge. A thresholded image is created as a guess for the algorithm to use.





FORENSICS@NIST

Image analysis via the *water shed algorithm*:

- The micrograph can be visually divided into 2 sections, fiber and background, where the bright border acts as a topographic edge. A thresholded image is created as a guess for the algorithm to use.
- The image is then filled in, which falls either inside the border (fiber) or outside (background), until it fills up to the edge.



Image analysis via the *water shed algorithm*:

- The micrograph can be visually divided into 2 sections, fiber and background, where the bright border acts as a topographic edge. A thresholded image is created as a guess for the algorithm to use.
- The image is then filled in, which falls either inside the border (fiber) or outside (background), until it reaches the edge.
- 3. The algorithm determines based on this filling as to what it labels as the fiber (green) or the background (red).





FORENSICS@NIST

Image analysis via the *water shed algorithm*:

- The micrograph can be visually divided into 2 sections, fiber and background, where the bright border acts as a topographic edge. A thresholded image is created as a guess for the algorithm to use.
- The image is then filled in, which falls either inside the border (fiber) or outside (background), until it reaches the edge.
- 3. The algorithm determines based on this filling as to what it labels as the fiber (green) or the background (red).
- 4. Parameters of interest, such as the equivalent diameter are computed

area_px: 183858 perimeter_um: 263.538152585 solidity: 0.983303027062 area_um: 3665.65784352 centroid: (554, 526) equiv_diam_um: 68.3173515576

FORENSICS @ NIST

Pre-Compression: Analysis of Cross-Sectional Images (HFW of images = 144µm)





126_Olefin



152_Polyester









FORENSICS @ NIST



FORENSICS@NIST

Pre-Compression: Centroid to Radii Distance Measurements





FORENSICS@NIST

Pre-Compression: Centroid to Radii Distance Measurements





FORENSICS @NIST

Experimental Plan: A 2-Pronged Effort



1. Pre-Compression Measurement of Fiber Characteristics

- Embed fibers in polymer matrix
- Hand-section fibers with razor blade
- Mount thin sections and carbon coat
- Collect images using SEM
- Process images with computer algorithm
- Obtain parameters of interest
- Examine variability within & among fibers

2. Post-Compression Analyses of Fiber Thin Films

- Mount fibers in diamond cell
- Compress at different torques
- Measure film with optical profilometry
- Obtain measurements of film thickness
- Examine variability across compressed areas
- Measure FTIR spectrum at same torques
- Determine if patterns emerge

Microtrace Forensic Fiber Reference Collection - https://www.microtracellc.com/service/forensic-fiber-reference-collection/



FORENSICS@NIST

Post-Compression: Understanding Effect of Torque



Diamond Compression Cell



3D Printed Adapter



Adapter used with Torque Wrench



FORENSICS@NIST

Torques measured ranged from 4 to 7 in-lb

Post-Compression: Profilometry of Fiber Films

A profilometer measures the profile of a surface to quantify roughness, or in our case film thickness

- Measuring the difference of light path between a *test surface* and a *reference surface*
- At equal distances from the beam splitter, interference fringes are formed
- Fringes are generated by optical path differences *due to height variances* on the test surface





Zygo, "Optical Profiler Basics" – <u>http://www.zygo.com/?/met/profilers/opticalprofilersabout.htm</u>



ORENSICS @NIST

Post-Compression: Profilometry of Fiber Films

Is the thickness of the fiber film uniform? Does it have variations? What about fiber shape?





FORENSICS @ NIST

Post-Compression: Profilometry of Fiber Films

Is the thickness of the fiber film uniform? Does it have variations? What about fiber shape?





FORENSICS @ NIST

Post-Compression: FTIR of Fiber Films



41.1µm average diameter

31.4µm average diameter



FORENSICS @ NIST

Post-Compression: FTIR of Fiber Films



22.6µm average diameter

13.1µm average diameter



FORENSICS @NIST

Where are we now?

To improve confidence in and reliability of analyses for fiber evidence.

Identify needs and requirements by:

 Examining techniques, instrumentation, and methodology used by forensic science community Understand potential sources of variability and make recommendations to reduce measurement uncertainty:

 Recommend ways to shift from qualitative observations to quantitative measurements.

Current Research State:

 Examining the use of computer algorithms to aid in image analysis

Developed a 3D printed

wrench

adapter for standardizing

compression using a torque



 Measuring fiber film thickness with optical profilometry

 Exploring the effects of fiber film thickness on FTIR analyses to make recommendation







FORENSICS@NIST

Future Work and Directions

- 1. Continue small scale size study
- 2. Explore the effect of shape on fibers of the same type (acrylic, nylon, etc.)









- 3. Examine how fiber processing techniques may affect compression and analysis
- cablingheat settingcolor fasteningtwistingdyeingstain protectant





Thank you for your Attention!

Thanks to:

Eric Steel & Susan Ballou for funding this work Our undergraduate interns, Sydney Brooks & Ngan Doan Edwin Chan for use of the profilometer

Contact:

Julie Bitter – julie.bitter@nist.gov, 301-975-5384

Amanda Forster – <u>amanda.forster@nist.gov</u>, 301-975-5632

Jack Glover – jack.glover@nist.gov, 301-975-8821

