Criteria and Attestation Approaches for Code Provenance

Dan Lorenc Founder and CEO, Chainguard dlorenc@chainguard.dev twitter.com/lorenc_dan

Agenda

- Attestations explained
- Different Types of Attestations

 In-Toto, Hardware, TPM
- Attestation Use Cases
- Attestation Gotchas

Overall Goals in Code Provenance

- Cryptographically-verifiable information for every step in a supply chain
- Going from an artifact all the way back to the keyboard code was written on and the machines code was built on
- TPMs and FIDO2
- Ability to make policy decisions based on cryptographically verifiable metadata

Attestations



at·tes·ta·tion

/ a te stāSH(ə)n, adə stāSH(ə)n/

noun

evidence or proof of something.

"their vocabulary is no attestation to your value as a parent"

- a declaration that something exists or is the case.
 "personal attestations and subjective claims only matter so much"
- the action of being a witness to or formally certifying something. "he failed to prove the attestation of the will by the witness"

Attestations vs. Signatures

Signatures are a tool and a primitive, **not** an answer or a solution!



In-Toto Attestations

- Envelope: Handles authentication and serialization.
- Statement: Binds the attestation to a particular subject and unambiguously identifies the types of the predicate.
- Predicate: Contains arbitrary metadata about the subject, with a type-specific schema.
- Bundle: Defines a method of grouping multiple attestations together.



github.com/intoto/attestation

In-Toto Attestations - Statement Types



Other Types of Attestations

Remote Attestations

- Trusted Computing
- Allows a remote system to verify a system is in a known good state
- Two party protocol challenge based

Hardware Attestations

- Allow KMS/HSM systems to prove that keys were generated on hardware (so they can't be leaked)
- Allow hardware to prove it was built by a specific manufacturer (FIDO2 devices)

Combining Attestations

- Developer signs commit
 - o using bound FIDO2 token with device attestation
 - On remote-attested machine (known good state)
- Build system generates provenance attestation
 - Contains input source digest and artifact digest
 - On remote-attested machine (known good state)
- Vulnerability scan system produces report attestation



Attestation Gotchas - Monotonicity

- Monotonic Must be **positive** statements, progressing toward an approval
- The lack of an attestation should never allow an approval
 - Vulnerability scans are a tricky example
- Expiration rather than revocation

BAD

VulnerabilitiesPresent:

- CVE123
- CVE234
- CVE456

GOOD

TimeStamp: 2021-11-05 VulnerabilityScanResult: Scanner: <u>https://myscanner</u> Results: PASS Policy: NO_CRITICAL

Attestation Gotchas - PKI

- PKI is **much** more than just signing
- This is deceptively complex seems simple at first but gets complex very quickly
- Challenges:
 - Key management: rotation, revocation, discovery
 - **Diverse environments**: air-gapped data-centers, public OSS repositories, companies
 - **Complexity**: if this is too hard to use no one will
 - Interoperability: Need solutions to work for everyone

Project Statuses

- **SLSA:** Supply-chain Levels for Software Artifacts
 - Intel, Google, RedHat, VMWare, Datadog, Linux Foundation, Citibank, ActiveState, more!
 - Part of OpenSSF under Linux Foundation
- Sigstore: Supply Chain Transparency and Integrity
 - Free code signing certificates and transparency log
 - 0 380+ contributors, 20+ companies, ~1m entries
 - Support for In-Toto Attestations and SPDX/CycloneDX SBOM formats

Questions?

- twitter.com/lorenc_dan
- dlorenc@chainguard.dev
- openssf.org
- sigstore.dev
- slsa.dev