

# Effects of Detergents on the Crystallization of Bacteriorhodopsin

Emily Blick Mentor:Thomas Cleveland Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce





## OUTLINE

- Protein crystallization
  - Why?
  - How?
- Membrane proteins
  - How is approach to crystallization different?
  - Lipidic cubic phase: what is it, and why use it?
- Project Aims
- Approach
- Results

### PROTEIN CRYSTALLIZATION



Bacteriorhodopsin diffraction patterns and resulting structural determination.

- Protein crystals are necessary for structure determination by x-ray crystallography
  - Protein crystal is exposed to x-ray beam
  - Results in diffraction patterns from electron clouds
  - Determines three dimensional structure of proteins and macromolecules
  - Structures necessary for understanding protein function
    - binding to other proteins
    - binding to drugs
    - enzyme mechanisms



X-ray diffraction

## HOW IS IT USUALLY DONE?

#### SOLUBLE PROTEINS

- Highly concentrate target protein
- Introduce precipitant to encourage crystal growth
- Hanging drop vapor diffusion



#### MEMBRANE PROTEINS

- Proteins are not soluble
  - Need detergents!
- Highly concentrate target protein
- Introduce precipitant to encourage crystal growth
- Protein and detergent complexes may also be incorporated into the lipidic cubic phase

### OVERVIEW

- In meso crystallization is important method for some classes of membrane proteins
  - Small proteins with few crystal contacts in hydrophilic domains
  - Better crystal packing can lead to higher-resolution crystal structures
- Detergents are used to solubilize proteins
  - Importance of detergent identity unknown in the cubic phase
  - Currently expensive and highly purified detergents in use
- Protein and detergent complexes are incorporated into lipidic cubic phase
  - Compare success of wide span of detergents

#### THE ROLE OF DETERGENT



#### - Hydrophobic tail



- Amphiphilic nature allows hydrophobic parts of membrane proteins to be solubilized
  - Forms lipid bilayer
- Large span of detergent properties
- Detergent characteristics affect chemical properties
  - Detergent monomers
  - Micelles have different shapes and sizes
- Prepares for incorporation into lipidic cubic phase



### DETERGENTS IN SOLUTION

- Detergents form micelles in solution, and around membrane proteins
  - Hydrophobic effect
- Micelles can be different shapes and sizes
  - Some detergents are "better" than others for some proteins
  - This varies from protein to protein





### THE CUBIC PHASE



- Three dimensional bilayer with water, lipid, and protein
  - Forms a bicontinuous phase
- Protein reconstituted into the lipid bilayer
  - Protein remains in native and active conformation
  - Protein mobility
- Precipitant added to induce phase separation
  - Phase that has high levels of protein can encourage crystal growth
- Viscous and difficult handling



### IN MESO CRYSTALLOGENESIS

- Lipidic cubic phase formed through lipid hydration
  - 40% hydration for protein incorporation
- Cubic mesophase as environment for crystallization
- Hydrated monoolein to Pn3m stage





### CRYSTALLIZATION OVERVIEW





#### 

## MAKING LCP AND PERFORMING CRYSTALLIZATION TRIALS





Sandwich plates



## OUTLINE

- Protein crystallization
  - Why?
  - How?
- Membrane proteins
  - How is approach to crystallization different?
  - Lipidic cubic phase: what is it, and why use it?
- Project Aims
- Approach
- Results

### **PROJECT AIMS**

I. Determine whether a variety of detergents (other than the standard octyl glucoside) support crystallization of bR in LCP.

Other crystallization-related side issues:

- SANS is done in  $D_2O$ . We need to verify that crystals can still be obtained.
- Crystallization is usually done in bR after size exclusion chromatography. For rapid detergent screening, we will only use centrifugation.
- 2. Use scattering to measure shape/size of micelles for common detergents
- 3. Determine what happens to these detergents upon incorporation into the LCP, and after addition of precipitant.

### **PROJECT AIMS**

"**Does** the detergent matter?"

Why does it (not) matter?

I. Determine whether a variety of detergents (other than the standard octyl glucoside) support crystallization of bR in LCP.

Other crystallization-related side issues:

- SANS is done in  $D_2O$ . We need to verify that crystals can still be obtained.
- Crystallization is usually done in bR after size exclusion chromatography. For rapid detergent screening, we will only use centrifugation.
- 2. Use scattering to measure shape/size of micelles for common detergents
- 3. Determine what happens to these detergents upon incorporation into the LCP, and after addition of precipitant.

### MOTIVATION

- > In solution, detergents that are good for protein stability can be bad for crystallization:
  - Large micelle
  - Heterogeneous
- Detergents that are good for crystallization can be bad for stability, or can present other practical difficulties:
  - Can be extremely costly
  - Other poor properties (e.g., low solubility, complex pH/temperature behavior, etc.)
- > Hypothesis: micelles dissociate upon incorporation into the lipidic cubic phase, so the detergent identity becomes less important than in solution.
- It would be nice to be able to work with any detergent that your protein is stable in without having to separately consider whether that detergent will allow crystallization.

## OUTLINE

- Protein crystallization
  - Why?
  - How?
- Membrane proteins
  - How is approach to crystallization different?
  - Lipidic cubic phase: what is it, and why use it?
- Project Aims
- Approach
- Results

#### MODEL SYSTEM: BACTERIORHODOPSIN (BR)



- Photosynthetic transmembrane protein in Halobacterium salinarum
  - Converts light energy into proton gradient
  - Naturally present in "purple membrane:" twodimensional crystals embedded in cell membrane
- Structure and function studied in detail
- Stable
- Crystallization propensity

#### 

### BR CAN BE EXPRESSED AND PURIFIED IN LARGE AMOUNTS





### FURTHER PURIFICATION BY SIZE EXCLUSION CHROMATOGRAPHY (SEC)

Addition of OG to purple membrane:



t = 0 I day



Size exclusion chromatography column



HO

(O⊦

OH

ÓН

### DETERGENT CLASSES SELECTED FOR STUDY

Maltoside Detergents



Neopentyl Glycol Detergents



ÔН

w+x+y+z=20

HO.

O OCH<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>10</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>



Tween Detergents



HO

n-Octyl- $\beta$ -D-Glucopyranoside **\$20.12** 

Elugent \$1.48

n-Dodecyl-N,N-Dimethylamine-N-Oxide



Fos-Choline

### SMALL-ANGLE NEUTRON SCATTERING

• Scattering of neutrons through interaction with nuclei

- Scattering is result of inhomogeneities in sample (scattering length density)
- Detector can move to reach a range of scattering angles

Ks Ki





### WHY NEUTRONS?



- Contrast matching
  - Exchanging hydrogen for deuterium in order to match your solvent to a component of sample
    - $D_2O$  has scattering length density of  $6.3 \times 10^{-6}$  Å<sup>-2</sup>
    - $H_2O$  has scattering length density of -0.56×10<sup>-6</sup> Å<sup>-2</sup>
    - H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O mixture can match any sample component with SLD between those values
  - Can be used to silence some features and examine others



up most of the sample)

Adjust  $[H_2O]/[D_2O]$  of solvent so that its scattering length density matches the lipid

#### With contrast matching:

Scattering will be mostly from structures embedded in the (now-invisible) lipid

## OUTLINE

- Protein crystallization
  - Why?
  - How?
- Membrane proteins
  - How is approach to crystallization different?
  - Lipidic cubic phase: what is it, and why use it?
- Project Aims
- Approach
- Results

### RESULTS

- I. Crystallization trials
  - Different detergents?
  - H2O vs D2O?
  - Size-exclusion chromatography necessary or not?
- 2. Scattering Measurements
  - Detergent micelles
  - Detergents in the lipidic cubic phase
    - ✓ After initial mixing
    - ✓ Immediately after precipitant addition





#### PROTEIN SOLUBLIZATION





Concentration of precipitant (Na/K Pi pH 5.6)

Typical plate layout



Concentration of precipitant (Na/K Pi pH 5.6)

Typical plate layout

#### Crystals after ~5 days:



#### Crystals after ~5 days:

Octyl Glucoside

Elugent\*

Anapoe X-100\*\*



No hits yet: OGNG LMNG C12E9 DDM LDAO

\*Essentially a less-pure (far less expensive) form of octyl glucoside that has a distribution of different carbon chain-lengths. \*\*The same compound as Triton X-100, but this specific product is supplied with low peroxide content and packaged under inert gas.

#### Crystals after ~5 days:



No hits yet: OGNG LMNG C12E9 DDM LDAO

Neither Elugent nor Triton X-100 are typically used for solution crystallization of membrane proteins!

- Both of them are heterogeneous, low-purity detergent mixtures.
- Both are extremely inexpensive

### RESULTS

- I. Crystallization trials
  - Different detergents?
  - H2O vs D2O?
  - Size-exclusion chromatography necessary or not?
- 2. Scattering Measurements
  - Detergent micelles
  - Detergents in the lipidic cubic phase
    - $\checkmark$  After initial mixing
    - $\checkmark$  Immediately after precipitant addition

### DETERGENTS IN SOLUTION

Detergent micelles can have a variety of shapes/sizes.

Example scattering curves:



#### 

### MICELLE SHAPES AND SIZES

#### ELLIPTICAL CYLINDER

#### SPHERE

Detergent	Length (A)	Radius (A)	Detergent	Length (A)	Radius (A)	Detergent	Radius
n-Tridecyl	17.998	17.998	C12E9	54.262	22.839	LDAO	20.271
n-Tetradecyl	18.089	18.089	C10E6	55.898	16.142	n-Decyl	21.648
LMNG	27.256	18.507	Anapoe-35	56.375	20.153	CYMAL 5	21.885
Fos-Choline	31.147	14.006	Anapoe-305	58.193	18.127	n-Dodecyl	25.192
Triton	35.261	22.887	Anapoe-20	62.894	21.363	CI2E8	29.102
Anapoe-40	41.354	18.898	C13E8	64.197	19.776		
Anapoe-100	42.076	18.773	Anapoe-80	73.597	27.083		
Anapoe-58	43.043	33.713	OG	139.471	10.454		
C10E9	43.589	15.863	ELUGENT	187.012	13.901		
C12E10	50.307	18.717	OG Neo	224.900	9.3717		

# WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DETERGENT IN THE LIPIDIC CUBIC PHASE?

## WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DETERGENTS IN THE LIPIDIC CUBIC PHASE?



Without contrast matching: most observed scattering will just be from the LCP

### WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DETERGENTS IN THE LIPIDIC CUBIC PHASE?



Without contrast matching: most observed scattering will just be from the LCP

### WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DETERGENTS IN THE LIPIDIC CUBIC PHASE?



With contrast-matched lipids: scattering from any structures formed by detergents will be observed

### DETERGENTS IN THE LCP – SANS



#### **Compare cubic phases with/without detergent:**

- I. Without detergent
- 2. With detergent
- 3. With detergent + 2 M Na/K Pi Precipitant

Very little change

### DETERGENTS IN THE LCP – SANS



Compare cubic phase scattering to what we would see if there were aggregated structures such as micelles

#### **Curves**

- I. Detergent solution micelle
- 2. Similar amount of detergent in LCP
- 3. LCP/detergent after addition of precipitant

Detergent aggregates are not seen. Detergent is dispersed in the cubic phase.





### DETERGENTS IN THE LCP - X-RAY



Detergent aggregates were not seen; but what effects do they have on the cubic phase lattice? Used x-ray diffraction:

- Detergent solutions (3%) were mixed with the lipid to form cubic phases
- Bragg peak positions can be used to determine the lattice spacing of the cubic phase
- Detergents cause swelling in the cubic phase
- Crystals were obtained from Triton X-100 and Octyl Glucoside
- No direct correlation between lattice parameter vs. crystallization hits.

Detergent	Lattice Par. (Å)
OG	113.8
DDM	111.8
LDAO	108.1
C12E9	108.1
TX100	106.4
LMNG	106.4
None	101.4

### CONCLUSIONS

- In LCP crystals of bR can be obtained in additional detergents aside from the traditionally-used octyl glucoside
  - include atypical (for crystallization studies) detergents such as Triton X-100 and Elugent
- Less-refined detergents in advantageous due to:
  - Low cost
  - May be most suitable for protein stability in certain proteins
- Absence of LCP crystals other detergents may not be due to "incompatibility" with crystallization but instead:
  - Poor solution stability before LCP incorporation (LDAO which showed color changes indicative of bR denaturation)
- Detergents matter less in the cubic phase because they disaggregate
- Properties depend more on the lipid then dispersed detergent

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- My mentor: Thomas Cleveland
- SURF Coordinators: Julie Borchers, Joseph Dura, and Brandi Toliver
- Center for High Resolution Neutron Scattering
- NIST Center for Neutron Research
- My fellow NCNR SURFers.







### REFERENCES

- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1186895/
- <u>https://phys.org/news/2015-12-bacteriorhodopsin-crystals-consume-smaller-counterparts.html</u>
- <u>http://www.chem.ucla.edu/~harding/ec\_tutorials/tutorial60.pdf</u>
- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3182643/
- http://www.chem.uwec.edu/Chem455/expressbR.pdf