## Communicating Forensic Findings: Forensic Document Examination

Miriam Angel, Los Angeles Police Department

June 25-26, 2024

#### What does a Forensic Document Examiner (FDE) do?

Examine documents to determine...

- Who wrote them
- Whether they are authentic
- Facts about them, such as how they were created or handled

### FDE Expertise – Handwriting

Studies on FDE vs. Layperson performance on handwriting and signature comparisons

- Similar rates of correct association responses
- Laypersons make significantly more incorrect associations

### Differentiability of Handwriting

- "...FDEs appreciate the sources and range of natural variation both between and within individuals.
- The causes of intra- and inter-writer variation, and the arguments for why intra-writer variation is smaller than inter-writer variation, have deep roots in motor control theory." (*Forensic Handwriting Examination and Human Factors, 2021*)

# Handwriting is the Result of a Behavior/Activity

- A person's handwriting can vary beyond normal due to
  - internal or external factors (such as illness or uncomfortable writing conditions)
  - purposeful change (disguise or simulation)

#### Basis for a Handwriting Opinion Supporting Same-Source

• "No two people write alike"

#### Same writer?



#### Basis for a Handwriting Opinion Supporting Same-Source

• "No two people write alike"

• Given a *sufficient amount* of *natural* writing, no two people are *likely* to produce handwriting that is exactly the same in terms of character construction, line quality, and other handwriting features.

Complexity

L. Ben July



Complexity?



#### Limitations

Mr. Roque took Joship na 967 ng near o hav and sel on 102 ma just has a natura



### Assessments are Subjective

Forensic handwriting examination, as currently practiced, is not about:

- Weighing similarities and differences (only)
- Frequency occurrence proportions of individual characteristics

#### Assessments are Subjective

Opinions are based on the examiner's...

- understanding of the case information and request
- knowledge of handwriting
- experience
- reasoned judgment

#### Current Opinion Scale



#### **Current Conclusions Standard**

#### 4. Terminology

#### 4.1 Recommended Terms:

**Identification (definite conclusion of identity)**—this is the highest degree of confidence expressed by document examiners in handwriting comparisons. The examiner has no reservations whatever, and although prohibited from using the word "fact," the examiner is certain, based on evidence contained in the handwriting, that the writer of the known material actually wrote the writing in question.

-From the SWGDOC Standard Terminology for Expressing Conclusions of Forensic Document Examiners

#### **Current Conclusions Standard**

**strong probability (highly probable, very probable)**—the evidence is very persuasive, yet some critical feature or quality is missing so that an identification is not in order; however, the examiner is virtually certain that the questioned and known writings were written by the same individual.

#### **Questioned (Q)**

Janet, you know how much I lave you, if you cannot be with me, you will never be with nobody of will put acid a pur face and I will destroy you and we both have to die o

Known Writer 1 Known Writer 2





#### Q

Janet, you know how much & lave you, if you cannot be with me, you will never be with nobody o I will put acid n pur face and I will destroy you and we both have to die o

		-the Plover	
T7 1	Butterfinger Blandies		@ 398A Typaz crystel lived cranberry
<b>K1</b>	Heat over to 350°. Greak a	-Majar Petropreu's Lest Stad	lived cranberry
	9×13 inch glass baking dish well		(25 grows) Kryshly lived
-2-	with bother an a non shek sprayo	The Vendetta	- sto copert
	Set dish aside a If you do a grothy	Defense	(25grams) 173 Copper
	job of greasing the Den, the bars	by Lisa Scottalini	(?) Silver lived tapazz
	In a wedium bowl with a	Almond Crescent Cookies	
	mixer on medium gread, contrine		(rankens/ Topaz
	botter and boun suger . Mix until	1 phy (1002) on Days a 14 cups flow	
	Smooth . Beat in eggs and vanilla.	4 cipsinger 1 cips butter	R-S/L PINK AB
	In a swall bow-l, can bride	- 1 typ vanilla extract	2 - 5/2 Awethyst AB
	blow, baking powder and self.	I cup powdered sugar	30 gran each
	Stir flow mixture and I cup of the chapped Buttafingers into Batter.	Measure 13 cup almard	BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY HomeServices California Properties
	the copyre porchogad the mintro	- Measure 13 cups almards in plender until fine, sugar and ground almost	cantorna properties

#### Q

Janet, you know how much & love you, if you cannot be with me, you will never be with nobody o I will sut acid a jun face and I will destroy you and we both have to die o

**K2** 



### Opinions using current standard

- Examiner A
  - It is highly probable the K1 writer wrote Q.
  - $\bullet$  No determination could be made whether the K2 writer wrote Q.
- Examiner B
  - It is highly probable the K1 writer wrote Q.
  - It is highly probable the K2 writer did not write Q.

### Proposed Standard Opinions

- Extremely Strong Support for Different Sources
- Strong Support for Different Sources
- Moderate Support for Different Sources
- Limited Support for Different Sources
- Equal support
- Limited Support for Common Source
- Moderate Support for Common Source
- Strong Support for Common Source
- Extremely Strong Support for Common Source

### "Support"

- If the probability of observing the handwriting features if proposition X is true is larger than the probability of observing these features if proposition Y is true, then the findings support proposition X over proposition Y.
- If the probability of observing these features is about the same under both propositions, the findings provide approximately equal support for each proposition.



#### **Transition Phase**

If your opinion in SWGDOC terminology is:	Your conclusion should/may be worded:
Elimination	Extremely strong support for different sources
Highly probable did not	Strong support for different sources
Probably not	Moderate (or strong) support for different sources
Indications may not have	Limited (or moderate) support for different sources
No conclusion / Inconclusive	Equal support
Indications may have	Limited (or moderate) support for same source
Probably	Moderate (or strong) support for same source
Highly Probable	Strong support for same source
ID	Extremely Strong support for same source

\*Important Note: The conclusions in the second column follow from those in the first column. However, the reverse is not true; conclusions in the first column **do not** necessarily follow from those in the second column.

### **Evaluative Reporting Approach**

Ideally, the examiner would

• Assess the probability of observing the findings if the same person wrote both samples of writing

#### and

• Assess the probability of observing the findings if different people wrote both samples of writing

If the findings are	Verbal expression
Exactly as expected	Extremely high probability
Largely as expected	Very high probability
Moderately as expected	Moderately high probability
Neutral	Balanced probability
Moderately divergent	Moderately low probability
Largely divergent	Very low probability
Completely divergent	Extremely low probability

Limitations move the probability/probabilities toward Neutral

\*Table adapted from Brent Ostrum's Table 3.2 in Forensic Document Examination in the 21st Century

#### Example Case

- H1: The writer of the known writing K wrote the questioned document Q
- H2: Someone other than the writer of the known writing K wrote the questioned document Q

#### Evaluation

- Probability of the findings given H1 is Very High
- Probability of the findings given H2 is **Very Low**

Janet, you know how much I lave you, if you cannot be with me, your will never be with nobody o I will put acid n pur face and I will destroy you and we both have to die o

6

Butterpinger Blandies Heat over to 350°. Grease a 9 × 13 inch glass baking dish well with botton an a non that spray. Set dish aside a gotty job of greasing the pen, the bars will shehe In a wedium boal with a mixer on medium gread, contrine botter and boun suger . Mix until Smooth . Beat in eggs and vanilla. In a swall bowl, contine plan, baking powder and selt. Stir flow mixture and I cup of He digged Buttafingers into Batter.

		Probability of the findings given H1						
		Extremely Low	Very Low	Low	Neutral	High	Very High	Extremely High
	Extremely Low	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H1 over H2
	Very Low	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2
	Low	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2
	Neutral	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2
	High	Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2
	Very High	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2
	Extremely High	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 or Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2

Probabilities of the findings given H2

		Probability of the findings given H1						
		Extremely Low	Very Low	Low	Neutral	High	<mark>Very High</mark>	Extremely High
	Extremely Low	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H1 over H2
	<mark>Very Low</mark>	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2
	Low	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides strong support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2
	Neutral	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2	Evidence provides moderate support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2
	High	Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2
	Very High	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 <u>or</u> Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2
	Extremely High	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides extremely strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides strong support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides moderate support for H2 over H1 <u>or</u> Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H2 over H1	Evidence provides limited support for H1 over H2 or Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2	Evidence provides approximately equal support for H1 and H2

Probabilities of the findings given H2

### Opinion

The findings provide strong support for the writer of the known document (K) having written the questioned document (Q), rather than someone other than the K writer having written it.

This results because (1) the probability of the findings if K1 wrote Q is very high, due to the strong similarities between the samples in almost all handwriting features and (2) the probability of the findings if someone other than the writer of K1 wrote it is very low, due to the large amount of questioned writing, where the combination of handwriting features are unlikely to be observed in more than one person's writing.