# Rate of PID-p Progression in n-PERT Cells Depends on Encapsulant Resistivity and Irradiance

Brian M. Habersberger, Dow, Inc. Peter Hacke, National Renewable Energy Laboratory

### **POTENTIAL-INDUCED DEGRADATION**



### Outline

- Background on PID-p
- Study:
  - Controlled encapsulant resistivity
  - Time-dependence
  - Controlled light exposure
  - **Conclusions:** 
    - High resistivity slows PID-p progression
    - Light reverses PID-p in unstressed modules
    - Modules with low resistivity encapsulants are susceptible to PID-p even under 1 sun exposure



W. Luo, Y. S. Khoo, P. Hacke, V. Naumann, D. Lausch, S. P. Harvey, J. P. Singh, J. Chai, Y. Wang, and A. G. Aberle, Energy & Environmental Science, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 43-68, 2017.

# **POTENTIAL-INDUCED DEGRADATION**

	PID-s	PID-p
Mechanism of power loss	Accumulation of Na+ ions in stacking faults reduces shunt resistance [1]	Increased surface recombination due to accumulation of charge [2]
Role of encapsulant	Na+ migration through encapsulant [3]	Non-specific charge migration through encapsulant [2]
Critical property of encapsulant	Na+ conductivity of encapsulant (difficult to measure, not well- correlated to resistivity) [4]	Total conductivity?

 $\sigma_{total} = \sigma_{e/h} + \sigma_{Na+} + \sigma_{K+} + \sigma_{H+} + \sigma_{OH-} + \cdots$ 



2) Swanson, R., et al. In *15th PVSEC* (2005) Shanghai, China.

# **POTENTIAL-INDUCED DEGRADATION**

	PID-s	PID-p
Mechanism of power loss	Accumulation of Na+ ions in stacking faults reduces shunt resistance [1]	Increased surface recombination due to accumulation of charge [2]
Role of encapsulant	Na+ migration through encapsulant [3]	Non-specific charge migration through encapsulant [2]
Critical property of encapsulant	Na+ conductivity of encapsulant (difficult to measure, not well- correlated to resistivity) [4]	Total conductivity?

$$\sigma_{total} = \sigma_{e/h} + \sigma_{Na+} + \sigma_{K+} + \sigma_{H+} + \sigma_{OH-} + \cdots$$



To our knowledge, no previous literature reports of the impact of encapsulant resistivity within a single material class where other factors are controlled



3) Kapur, Jane, et al. *IEEE Journal* of *Photovoltaics* 5.1 (2014)

1) Naumann, Volker, et al. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* 120 (2014) 2) Swanson, R., et al. In *15th PVSEC* (2005) Shanghai, China.

# **KEY BACKGROUND WORK ON PID-P**



- PID-p occurs rapidly in low resistivity encapsulant (EVA)
- Rate of progression roughly proportional to voltage
- Typically saturates at ~12-15% power loss



Following dark PID, 40 W/m<sup>2</sup> irradiance at t = 0 causes recovery [2]

- Rapid recovery under light exposure is typical
- In some (but not all) cases, simultaneous irradiance prevents PID-p progression



#### Key questions:

- How does encapsulant conductivity impact the rate of PID-p progression?
- Under what conditions does light prevent or mitigate PID-p?
- How do the opposing forces of encapsulant conductivity and irradiance interact?





### **RESULTS OF DARK PID EXPERIMENT**



- Rapid power loss in EVA-encapsulated module, consistent with other work
- Higher resistivity encapsulants delay progression of power loss
- While POE A has 100x higher resistivity than POE C, power loss is only delayed by about 10x
  - Lab resistivity vs. in-device resistivity
  - Variation in sensitivity to different charged species
- Power loss was fully reversible with brief exposure to light in all cases



 $V_{\text{OC}}$  is used as a proxy for power loss







 $V_{\text{OC}}$  is used as a proxy for power loss

#### 100 W/m<sup>2</sup>

- EVA: Rapid loss to same extent as dark
- POE A: No evident loss
- POE B and C: Modest, slowed loss







 $V_{\text{OC}}$  is used as a proxy for power loss

100 W/m<sup>2</sup>

- EVA: Rapid loss to same extent as dark
- POE A: No evident loss
- POE B and C: Modest, slowed loss

300 W/m<sup>2</sup>

- EVA: Rapid loss to same extent as dark
- All POEs: Negligible loss





 $V_{\text{OC}}$  is used as a proxy for power loss

100 W/m<sup>2</sup>

- EVA: Rapid loss to same extent as dark
- POE A: No evident loss
- POE B and C: Modest, slowed loss

300 W/m<sup>2</sup>

- EVA: Rapid loss to same extent as dark
- All POEs: Negligible loss

#### 1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>

• EVA: Rapid loss to same extent as dark



# A SIMPLE MODEL FOR INTERPRETING RESULTS



"Stirred tank" model of PID-p

- Voltage causes a flux of charge into the cell surface
  - Inversely proportional to resistivity
- Irradiation relieves accumulated charge
  - Proportional to irradiance and current charge state
- Charge state determines cell power



Very simple model qualitatively predicts results of PID-p with illumination





Very simple model qualitatively predicts results of PID-p with illumination





Very simple model qualitatively predicts results of PID-p with illumination





Very simple model qualitatively predicts results of PID-p with illumination





- PID-p is caused by charge accumulation on surface of cell
- A type of bond defect in silicon nitride, called a K<sup>+</sup> center, is believed to result in a charge trap
- Silicon nitride is photoconductive, so illumination enables elimination of trap and draining of charge through finger electrodes
- PID-p progression and recovery are due to the competing rates of charge accumulation (regulated by the encapsulant) and drainage (regulated by SiN<sub>x</sub> conductivity)



### **IMPROVEMENTS TO THE MODEL MAY ENABLE PID PREDICTIONS**



Impact of encapsulant resistivity and simultaneous light exposure was explored in time-dependent PID experiments on n-PERT cells

Unlike PID-s, encapsulant resistivity is a critical factor in prevention of PID-p

Simultaneous light exposure was shown to mitigate PID-p, but only when combined with high resistivity encapsulants

A simple rate-based model qualitatively describes the observations, and improvements to this model could enable quantitative prediction of PID-p

