secunet

secunet Security Networks AG Experiences with the retraining of NFIQ

Gaithersburg, March 1st, 2010

Markus Nuppeney, Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) Dr. Johannes Merkle, secunet Security Networks AG Marco Breitenstein, secunet Security Networks AG

Agenda



Why retrain NFIQ?



Training approach and results



Wrap-up / discussion of next steps

2 securet

Why retrain the NFIQ?

Widely used reference

- Recognized as a reference in fingerprint quality estimation
- Used for ePassport application e.g. in Germany
- Used for Visa application e.g. in Germany
- Often coded as quality estimate in fingerprint reference data
- Seen as a reference compared to alternative approaches

Potential improvements

- Strange behaviour in some cases
 - E. g. quality values for fingertips only
- Increase speed

3

- More granular quality estimation
 - Definition of more classes
 - More homogenous distribution of classes
- Better suited training base for the target application
 - E.g. no rolled or paper scanned fingerprints for eDocuments



General Approach



Estimation by Neural Network



Thoughts on influences and parameters for the retraining



Data basis

- Original NFIQ used several databases
 - Both live-scans and inked imprints \rightarrow Inked imprints not relevant for border control
 - two imprints per finger \rightarrow only one genuine score per finger
 - 50% as training set, 50% as test set
- We used 9 live-scans of 8784 fingers, captured with 3 different sensors
 - 8 genuine scores per finger
 - →allows careful consideration of genuine score deviation by robust measures (15% quantil)
 - Computation of 450 match scores per imprint
- We used 5 matching algorithms
 - NIST, Neurotechnology, L1/Identix, Dermalog, NEC
 - NEC SDK returns match score "0" in case of non-match (internal threshold)
 - → match score statistics less significant
 - 5 classes, resembling original NFIQ



Result

Impact of neural network training parameters were small

Evaluation on test-set shows slight improvement



Promising optimization potential



8

- DET curves show: 10 classes bear more potential than 5
- Optimize class definition first
- Feature vector definition could have great optimization potential
 - E.g. apply neural network for quality assessment of minutiae



Leasons learned

- Retraining is possible ...
- But: No ready to use toolbox
- Documentation should be extended for NFIQ 2.0
 - Detailed documentation of the training process is missing
 - Information needs to be gathered by source code examination
 - Results of the original NFIQ training process are not available in detail
 - would be useful for comparing results
- Big improvement of NFIQ performance seems to be possible

9

secunet

- More examination necessary

Wrap-up







Clear potential for optimization



NIST's ideas for NFIQ 2.0 highly appreciated



Vendor-independent but modular NFIQ upgrade suggested



Looking forward to an interesting discussion



secunet

Thank you for your attention!

secunet Security Networks AG

Marco Breitenstein Head of Biometrics & eIDs +49 201 5454-3019 marco.breitenstein@secunet.com