COLOR Meaning	Description
	No action needed; Resolved
	Action Needed; Can proceed with action Action needed; Must wait for other
	development before proceeding

Reference # (Hyperlink if available)	Raised by	Issue Description	Explanatio n Doc Needed?	Std. Revision Needed?	WG Needed?	Resolved	POC	POC e-mail	Resolution
	-	500 dpi descriptions may be inconsistent in the	2						
1	Margaret Lepley Mike McCabe	standard Finger Combination revision to Table 12	Y	N	Formed Formed	OPEN OPEN	Mike McCabe Mike McCabe	mmccabe@idtp.com mmccabe@idtp.com	Need to solicit other opinions Need to solicit other opinions
<u> </u>	WIKE WICCADE	Inclusion of plantars in the standard (Table	:				WIRE WICCADE	minecabe@idtp.com	Need to solicit other opinions
3	Scott Swann	12)	?	?	Y	OPEN		-	Need to solicit other opinions
4	Brian Finegold	Domain Name Field Use	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y			A master registry of Domain names and Type 2 record layout may be useful to some, but it is not the function of a standards organization (NIST). Domain name issuers will maintain their own list of implementation versions and formatting for Typ 2 records.
	Bhan i megolu	Domain Name Field 03e	IN .	IN .	IN .				the biometric sections of TWPDES
5	Elaine Newton	Possible misalignment of ANSI/NIST ITL 2 2008 AND TWPDES concerning nillability	N	N	N	Y	Stan Larmee	stan.larmee@tsc.gov	Leb are compliant with the current ANSI/NIST ITL 2-2008 biometric standard as approved on August 12, 2008.
<u>6</u>	Brad Wing	Handling of "Chemical", "Branded", "Cut" may be inconsistent	Y	N	N	Y			No specific revisions are needed to the standards. Write a paragraph to describe how to interpret the standard.
7	Brad Wing	No apparent way to link general images and zoom-in images (tattos, scars)	Y	?	?	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
8	Austin Hicklin	Inclusion of CDEFFS information	Y	Y	Formed	OPEN	Austin Hicklin	<u>hicklin@noblis.org</u>	A working group has been established to examine the implications of potentially using an extended feature set for describing latent prints. When the group has prepared its recommendations, a process can begin to determine which parts of their recommendations may be adopted as modifications / addendums to the existing standards (including the XML version).
-	Brad Wing (asked at a					v			No specific reference or inclusion
<u>9</u> <u>10</u>	meeting) Scott Swann	Inclusion of 3D and IR facial data	Y (how to handle DNA until a std revision)	N Y	N Y (not until ISO std resolved)				to be made in the standards Plan to include a record type in the next revision of the Standards, if the ISO standard for DNA is complete.

							1		
			Y (how to						Plan to include a record type in
			handle						the next revision of the
			Voice until a		Y (not until				Standards, if the ISO standard
11	Scott Swann	Inclusion of Voice Data Record	std revision)	Y	ISO std resolved)	OPEN			
<u></u>	Scott Swann	Inclusion of voice Data Record	revision)	ř	resolved)	OPEN			for Voice is complete.
			Y (how to						
			handle Iris						
		Inclusion of Compact Iris formats in Type 17	formats		Y (not until				
		and other modifications based on IREX08	with current		ISO std				Plan to revise Record Type 17 to
12	Patrick Grother	results (including compression ratio (22.2.11)	std)	Y	resolved)	OPEN			be consistent with ISO standard
			Y (Explain						
			the						
			relevance of						
			the BPR to			Υ-			
		Inclusion of Mobile ID Device	the			awaiting	Shahram Orandi, Mike		Develop an explanatory document
	Mike McCabe	Recommendations	Standards)	N	Formed	final report	McCabe	mmccabe@idtp.com	once the BPR is finalized
14	Austin Hicklin	Extension of Palmprint to include 'Grasp"	?	?	N	OPEN		-	Need to solicit other opinions
									No specific revisions are
									needed to the standards.
		Lingding of CAD which conferrs to all huit							Write a paragraph to describe
15	Brad Wing	Handling of SAP which conform to all but background requirements for facial (15.1.13)	Y	?	N	OPEN	Brad Wing	Brad.Wing@NIST.Gov	
15	Bi au Willig			ſ	IN	OPEN	Brau Wing	biad.wing@wisi.cov	how to interpret the standard.
1/	Margaret Lepley	Compression Algorithm Specification being made Mandatory (Field 14.011)	Y	?	?	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
			ř	( 	( 				
	Brad Wing Brad Wing (asked at a	Polar iris format using Type 99 (22.1)	ř	£.	ſ	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
	meeting)	Voice to Text Record inclusion	?	2	?	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
10	meeting)	Appendix I Inclusion of Header for Angle of	:		-	OFLIN			Need to solicit other opinions
19	Austin Hicklin	View	Y	N	N	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
	Austin Hicklin	Hanlding of Multi-person photographs	?	?	?	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
		······································							Need to develop a plan for
21	Boris Shur	Coordination of ANSI/NIST and NIEM Revisions	Y	N	Formed	OPEN	Brad Wing	Brad.Wing@NIST.Gov	coordination
		Conversion of ANSI-NIST ITL 1 2007 to ITL 2					0		Awaiting results of FBI funded
22	Scott Swann	2008 and vice-versa	Y	N	Formed	OPEN			work at WVU
<u>23</u>	Peter Komarinsky	Enhancement of SMT listings	?	Y	Formed	OPEN			Awaiting SMT report from FBI COE
		Reference to "ITL-2007e-Package.xsd" in							
	Sudhi Umarji	Annex B of XML standard	Y	?	?	OPEN			Need to review
25	Mike McCabe	Elimination of Table 5	?	?	N	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
		Change 252.50 to 262.50 in ppi of Maximum							
	Elaine Newton	Pixel Density in Table 6	Y	N	N	Y			To be included in errata sheet
27	Elaine Newton	Change SFP to FFP in Table 17 for 10.029?	?	N	N	OPEN		-	Wing to review
									No action required. A/N-2000
									defined T16 without reserved
									fields. DoD needed iris records, and used T16 – appropriate & the
									only choice at the time. A/N2007
									defined T17 for iris, but also
									retroactively defined some T16
									fields, inadvertently stomping on
		Backward compatibility of Type 16 from 2000							some existing operational DOD
28	Austin Hicklin	to 2007 standard	N	N	N	Y			EBTS data.
	Brad Wing	Morphed facial image handling	Y	?	?	OPEN			Need to solicit other opinions
	-								
									No specific revisions are
									needed to the standards.
									Write a paragraph to describe
<u>30</u>	Brad Wing	IDCs for Types 7 and 13	Y	N	N	Y			how to interpret the standard.
			-			-			

31	Descrid Williams	TCN & GMT alpha vs numeric inconsistencies	Y	N	N	v			An errata clarification will be issued for Table 8 of ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2007 to indicate that both TCN and GMT are alphanumeric.
	Ronald Willems Mike McCabe	Additional Possible Errors in Table 8	Y	N	N	OPEN			Wing to review
	SABER Report	Baseline the acquisition behavior of proprietary systems (e.g., iris) and create subject acquisition profiles for both historic and future collection standards	Y	?	Y	OPEN			Need to develop a plan for coordination
<u>34</u>	SABER Report	Expand upon the best practices provided in ANSI/NIST Annexes H & I and ISO/IEC 19794- 5 Annex B	Y	?	Y	OPEN			Need to develop a plan for coordination
<u>35</u>	Austin Hicklin	Native Scanning Resolution to handle other than 500 and 1000 dpi	Y	?	N	OPEN			Issue errata for text in 9.1.11 and 9.1.12 ; Need to follow up on how to best clarify 11.2.5
<u>36</u>	Patrick Grother	Registration of location associated with a sample	Y	?	Y	OPEN			Need to explore ISO conventions on position identification
<u>37</u>	Austin Hicklin	ULW-generated information data field	?	?	N	OPEN			To be raised when standard is revised
<u>38</u>	Chris Fuhrman	Reconciliation of 2007 and 2008 (XML) versions	?	Y	N	OPEN	Chris Fuhrman	fuhrmanator@gmail.c	To be raised when standard is revised
<u>39</u>	Sudhi Umarji	Reconciliation of 2008 (XML) version and NIEM	?	Y	Y	OPEN		Sudhi.Umarji@usdoj.gov	
<u>40</u>	Brian Finegold	Need to increase max character count in TOT	N	Y	N	Open	Cathy Wimer	<u>Cathy Wimer</u> cwimer@leo.gov	To be raised when standard is revised