Comments template for Draft SP 800-207 Please respond by November 22, 2019 Submitted by: IDSA Date: 11/21/19

American Hospital Association Response to NIST Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework RFI

Submitted September 15, 2021

	Response #	Responding organization	Responder's name	Paper Section (if applicable)	Response/Comment (Include rationale)	Suggested change
General Comments	1		Samantha Burch Director, Health IT Policy		The American Hospital Association (AHA) appreciates that the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is soliciting public feedback to inform the development of a voluntary Artificial Intelligence (AI) Risk Management Framework. We support this effort to improve the trustworthiness of AI systems and the transparent, open and collaborative approach NIST is undertaking to engage a broad range of stakeholders. While the framework is intended to be industry-agnostic, we anticipate this guidance will be useful and relevant as use of AI in health care continues to grow and evolve.	
General Comments	2		Samantha Burch Director, Health IT Policy		We further appreciate that NIST extended the original deadline for comments, providing additional time for interested parties to review the Request for Information (RFI) and respond. Moving forward, we encourage NIST to provide comment periods of at least 45 days to ensure adequate time for stakeholders to offer thorough input critical to informing the framework. The AHA looks forward to continuing to engage in this process.	
Responses to Specific Request for information (pages 11,12, 13 and 14 of the RFI)						

Type: E-Editorial, G-General T-Technical

Comments template for Draft SP 800-207 Please respond by November 22, 2019 Submitted by: IDSA Date: 11/21/19

9. The appropriateness of the	3	American Hospital Association	Samantha Burch	The RFI identifies a number of elements and	
attributes NIST has developed for			Director, Health IT	attributes the framework is expected to include such	
the AI Risk Management			Policy	as be consensus-driven, provide common definitions,	1
Framework. (See above, "AI RMF				use plain language, be applicable to different	1
Development and Attributes");				organizations, be risk based, outcome-focused,	
				voluntary, non-prescriptive and adaptable to different	1
				organizations. The AHA believes these elements and	1
				attributes are appropriate and will increase the value	1
				of the framework for stakeholders. We further	1
				appreciate that NIST underscores that this guidance is	
				intended to be a "living document," acknowledging	1
				that AI and its associated risks are dynamic in nature	1
				and will evolve over time.	1
					1

Type: E-Editorial, G-General T-Technical