# Initiatives Toward Re-usable Testing

Rick Lazarick
Computer Sciences Corporation
Patty Wolfhope
DHS Science and Technology

IBPC March 8, 2012

## Why Pursue Re-usable Testing?

#### Testing can get expensive

 Scenario testing with sufficient scale to justify declaration of low biometric matching error rates are time and resource consuming

#### Repetitive testing is wasteful

 Conformance to a standard (e.g. Data Interchange Format for Fingerprint Images) need only be done once for a version of a format generator

#### Are there examples of re-used test results?

- Appendix F Certification -fingerprint sensor image quality
  - Public list of certified products
  - Acquisition with confidence
- MINEX interoperability fingerprint template generators/matchers
  - Open, public test report (quantitative)
  - Cited by GSA, TWIC,...

## What are the prerequisites for reusable testing?

- Agreement on the method/procedure for conducting test and reporting results
- Methods should be Open (shared across the trio of tester/supplier/consumer)
- Some testing results are binary (Pass/Fail), others may be quantitative (tend to be more shareable)

## Comparison of Test Types

Test Type	Characteristics	Re-usable?	Relative Cost
Conformance to Standards	Automated, Repeatable	YES	Low
Performance - Technology	Repeatable, Complex	YES (with care)	Moderate
Performance - Scenario	End-to-end, NOT Repeatable	Graded YES Pass/Fail Maybe	High
Common Criteria	Few biometrics, complex lengthy	YES	Very High

## What makes sharing/re-use work?

- Willingness and <u>authority</u> to share
- Tested by a trusted source (independent or accredited)
- Tested to common/compatible requirement
- Tested at the same level of integration
  - Integration levels such as component (template generator), subsystem (fingerprint capture device) or system (mobile handheld)
  - Less sharable as level of integration increases
- Capability to <u>disseminate</u> information
  - Open when practical
  - Controlled when necessary

#### What is the USG Motivation?

#### **Motivation:**

•Section 6 of the 2011 NSTC <u>National Biometrics</u> <u>Challenge</u> document cites the benefits of **standards** related to biometric testing including **reduced product costs**. One of the contributing factors stated is the **reuse of testing procedures and certifications**.

Nothing quite like a good Challenge

## USG Response? "BITES"

## Biometric Interagency Testing and Evaluation Schema

- Team formed in December 2011 with multi-agency participation
- Spawned by NSTC Subcommittee on Biometrics & Identity Management, Standards & Conformity
   Assessment Working Group
- Effort to develop a repository for <u>sharing</u> biometrics test methods and successfully completed test results.

#### What is the Vision of the End-State?

(excerpt from BITES report used to establish the effort)

"When the process for <u>sharing of biometrics testing information</u> and resources has been established and institutionalized across the United States Government (USG), several of the points identified in the <u>National Biometrics Challenge</u> document will be met. The benefits of BITES to the government and commercial providers of biometrics goods and services will be in the form of <u>fewer required tests</u>, a design for <u>reusable results</u>, <u>open and well defined testing methods</u>, and <u>cost savings</u> on the part of government implementers as well as a reduced testing cost burden to the product suppliers."

Keeping an eye on the target

## What is the **Scope** of BITES?

- central <u>repository</u> to list successful biometric technology test results
  - including pointers to externally-managed and agencyspecific test methodologies and product lists
  - limited to biometric products
- governance structure (to control contents)
- oversight and management of <u>testing-related</u> <u>documentation</u> and activities
  - lead the development of unified, USG-desired, conformance, performance, interoperability, and quality test methodologies
- used for <u>information sharing</u> and acquisition by federal government agencies

Don't bite off more than you can chew

## What is the <u>Purpose</u> of BITES?

- Facilitate the advancements of biometric technology at a <u>lower cost</u> to the USG
- Capability to collaborate and <u>reuse the results</u> of tests
- Launch an <u>electronic repository</u> for collaboration
- Develop a <u>test framework</u> that ties requirement and approved test methods together
- Establish a governance structure represented by interagency members
- Establish a focal point for <u>dissemination</u> of biometric testing information to the public

## What are the **Benefits** of BITES?

- <u>Cost avoidance</u> for both government and product suppliers by listing of registered testing results from testing performed by a credible source (third or second party, unbiased, accredited)
- Improved program testing <u>efficiency</u> and thoroughness (particularly for newly developed programs, leveraging the prior work of others)
- Increased interoperability between USG agencies and programs
- Provision of <u>open or controlled access to testing documentation</u> for use by the general public, suppliers, acquisition, academia, test labs through the establishment of a web-based mechanism
- <u>Uniformity of test methods</u> to support a repository to identify areas where new/additional specific test methods (e.g. Conformance, Performance, Quality, Interoperability) are necessary
- Increased <u>confidence</u> in the testing activities provided by <u>accredited</u> testing laboratories
- Assist law enforcement in <u>satisfying the federal rules of evidence</u> (the Daubert factors)

### **BITES Next Steps?**

- USG team creating foundational documents
  - Charter
  - Project Plan and Roadmap
  - Business Plan
  - Governance and Policy Plan
- Establishing a web-based project portal for the use by general public, suppliers, acquisition, academia, test laboratories.

## Thanks for your attention

Rick Lazarick
Chief Scientist
CSC Identity Labs
rlazarick@csc.com

Patty Wolfhope Biometrics Transition PM DHS HSARPA Human Factors Division patricia.wolfhope@dhs.gov