Optical Methods of Surface Measurement

Ted Vorburger, Guest Researcher National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Measurement Science and Standards in Forensic Firearms Analysis 2012 NIST, Gaithersburg, MD July 10, 2012

Contents

- Three optical methods for measuring surface topography:
 - Confocal microscopy
 - Focus variation microscopy
 - Coherence scanning interferometry
- For each method, will discuss:
 - Brief description
 - Documentary standard
 - Some strengths and limitations
 - Applications in firearms examinations and research
- Some comparisons
- Observations on possible research with these methods for firearms
- Will not discuss applications of optical reflection microscopy already widely known and used

Sources

- John Song, Alan Zheng, T.B. Renegar (NIST)
- ISO Standards and drafts
- R. Artigas, Sensofar
- B. Bachrach, Intelligent Automation, Inc.
- F. Helmli, Alicona
- R. Krueger-Sehm, (PTB)
- P. de Groot, Zygo Corp.
- M. Johnson et al. (MIT)
- K. Garrard et I. (NCSU)
- Nanofocus
- Forensic Technology Inc.
- Pyramidal Technologies

Note: Certain commercial equipment may be identified in this presentation in order to specify certain experimental procedures. This does not imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor does it imply that the equipment are the best available for the purpose.

Observation:

Conventional Optical Microscopy vs. Topographic Microscopy Surface topography (roughness height variation Z(x, y)) is likely the primary effect produced by firearms on bullets and casings.

Optical image $I(x, y) \neq$ Topography Z(x, y)

Conventional Microscopy: The optical image contrast *I* (*x*, *y*) Is primarily a function of: -Slope,

- -Shadowing,
- -Multiple reflections
- -Optical properties
- -Illumination direction

-local height variations, indirectly

Topographic Microscopy, such as interferometric and confocal microscopy, can measure local height variations Z(x, y) directly, independent of illumination and shadowing effects

But there are signal-to-noise issues and data dropouts

From *Classification of Methods for Measuring Surface Texture*, ISO International Standard (IS) 25178-6



Optical Differential Profiling

**Relies on circular scanning to produce a $Z(\theta)$ profile.

Important Properties of Microscopes for Measuring Surface Topography

- Vertical Resolution
- Lateral Resolution

What can the instruments achieve and what vertical and lateral resolutions are required to resolve important individual characteristics of bullet and cartridge case surfaces?

- Maximum Measurable Slope (Slope Range)
 Important for measuring firing pin impressions
- Cost
- Speed

Confocal Microscopy:

Schematic diagram of a disk scanning confocal microscope

http://www.nanofocusag.com/de/html/3dmicro.html



ISO Working Draft 25178-607 ISO/Technical Committee 213/ Working Group 16 Geometrical product specification (GPS) – Surface texture: Areal – Part 607: Nominal characteristics of non-contact (imaging confocal microscopy) instruments

Figure B.1 – A series of confocal images through the depth of focus of a confocal microscope's objective.



Figure B.2 – Left: axial response of a single pixel along the *z*-direction. Right: the three dimensional surface of the series of images of B.1.

Strength

• Vertical resolution ≈ 3 nm

Lateral resolution \approx 1 µm (depends on the magnification and the objective, typical for an optical microscope)

Limitation

Signal decreases and becomes unreliable for high surface slopes
 ≈ 15 ° leading to dropouts and outliers



Topography image of a firing pin impression obtained with a confocal microscope

Application of Confocal Microscopy to Firearms Research

Topography image of a pair of fired bullets

BulletTrax-3D Forensic Technology, Inc.



From P. Murphy et al., Three-Dimensional Virtual Comparison Microscope for Bullets, <u>http://www.forensictechnology.com/Portals/71705/docs /technote_3dvcmbullets_20100429.pdf</u> (May, 2010)

Focus Variation Microscopy

ISO Committee Draft 25178-606 Geometrical product specification (GPS) — Surface texture: Areal — Part 606: Nominal characteristics of noncontact (focus variation) instruments, Figure A.1



Strength of Focus Variation Microscopy Ability to measure steeply sloped surfaces

Firing pin impression on 9 mm cartridge case measured with focus variation. Overlay of reflectance and topography images.



A Limitation of Focus Variation Microscopy

Vertical resolution ≈ 100 nm

Lateral resolution ≈ several pixels

Table A.1 From ISO DIS 25178—606 Table A. 1 — Calculation of focus information using the standard deviation of the surface image within a 5x5 neighborhood of the point of interest

Scan position	Surface image	Standard deviation
Out of focus	1 2	10
Almost in focus		20
In focus		50
Almost in focus		20
Out of focus		10

Key

1 point of interest for which the focus information is calculated

2 5x5 neighborhood used to calculate the focus information (standard deviation)

Applications in Forensic Science

BrassTrax-3D "depth from focus (DFF)"

http://www.forensictechnology.com/publications/





- Alicona Infinite Focus
- See also review article by R.S. Bolton-King et al. in AFTE Journal 42 (1), 23 (2010)

Principle of Coherence Scanning Interference Microscopy

aka:

Vertical scanning interferometry,

White light interferometry,

Scanning white light interferometry,

Optical coherence tomography...



ISO Draft International Standard (DIS) 25178-604 Geometrical product specification (GPS) – Surface texture: Areal – Part 604: Nominal characteristics of non-contact (coherence scanning interferometric microscopy instruments



Coherence Scanning Interferometry

- Strength: Vertical resolution ≈ 3 nm
- Lateral resolution ≈ 1 µm, comparable to confocal microscopy
- Limitation: Has difficulty with steeply sloped surfaces
- Issue: Complex sensor signal



Application in Firearms Research

ALIAS, from Pyramidal Technology in cooperation with Heliotis (Switzerland)

-CSI with high-speed camera



Topographic image of breech face and firing pin impressions.

Contents

- Four optical methods for measuring surface topography:
 - Confocal microscopy
 - Focus variation microscopy
 - Interferometry
 - Chromatic confocal microscopy
- For each method, will discuss:
 - Brief description
 - Documentary standard
 - Some strengths and limitations
 - Applications in firearms examinations and research

Some comparisons

- Observations on possible research with these methods for firearms
- Will not discuss applications of optical reflection microscopy already widely known and used

Comparison of 2D Profiles of a Standard Bullet Measured by Four Techniques









Disc Scanning Confocal Microscope



Areal Cross Correlation of Firing Pin Impressions of Two Casing Replicas with Confocal Microscopy



Profiles of a 100 nm *Ra* sinusoidal grating obtained with four techniques



Test of Confocal Microscopy:

Measurement of chirped roughness standard from PTB, Germany



Other Methods

Confocal chromatic probe Polaris – 3D, K. Garrard et al.,NCSU





Contacting + Optical Method Gelsight, M.K. Johnson et al. MIT



Concluding Observations

- Calibration standards are available for testing different methods
 - Smooth surfaces for assessing vertical resolution
 - Set of periodic surfaces for testing lateral resolution
 - Rough surface standards for testing the algorithms
 - Standard bullet and cartridge case for testing capabilities to measure steep slopes and specific geometries
- Would be beneficial to test vertical and lateral resolution of each method and optimize vs. needs in ballistics identification, speed, and cost → could use a standard set of cartridge cases and bullets (Tulleners-De Kinder Cartridge Cases, NIST Cartridge Cases, IAI Bullets,...)

Concluding Observations

 Data Fusion: How best to combine reflection microscopy and topography data quantitatively



 Can apply scanning electron microscopy to obtain images with sub-micrometer resolution (Note: BKA research)