

# Motivation and Use Cases for NFIQ 2.0

Oliver Bausinger  
Federal Office for Information Security

Section  
Inspection Infrastructures and Architectures



# Status

- Official documents with fingerprints
  - European ePassports
  - European Residence Permits
  - Identity Cards (partially)
- European Visa Information System (VIS)
  - Tenprints from all Schengen (short-time) Visa applicants
    - Data stored for 5 years
  - Target size up to 100 Mio. records
  - Biometric verification will soon be mandatory at all Schengen border checks
- Criminal AFIS
- Future RTP programs might use fingerprints



# Challenges in fingerprint biometrics deployment

- Problems
  - Technical
    - Heterogenous environments
    - Different software vendors and versions
    - Interoperability issues
  - Organizational
    - Multiple enrolment processes have to be conducted by the same operator
  - System design
    - At enrolment stage, typically the biometric verification or identification system vendor is unknown
    - Large scale identification scenarios (AFIS) have immensely high quality requirements
      - Garbage in, garbage out!



# Challenges in fingerprint biometrics deployment (2)

- Timing considerations
  - Timing constraints are the biggest driver in the design of an enrolment and verification process
  - For many instances, quality correlates directly with time
    - Not only technical, but also organizational, e.g. user guidance
  - Time is expensive
    - Officers are expensive
    - Room is expensive
  - Which quality is required by the system?
    - How much time (on average) do I need to reach the desired level?



# Stages of possible quality control

- **Scanner level**
  - Hardware built-in auto capture
  - Hard to tweak to a specific application scenario
- **Capture software level**
  - Beyond the vendor SDK
  - Run things like NFIQ, vendor software kits, other QA algorithms
  - Implement target system specific thresholds
- **Process level**
  - A background system rejects the fingerprints
  - Trigger recapture only when necessary
    - Avoid this as often as possible because of timing considerations, especially when round trips to central systems are involved



# Problem statement

- There's no common understanding of a term like **fingerprint of sufficient quality**
  - Sufficient for which application?
  - Quality requirements differ a lot for different applications (e.g obviously between 1:1 and 1:n)
  - But, you say, there's quality in the standards.
    - An algorithm should produce a value in [0, 100].
    - Most don't.
    - And even if, those scores are not calibrated to an accepted base line.
    - And even if, there's no consense on any kind of thresholds for specific applications
  
- OK, let's try again ...



## Problem statement (2<sup>nd</sup> try)

- There's no common language to establish an interoperable definition of **fingerprint of sufficient quality** for a specific application scenario
  - When developing an application scenario, define a common understanding of the required image quality
  - We need the language for doing this
  - And we need a baseline tool for doing this



## Expectations for the future

- NFIQ 2 will be good enough to be used as baseline tool for defining **fingerprint of sufficient quality**
- NFIQ 2 will be the calibration base for vendor QA tools
  - Vendor QA tools will not go away, but – at least – for large scale applications will be comparable (statistically, not on a by-image-basis) to NFIQ 2
  - Vendor QA tools should not have a need to augment NFIQ 2 itself, but it should be sufficient for a vendor to define a specific threshold for a specific application
- NFIQ 2 will be used in all major fingerprint-based biometrics systems.
  
- Of course, the term of fingerprint quality will not be stable, but the biometric community will have a way to adapt, refine, reformulate it according to the evolution of fingerprint technology





# Questions





# Contact

## **Federal Office for Information Security** Inspection Infrastructures and Architectures

Oliver Bausinger  
[oliver.bausinger@bsi.bund.de](mailto:oliver.bausinger@bsi.bund.de)