#	Organization	Commentor	Type	Page #	Line #	Section	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Suggested change
1	Department of Energy (DOE)		General				GENERAL DOE appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Preliminary Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) and acknowledges the efforts by the National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST) to engage Sector Specific Agencies, owners and operators of critical infrastructure, and other stakeholders in the CSF consultative development process.	-N/A-
	Department of Energy (DOE)		Content				ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES DOE recommends that the CSF provide information regarding the role of the Sector Specific Agency (SSA), sector stakeholders, existing programs, and current efforts (e.g. National Infrastructure Protection Plan revisions) in formalizing the scope of the CSF Implementation Guidance for a particular sector.	Update the framework section

	Submitted by: Department of Energy Date: 12/13/2013
	Date. 12/13/2013
	Update section 3.2 including:
nal	
zation	- description of the flow of activities across different CSF components.
e	across different CSF components.
	- additional guidance regarding each of
	the steps outlined in section 3.2
the	leveraging a process flow diagram or an
to each	example.
	- clarification that this guidance can
	serve as a template for organizations,
o	and does not necessarily have to be
	adopted in its entirety, as described in
files.	the implementation profile.
dress the	
h sector	
<i>Model</i>	
	Update the core section
SF	
the	

2	DOE	Content			PROCESS DOE recommends providing additional	Update section 3.2 including:
					DOE recommends providing additional guidance to illustrate how an organization could use the CSF strategically. In particular, NIST should provide more information on:  (a) How the different components of the CSF such as Profiles and Tiers relate to each other;  (b) How the core categories and subcategories map to the CSF tiers to demonstrate maturity within an organization's target and current profiles.  DOE is engaging with the Sector Coordinating Councils (SCC) to address the need for additional guidance through sector specific guidance that leverages the	<ul> <li>description of the flow of activities across different CSF components.</li> <li>additional guidance regarding each of the steps outlined in section 3.2 leveraging a process flow diagram or an example.</li> <li>clarification that this guidance can serve as a template for organizations, and does not necessarily have to be adopted in its entirety, as described in the implementation profile.</li> </ul>
					Cybersecurity Capability Maturity Model (C2M2).	
5	DOE	Content	13	Appendix A	CORE  DOE notes that the description of CSF categories and sub categories under the functions detect, respond, and recover do not clearly tie to an organization's risk management strategy and processes. DOE recommends reviewing the CSF core to ensure that it includes adequate risk management guidance.	Update the core section
6	DOE	Content	42	Appendix E	DEFINITIONS DOE recommends reviewing the CSF to identify and explain terms that may be subject to interpretation such as "sensitive data" and "secured."	Update Appendix E including definitions for terms such as: - Sensitive data - Managed - Secured